2	018 Texas Ba	ance of State Continuum	of Care Ren	ewal Proje	ect Score Sheet			
Applicant Name:		Project Name:			Project Type?	1st Ye	ar Project?	FY16 Operational Start Date
	Where to Reference on	Your Answer						
Total number of Persons Served Total number of adults	APR APR: 05a APR: 05a							
Total leavers Total number of adult leavers	APR: 05a APR: 05a							
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2016 Project Application								
Targeting Hard to Serve Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	<u>>85</u> %	APR: Q15, "Homeless Situations" Subtotal, Column 1 "Total"		#DIV/0!	N/A-DV ONLY	0	N/A	
Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	<u>>95</u> %	APR: Q15, "Homeless Situations" Subtotal, Column 1 "Total"		#DIV/0!	N/A	0	N/A	
Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	<u>>40%</u>	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		#DIV/0!	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
Percent of adults with no income at entry Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score	<u>>6</u> 0%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		#DIV/0!	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	#DIV/0!	10 20	
Access to Income-Stayers -PSH Scored Category	SPM 4.1-4.3 Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible	Notes
			Percent (%)				Points	
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment	<u>13-15%</u>	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure" APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column '9" Percent of			N/A-PSH ONLY		10	
income at Annual Assessment Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income	40-50%	Persons who accomplished this measure" APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column '9" Percent of			N/A-PSH ONLY		10	
(from all sources) at Annual Assessment Section Subtotal	<u>45-59%</u>	Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-PSH ONLY	0	10 30	
Access to Income-Leavers -PSH	SPM 4.4-4.6	[1		
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	<u>></u> 17%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-PSH ONLY		10	
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	<u>>4</u> 6%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-PSH ONLY		10	
Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	<u>>4</u> 6%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	N/A-PSH ONLY		N/A-PSH ONLY	0	10	
Section Subtotal Access to Income-Stayers -RRH	SPM 4.1-4.3						30	
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	<u>15-17%</u>	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-RRH ONLY		10	
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20-25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-RRH ONLY		10	
Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	<u>25-30%</u>	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-RRH ONLY	0	10	
Section Subtotal Access to Income-Leavers -RRH	SPM 4.4-4.6						30	
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent	t (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	<u>15-18%</u>	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A_RRH ONLY		10	
Percent participants with 1+ source of non-cash benefits at exit	12%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-RRH ONLY		10	
Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit Section Subtotal	<u>20-25%</u>	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column '9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			N/A-RRH ONLY	0	10 30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score						0	120	
Housing Stability Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your An	swer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible	Notes
Percentage of participants that exited the Project successfully prior to 3 months	<u>>9</u> 5%	APR: Q23b , Last Row "Percentage", 1st Column "Total"			100-95% = 0 points <95- 90% = -5 points <90% = -10 points	0	Points 0	
Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥79%	System Performance Measure 7b1"% of Successful Exits APR 23a 'Total persons exiting to Positive Housing			100-79% = 10 points <79-70% = 7.5 points	#DIV/0!	10	
un province and a market round octifications		Destinations' 'Column 1 'Total' APR 23b 'Total persons exiting to Positive Housing Destinations' 'Column 1 'Total'		#DIV/01	<70% = 0 points	,		
Percent participants who remained in project as of the end of the operating year or exited to PH during the operating year	≥95%	System Performance Measure 7b2 % of Successful Exits' APR 23a 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations' 'Column 1 Total' APR 23b 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations' ('Column 1 Total'		#DIV/0!	100-=95% = 10 points <95-92% = 7.5 points <92% = 0 points	#DIV/0!	10	
Housing Stability Total Score	l	Housing Destinations' 'Column 1 'Total'				#DIV/0!	20	
Returns to Homelessness Scored Category	Goal		Your An	swer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes

	-	i						
What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	<u><</u> 15%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"			0-5% = 10 points >05- <10% = 7.5 points	0	N/A	
		"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a- 2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo.			>10% = 0 points 0-5% = 5 points >05- <10% = 2.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	N/A	
Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	<u><5%</u>	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a- 2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less			>10% = 0 points 0-5% = 5 points >05- <10% = 2.5 points	0	N/A	
Returns to Hamelessness		than 12 mo.			>10% = 0 points	0	0	
Housing First and Low Barrier						-		
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your An	nswer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible	Notes
Housing First Questionnaire : Project applicant sufficiently addresses	Meets all of the	Housing First Questionnaire			See Narrative Guidance:		Points 20	
components of the HUD Housing First assessment tool and how they are/will be incorporated into the project.	requirements in the Narrative Scoring Guide	nousing rist questionnaire			Section 5 Q1			
Housing First and Low Barrier Total Score	0	20						
Meeting Community Need Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible	Notes
		APR: Q22c Row 1, "7 Days or less" Total APR: Q22c Row 2, "8 to 14 days"" Total			100-85% = 10 points	0	Points 10	
What percentage of Participants move into Housing within 60 days?	<u>></u> 85%	APR: Q22c Row 3, "14 to 21 days" Total APR: Q22c Row 4, "22-30 days" Total APR: Q22c Row 5, "31-60 days" Total APR: Q08b "January" Total		#DIV/0!	<85-80% = 7.5 points <80% = 0 points	U	10	
What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	<u>>9</u> 5%	APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "Luly" Total APR: Q08b "Dctober" Total		#DIV/0!	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	20	
Cost Effectiveness Scored Category	Goal		Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible	Notes
FY15 Total CoC Funds Awarded	Juan		iou Answer		0-5% = 7.5 points	score	Points	
FY15 Total CoC Fund Expenditure	≤5% unspent funds			#DIV/0!	>5-11% = 5 points >11% = 0 points	#DIV/0!	7.5	
FY16 Total CoC Funds Awarded	<5 M						75	
FY16 Total CoC Fund Expenditure on 06/01/2018	≤5% unspent funds			#VALUE!	FALSE	0	7.5	
On track to spend FY16 CoC Award?	Yes				Yes = 5 points No = 0 points	0	5	
		APR 23a 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing						
What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	FALSE	Destinations' 'Column 1 Total APR 23b 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations' 'Column 1 Total Total Support Service 8LI or 25% of the Total 2017		#DIV/0!	FALSE		15	
Money Recaptured by HUD	No	Award, whichever is greater			Yes = -10 points	0	0	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score					No = 0 points	#DIV/0!	35	
HMIS Data Quality	T	T					1	
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your An	nswer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	No	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number			0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points	0	7.5	
Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	No	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"			>5% = 0 points 0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points	0	7.5	
Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	No	APR: Q05c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"			5+ = 0 points 0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points	0	7.5	
Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	No	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"			5+ = 0 points 0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score					5+ = 0 points	0	30	
Agency Administrative Capacity								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Number of unresolved HUD audit or monitoring findings related to <u>this</u> Project	No findings			•	-5 points for each finding, up to -15 points	0	0	
FY 2018 Project Application submission is complete and was submitted prior to the deadline	Yes				Yes = 0 points No= - 10 points	0	0	
Project Monitoring Results Total Score						0	0	
Continuum of Care Engagement	Goal	Wilson to D. C.	Your Comme		Boint Criteria	Sec	Total Possible	Notes
Scored Category Did the Project Applicant attend all of the mandatory General Meetings?	Goal 100%	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria 100% = 10 points <100-75% = 7.5 points	Score	Points	notes
Did the Project Applicant attend all of the mandatory webinars/trainings in FY 2016 - 2017?	100%				<75% = 0 points 100% = 10 points <100-75% = 7.5 points		10	
Continuum of Care Engagement Total Score					<75% = 0 points	0	20	
Prioritization Fidelity								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
For PSH Projects only: Followed the TX BoS CoC Prioritization Standards for Chronically Homeless Households in Permanent Supportive Housing	Yes				Yes = 10 No = 0	0	0	
For RRH Projects only: Served households who score for RRH and/or PSH on the VI-SPDAT or F-VI-SPDAT	Yes				Yes = 10 No = 0	0	0	
Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes				Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10	
Was the proposed service area compliant with the HUD mandated Coordinated Entry deadline of January 23, 2018?	Yes				Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10	
Prioritization Fidelity Total Score						FALSE	20	
SOAR Training							Total Possible	
Scored Category Has a staff person providing SSI/SSDI technical assistance completed	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria Yes = 10	Score	Points	Notes
SOAR training in the past 24 months? SOAR Training Total Score	Yes				No = 0	0	10 10	
Total Score								
						#DIV/0!	315	