

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Texas Homeless Network

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Texas Homeless Network

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	No	No
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	No	No
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	No	No
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	No	No
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	No

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	No	No
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	No	No
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Faith-based organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Veterans services	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC considers anyone who lives and/or works in the CoC's geographic area to be a general member of the CoC. The CoC has an open invitation process and lists an invitation on Texas Homeless Network's/THN's (CoC Lead Agency's) website, in monthly CoC e-newsletters, on bi-monthly General Membership Meeting agendas, and on THN's social media. Also, CoC staff recommend becoming a member and attending General Membership meetings when people reach out to learn about the CoC and during CoC committee meetings, trainings, Local Homeless Coalition (LHC) meetings, technical assistance activities, and CoC Orientation webinars.

2. The CoC section of THN's website is accessible. General Membership meetings are held by webinar using accessible software. Webinars are recorded and posted on THN's website, and meetings documents are in PDF. Also, an offer to meet accessibility needs for General Membership meetings is listed on THN's website in the meeting information section.

3. The CoC invited organizations to address equity by inviting their members to run for seats on the CoC Board and on CoC committees, which set policy and oversee staff activities to advance equity. The Coordinated Entry (CE) Steering Committee, including Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color (BIPOC) members, conducted CE data analysis that informs strategic plan actions. The Strategic Planning Committee set strategies for 2021-24 to advance equity, such as having BIPOC and culturally-specific organizations implementing and revising the plan. Also, staff contacted organizations serving BIPOC and invited them to apply for CoC Program funds and Supplemental NOFO funds, which should enhance equitable access and outcomes.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
	2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and	
	3. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC solicits & considers opinions through bi-monthly CoC General Membership Meetings (Gen Mtgs). The CoC announces meetings by website, e-newsletter & social media & invites everyone to attend. Agendas include a time when people can give input on a topic & an open dialogue time. Also, staff ask poll questions.

THN staff also use an online public comment portal to seek feedback on proposed CoC policies & policy changes, & feedback webinars & online surveys to get people's opinions, such as which areas to prioritize in the CoC Plan.

THN staff invite people to contact them directly & THN's website includes links to staff email addresses; post info about CoC Board & committee elections; reach out to people in CoC communities during quarterly Local Homeless Coalition (LHC) virtual meetings led by THN staff, by direct email & calls with LHC leaders & by attending LHCs' meetings; publicize funding opportunities & invite prospective applicants to talk about grant requirements, local needs & project design; & read posts on the CoC's social media, to see people's comments and follow up, as needed.

2-The CoC communicates info during Gen Mtgs. Lead agency staff discuss important data points & they & peer service providers give presentations. Staff use verbal communication, slides, handouts & data visualizations & attendees comment in the chat box. Staff also communicate via THN's website, the CoC's e-newsletter, social media & group & individual emails.

3-The CoC takes info gathered in public meetings/forums & the public comment process seriously & incorporates it into policies/processes if it does not contradict CoC or HUD policy. Input is presented for Board consideration when developing & revising policies.

In 2021-22 the CoC held virtual meetings & conducted a survey & public comment period for people to give input into the CoC Plan & to comment on the draft. Staff developed the plan using input received.

Staff also seek ideas from CoC Board & committee members & use their suggestions to guide strategic planning, HMIS/data activities, Coordinated Entry prioritization & processes, written standards & addressing youth & veteran homelessness. The CoC's newest committee comprises persons with lived experience of homelessness.

After Gen Mtgs, attendees receive a survey to give anonymous feedback & suggest future topics. Staff review survey responses & consider them when planning future meetings & CoC activities/improvements/new approaches

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	

2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC notified the public using the CoC Lead Agency's website. The following statement is displayed prominently on the CoC competition webpage: "We are interested in working with Service Partners we have not worked with before! If you are in a community without CoC Program Funding, or your Organization has never administered CoC Program Funding, we encourage you to review the materials below and reach out to us with any questions at txboscoc@thn.org."

The CoC did extensive targeted outreach for the FY22 NOFO to Victim Service Providers through the State Domestic Violence Coalition, TCFV. In June 2022 the CoC held an open, "interest webinar" with this group to answer questions about the CoC Program & DV Bonus. The CoC solicited feedback from this group that was incorporated into the DV Bonus App used in the local competition. Also, the CoC maintains a list of interested applicants for previous competitions & performed outreach to that group, most of whom have never administered CoC Program funding in the past. Five of 6 applications received for the DV Bonus were from organizations that had never administered CoC Program funding & 4 were recommended for funding.

2- In all communication & preparatory webinars about the FY22 competition, applicants were notified that apps would be accepted through the "Apply" platform, the grant management software used in TX-607. The CoC presented all components of the application prior to release and clearly documented them in the Request for Proposals (RFP), including scoring factors & the specific questions that would be asked in the local app. These materials were posted on the competition webpage as the RFP was released & they remained up throughout the competition.

3- The specific questions asked of Applicants & their weight were attached to the FY22 RFP released to the public on the website. The scoring criteria & point values associated with them were published on the website, and the process for application review, assessment & selection was included in the RFP.

4- The CoC releases the RFP and all materials in PDF format that allows for a variety of accessibility options. The CoC also holds webinars prior to and after the release of the RFP to communicate app requirements. Live transcription service is available during all webinars. Accessibility needs are assessed when people register for the webinars & efforts to accommodate these needs are made.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

In the chart below:

1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	No
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	No
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.	Faith-based organizations and faith communities	Yes
-----	---	-----

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. City & County ESG recipients consult with the CoC quarterly regarding project planning, allocations & implementation. The CoC consulted with the state ESG recipient (TDHCA) on funding priorities & proposed rules changes. For the FY22 State ESG funding competition, the CoC consulted with all applicants in the CoC about their proposed projects. The CoC also consults on budget amendments greater than 20%. The CoC provides data & context for planning & allocation decisions but local input on allocations is prioritized: Local Homeless Coalitions coordinate with ESG Recipients, holding similar discussions as CoC staff have with recipients about fund allocation, need, assets, priorities & effective use of resources.

2. Dedicated CoC staff work closely with ESG recipients to evaluate all ESG subrecipients' ability to administer ESG & ongoing performance. CoC staff work with recipients to ensure that the HMIS includes all data required for reporting. Beyond HUD requirements, staff created a report to facilitate monthly performance reporting from subrecipients to recipients, allowing for more robust performance evaluation. Staff provide technical assistance to all recipients & subs to ensure data quality & assist with reporting available from HMIS. Staff assist all recipients with ESG monthly & ESG-CV quarterly reporting, including ensuring projects are set up properly & running, validating & loading the CAPER into SAGE for quarterly reporting. Staff provide training to all ESG users on HMIS & data quality, standards & security.

3. The CoC provided PIT & HIC data to 40 Con Plan jurisdictions by email on 5/31/22. Staff sent sheltered, unsheltered, and combined PIT Count reports & created HIC worksheets for each jurisdiction's area. Staff also offered to review the data with jurisdiction staff & encouraged jurisdictions to use the data when planning allocations for formula funding & other local government funding.

4. The CoC consulted with a number of jurisdictions on their Con Plans, Action Plans & CAPERs, as well as HOME-ARP allocation planning. THN staff take seriously the opportunity to educate city, county & state government staff about homelessness & how Con Plan funds can be used to address it. Through virtual meetings, emails, written consultations & discussions about data sources besides PIT & HIC, staff partner with jurisdictions & LHCs to address homelessness.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	No
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	No
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

For many years the CoC Board has had a seat designated to serve homeless youth. That designation is included in the CoC Governance Charter, in the Board Requirements Policy. The seat may be filled by someone who has lived/living experience of youth homelessness or someone who can represent the interests of youth experiencing homelessness. In the most recent years, it has been filled by youth homeless services providers (2015-16, 2021-22) and a homeless education liaison (2017-21). The members filling that seat ensure that topics related to youth experiencing homelessness, including education, will be considered in CoC decisions, policies, and activities.

In 2022, the CoC Board established a 16th seat on the board to be filled by a member of the CoC's Youth Action Board (YAB). The CoC is partnering with the Ending Youth Homelessness Committee and the Texas Network of Youth Services (TNOYS) to build a YAB. TNOYS is a youth education provider that has a Young Adult Leadership Council (YALC) – a paid leadership development and education program for youth with lived experiences of homelessness, foster care, and/or juvenile justice. Having a YAB member seat on the CoC Board gives youth experiencing homelessness themselves a voice and a vote on the CoC's main decision-making and policy-making entity.

Members filling the homeless youth seat and the YAB seat can represent the educational needs and rights of students experiencing homelessness in school districts and in other educational settings, such as the YALC.

Since the CoC is a Balance of State, some activities with LEAs and school districts happen on the local level. For example, Region 13 Education Service Center is a member of the Colorado Valley Local Homeless Coalition (a local CoC planning body) & they have an MOU to work together. Service providers have MOUs and data sharing agreements with ISDs for referrals to educational supports, street outreach, shelter and housing. Brazoria County LHC members have MOUs with ISDs to serve students. Cameron County LHC members have agreements with ISDs for transportation and tutors.

In the upcoming year, THN staff will include in the CoC Action Plan (that implements the CoC Strategic Plan) establishing more formal agreements with the Texas Education for Homeless Children and Youth program and with McKinney-Vento Liaisons in Regional Education Service Centers (LEAs) in order to better support local communities to serve students in homeless situations.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THN (CoC Lead) works primarily on the CoC level, while Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs-- regional planning groups within the CoC) work on the local level with persons experiencing homelessness, LEAs, school districts, and youth education agencies. The CoC's Educational Assurances Policy explicitly states the CoC's commitment to assuring that the education needs of homeless youth and their families are met. The policy includes the McKinney-Vento Homeless Education definition and outlines the responsibilities of the CoC, LHCs, service providers and Homeless Education Liaisons to meet students' needs—including identifying students; informing them of their educational rights; and assisting them to enroll in, attend and succeed in school—and strategies for doing so.

The CoC Written Standards (WS) require all homeless assistance projects in the CoC to follow "Essential Elements," one being the McKinney-Vento regulations regarding the education of homeless children and youth. The WS also state that projects should provide and/or connect participants with "employment assessment and connection to employment services and/or education programs, such as GED classes, vocational school, community college, etc." And the WS describe the lack of a high school degree or GED as a barrier to employment, so projects are encouraged to provide and/or connect people with services to earn High School diplomas or GED certificates.

CoC Program-funded projects serving homeless children and/or youth have education-focused staff who follow CoC policies, LHC policies, LEA procedures, and agency procedures to identify students, inform them and their families about educational rights, assist them to enroll in and attend school, and provide academic and extracurricular activities support. LHCs, Homeless Education Liaisons, and service providers follow MOUs and data sharing agreements for referrals and access to McKinney-Vento support.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	No	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program--(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	Yes
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	Yes
8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		

10.	Healthy Outcomes through Prevention & Early Support (HOPES) - Presbyterian Children's Homes and Services, LHC of Navarro County	No	Yes
-----	---	----	-----

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC frequently collaborates with statewide domestic violence services organizations, such as the Texas Council on Family Violence (TCFV) and the Texas Association Against Sexual Assault (TAASA) in the development of CoC-wide policies. These groups were consulted in the development of Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) implementation guidelines and in changes to the Coordinated Entry (CE) prioritization criteria, to ensure that survivors were prioritized at a rate roughly equal to their presence in the general population. TCFV and TAASA are ongoing partners for the largest EHV implementations and have provided feedback on a variety of challenges, from data collection to security and confidentiality in the referral process for survivors. In addition, the CoC always turns to these groups when making changes to the Funded Agency Assessment Processes to evaluate and anticipate challenges that may arise for survivors or Victim Service Providers (VSPs) from changes to existing policy or criteria. These groups have been instrumental in various pandemic relief efforts, from Community Service Block Grant (CSBG) Discretionary funding to Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs), and in developing CoC Program competition policies and strategies to encourage participation by VSPs..

2. The CoC has a Community Investment Committee (CIC) which comprises 12 representatives from 6 regions of the CoC, two per region. The CIC is charged with a variety of tasks related to the CoC's Strategic Plan. Two members of this committee who were elected by the CoC general membership are particularly vocal in advocating for and implementing trauma-informed policy and housing and services for survivors. The CIC is currently developing a funding alignment model which seeks to standardize the variety and quality of shelter, housing, and services throughout the CoC. The funding alignment model work will be facilitated in partnership by the two members who are vocal about trauma-informed care, and those members will actively lead and partner with CoC staff on running focus groups. The focus groups will yield strategies to develop projects that lead in trauma-informed shelter, housing, and services.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 13	09/26/2022
------------------------	---------	------------

1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The TX BoS CoC centers the voices of survivors & survivor advocates through close partnership with the Texas Council on Family Violence (TCFV). TCFV is a network of agencies that creates safer communities & freedom from family violence through public policy, strategic prevention efforts, technical assistance, & training. In 2022, CoC Lead Agency staff coordinated with TCFV to identify available training opportunities for CoC Program-funded projects to increase their knowledge of safety & planning best practices when serving survivors of domestic violence, & coordinated additional CoC-specific training opportunities.

CoC Program-funded projects were provided information for a free, virtual training titled, Serving Survivors in The Homelessness Response System (VAWA 101), which took place on 7/15/22 & delivered by Stop Abuse for Everyone (SAFE). This training covered topics including: how to identify & respond to violence at intake, basic safety planning, how to incorporate safety planning into housing search & placement, the basics of VAWA Emergency Transfers, addressing safety concerns with landlords, & addressing safety for clients & staff in ongoing work with housed clients.

CoC staff also partnered with TCFV to develop a two-part training series that will be offered virtually in November 2022 to CoC Program- & ESG Program-funded projects, hosted by THN & delivered by TCFV. Part one of this training will address general best practices for working with survivors such as safety planning & use of trauma-informed, victim-centered care. Part two of this training will address safety planning & best practices for working with survivors as it relates to the Coordinated Entry process. Additionally, CoC Program- & ESG Program-funded projects are required to use the CoC's Emergency Transfer Plan, & CoC Lead Agency staff (from Texas Homeless Network) perform one-on-one training with recipients & subrecipients of those funds, as needed.

2-Training on the Coordinated Entry system is conducted on an annual basis, & in 2022 it was conducted via live webinar & Litmos, the CoC's Learning Management software. This training covered a wide variety of topics, including limited content on safety planning & the unique needs of survivors in Coordinated Entry. Additionally, with the introduction of Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs), CoC staff & TCFV have begun planning to support survivors who are accessing those vouchers through Coordinated Entry.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and	

- | | |
|----|--|
| 2. | how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness. |
|----|--|

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The TX BoS CoC uses de-identified aggregate data from CoC Program Annual Performance Reports (APRs), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program APRs for projects & ESG recipients' Consolidated Annual Performance & Evaluation Reports (CAPERs) to identify considerations in meeting the special needs of survivors, i.e., exits from shelter to permanent housing, income growth & housing retention.

2- The CoC uses this aggregate data to develop performance thresholds for survivor-focused projects & to identify organizational capacity-building opportunities with organizations & projects focused on survivors. In 2022, the CoC implemented and began carrying out activities of a CoC Strategic Plan, which was written in 2021 and includes action steps to develop a Program Model Chart & a Funding Alignment Model. These documents will describe the types of projects that the CoC will endorse & will acknowledge the specific needs of survivors, like ensuring appropriate supports around trauma, income growth, childcare, workforce development, client choice, safety & confidentiality. These documents will promote the use of trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches needed to operate projects. These resources will also establish outcome targets that the CoC adopts for projects serving survivors.

These CoC documents will use aggregate data from a comparable database & information from Texas' 2019 State Plan "Creating A Safer Texas: Access to Safety, Justice & Opportunity" to address the special needs of survivors. The Funding Alignment Model & Program Model Chart will also be used in the recruitment of providers & evaluation of the CoC's approach to meet the special needs of survivors. These resources will promote best practices when serving survivors & ensure that future funding recommendations made by CoC committees to the Board consider the special needs of survivors to the greatest extent possible. The CoC will continue to work with comparable database administrators & non-profit service providers to access & use aggregated data to meet the needs of survivors.

CoC Lead Agency Staff receive input, feedback and guidance regarding the implementation of the CoC Strategic Plan and progress towards its identified goals and activities from the Strategic Planning Committee and Community Investment Committee. These committees are governed by THN and are composed of CoC stakeholders. Progress towards achieving these strategic goals is assessed quarterly and as needed.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC Governance Charter includes a CoC Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP). This plan was developed in collaboration with TCFV and adopted by the CoC Board in 2018. CoC Program- and ESG Program-funded projects are required to use the CoC's Emergency Transfer Plan, and CoC Lead Agency staff (from Texas Homeless Network) perform one-on-one training with recipients and subrecipients of those funds, as needed.

2- To request an Emergency Transfer, a project participant or someone acting on behalf of the project participant, must submit a written request for an Emergency Transfer to the CoC Program-funded housing project, and project staff will work with the landlord to facilitate the transfer on behalf of the participant. The ETP contains HUD forms 5382 and 5383 that participants can use to request an emergency transfer and certify the need for emergency transfer (i.e. threat of imminent harm from further violence or occurrence of sexual assault that occurred on the CoC-funding housing premises during a 90-calendar-day period from the date of the request).

Per the ETP, it is the responsibility of the housing project to locate a safe housing option for the participant requesting transfer as quickly as possible. If the project is unable to locate a safe and available unit, the housing project will collaborate with CoC Lead Agency staff to assist the participant in identifying other housing providers who have safe, available units to which the participant can move. When collaborating with the CoC Lead Agency staff to facilitate an emergency transfer, the project must ensure that no identifying information about the participant is shared. Participants requesting emergency transfer are prioritized over other applicants requesting housing assistance, per ETP policy, and are provided resources that seek to increase safety and security while the transfer is pending. Providers must track requests and document outcomes, and the ETP contains a sample template that providers may use to satisfy this requirement, in accordance with 24 CFR 5.2005(e).

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC maintains Coordinated Entry Written Standards (CEWS) for the TX BoS CoC which governs how CoC Program-funded projects should operate, as it relates to the CoC's Coordinated Entry System (CES). The CEWS were initially approved and adopted by the CoC Board in May 2017, and were last revised in July 2022. Per the CEWS, providers may enter survivors into their local Coordinated Entry System, as long as proper consent is obtained, allowing the survivor full access to mainstream housing and service resources through CES referrals. The CEWS also outline expectations for developing safety plans with survivors when abuse is disclosed, whether the provider is a Victim Service Provider or not, and includes a template providers should use to develop these plans in partnership with the survivor.

Processes related to serving survivors within the CEWS were developed in partnership with the Texas Council on Family Violence (TCFV), the Statewide Domestic Violence Coalition. The CoC regularly engages with and seeks feedback from TCFV staff to increase access to non-DV-specific housing and services for survivors.

Survivors frequently present at "mainstream" service providers, and the ability of those providers to prioritize safety & confidentiality in the assessment and referral processes, along with appropriate matching to competent service providers, is paramount. Through the partnership with TCFV, TX-607 makes training for service providers available. Also, THN uses the partnership with TCFV to enhance CoC processes, such as the implementation of the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) program. In that program, it was determined that CE-as-usual would not likely yield the number of referrals that the CoC intended to ensure that survivors are appropriately represented in the universe of voucher holders. CoC Lead Agency staff, in partnership with TCFV, developed a prioritization strategy to ensure that survivors would be prioritized in parity with the number of persons experiencing domestic violence in the CoC. This partnership expanded and enhanced access to a resource that would potentially have been under-utilized by this vulnerable population. The CoC prioritized outreach for the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO to organizations with experience serving this population & encouraged them to apply under the DV Bonus. Again, through the CoC's partnership with TCFV, the CoC will partner with these organizations to implement additional housing & services for DV survivors.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:	
1.	safety protocols,	
2.	planning protocols, and	
3.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC prioritizes safety through the Coordinated Entry (CE) Written Standards (WS) & CoC WS. The CE WS & training protocols for CE prioritize safety at the beginning of the CE process. All entry points, whether or not they are a victim service provider (VSP), must ask clients if they prefer to talk to an agency that provides specific support & assistance to survivors of domestic violence. The CoC worked with the Texas Council on Family Violence (TCFV), the state domestic violence coalition, to update the CE WS in 2020 & to address safety throughout the process, whether or not the agency is a VSP. Additionally, the CoC has been meeting on a monthly basis with TCFV throughout 2022 to discuss additional safety protocols.

2. The CE WS provide information about safety planning & TCFV's directory of services. Per the CoC WS, all projects in the CoC must serve survivors, including facilitating participant choice & autonomy, abiding by the CoC's Non-Separation of Families Policy, centering survivor choice, & ensuring confidentiality. VSPs are required to use a comparable database to collect high-quality data that can be used for decision making. The training for CE assessors includes providing CE in a trauma-informed, victim-centered way, safety planning, & confidentiality. The CoC will typically make overview trainings available & offer technical assistance to agencies when funding opportunities are announced, like CoC Program & ESG Program, CARES Act & ARPA funding, & state & regional funding that may be used to prioritize the safety of survivors.

3. CE trainings, CE WS & CoC WS repeatedly emphasize the importance of—and they require—confidentiality when working with survivors. The HMIS User Agreement requires a user to abide by the confidentiality policies to access & use HMIS data. All victim service providers that are entry points only provide de-identified data about survivors through the domestic violence workaround process, which ensures every survivor's confidentiality throughout their movement through the system. Through training & one on one technical assistance, all providers are encouraged to adopt confidentiality protocols, such as interviewing members of a family separately & in secure/private locations where the conversation cannot be observed or heard. The CoC is planning an updated confidentiality & survivor safety training that addresses safety planning during assessment & intake into the CE system & during project enrollment.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;	
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;	
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and	
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC's anti-discrimination policy was last updated in 08/2020. The policy update incorporated provisions of the Equal Access Rule (EAR) & created a provision for compliance. The CoC has a public comment provision where proposed policies are made available for a for any member of the public to provide feedback on. This process is similar to that used by the Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs to solicit feedback on proposed action or rule changes. This process yielded no comment in this case, although staff announced it at the 6/20 TX-607 CoC General Membership Meeting & posted it on the CoC Website.

2- Orgs that commit to administering CoC Program funding are expected to sign a CoC Expectations form that says, in part, that providers are at least aware of the CoC's policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals & families receive supportive services, shelter, & housing free from discrimination. Orgs that are selected for award by HUD are assisted post-award/pre-implementation to develop policies that are compliant with the CoC Expectations, CoC Written Standards, & the EAR.

3- Evaluation of compliance happens during the competitive application process. Orgs self-score various questions related to their implementation of equal access & orgs may be selected at random to provide additional documentation to support their assessment. THN will evaluate that documentation to determine whether orgs are compliant with the rule or need additional technical assistance & support.

4- To address issues of non-compliance, the CoC maintains a presence in our communities, as the Lead Agency is the Texas Homeless Network & has statewide name recognition & a positive reputation, so people frequently contact THN unsolicited. We receive calls from people in TX-607 who disclose the challenges they have had with a certain provider. Many times these are providers that do not consider themselves to be part of the CoC. Occasionally, a provider will unintentionally disclose issues of non-compliance; through document review or conversation, a provider will say something that raises concerns of potential non-compliance with the Equal Access Rule. In that case, lead agency staff will use that opportunity to educate & support the provider to course-correct. We also encourage participants to file--and we will assist them with filing--complaints regarding equal access, & we bring in the HUD Field Offices, when appropriate.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of Corpus Christi	37%	Yes-HCV	Yes
Housing Authority of San Angelo	31%	Yes-HCV	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

More than 400 PHAs operate in the Texas Balance of State CoC's geographic area. The CoC has worked to develop relationships with many of those PHAs. PHAs that operate HUD-VA Supportive Housing (VASH), the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program, or Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) seem to recognize the need for homeless preferences & have worked, or are working, to adopt local preferences. Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs) have been instrumental in developing these PHA preferences, as LHCs are the local planning bodies of the CoC. Prior to the EHV allocation, several of the larger PHAs in the CoC, including the housing authorities of Corpus Christi & San Angelo, had homeless preferences in place, & in each of them, more than 30% of new admissions to the HCV program were families experiencing homelessness at admission, in some communities the PHA is also a CoC Recipient which seems to accelerate adoption.

With the release of the Supplemental NOFO & Stability Vouchers, we are seeing a renewed interest in developing general homeless preferences & are excited to add more than 300 PHA- controlled units dedicated to persons experiencing homelessness. The PHAs most enthusiastic are both PHAs that were not offered an allocation of EHV, & they see a real need in their communities. HUD resources, such as the PHA Homeless Strategy Guidebook, have been extremely helpful in this work.

CoC staff at Texas Homeless Network (the CoC Lead Agency) believe that with continued engagement, a focus on EHV's, Stability Vouchers, homeless preferences & federal technical assistance focused on how PHAs can help to end homelessness, the number of PHAs with homeless preferences will increase. In many of the CoC's 215 counties, the CoC Lead Agency does not have a meaningful presence on the ground & relationships are hard to develop. However, in more than 30 communities, the CoC & LHCs work together to plan for & develop housing crisis response systems. CoC staff encourage LHCs to engage their respective PHAs by inviting them to attend coalition meetings & to participate in the local Point In Time Count & other advocacy activities. Because changes to a PHA Plan can be cumbersome, CoC staff first focus on the relationship between the CoC/LHC & the PHA before asking PHAs to make changes to the way they operate. EHV has accelerated the development of relationships that staff believe will translate into increased consideration of the role of PHAs in addressing homelessness at the local level.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	

5.		
----	--	--

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry. NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) vouchers

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.
--	---

PHA
Baytown Housing A...
Brazoria County H...
Brownsville Housi...
Burnet Housing A...
Cameron County Ho...
Central Texas Cou...
Corpus Christi Ho...
Deep East Texas C...
Denton Housing Au...
Eagle Pass Housin...
Edinburg Housing ...
Elgin Housing Aut...
Hidalgo County Ho...
Kingsville Housin...
Laredo Housing Au...
Lubbock Housing A...
McAllen Housing A...
Mission Housing A...
Pharr Housing Aut...
Port Lavaca Housi...
San Marcos Housin...
Texas Department ...
Uvalde Housing Au...
Victoria Housing ...
Willacy County Ho...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Baytown Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Brazoria County Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Brownsville Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Burnet Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Cameron County Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Central Texas Council of Governments

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Corpus Christi Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Deep East Texas Council of Governments

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Denton Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Eagle Pass Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Edinburg Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Elgin Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Hidalgo County Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Kingsville Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Laredo Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Lubbock Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: McAllen Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Mission Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Pharr Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Port Lavaca Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: San Marcos Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Texas Department of Housing and Community
Affairs

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Uvalde Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Victoria Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Willacy County Housing Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	No
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	No

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	31
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	31
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC begins by evaluating Orgs in the local CoC competition– asking additional questions about Housing First (HF) beyond those that the HUD e-snaps application asks. Orgs are required to sign the CoC Expectations form, which further clarifies the HF expectation. Signing indicates the Org's commitment to implementing HF. Once awarded CoC Program funds, the CoC completes Quarterly Performance Score Cards (QPSCs) and further assesses compliance through Technical Assistance (TA), monitoring activities and occasionally through participant complaints, that would point to issues with HF. Orgs are encouraged to self-assess with any of the HF Assessment Tools that exist to guide implementation.

2- The metrics from HMIS that are in the QPSCs would point to an org implementing HF. CoC staff look at housing move-in rates, and they score how quickly projects move people to housing. Additionally, staff review the % of households that come from unsheltered locations and w/o income. For example, if all a project's participants are entering through an emergency shelter, that may indicate that the project is placing pre-conditions on enrollment. The CoC also evaluates exits to homelessness. The CoC requires projects, when they're considering an involuntary termination, to consult about those potential exits with CoC staff. Projects with unsuccessful exits quickly after move-in often have written or unwritten service requirements that are hindering housing retention. Projects with slow housing move-in rates may not be providing adequate pre-housing support or may be placing preconditions on housing.

3- CoC staff use QPSC data to inform the TA that is provided to projects. Through the CoC Program competition, CoC staff identify renewal projects with unacceptable performance and require those to participate in a Quality Review Plan (QRP). Orgs subject to the QRP meet with CoC staff monthly to review policies & procedures, organizational practices, community engagement & HMIS data performance in addition to the QPSC. Participating Orgs are expected to make rapid changes to processes that contribute to unacceptable outcomes for participants. Because the CoC places such scoring weight on 1) housing placement, 2) prioritizing unsheltered clients for housing, 3) prioritizing persons with no income & 4) housing retention, orgs that struggle with HF concepts are likely to be subject to a QRP; then CoC Staff are able to address issues with HF implementation in 1-on-1 TA.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;	
	2. whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;	
	3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	
	4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-Aside from annual CoC-supported & standardized PIT counts, Street Outreach (SO) efforts & methods are determined by providers funded to conduct SO in the CoC through programs such as Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) & Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG). The activities aim to identify & engage every person living unsheltered. If projects funded under the Supplemental CoC NOFO are funded, we will have new street outreach in areas previously uncovered by organized Street Outreach.

SO efforts include formal outreach teams canvassing encampments & known locations & providing meals, hygiene supplies & clothing. Some communities hold events, such as Stand Downs & resource fairs, while many Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs) (local planning bodies that address homelessness around the CoC) partner with their Local Mental Health Authority, health clinics, faith communities &/or police outreach teams for SO.

LHCs have demonstrated creativity to ensure that SO is tailored to people least likely to request assistance. In Webb County, for example, SO is composed of multiple service providers (homeless, mental health care, health care, veterans, substance use & workforce). The agencies target the homeless population daily & provide case management to assess & address their needs by adopting individual action plans. In addition, providers regularly conduct field visits as an intensive case management group.

2-The CoC does not have 100% SO coverage across the entire service area. TX-607 covers 84% of the State of Texas, or 215/254 counties in the state and has Street Outreach coverage in 42.33% of the CoC, or 91 of 215 counties.

3-The frequency of SO efforts vary by area & provider, ranging from daily to annually.

4-SO utilizes Spanish-speaking workers, diverse volunteers & Language Line to ensure access & engagement with services regardless of a person's language. SO providers use Relay Texas for phone access & sign language translation for people with speech, hearing & vision needs. Also, SO workers distribute pamphlets to unsheltered persons in multiple languages & formats (e.g., large print) advertising services, local housing programs & their rights under the fair housing act. In more remote areas, SO workers post resource information at relevant locations (e.g., libraries & food pantries) & transport people to resources.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

CoC has policy against criminalization that supports abolishment of laws and policies that criminalize homelessness & a member commitment not to contribute or participate in activities that would criminalize homelessness

Yes

Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of “Current.”	1,514	1,585

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	No
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	No
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	No
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	No
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	No
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-Texas Homeless Network (THN), the CoC Lead Agency, provides up-to-date information about mainstream resources to CoC Prog-funded projects by direct email, monthly CoC e-newsletters & having a funding opportunities page on the CoC section of THN's website. Also, THN regularly emails Local Homeless Coalition (LHC) leaders, who share info with agencies in their communities. In addition, the CoC Written Standards (WS) include "essential project elements" for housing & services. For each intervention (HP, Diversion, SO, ES, TH, RRH & PSH) the WS state that an essential project element is to refer participants to, link participants to & enroll participants in mainstream resources.

Communication to funded projects is challenged by the broad geographic distribution of funded agencies. This type of information is shared through group email threads and the methods mentioned above. An example of the type of communication that is shared: additional flexibility in state implementation of SNAP Texas extending the period of benefits to households without a disability that income qualify & sharing information about no-cost substance abuse treatment through HHSC-funded partner organizations at CoC-wide meetings.

2-The CoC works with projects to collaborate with healthcare orgs to assist project participants with receiving healthcare services by providing guidance & support with ensuring that participants are enrolled in & accessing all resources available through their health insurance, if any, & assisting them to explore Your Texas Benefits, the Health Insurance Marketplace, and local/regional healthcare coverage programs. In 2021 a partnership with "Be Well Texas" (BWT) was developed & the CoC is developing an MOU with BWT to provide no cost substance use disorder (SUD) treatment to anyone in CoC-funded programming that needs and wants it..

3- The CoC has doubled down on the commitment to SOAR. Projects funded under the SOAR initiative are required to employ staff whose primary function is to provide SOAR benefit navigation. Applicants are encouraged to seek funding from the CoC Program to fund these positions. And the CoC lead agency is working with SAMHSA to provide support in the way of job descriptions, policies & procedures, training & ongoing support to ensure that recipients use SOAR as a means of increasing participants' access to income, which will help them pay for housing, & to mainstream benefits, including Medicaid & Medicare.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Non-congregate shelter (NCS) in TX-607 as a means of addressing unsheltered homelessness is growing, & encouraged by the CoC Plan to Address Severe Service Needs. Due to the CoC's geography, there is wide variation in the strength of communities to provide culturally appropriate access to temporary accommodations. Several CoC communities have operated NCS, emerging during COVID-19 surges, when people were more at risk of illness & death. More communities have moved to the NCS model since 2020, as congregate settings have become less appealing to the people they are meant to serve.

Three larger communities operate NCS. First, the City of Denton shelters people in two hotels in response to COVID. The strategy performed well at providing low-barrier, culturally appropriate access to temporary accommodations & it created capacity in existing congregate settings. Second, New Braunfels has operated hotel rooms as NCS for the past 18 months. The city does not have an emergency shelter building & affordable rental housing is scarce, especially for elderly people & people with disabilities, so the hotel fills those gaps. The hotel/shelter is low-barrier not only for people entering for the first time but also for people to re-enter the program after exiting, if needed. Third, San Marcos provided NCS in hotel rooms during a winter storm in 2021. Individuals, organizations, businesses, & government participated, & they sheltered more than 200 people within a few days. Their strategies also performed well at providing low-barrier, culturally appropriate access & in a community with no prior access for unsheltered people. Low-barrier NCS is a vital option to offer to persons who want to live in temp housing before entering permanent housing. Pairing NCS with supportive services is critical to success. For example, New Braunfels community members have seen the value of having a healthcare provider & a mental health care team on-site at the NCS. & because the number of people with dual diagnoses is high, organizers are working to find ways to have more substance use recovery services on-site. San Marcos leaders learned the importance of community members pitching in to help in countless ways. Having an extreme weather event can rally people around a cause, but a longer-term strategy is needed. If people are housed & stable, then resources can be spent helping people to live in stable housing rather than marshaling a huge response to shelter people in an emergency.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:	
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies in several ways.

1. The TX BoS CoC is a balance of state CoC with 215 counties. So, many activities happen on the CoC level (or CoC-wide) & local (community) level. And because many infectious disease outbreaks are addressed by geographic area, like a city or county, the CoC does not have CoC-wide policies & procedures to respond across all counties.

On the CoC level, staff at THN (the CoC Lead Agency) stay abreast of current health issues. Staff read info about the presence, prevalence & prognosis of infectious diseases & strategies to keep people healthy from sources such as CDC, WHO, National HealthCare for the Homeless Council & TX Dept. of State Health Svcs (DSHS). Also, the Chair of the THN Board of Directors, Dr. Ben King, has a Master's in Public Health & Community Health Management & a Doctorate in Epidemiology & he provides guidance to THN on infectious disease outbreaks & how to keep persons experiencing homelessness (PEH) safe and healthy. And THN routinely communicates with each of Texas' 11 CoCs & conducts a regular monthly call. The agency also routinely meets with & exchanges information with the state's major managed care organizations (MCOs) and two of the state's Health Information Exchanges (HIEs), C3HIE: Connected, Collaborative, Community, and the Texas Health Services Authority. And in the past THN has directly connected with the Texas Hospital Association to ensure its member hospitals have up-to-date information on each of the CoCs in their region. All those activities and partnerships would enable a joint response to a disease outbreak

2. To prevent infectious disease outbreaks among PEH:

On the CoC level, THN staff discuss the health & safety of participants with staff working in projects funded by the CoC Program and the ESG Program. Staff also publicize info & links to resources about preventing outbreaks on THN's website, in the CoC e-newsletter & on social media.

For the local level, the CoC recommends that each agency providing homeless shelter, housing, &/or services in a CoC community & the Local Homeless Coalition (in communities where an LHC is operating) work closely with their local health departments to identify best practices to ensure the health & safety of PEH, including ways to prevent infectious disease outbreaks.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Staff at THN (the CoC Lead Agency) stay abreast of current health issues, reading info about the presence, prevalence & prognosis of infectious diseases & strategies to keep people healthy from sources such as CDC, WHO National HealthCare for the Homeless Council & TX Dept. of State Health Svcs. Staff publicize info & strategies & provide links to resources by THN's website, CoC e-newsletter & direct emails to staff members of agencies in the CoC. Also, staff consult with state & local public health agencies on pandemics where people experiencing homelessness (PEH) are at greater risk of contracting the disease.

2. Activities to prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness happen on both the CoC level and the local level.

On the CoC level, THN staff discuss the health and safety of participants with staff working in projects that are funded by the CoC Program and the ESG Program. Staff also publicize information and links to resources about preventing infectious disease outbreaks on THN's website, in the CoC's e-newsletter, and on social media.

As needed, THN has facilitated communication between public health agencies & homeless service providers through its connections across the state with CoC leads and leaders within health care. Also, THN, working through its Texas Homeless Data Sharing Network, plans to pilot a project in partnership with Superior Healthcare, a large MCO managed care organization covering much of the state to identify members covered through them that experience homelessness so that we may better serve those clients preventatively & receive alerts when they visit emergency rooms, to better plan their discharge back to the homeless response system.

For the local level, the CoC recommends that each agency providing homeless shelter, housing, and/or services in a BoS community and the Local Homeless Coalition (in communities where an LHC is operating) work closely with their respective local health departments to identify best practices to ensure the health and safety of people experiencing homelessness, including ways to prevent infectious disease outbreaks.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) system does not cover the CoC's entire geographic area. The TX BoS CoC covers 215 of the 254 counties in Texas, or 86% of the state. The CE system covers 50 counties, or 23% of the CoC and 55% of the CoC's total population.

2. The CoC's CE system does use a standardized assessment process. This includes completion of: a CE enrollment in HMIS and of a VI-SPDAT. Then communities are encouraged to hold regular case conferencing meetings and apply the CoC-wide prioritization factors to fill vacant permanent housing slots and/or refer clients to the available services best suited to their needs.

3. The CoC is in the process of conducting a formal evaluation of the CE system in partnership with a consultant. This process will include receiving feedback from service providers as well as clients that participated in CE over the past year. The process is expected to be complete by December of 2022, with recommendations being presented to the Coordinated Entry Steering Committee (CESC) in early 2023 to develop plans for making updates.

Beyond this formalized evaluation, THN (CoC Lead Agency) staff have an open line of communication with service providers where they can share feedback they have as well as any feedback they have received from clients. Updates are then run by the CESC, CoC Board, and other external partners (as needed) in order to ensure the updates are in line with community needs.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. TX-607 lacks formal Street Outreach (SO) capacity. As a result, persons experiencing homelessness (PEH) go directly to agencies that are already participating in CE. TX-607 does coordinate with a variety of stakeholders, such as local Homeless Outreach Teams operated by police departments, city governments, PHAs & faith groups, to connect to PEH in the community. TX-607 markets CE Entry Points (EP) so that PEH can be connected to an EP for assessment. TX-607 posts flyers in places where PEH may see them & coordinates with non-CE agencies to identify PEH and connect them with CE.

2. TX-607 uses a Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (VI-SPDAT) for individuals & Family VI-SPDAT for families. The tool provides direction for pairing PEH with a housing intervention. In 2020, TX-607 approved & adopted prioritization standards (PS) to prioritize PEH most at risk of illness from COVID-19. These PS place less weight on a score & more importance on factors linked to the most severe risk of illness, such as age, survivor status, or chronic health conditions.

3. Using CE PS ensures that PEH most in need of assistance are connected to housing resources in a timely manner that is consistent with their preferences. Using the USICH benchmarks, TX-607 is able to assess the length of time that PEH stay on the Housing Priority List, & adjust. Because of the large geography of TX-607 (215/254 TX counties), connecting PEH to timely housing resources varies widely, & communities that utilize the benchmarks in local efforts to assess CE perform better than those that do not.

4. TX-607 is evaluating CE with PEH & communities, including specific feedback related to the assessment tool & process. The VI-SPDAT's validity has been questioned in recent years & TX-607 is in the process of evaluating the role of this assessment tool & how TX-607 can make CE accessible to more PEH. TX-607 still uses the VI-SPDAT, however, specific questions are targeted for PS. Staff are trained to explain the CE process in person-centered language & in accessible formats for PEH that might need accommodations. The last 2 years have seen more interest in CE from communities that have not historically participated in any type of coordination efforts related to homelessness. TX-607 Lead Agency (THN) employs dedicated staff to support these communities to implement CE with the benefit of lessons learned about what works & what creates additional burdens for PEH.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	05/16/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. To assess whether or not any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance, multiple staff at THN (the CoC Lead Agency) analyzed Coordinated Entry (CE) & HMIS data from 10/1/21 to 8/31/22. Staff ran an HMIS CAPER to look at specific HMIS data elements & then filtered the data by racial groups (RG) & ethnicity to determine if any racial disparities exist among RG. Staff analyzed the length of time between permanent housing project enrollment & housing move-in date for CoC Program-funded Homeless Projects (HP) to determine if there are disparities surrounding the length of time a client searches for housing. By filtering this report for RG & ethnicity, staff were able to identify factors that might influence successful housing placement. Staff also analyzed CAPER data as well as projects' APR detail reports to determine if there were racial disparities surrounding the rates of positive & negative permanent housing outcomes, as defined by HUD. By looking at the rate of enrollment for different RG as well as placement rates, and exit data, we are able to see that some RGs have different outcomes related to other RG. Staff use this analysis to identify trends and to talk with HP about how that HP is assisting persons in different RG. THN staff also reviewed the HUD CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, version 3.0 data for TX-607. Data sources included in that tool are the 2021 PIT Count data & American Community Survey (ACS) data.

2.-TX-607 identified disparities in the provision of housing or outcomes: In general, Black people are less likely to be enrolled into HP compared to White people and wait longer to be housed. Often Black people and White people have similar exit rates and destinations from HP, but because they are under-represented in the project census, this will not address disproportionate over-representation in our CoC's HMIS data. It is also important to recognize geography in our analysis. TX-607 is large, approx 225,000 sq/mi (84% of Texas).

Using the HUD CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, staff were able to analyze the TX BoS CoC distribution of race & found that while only 8% of people living in the TX BoS CoC are Black, 28% of people experiencing homelessness in the TX BoS CoC are Black. We recognize the value of HUD tools, and plan to further our own analysis to capture the nuance of TX-607 to explore the role race and ethnicity play in the provision or housing outcomes of clients living in the TX BoS

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.	

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	THN has hired a consultant to help THN and eventually the CoC to advance racial equity.	Yes

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In TX-607 Persons Experiencing Homelessness (PEH) in some Racial Groups (RG) score more inconsistently on the VI-SPDAT (VI) than other RG. PEH who identify as White tend to have more consistent VI scores, compared to other RG. Because of this, the CoC has shifted the Coordinated Entry (CE) & VI training process to promote use of the tool in a more equitable way. CE training emphasizes conducting the VI consistently from one PEH to the next & asking the questions verbatim to reduce biases or inconsistencies in the results. Staff will track racial disparities in the VI data over time to see if changes in the training process work to reduce the racial disparities in the data. The CoC recognizes the need to use a more equitable tool, & the first step is evaluating CE (Fall of 2022). The CoC has moved prioritization toward a system favoring individual factors associated with higher risk of severe complications from COVID-19.

CoC-Funded Agencies (CFA) in TX-607 take direct action to address racial disparities at the project & participant level. This includes hiring persons with lived experience of homelessness (PLE), often former participants. CFAs employ bi-lingual service staff & make forms available & conduct outreach in languages other than English to reach non-native English speakers, helping them reach some persons least likely to seek assistance. In the FY2022 CoC Competition, many CFA report incorporating race equity goals into job descriptions & intentionally employing diverse direct service staff that are representative of the populations they serve. Some report analyzing & taking action on Agency/Project level data related to: access to services, identification of/outreach to participants, & participant engagement in services or housing retention.

The CoC evaluates CFA APRs & HMIS data to call attention to disparities in project census & participant outcomes & discusses the analysis of HMIS data with CFA staff. In addition, CFAs are required to staff project exits—who is being exited, for what reason, & the efforts to avoid termination—with CoC staff to promote housing retention. CFAs are encouraged to develop landlord recruitment & retention strategies to address the length of time that a person searches for housing. Housing discrimination is a real challenge in many communities, & the CoC makes training on Fair Housing available to CFA staff to assist in identifying housing discrimination & advocating on behalf of participants.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC assesses various HMIS reporting to identify disparities in provision of services or outcomes for persons experiencing homelessness & works collaboratively with providers to identify these patterns at the project level. For example, CoC lead agency staff will review project-level exit data, particularly exits to homeless situations or other non-permanent situations, to identify patterns at the provider level. This becomes a careful, non-judgemental opportunity for growth on both sides as we discuss the project set-up & ways that the project may be more successful in housing retention for all groups. Many times this looks like centering client choice in housing identification & securing housing in “higher-opportunity” areas with low-crime rates & better schools, providing Culturally & Linguistically Appropriate Services, & hiring & retaining persons of color in positions of power at the Agency. New projects are required to document a strategy for how they will address these things. Tracking progress on race equity in the provision of housing or outcomes is challenging, as many of the measurable actions occur at the project or Agency level. Currently, the CoC tracks progress through the CoC Apps, & increasing our asks to substantiate strategies & efforts on a project basis. Example: this year the CoC asked if an agency is analyzing data, next year we will ask for action on that analysis.

TX-607 is in the process of a Coordinated Entry (CE) evaluation that will direct the next steps for CE. Goals for CE are incorporated into the CoC Action Plan & reviewed on a Quarterly basis.

The CoC has measures in place to track progress on the Strategic Plan. THN staff, the CoC Board, the Strategic Planning Committee, & Persons with Lived Experience (PWLE) Committee are monitoring progress on the CoC Strategic Plan & one-year Action Plans. Several activities in the plans relate to addressing racial disparities. In the 2022 Action Plan, the activities focus on assessment: identifying the five communities in the CoC that have the highest racial disparities. In the 2023 Action Plan, staff, CoC Committees, the CoC Board, & people working in those five communities will develop actions to address the disparities. Staff will propose to the CoC Board the establishment of a CoC committee to address racial disparities. Those actions will be monitored by the groups that developed them, & Action Plan Progress Reports will be posted on THN's website for anyone to view.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

THN, the CoC Lead Agency, conducted outreach to engage people with lived experience (PWLE) to develop a working group for the CoC. Staff publicized the opportunity by THN's website, CoC e-newsletter sent to the CoC's email list, social media & asking service providers & CoC members to invite PWLE to nominate themselves. Also, staff worked with two PWLE (one THN employee and one service provider) to develop the group. The two PWLE & staff co-facilitate the group.

The workgroup began meeting in 2022 & the CoC Board made the group a full CoC committee, giving it authority to request action from the board. Members were invited to review & sign a letter of support for priorities in the CoC Plan to Serve People Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs.

Staff envision that the PWLE Committee will also:

Guide staff on revising the CoC Strategic Plan, which has goals related to CE, HMIS, data, funding, improving access to housing and services, educating the community, and influencing policymakers;

Recommend policies to the CoC Board;

Give input & suggestions about CoC activities, such as CE processes, CE prioritization, HMIS, RRH, PSH & how the CoC can better support local communities;

Co-develop the CE prioritization standards for projects funded under the Supplemental NOFO & participate in project implementation & evaluation; and
Tell staff what they want to address, then work with staff to plan & evaluate changes.

The CoC has intentionally engaged PWLE in the decision-making structure for many years. First, every year the CoC has filled several seats on the CoC Board with PWLE. Second, PWLE have regularly served on CoC Committees & on CoC governance entities in local areas (Local Homeless Coalitions and CE Planning Entities). Third, THN has reached out to PWLE to serve on the Independent Review Team that scores project applications in the competitive process for CoC Program funding. Fourth, a strategy in the CoC Strategic Plan is to involve more PWLE in CoC activities, including decision making. Fifth, in 2022 the CoC Board voted to add a seat to be filled by a Youth Action Board member.

The CoC lead agency employs PWLE, who routinely give input from their experience & expertise. Also, the CoC incentivizes applicants for funding to give PWLE decision-making power within projects. And project staff are often recruited for the CoC Board and CoC committees.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:		

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	26	26
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	1	1
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	16	23
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	6	14
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC and organizations in the CoC provide opportunities to persons with lived experience (PWLE) of homelessness/unsheltered homelessness in numerous ways. On the CoC level, THN (the CoC Lead Agency) models involving persons with lived experience of homelessness as agency employees and on the agency's board. Also, THN staff worked with two PWLE (one THN employee and one service provider) as planners to help develop the CoC's Persons with Lived Experience Committee. And those two PWLE also co-facilitate the group, along with other staff members.

The CoC offers professional development opportunities by designing and conducting elections for PWLE to serve on the CoC Board and CoC committees. Also, the CoC has recruited PWLE to serve on the Independent Review Team that scores project applications in the competitive process for CoC Program funding. Both those activities provide professional development opportunities.

The CoC recognizes the value of hiring people with lived expertise of unsheltered homelessness. The CoC is drawing on the success of peer support in mental health care and substance abuse recovery settings. Through a four-year research project with Via Hope, THN is working to develop the evidence base for a peer support specialist certification in homeless services, which could enhance peers' skills, provide career options for PWLE, and entice agencies to hire PWLE. So far, six peers have participated in the project.

The CoC incentivizes projects to engage PWLE by awarding points in the scoring for CoC Program funding if the organization employs current or former participants in the project. And THN staff also regularly ask about PWLE involvement when discussing ongoing project development and performance improvement. Also, PWLE serve on Local Homeless Coalitions and committees of the CoC Board.

Agencies in the CoC commonly employ PWLE as direct services staff. And it is increasingly common to see PWLE in positions of power, such as Executive Director. Also, some organizations use a supportive employment model to recruit and retain PWLE in direct services. This is common in Mental Health Authorities, and more nonprofits are using this model to leverage the expertise of this group to better meet the needs of participants and to pay PWLE a competitive salary, promoting those PLEs' housing stability.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and	
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC routinely gathers feedback. First, the Persons with Lived Experience (PWLE) Committee serves as a consumer advisory group. Members give input on topics such as: 1) helping people who move into scattered-site housing with social supports to develop a sense of community, which is crucial to housing retention & 2) awarding points to applicants that incorporate housing navigation & landlord engagement into their projects.

Also, the CoC encourages participation from PWLE on CoC Committees. For example PWLE participated in the decision-making to allow law enforcement (LE) personnel (limited) use of HMIS. People whose data is entered into HMIS have an interest in who sees that data & the CoC empowers PWLE to participate in that decision-making.

The CoC invited PWLE to give input into the CoC Plan to Address Severe Service Needs. 31 PWLE, including unsheltered, responded to the survey & several participated in the input & feedback meetings.

The CoC is currently seeking feedback from people who have received assistance in the CoC on the ongoing development & implementation of CE through the CE Evaluation. That feedback will be used to strengthen & legitimize the CE system.

Also, the CoC seeks recommendations from people who have received assistance through CoC & ESG on their experience receiving assistance by recruiting current/former participants for CoC committees & focus groups. Staff coordinate recruitment of PWLE directly with CoC- & ESG-funded recipients & subs. Participants are compensated for participating. & the CoC incentivizes ESG subs to consult PWLE while developing projects.

2-The CoC has addressed challenges raised by PWLE.

PWLE Committee members' emphasis on providing social support was passed along to THN (CoC Lead Agency) staff who work with RRH projects. The recommendation for awarding points was conveyed to the Planning Team at THN & the criteria were included in the scoresheets for project applications.

The CoC used suggestions from PWLE about law enforcement using HMIS to develop the policy regarding LE participation.

PWLE's survey responses were used to inform the plan & will be used in the operation & evaluation of projects. Some survey questions asked for general info about homelessness in their communities & replies will be used as the CoC revises policies/procedures/activities.

THN receives questions or complaints from PEH. Staff counsel PEH on their rights & coordinates resolution w/ Orgs

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
FY2022 CoC Application	Page 45	09/26/2022

1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

TX-607 is large, covering 84% of Texas, 215 of 254 counties & 40 Consolidated Planning jurisdictions (CPJs)--8 Entitlement Jurisdictions plus the State. Many advocates report frustration, as jurisdictions including the State frequently enact regulatory barriers that limit the ability of municipalities to create more affordable housing (AH) that would serve persons experiencing homelessness (PEH). For example, the 85th Texas legislature passed HB 1449, which prohibits municipalities from using small impact or linkage fees to offset the cost or rent of any unit of AH. Legislative actions like these are the reason most advocacy on behalf of Texas CoCs tends to be "defensive" rather than "offensive," in terms of reducing barriers.

Some efforts towards limiting harmful Legislation have been successful, though. Despite the challenging nature of navigating the Texas regulatory landscape, TX-607 is active with the State CPJ, TX Dept. of Housing & Community Affairs (TDHCA). TX-607 provided feedback when TDHCA was required to develop rules to enforce a statewide camping ban (HB 1925), which also provided criteria that must be met by municipalities wishing to establish "sanctioned" encampments. Unfortunately, this bill passed, but the CoC was able to provide public comment to ensure health & safety needs are met through State administrative rules. Also, CoC members provided testimony against SB 646 before a State Senate Committee that was considering legislation to require County government approval before purchase or conversion of a property (by a municipality) to house PEH. That bill did not pass.

The TX-607 lead agency, Texas Homeless Network, coordinates other CoCs to provide feedback on the State Qualified Allocation Plan for distribution of Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC), to ensure applications proposing to serve PEH will receive points to boost scores and priority ranking. This year, THN staff also pushed for rules saying that the highest scoring project in each LIHTC region that is dedicated to serving PEH would qualify for funding. TDHCA staff approved of that clause but ultimately that component was eliminated from the plan.

The CoC also encourages Local Homeless Coalitions across the CoC, homeless services providers & other interested parties to advocate with their local & regional government orgs for reforming zoning & land use policies to permit more housing development & to reduce regulatory barriers to housing development.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/31/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	300
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	27
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-RRH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- TX-607 collects & analyzes data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing including renewals & expansion requests from a variety of sources. TX-607 uses a quarterly performance scorecard (QPSC) that tracks HMIS & comparable database info related to a variety of measures, including placement rates, serving the most vulnerable & system performance, including returns to homelessness, throughout the year. For competition, we score using a variation of the QPSC in addition to data from SAGE & the quarterly spending report distributed by our HUD desk officer, the Federal Audit Clearinghouse (FAC) as well as Federal or State monitoring that resulted in a finding, to assess performance & capacity of recipients.

2. TX-607 analyzes data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing primarily through quarterly review of HMIS data entry, including housing move-in dates, length of time to housing, participation in Coordinated Entry & self-report.

3- All new projects are reviewed to the extent that they can articulate the specific needs & severity of need of the participants identified in their project applications. Consideration for specific needs & severity of vulnerabilities by applicants is evidenced by actual or proposed performance data & a Project Application where project applicants are explicitly asked what considerations were made in the project design of their proposed projects. These narrative responses are evaluated by the Independent Review Team.

4- It is expected that all projects serve the "hardest" to serve. CoC Program-funded projects are often the only projects in a given community. For renewal project applicants, the TX BoS CoC makes metric adjustments related to income growth, housing stability, & vulnerability for projects serving survivors of domestic violence. Projects that serve participants with higher needs & vulnerabilities will generally score higher. For example, the CoC incentivizes renewal projects that enroll more than 40% of their project participants from unsheltered locations. This prioritization enables them to have the potential to rank higher. Additionally, the CoC prioritizes projects that enroll participants with zero income through the application scoring, as these households generally have the highest barriers to accessing & maintaining stable housing.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC routinely seeks input from CoC members on topics such as CoC policies, electing CoC Board members, General Membership Meeting agenda items & training needs. Generally, CoC Lead Agency staff have focused most efforts on obtaining input from people with lived experience (PWLE) of homelessness rather than people in a particular demographic group. CoC staff who identify as Black helped to develop Race Equity (RE)-focused questions on the scoresheets for project applications.

2- Members of over-represented groups also developed/reviewed scoring metrics, collecting feedback from community members representing a wide variety of demographics. The CoC will continue to seek feedback from & inclusion of all voices in the development of rating & ranking factors. The CoC now has a 7-member PWLE Committee to work with the CoC to oversee the implementation of the CoC's Strategic Plan, including the development of performance metrics related to racial equity.

3-In FY22, the CoC targeted recruitment for the Independent Review Team (IRT)--the group of CoC members that review & score project applications--to direct service workers & PWLE. Part of the IRT application involved the collection of demog information. 50% of the people who answered the question identified as Black or African-American. 50% of that group identified as female. 20% of the IRT identified as a member of a protected class, & 60% identified as a PWLE. The CoC Board ranked applications & 4 of the 15 Board Members are PWLE.

4-The CoC evaluates projects on a number of metrics related to racial equity, such as whether the applicant has a written public commitment to racial equity (such as a statement on their website), whether racial equity is a focus in job descriptions, & how the project solicits feedback from participants, in order to assess applicants' commitment to racial equity. Project outcomes are monitored quarterly & through project APRs by CoC staff, including the demographics of people who are exited from projects, to promote racial equity, identify areas for growth, recognize successful efforts & select activities that could be replicated with other projects.

1E-4.	Reallocation--Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- In October 2020 the CoC Board approved the TX BoS COC Reallocation Policy, and Conditional Inclusion Policy. These policies are used in concert to identify and take action against poor performers. Per the Conditional Inclusion Policy, any renewal project that does not score at least 70% of the score that the highest-scoring project of that component type earns is subject to a Quality Review Plan (QRP), and that recipient has two competition cycles to demonstrate improvement. If a project is subject to the Conditional Inclusion Policy for two competitive cycles, that project is subject to a mandatory review by the CoC Board to determine if that project will be reallocated in part or in whole. This review looks at a variety of factors but centers around participation in the QRP and whether there has been demonstrable improvement, stabilization, or continued decline. Currently, this is the only way that a project can be considered for involuntary reallocation in this CoC. Projects also have the option to voluntarily reallocate their own funds for any reason. During the GIW review process, the CoC Lead Agency (THN) will ask all eligible Recipients whether they are interested in discussing voluntary reallocation, at which time any project can self-select to participate.

2- The CoC did not identify any projects subject to the Conditional Inclusion policy in FY 2022 which did not trigger the Reallocation Policy. No projects voluntarily reallocated any portion of their funding..

3- The CoC is not reallocating any lower performing projects in FY2022, all projects are valuable in TX-607, as often they are the only project of the type in a community or region of the state..

4- There was one project that was subject to conditional inclusion previously and would have been considered for involuntary reallocation, namely TX0468L6T071903. This Project was voluntarily returned in the middle of the grant cycle. In this situation, the project was “preserved” and awarded to the highest-performing recipient who was serving the same target population, as evidenced by the Quarterly Performance Score Card. But because this process occurred outside of the competition, it did not trigger the reallocation policy. In FY2022 we anticipate that several projects will be subject to the Conditional Inclusion policy and may be reallocated in future competitions

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/02/2022

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/15/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/28/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	09/28/2022
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Eccovia ClientTrack
--	--	---------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/04/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Lead Agency and HMIS Lead Agency—Texas Homeless Network (THN)—works closely with the Statewide Domestic Violence Coalition, the Texas Council on Family Violence (TCFV), which operates an HMIS-comparable database (Oznum) to help victim service providers (VSP) access systems that collect the required HUD data elements. The collaboration consists of providing technical assistance and vendor recommendations to each VSP that is in need of a comparable database, as well as identifying specific data issues that would impact a platform's compliance likelihood. Wherever possible, THN recommends the platform endorsed by TCFV, as this group is very active in national DV consortiums and works toward compliance for their comparable database. It often comes down to economies of scale, and Oznum is a respected vendor that actively works to remain compliant.

The CoC and HMIS Lead—THN—engage on a regular basis with VSPs to ensure their understanding of data entry into the comparable database and of reporting requirements, discussing specific vendors and compliance with them. CoC staff also review APRs and similar reports created by Victim Service Providers in order to evaluate data inconsistencies, and staff coordinate with recipients to resolve inconsistencies directly with their vendor. Applicants to the CoC Program that are also VSPs are encouraged to request HMIS funds to establish and operate a comparable database, since the costs to the agency are often greater than HMIS participation.

2. Yes, the Texas Balance of State CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	4,288	1,666	1,867	71.21%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	951	185	315	41.12%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	1,585	423	1,162	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	1,427	86	240	17.90%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
----	--

2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.
----	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC and HMIS Lead--Texas Homeless Network (THN)--will continue to conduct outreach to Emergency Shelter (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects that do not currently use HMIS in order to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 84.99 percent. THN will work with Local Homeless Coalitions, Point-in-Time Count leaders, Housing Inventory Count leaders, and Data Committee members to create local advocates that can help educate service providers on the value and benefits of using HMIS. These groups will be able to share their experiences with utilizing the system and provide peer-to-peer support and guidance to potential new Covered Homeless Organizations. THN will start doing outreach by December 2022.

2-Additionally, THN will target efforts to increase bed coverage to the providers that have the majority of beds not utilizing HMIS. This more targeted work, which will include reaching out to senior leadership at the Nationwide Family Promise office and the Regional Salvation Army, for Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing Projects, will happen starting December 2022.

The majority of non-HMIS participating RRH projects are operated by Victim Service Providers (VSPs) that are prohibited from using HMIS.

For PSH projects, THN will target HUD-VASH providers, since HUD-VASH accounts for 99% of the PSH projects in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care. THN will apply for technical assistance through HUD to support work with Veterans Integrated Services Network (VISN) Coordinators with the Department of Veterans Affairs. The CoC and HMIS Lead will start this work in late 2022, and it will continue throughout 2023.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	
-------------------------	--

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/27/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	04/27/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Because of the CoC's large geography, the CoC Lead Agency organizes the PIT Count & provides training, materials, support, analysis & reporting. The CoC recruits community members called "PIT Leads" (PL) to plan the count, recruit and train volunteers, conduct the count, and report data to the CoC for their communities. PLs are most frequently associated with local CoC planning bodies called Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs). LHCs plan, deliver and evaluate homeless services on the local level. LHCs engaged stakeholders that serve youth experiencing homelessness (YEH) in PIT planning. Those stakeholders included street outreach workers, school district Homeless Education Liaisons, and law enforcement, who identified locations where youth experiencing homelessness were most likely to be found. Youth-serving organizations were invited to participate in PIT planning meetings and trainings and to work with the PL and CoC to develop outreach and surveying strategies best suited to reaching youth. In two communities this also included the PL being a staff person from a youth-serving agency.

2. The CoC relies on the LHCs and PLs to engage youth in their respective areas. The CoC provided specific training materials to all PLs on how to engage youth and youth-serving organizations for the PIT count. The training materials prepared local leaders to more thoroughly engage YEH. Communities engaged YEH in a variety of ways. In several communities, YEH were involved in counting during the 2022 PIT count. The strategy of having YEH conducting the count was an attempt to engage more YEH and make them feel more comfortable being surveyed. Youth themselves also prepared incentives to give to people who were counted, and they coordinated community awareness efforts.

3. Many communities used a homeless youth coordinator within their PIT count committees to serve as the leads for identifying select locations where YEH are most likely to be identified and to engage youth. In all PIT communities there is a 1- to 2-month timeframe where community members work together to map their geography by sending volunteers out to gather information about the number of unhoused neighbors in an area as well as general information related to the demographics of those groups. This is where it was particularly valuable that communities relied on the expertise that YEH provided to help them understand where YEH may congregate and how to best engage YEH.

2B-4.	PIT Count—Methodology Change—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
	4. state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The most significant change made to the 2022 sheltered count was allowing communities to select either a January or a February PIT count date, to give communities with significant COVID-19 infection rates time to wait until a decline in COVID cases occurred & to prepare for a safer count.

Also, CoC staff & PIT count leaders in CoC communities provided more direct training & engagement with sheltered locations' staff prior to the count, to ensure they had adequate staff capacity & training to complete the count successfully. Many sheltered locations cited a lack of staff capacity due to turnover & COVID-19 infections, so they opted to use volunteers for surveying. And they took advantage of the CoC's offer of extended time for data entry—to enter their survey responses into the app over the course of the week following the PIT Count.

2. & 4. Not applicable. The CoC did not conduct an Unsheltered PIT count in 2021.

3. While there were some changes made to methodology, any changes in our PIT count results are more likely attributed to outside variables. Many emergency shelters removed their COVID-19 restrictions, allowing them to serve more clients than they were able to serve when instituting social distancing. Compared to 2020 (pre-pandemic) data, the 2022 data increased by only 34 people. The CoC views this increase from 2021 to 2022 not as a true increase in the number of people experiencing homelessness overall but rather as a return to the historical capacity and occupancy of shelters across the CoC. Also, the 2022 count was conducted when over half the communities in the CoC were experiencing significant surges in COVID-19. While the CoC was granted permission from HUD to conduct the count on two separate dates (1/27/2022 & 2/24/2022), to help communities attempt to wait out the surge, many communities still moved forward out of concern that a one-month extension was not long enough to truly see a decrease in cases. Every community cited issues with volunteer recruitment & volunteer attendance on the day of the count, as well as unhoused neighbors' lack of willingness to participate due to the potential spread of COVID-19. Additionally, both PIT count dates were characterized by severe winter weather that made it challenging for volunteers to travel to & reach the shelters. Also, volunteers were not able to survey all the people staying in inclement weather shelters, which were overwhelmed by the influx of clients during the icy weather.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless--Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC Lead Agency–Texas Homeless Network (THN)--conducted a literature review to identify the characteristics of households that become homeless for the first time. The CoC found that for families, key predictors are frequent mobility, overcrowding, single mothers with young children, and being a Person of Color, particularly a Black person. There are also biographical risk factors: a disproportionate number of homeless people report adverse childhood experiences, including neglect, physical and sexual abuse, experience of homelessness, and being forced or placed out of the home. The CoC found that households that were homeless for the first time had minimal engagement with other services, e.g., hospitals or the justice system, and were less likely to have been hospitalized for mental illness or convicted of a crime.

2-To prevent homelessness for households at risk of homelessness, agencies in the CoC use homelessness prevention (HP) assistance; use flexible funds to cover needs that cannot be paid with grant funding; expand partnerships with Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program-funded projects and Community Action Agencies; engage in diversion and problem-solving with people seeking homeless assistance; work to help households avoid evictions; and provide connections to mainstream resources to promote housing stability. The CoC also developed an HP tool in partnership with ESG subrecipients, SSVF providers, and the Texas Council on Family Violence. The tool looks at a variety of factors, including prior history of homelessness, eviction history, and victimization or a history of victimization. Currently, this tool is in use in a variety of communities where the local PHA is targeting people at-risk of homelessness with the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

3-The Director of Data and the Director of Planning at THN are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the number of households experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time (LOT) households remain homeless includes enhancing Coordinated Entry by prioritizing vulnerable households, right-sizing assistance through Progressive Engagement & incentivizing rapid placement into housing following identification. CoC Program applicants are assessed and prioritized for funding if they can quickly (within 30 days) house participants, regardless of their prior living situation. The CoC has consistently reallocated lower-performing projects to maintain this focus on rapid placement. In addition, the CoC is working toward incorporating diversion practices, streamlining admissions and lowering barriers, and linking to effective outreach; strengthening housing-focused practices by promoting housing-focused case management and housing navigation; and scaling permanent housing by recruiting private landlords, leveraging mainstream housing and services, reallocating resources, and building Rapid Re-Housing capacity. The CoC has worked with Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs) and projects regardless of funding type to implement these strategies to reduce the LOT for households that remain homeless in efforts to make homelessness brief within the CoC. The CoC has spent the last several years incorporating diversion into the Coordinated Entry System (CES) and expanding the CES to include homelessness prevention to prevent people from becoming homeless in the first place.

2-Households with the longest lengths of time homeless are identified through Coordinated Entry, and length of time homeless is a prioritization factor in CE. Providers are able to see this metric on the By Name List when doing case conferencing, then they are able to house people with the longest LOTs.

3-The Director of Data and Director of Planning are responsible for overseeing the strategy to reduce the length of time homeless.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- The CoC's strategy to increase the rate at which households in emergency shelter (ES) exit to permanent housing (PH) destinations is to increase the availability of rapid rehousing (RRH), which promotes a quick transition from homelessness to housing. The CoC's strategy includes an emphasis on housing-focused case management (CM) for all projects, requiring projects to build robust holistic CM into project budgets. The CoC's strategy to increase the rate at which individuals & families in TH & RRH exit to permanent housing destinations is to promote right-sizing assistance through progressive engagement, housing-focused CM, & recruiting landlords. In the case of RRH, the CoC works on policies & procedures to promote housing stability & on building RRH capacity. There are no safe havens in the CoC.

2- The CoC's strategy to increase the rate at which households in PH projects other than RRH retain their PH or exit to PH destinations involves right-sizing assistance, housing-focused CM, & Housing First fidelity. Efforts to increase capacity, understanding & implementation of service delivery strategies include working with project administrators on capacity, revising termination policies, revising CM strategy/policy, advocating for needed resources at the local level, including affordable housing, working collaboratively to diversify funding streams & cultivating local leadership. Additionally, the CoC supports communities in establishing "Move On" strategies with Public Housing Authorities & has been successful in leveraging Mainstream & other Special Purpose Vouchers for the benefit of existing program participants.

The CoC requires consultation regarding anticipated unsuccessful exits. In these meetings, CoC staff "staff" potential exits with recipient organizations, to brainstorm & identify ways to reduce or avoid exits to homelessness. Housing retention rate, or successful placement rate, is a key factor for PSH & RRH projects seeking renewal. In TX-607 this year, successful placement rates and returns to homelessness make up almost 30% of renewal projects' competition scoring. Simply checking a box in HMIS does not make an exit successful. We believe that it is not possible to assess stability or placement rates without also assessing returns to homelessness.

3-The Director of Data & Director of Planning & Strategic Planning Committee are responsible for overseeing the strategy to increase the rate at which people exit to or retain housing.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC's strategy to identify households who return to homelessness uses Coordinated Entry (CE). Through Coordinated Entry, communities triage people experiencing homelessness by conducting the Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (VI-SPDAT). The VI-SPDAT asks how many times households have been homeless in the last three years. Also, the CoC is implementing a Homelessness Prevention Tool that also identifies households that return to homelessness. In addition, some communities work with their regional Legal Aid group to identify households that are in the eviction process and in need of Homelessness Prevention or Rapid Re-Housing.

2-The CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness is through right-sizing assistance; incorporating diversion into CE; strengthening housing-focused practices through housing-focused case management; having policies and procedures to promote housing stability, housing navigation, and a connection with permanent housing & supportive services, including mainstream housing and services. This connection to permanent housing and supportive services looks like a connection to employment resources through the Texas Workforce Commission to increase earned income or a connection to a SOAR specialist to increase non-employment cash income. This strategy also includes connecting households that return to homelessness with longer-term housing subsidies, as receiving subsidized housing is a protective factor from repeat episodes of homelessness. In addition, CoC program applicants are assessed and prioritized for funding if the participants exit to permanent housing destinations and retain their housing, as evidenced by System Performance Measure 2. Applicants that exit households to permanent housing that have returns within two years are penalized in the scoring process and are unlikely to retain their funding without correction. The CoC provides technical assistance to renewal projects to improve performance on this metric. The CoC has consistently reallocated lower-performing projects to maintain this focus on housing retention.

3-The Director of Data & Director of Planning are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the rate households return to homelessness.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- THN, the CoC Lead Agency, is an advisory member of the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless (TICH), where the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) is a member. TWC makes funding available to connect households experiencing homelessness with local TWC offices. In addition, THN is a partner with Via Hope in a Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) grant that seeks to expand employment opportunities for people with lived experience of homelessness (PWLE) through peer support work opportunities in non-traditional settings. The CoC participates in this project by identifying placement sites where peers learn as they work and by staying informed about how peers and projects are conducting activities. The goal is to develop an evidence base for a Peer Support certification for PWLE, which would expand access to employment income. Development of the workforce through partnerships with mainstream employment organizations at the local level is a goal of the CoC in the 2021 CoC Strategic Plan and 2023 CoC Action Plan and will continue to be a priority over the next several years.

2- Local leaders are also working to increase the employment income of PWLE. Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs), which are planning bodies in local communities that address homelessness, invite staff from local Workforce Development Boards, Goodwill, Inc., and Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) staff to participate in their work. LHCs also advertise Workforce training programs. Outreach workers and case managers assess eligibility and link participants to resources using an eligibility portal maintained by the Texas Department of State Health Services. Projects around the CoC operate employment training programs for culinary skills and Certified Nurse Assistants. One LHC sponsors participants to attend a local college to obtain a vocational certificate. Projects assist participants with resumé preparation, interview and work clothing, interview techniques, employment coaching, job skills training, and job search and placement.

3-The Director of Data and Director of Planning, along with the CoC Board, are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase jobs and income from employment.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1- Historically, the CoC strategy to increase access to non-employment cash income has incorporated and legitimized the CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery. The Written Standards contain requirements that all CoC Program-funded housing projects will assess participants' eligibility for mainstream resources, including non-employment cash income sources (SSI/SSDI, TANF, and child support), and prioritize access to all benefits a household may be eligible for. When evaluating the effectiveness of funded projects in the CoC's housing portfolio, one of the metrics that the CoC examines is the extent to which projects connect adults to all sources of income, but non-employment cash income is a primary means of stabilization for many households, and a priority. In prior years, the CoC has relied on Questions 19a and 19b of the Annual Performance Report to evaluate income growth and used these questions to see what percentage of adults are exited from funded projects without connection to non-employment income. The CoC is partnering with SAMHSA SOAR Technical Assistance Lead, Policy Research Associates, Inc. (PRAINIC), to dig deeper into SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) and is requiring new projects to fund dedicated positions that will support households to apply for and use SOAR. Funded projects are encouraged to develop relationships with local providers to support the health and holistic well-being of participants and to establish a connection to services that will increase access to non-employment cash sources for participants.

2-The Director of Data and Director of Planning are responsible for the strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
Rapid Rehousing a...	PH-RRH	30	Healthcare
FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLE...	PH-RRH	31	Housing
FY22 CoC Bonus re...	PH-RRH	32	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): NR4VFLYQ6NJ5

3. Select the new project type: PH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 30

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): JCLPSGWTUKK1

3. Select the new project type: PH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 31

5. Select the type of leverage: Housing

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): R8TLMXYFXKD5

3. Select the new project type: PH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your 32
CoC's Priority Listing:

5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NA

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes
--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2022 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Assessing Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(1)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	2,162
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	1,010
3.	Unmet Need:	1,152

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC built a custom query in HMIS to determine the number of survivors needing housing/services and those who are currently being served. The query included data on the DV assessment as well as enrollment information for each participant. The CoC then filtered for all who have enrollment info for housing/services from 10/1/21-9/21/22. Also, the CoC filtered for those participants who have an enrollment date but no exit date which indicates the survivor is currently receiving services. Since the data analyzed for this question comes from HMIS (not a comparable database), some of the barriers seen for meeting the needs of all survivors is access to safe shelter and affordable housing units. Many times survivors seek services from non-Victim Service Provider organizations and those organizations may not have the capacity or programming to take in single women or women with children. The HMIS data also shows that oftentimes survivors enter into services with limited or no income, which makes it difficult to quickly and permanently place the household.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
Denton County Fri...
Families In Crisis
Galveston County ...
Safer Path Family...
Friendship of Women

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Denton County Friends of the Family
2.	Project Name	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	30
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	NR4VFLYQ6NJ5
5.	Amount Requested	\$360,567
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors—Percentage	94%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors—Percentage	96%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family
DCFOF calculated housing placement by dividing exits to permanent housing by the total exits to generate a percentage. Retention was calculated by dividing the total survivors who remained in housing by total exits to permanent housing to generate a percentage. Data was pulled from two RRH projects from the last 12 months.
DCFOF only included exits to safe destinations when calculating these rates.
DCFOF utilized outcomes data from Salesforce (HMIS Comparable Database)

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;	
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family:

1- DCFOF ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing through identification of client housing goals during the client's initial meeting with their Advocate, and case management focused on safety planning as well as identifying and addressing potential housing barriers. Clients are provided information about the housing process and are encouraged to begin working on housing goals as soon as they feel ready. When ready, DCFOF's Housing Navigator identifies housing options tailored to the client's needs.

2- DCFOF exclusively serves survivors and prioritizes clients through utilization of their service area's Coordinated Entry System and Priority List, and attended regular communitywide Case Conferencing where local providers engage in problem-solving conversation to prioritize and identify housing solutions for high vulnerability households.

3 - DCFOF used service plans to identify client needs, which is a collaborative process between client and case manager. Identification of supportive services takes into consideration the survivor's needs, preferences and choices, which are incorporated into the survivor's individualized service plan. A service plan template is used to ensure that all potential areas are assessed and addressed as needed, including legal, financial, childcare, safety, health, mental health, employment, and other needs.

4 - DCFOF connected clients to services within the agency and through referral and coordination with community resources, tailored to the unique needs of the survivor.

5- After safe, affordable housing is secured, case management goals shift to focus on goals that foster sustainability. These typically include increasing earned or unearned income, obtaining or maintaining employment, understanding lease requirements, accessing mental health services, increasing social supports, community engagement and life skills.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	

2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family

1 - DCFOF ensures privacy and confidentiality by providing all direct service staff with access to private office space with white noise machines to conduct intake and interviews. DCFOF does not serve victims and abusers together, or offer services jointly to couples, to minimize potential coercion.

2 - DCFOF advocates and case managers work in collaboration with survivors to identify individualized service plan goals for housing. When developing these goals, staff ensure that safety needs are incorporated as identified by both survivor and staff. Safety considerations are staffed during weekly internal multidisciplinary meetings.

3 - DCFOF agency policy requires that information related to property locations and addresses, as well as all identifying information about survivors, is kept confidential in alignment with legal protections in place for survivors.

4 - DCFOF staff are trained at hire and annually on the agency's confidentiality policies. Additional training occurs through regular supervision with staff.

5 - DCFOF requires all landlords and property managers to sign confidentiality agreements regarding the identity of the survivor and the survivor's affiliation with DCFOF.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family

Denton County Friends of the Family, a Victim Service Provider, has been the sole provider of domestic violence services in Denton County for 42 years. The key component of all DCFOF services is maintaining and enhancing safety for survivors and their children. Safety planning is accessible to survivors anytime through the agency's 24/7 Crisis Hotline by phone or text, is a key component of the first intake appointment, and is addressed throughout the duration of all services as circumstances change for the survivor and the abuser. DCFOF administers monthly, anonymous feedback surveys to survivors which collects information about the survivors' sense of safety. Per DCFOF, data from survey responses consistently reflect that 90% or more of respondents indicated that their sense of safety has increased as a result of participation in services.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family

As a Victim Service Provider, Denton County Friends of the Family has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors as evidenced by the following examples:

1 - DCFOF ensures that housing goals are client driven, based on safety, choice, and preference. Rapid placement in housing is a priority to both stabilize homeless families in crisis and limit the amount of time spent homeless, and to maintain available space in emergency shelter to meet the ongoing demand of survivors needing immediate access to safety. Housing goals are assessed with survivors as part of the initial case management plans which are made in partnership with the survivor's advocate. All housing services are voluntary, with a low barrier. DCFOF's housing program's core principles include utilization of trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches.

2 - DCFOF case management emphasizes building trust with survivors, and creating relationships built on transparency and respect. Service delivery focuses on survivor self determination and dignity because DCFOF recognizes that the experience of trauma can hinder a survivor's trust in systems. Staff work together with clients to collaborate on achieving goals in an effort to minimize power differentials. Staff are adaptable and make themselves available and accessible to clients at the times and frequency desired by clients (evenings, weekends, etc.) and clients can choose face to face or telehealth services as convenient for them.

3- DCFOF clients have access to information on trauma through education by their advocate, and through referrals to internal professional individual and group counseling. Clients who are parents can also benefit from referrals to internal parent consultations and parent groups focused on the way trauma impacts their children.

4 - DCFOF case management goals and safety planning take into consideration survivor strengths, in order to capitalize on those to enhance safety and success. Clients are considered and looked to as the expert of their own lives, their children, and their individual situation, and this core value informs decision making at all levels of service.

5 - DCFOF services are culturally responsive and inclusive as a result of continual efforts to hire program staff who reflect the survivors they serve. 50% of DCFOF direct service staff across all programs (e.g. advocacy, counseling, shelter, etc.) are Spanish speaking. DCFOF offers written materials in the survivor's language of choice and translation services are offered as needed. Additionally, staff receive training on cultural awareness and diversity upon hire and annually, and program policies on nondiscrimination and equal access are available to staff at all times for reference.

6 - DCFOF provides opportunities for connection among survivors by offering weekly group counseling, and recreational group activities such as holiday parties, cookouts, yoga classes, and celebrations for families when exiting shelter.

7 - DCFOF supports parents by offering onsite childcare for participants engaging in services. Through case management, survivors are assisted in accessing community childcare services through agency funding as available, and provide coordination and referral to Texas Workforce Childcare Services and income-based childcare centers. DCFOF staff maintain working relationships with these entities in order to expedite referrals and coordinate care. In addition, shelter residents are able to engage in childcare agreements with each other to provide childcare during times when the parent needs to leave the premises.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family (DCFOF)

Child Custody - DCFOF assisted DV survivors to pursue child custody by making legal services available through internal referrals to their Legal Department (LD) and external referrals for legal services. Their LD employs three attorneys, a legal assistant and paralegal, and three staff who provide specialized advocacy to survivors whose cases intersect with the child welfare system. Referrals are made to the LD by advocates who assist survivors in identifying their legal needs. The Low Bono Coordinator staff position was added in 2022 to increase relationships with local attorneys to assist with child custody and other family law related matters at a reduced cost, and provide appropriate referrals to survivors seeking to access these services. Additionally, the LD hosts monthly Legal Clinics in English and Spanish which provide education to survivors about their legal rights, the legal system, resources and referrals.

Bad Credit History - DCFOF hosts financial classes utilizing the Allstate Moving Ahead Curriculum, where survivors are referred as needed. Credit repair needs are assessed through case management and included on survivors' service plans. Housing staff assisted survivors with credit repair by obtaining credit reports, providing education about credit repair, advocacy to establish payment plans with creditors, and accessing funds to pay off housing-related debts, which resulted in expediting the acquisition of permanent housing.

Housing Search and Counseling - DCFOF employs a Housing Navigator and Housing Coordinator who work in collaboration with survivors and their Advocate to locate, obtain and retain safe, affordable, and suitable housing. The Housing Navigator and Coordinator provide tenant counseling, landlord mediation, and landlord education to secure new housing and help survivors retain their housing. Housing needs and goals are incorporated into clients individualized service plans by the survivor's Advocate and are carried out as a function of case management.

Crisis DV Services - DCFOF operates a Crisis Hotline 24/7/356 that provides crisis intervention through referrals, emergency shelter screening and intake scheduling. They operate a 24/7 Survivor's Advocacy Team that provides advocacy and support to survivors in hospital emergency departments. DCFOF coordinates the Denton County Domestic Violence High Risk Team which trains law enforcement to administer lethality assessments and connect survivors to the Crisis Hotline when responding to DV calls. DCFOF's Advocacy Coordinator serves as the client liaison for the Domestic Violence High Risk Team. Crisis counseling from mental health counselors is available to all survivors, and Advocates provide crisis intervention services to survivors when needed, including addressing urgent safety issues, urgent physical needs such as food from the agency's emergency food pantry, clothing or toiletries, or actively connecting survivors to emergency shelter or other homeless services.

Long-term housing stability safety planning - DCFOF's Housing Navigator and Coordinator staff work with survivors to ensure long term housing stability by linking survivors to community resources and developing adequate supports, including employment, healthcare, and counseling. The Housing Navigator maintains relationships with landlords and property managers after move-in through regular communication in order to mediate issues pertaining to safety and lease compliance and proactively prevent lease violations or evictions. Participants receive ongoing, flexible risk assessment and safety planning, and

are encouraged to continue participation in support groups and other services upon exit in accordance with their personal goals.

Education Services - DCFOF staff provide resources and supplies for survivors to enhance their education through GED, ESL, and literacy for survivors, and tutoring services for homeless children in emergency shelter. Education goals are identified through case management and included on service plans. When appropriate, staff refer survivors to the Women's Independence Scholarship Program and other scholarship or financial aid resources, and use internal funding sources to cover the cost of educational or job training programs when able. In addition, DCFOF employs a Children's Advocate that assists survivor parents with school enrollment and transportation for youth, accessing educational resources and supports, and obtaining school supplies throughout the year for emergency shelter residents.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	
	Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:	
	1. prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
	2. establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
	3. provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
	4. emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
	5. center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
	6. provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
	7. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family

1 - Denton County Friends of the Family (DCFOF) will continue to prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing through identification of housing goals and potential barriers within their first case management session with their advocate. Advocates will refer participants to the agency's Housing Navigator to identify specific preferences, choices and safety considerations. Housing Navigators will take survivors in this project to tour properties to expedite the housing search and placement, if desired by the participant. DCFOF Program Staff will maintain relationships with property managers and landlords, and maintain an inventory of available units to help expedite placements.

2 - DCFOF will continue to maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect through providing all participants with written notice of their rights, which include respect, self-determination and equality. Additionally, participants will be provided a written copy of the program's grievance procedure, which outlines the process for resolving concerns related to service delivery. DCFOF staff will work in partnership with participants to create transparent and trusting relationships, and will remain flexible, accessible, and accommodating to participant needs.

3 - DCFOF will continue to offer survivors access to information on trauma by ensuring that all staff receive training on trauma, trauma-informed treatment, and victim-centered service delivery. DCFOF will ensure that all staff understand and adhere to the expectation that they are victim's advocates, regardless of their role, and are expected to communicate information about trauma to survivors, community partners, and the community. Additionally, DCFOF's Clinical Program employs licensed therapists who will provide trauma education to survivors through individual and group counseling, including a "DV 101" curriculum which provides education on trauma and intimate partner violence.

4 - DCFOF will continue to emphasize participant's strengths through utilization of a strength-based approach within the counseling services, advocacy services and other supportive services offered by the agency. Staff will work in collaboration with survivors to identify client strengths and resources, and opportunities to capitalize on their strengths as they work towards case management service plan goals and safety planning needs. Additionally, advocates will communicate these identified survivor strengths to community partners such as landlords and property managers in order to increase acceptance of participants with barriers, such as poor credit or eviction histories.

5 - DCFOF will continue to center cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by ensuring that all direct service staff receive annual training on cultural responsiveness, cultural competence, and inclusivity. The agency maintains written policies on equal access and nondiscrimination, and all staff under this project will be required to attest in writing that they have reviewed the program policies and procedures manual annually.

6 - DCFOF's program participants will be provided opportunities to connect with one another by offering weekly support groups and routine recreational activities.

7 - DCFOF will continue to support survivors who are parents by offering weekly parenting groups and onsite childcare for survivors engaging in services. Through case management, referrals will be made as needed to the local Texas Workforce Commission office for income-based childcare services. Additionally, parents are offered support internally through the provision of individual legal advocacy, monthly legal clinics, and referral to internal or external legal

services.

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Denton County Friends of the Family
Denton County Friends of the Family (DCFOF) solicits feedback from survivors once per month utilizing a survey that contains open and closed-ended questions that are intended to collect information about their experience in the program as well as offer suggestions for improvements. These responses are reviewed by staff monthly and feedback is implemented as needed. Additionally, a DCFOF board member with lived experience is a critical component of their policy and program development process and will advise on this project as needed.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Families In Crisis
2.	Project Name	FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	31
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	JCLPSGWTUUK1
5.	Amount Requested	\$1,049,453
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors-Percentage	43%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors-Percentage	97%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

Families in Crisis (FIC)

FIC calculated housing placement by dividing exits to permanent housing by the total exits to generate a percentage. Retention was calculated by dividing the total survivors who remained in housing by the total exits to permanent housing to generate a percentage. Data was pulled from two RRH projects from the past 12 months.

FIC only included exits to safe destinations when calculating these rates..

FIC utilized project outcomes data from Osnium (HMIS Comparable database).

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families in Crisis (FIC)

1 - FIC ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing through building and utilizing relationships with flexible, low barrier landlords. Through their experience operating two RRH projects, FIC has fostered relationships with landlords who accept poor credit and rental history, allowing for timely move-ins into safe housing. In addition, FIC provided ongoing supportive services to households to meet their safety and service needs throughout the housing search process to foster long term stability.

2 - FIC exclusively serves survivors and prioritized clients using the VI-SPADT and FI-SPDAT via the local Coordinated Entry System, where self-referrals are directed to the Coordinated Entry System.

3 - FIC allowed survivors to choose supportive services needed with support from case managers and integrated these services into survivors service plans.

4 - FIC offered and continues to offer expedited referrals for mental health care and substance use recovery needs, onsite healthcare, and employs a Healthcare Navigator to assist clients in obtaining mainstream health benefits. Services are available at both their outreach office and safe shelter.

5 - FIC developed housing plans in partnership with clients that center long term stability and budgeting needs, and assisted clients with applying for mainstream resources like PHA vouchers.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families in Crisis (FIC)

1 - FIC ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing through building and utilizing relationships with flexible, low barrier landlords. Through their experience operating two RRH projects, FIC has fostered relationships with landlords who accept poor credit and rental history, allowing for timely move-ins into safe housing. In addition, FIC provided ongoing supportive services to households to meet their safety and service needs throughout the housing search process to foster long term stability.

2 - FIC exclusively serves survivors and prioritized clients using the VI-SPADT and FI-SPDAT via the local Coordinated Entry System, where self-referrals are directed to the Coordinated Entry System.

3 - FIC allowed survivors to choose supportive services needed with support from case managers and integrated these services into survivors service plans.

4 - FIC offered and continues to offer expedited referrals for mental health care and substance use recovery needs, onsite healthcare, and employs a Healthcare Navigator to assist clients in obtaining mainstream health benefits. Services are available at both their outreach office and safe shelter.

5 - FIC developed housing plans in partnership with clients that center long term stability and budgeting needs, and assisted clients with applying for mainstream resources like PHA vouchers.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families in Crisis

Families in Crisis is a Victim Service Provider and has been providing services to survivors of domestic violence since 1980. FIC evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of survivors served by the project by conducting a review of past performance in housing survivors, as well as through administering a survivor survey to current clients related to their safety. Per FIC, results of their internal survey indicated that survivors who engaged in the program who reported unit safety issues related to domestic violence were under 5%. In addition, 96% of survivors surveyed indicated their sense of safety increased for themselves and their children.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Families in Crisis

As a Victim Service Provider, Families in Crisis has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors as evidenced by the following examples:

1 - FIC's experience prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs includes utilization of a Housing First, trauma-informed approach, ensuring clients housing needs are assessed during the first case management session, and housing plans are developed tailored to their needs.

2 - FIC establishes and maintains an environment of agency and respect by ensuring participation is survivor-driven and voluntary, and clients are not exited for punitive reasons such as substance use or missed appointments. Case managers use motivational interviewing to foster an environment of understanding and equity.

3 - FICs staff and volunteers are trained in trauma-informed care at hire and annually and are trained to offer information on the impact of trauma on a survivor's life in a manner that promotes trust and empowerment without further victimizing the survivor.

4 - FIC case managers provide survivors with support in recognizing personal strengths to be used as leverage to improve their situation and incorporate them into the goal setting process. Case managers offer worksheets and dialogue in assessing strengths.

5 - FIC staff are trained at hire/annually on equal access, cultural competency, and nondiscrimination as outlined in agency policy and procedures.

6 - FIC offers support groups to participants as referred through case management. These support groups offer a holistic approach to healing, and help to identify support systems and explore spiritual needs.

7 - FIC refers clients internally to agency parenting classes, and externally to Texas Workforce Commission for childcare to support the needs of survivors who are parents

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Families in Crisis

Child Custody - FIC assisted survivors to pursue child custody through referrals and transportation to the Texas Office of the Attorney General Child Support Division office or to Lone Star Legal Aid as identified through case management.

Bad Credit History - FIC assisted survivors to repair credit through providing education about available credit repair services and making referrals to life skills budgeting classes which are hosted by FIC and facilitated by local banks. Credit repair needs are assessed and tracked through case management.

Housing Search and Counseling - FIC is a HUD Housing Counseling Agency and has 20 years of experience providing domestic violence survivors with housing search and placement services. Through case management, survivors are assisted in obtaining permanent housing, developing housing stability plans, and identifying long term goals to achieve stability. FIC has established relationships with landlords who provide case managers with information on vacancies, which has allowed FIC to house survivors in 30 days or less. In 2021, Families In Crisis provided rental assistance and supportive services to 1,632 households.

Crisis DV Services - FIC operates a 24-hour hotline, an 80-bed emergency shelter, crisis counseling and an outreach program which offer crisis intervention and safety planning. Staff receive comprehensive training on crisis intervention, trauma-informed care, and the dynamics of family violence and its intersections with mental health or substance use, allowing staff to identify, assess and intervene with survivors. Additionally, case managers and management are trained as advocates to respond to victims of domestic and sexual violence in the emergency room. In 2021, FIC provided 13,891 shelter nights to 520 domestic violence survivors, outreach services to 476 domestic violence survivors, and answered 6,812 crisis calls on the hotline.

Long-term housing stability safety planning FIC clients engage in safety planning for themselves and their children through case management. Safety plans are reviewed and updated frequently in response to the individual client's changing circumstances. Stability plans include long term goals for safety, financial and housing stability.

Education Services - Through case management, FIC refers survivors to literacy classes and provides information about local colleges and trade schools as needed.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	
	Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
FY2022 CoC Application	Page 88	09/26/2022

2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Families in Crisis

1 - Families in Crisis (FIC) will continue to prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing, consistent with participant's needs, through utilization of an individualized, Housing First, trauma-informed approach. With guidance and support from their case manager, survivors will create housing stability plans, establish goals, and choose their desired housing unit. The process will begin at the first session in order for survivors to quickly move into housing.

2 - FIC will continue to maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect through centering survivor-driven participation in programming and services, where case managers offer support and information based on the survivor's needs and preferences, but services are voluntary. FIC ensures that survivors will not be exited from the proposed project for punitive reasons such as substance abuse or missing appointments, and that there are no conditions for participation such as sobriety or mandatory attendance at groups. Case managers will motivational interviewing techniques to foster an environment of understanding and equity.

3 - FIC will continue to offer survivors access to information on trauma by ensuring staff and volunteers involved in the project are trained in trauma-informed care at hire and annually, and these staff will offer information on the impact of trauma on a survivor's life in a manner that promotes trust and empowerment without causing further victimization.

4 - FIC will continue to emphasize participant's strengths through provision of case management. Case managers will provide survivors with support in recognizing personal strengths, which will be used as leverage to improve their situation and will be incorporated into the goal setting process. Case managers will offer worksheets and engage in dialogue to assess strengths.

5 - FIC will continue to center cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by ensuring staff involved in the proposed project receive training at hire and annually on equal access, cultural competency, nondiscrimination, which is outlined in agency policies and procedures.

6 - FIC will continue to offer support groups as a holistic approach to healing to identify support systems and explore spiritual needs, and to offer opportunities for connection amongst survivors in this project.

7 - FIC will continue to support survivors who are parents by offering internal parenting classes and facilitating external referrals for subsidized childcare to their local Texas Workforce Commission office

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families in Crisis

FIC's proposed project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development and throughout the project's operation. FIC employs a range of staff (e.g. case managers, shelter coordinator, program director) with lived experience representing various levels of experience in recovery, length of time in an abusive relationship, and levels of lethality. These staff were consulted throughout the development of the proposed project and will continue to be involved through consultation. Additionally, survivors in existing FIC housing programs were surveyed to determine which supports were most helpful to them, and opportunities for improvement. Incoming survivors were surveyed regarding what supports they may need during the housing process and after moving into their own place. FIC will continue to collect feedback through surveys and consult staff with lived experience throughout this project.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center
2.	Project Name	FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	32
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	R8TLMXYFXKD5
5.	Amount Requested	\$200,000
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors—Percentage	78%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors—Percentage	75%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	
	For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below	
1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;	
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and	
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).	

(limit 1,500 characters)

Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center
 GCRCC calculated their housing placement rate by dividing exits to permanent housing by the number of total exits to generate a percentage. Housing retention was calculated by dividing the total households who maintained their housing for 3+ months by total exits to permanent housing to generate a percentage.
 GCRCC only included exits to safe destinations to calculate these rates.
 GCRCC used project-level reports from Osnium (HMIS Comparable database).

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;	
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

1 - RCCGC ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing by beginning the housing location process while clients were in emergency shelter

2 - RCCGC exclusively serves survivors and prioritizes clients needing permanent housing from their emergency shelter.

3 - RCCGC case managers meet with clients almost daily for assistance while accessing emergency shelter. Case managers conduct an extensive housing-focused needs assessment, and conduct safety and goal planning to assist in identifying service needs.

4 - RCCGC connects clients to internal and external supportive services and resources that meet their identified services needs, including financial education and budgeting, legal services, life skills, employment needs, health services, childcare and parenting needs.

5 - RCCGC offered continual support to clients and transfer into non-residential services. Case management is available to all clients after exit, including access to the agency's legal, therapeutic and psychiatry support services.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:

1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

1- RCCGC ensures privacy and confidentiality by providing intake staff with access to private offices, and does not conduct interviews or intake with couples jointly.

2 - RCCGC provides extensive safety planning training to all staff. Through safety planning, safety needs specific to housing are identified early in the case management process, and clients are placed in housing that is inclusive of the identified needs and considerations. Safety considerations include identifying where a client can go if they feel unsafe.

3 - RCCGC requires all staff to sign confidentiality agreements at hire that adhere to FVPSA, VOCA, and VAWA confidentiality requirements.

4 - RCCGC trains all staff on FVPSA, VOCA, and VAWA confidentiality requirements at hire and annually.

5 - RCCGC maintains current Release of Information for all survivors and does not disclose information about the survivor without written consent, and ensures that locations are kept confidential per agency policy. Survivors can access the agency's 24/7 Crisis Hotline if a safety need arises.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County, Inc.
As a Victim Service Provider, RCCGC prioritizes safety, confidentiality, and survivor support.
RCCGC staff conduct routine safety risk assessments and engage survivors in continual safety planning, goals planning and advocacy. At the agency level, program outcomes are reviewed monthly and quarterly. Staff provide clients with voluntary, anonymous surveys that collect both qualitative and quantitative information on a client's feeling of safety after receiving services from RCCGC. Survey results are reviewed during weekly team meetings, and any issues identified through survey response are resolved as needed. Examples of questions that address safety on RCCGC's survey include, "Did your sense of safety increase due to the services provided by RCC? And, "Did your children's sense of safety increase due to the services provided by RCC?"

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

As a Victim Service Provider, the Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors as evidenced by the following examples:

1 - RCCGC recognizes that survivors have been limited in choices by their abusers, therefore, client choice is central to RCCGC service delivery. Staff ensures that housing options are not limited beyond the rules and regulations of funding.

2 - RCCGC has an extensive history of advocating for victims rights. Staff provides documentation of survivors rights and discusses these rights upon intake, in addition to posting information about these rights throughout their facility. Staff are required to attend training on diversity, inclusion, and ethics. Agency policies are governed by the Texas Administrative Code and includes a nondiscrimination policy as well as language that ensures program participant interactions with staff are based on equality.

3 - RCCGC requires staff to receive Mental Health First Aid training and certification which includes person-first language. Staff also receive an extensive, 40 hour training on providing trauma-informed care to survivors of sexual assault and domestic violence. In addition, their outreach, therapy and crisis intervention teams regularly provide individual session and support group education on coping with trauma.

4 - RCCGC provides a wrap-around method of assisting clients, which includes, care coordination and multidisciplinary advocacy. These services include identifying and utilizing client strengths to overcome barriers and to achieve client-identified goals. Goal planning begins with clients sharing their vision and owning their own goals, and client choice and voice are emphasized throughout this process.

5 - RCCGC offers bilingual resources and employs several bilingual, bicultural, and biliterate staff. In addition, the agency maintains a policy on equal access that staff receive training on at hire and annually.

6 - RCCGC fosters connection for program participants by making peer services available, and assisting participants with accessing resources in the community that meet their spiritual or religious needs.

7 - RCCGC supports parents who are survivors through referrals to internal children's services, parenting classes, and financial empowerment classes. The agency also provides childcare onsite for clients accessing services, and assists with resources for ongoing childcare programs through case management. The agency employs a Child Protective Services Liaison that works closely with protective parents and offers advocacy support in relation to open cases.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center

Child Custody - GCRCC's Legal Services program, which contracts with an attorney, provides legal representation for emergency and final protective orders, child custody, and divorces. The Legal attorney also provides legal information and referrals and acts as the legal advisor for clients who require further legal assistance to protect their families from an abuser. Case managers serve as a liaison between the attorney and survivor, providing personal advocacy to ensure that the victim is prepared and emotionally supported throughout the entire legal process. All legal services are primarily provided at the Galveston Island location but may also take place at the Texas City and League City locations on an as-needed basis

Bad Credit History - GCRCC enhances the financial stability of survivors by utilizing the Recognizing your Economic Action Plan (REAP) curriculum. Case managers assist survivors with understanding credit, credit repair and increasing strategies to improve or build credit.

Housing Search and Counseling - GCRCC utilizes a client-centered approach to assist survivors to locate safe and affordable housing as identified in their service plans developed through case management. Survivors receive support with understanding their lease agreement, financial assistance for rental or utility deposits, as well as supporting the client with household needs to ensure that all victims of domestic violence have what they need to keep families safe. GCRCC utilizes a client-centered, wrap-around approach to support victims of domestic violence with housing and counseling needs, ensuring that all needs are addressed accordingly.

Crisis DV Services - GCRCC operates a 24-hour residential shelter which offers emergency housing to survivors. All residents receive case management, crisis counseling, and access to support groups, job training and financial empowerment courses. GCRCC manages a 24-hour crisis hotline, available locally and nationally, which provides crisis counseling, safety planning, and information and referral. Hotline Services are provided by GCRCCs Residential Shelter staff at a confidential location in Galveston County. Non-Residential Client Services is comprised of crisis counseling, case management, personal advocacy, information and referrals, and safety planning services for sexual assault and family violence victims who do not need or want the safety of the shelter.

Long-term housing stability safety planning - GCRCC case managers assist survivors with developing an aftercare and safety plan, which includes survivor-driven goals related to permanent housing supports. Case management is available to survivors after Residential exit, and survivors can access financial assistance and other support to ensure retention of permanent housing.

Education Services - GCRCC case managers assess for survivors educational needs, offer skills assessment tools for career development, and assist with career planning. Case managers integrate educational and career development needs into survivors service plans, as identified by the survivor. Additionally, GCRCC offers financial assistance to support clients in accessing education.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	
	Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:	
	1. prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
	2. establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
	3. provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
	4. emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
	5. center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
	6. provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
	7. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

1 - Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County (RCCGC) will continue to prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing that meets the needs of participants by centering client choice in service delivery. RCCGC will ensure housing options are not limited beyond minimum funding and grant requirements.

2 - RCCGC will continue to maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect by ensuring clients receive education of the rights available to them. RCCGC staff funded under this project will be required to attend training on diversity, inclusion, and ethics, and staff will integrate this knowledge into service delivery. Agency policy requires that interactions between participants and staff are based on equality principles.

3 - RCCGC will continue to offer survivors access to information on trauma by ensuring that staff funded under this project are trained on trauma-informed, victim-centered care, and staff are expected to integrate this knowledge into service delivery to increase victim's understanding of the impact of trauma. RCCGC will continue to work closely with and receive continuing education from the Texas Council on Family Violence and the Texas Association Against Sexual Assault to receive the most up-to-information on emerging best practices.

4 - RCCGC will continue to emphasize participant's strengths through provision of case management. RCCGC case management practices funded under this project will include a goal setting process which begins by identification of client strengths. In addition, clients in this project will have access to wraparound, internal supports which include care coordination and multidisciplinary advocacy, which center client strengths as a mechanism for reducing barriers to accessing services and housing.

5 - RCCGC will continue to center cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by offering bilingual resources as needed to project participants. The agency maintains a policy on equal access, and staff funded under this project will be trained on this policy at hire and annually.

6 - RCCGC participants served through the proposed project will be provided opportunities to connect through internal peer services that are made available routinely. In addition, RCCGC will assist participants with accessing services that meet their spiritual or religious needs within the community.

7 - RCCGC will continue to support survivors who are parents by providing internal services and referrals to external services for children's services, parenting classes, and financial empowerment classes to project participants. Participants with children can benefit from onsite childcare, referrals for childcare resources and services provided by the agency's Child Protective Services Liaison.

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County, Inc.
 Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County, Inc. (RCCGC) seeks feedback from staff with lived experience to evaluate and improve their programs and policies and will utilize this approach in the development, implementation and evaluation of the proposed project on a routine basis. Per RCCGC, 1 in 3 Domestic Violence Advocates have lived experience, and RCCGC will continue to draw on their expertise to assist with program evaluation and policy development.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Safer Path Family Violence Shelter
2.	Project Name	Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	33
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	MA63MZTLC5Q9
5.	Amount Requested	\$166,266
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors—Percentage	100%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors—Percentage	90%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter

SPFVS calculated housing placement by dividing exits to permanent housing by total exits to generate a percentage. Retention was calculated by dividing the total survivors who remained in housing by total exits to permanent housing to generate a percentage.

SPFVS only included exits to safe destinations to calculate these rates.

SPFVS used project-level outcomes data from Osnium (HMIS Comparable database).

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter

1 - SPFVS ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing by utilizing existing landlord relationships, when possible, that were developed and maintained by the agency's Housing Coordinator. SPFV works to maintain positive working relationships with landlords by providing education, mediation, and timely payments to landlords, allowing staff to quickly move clients into available housing.

2 - SPFVS exclusively serves survivors and prioritizes clients who are accessing emergency shelter.

3 - SPFVS continuously assesses and tracks service needs through case management and development of service plans, and goals can be altered to meet the developing needs of survivors. All services are voluntary and survivor-driven, and survivors can request additional services at any time

4 - SPFVS facilitated internal and external referrals for needed services on a voluntary basis, and assists with transportation and accompaniment as requested by the survivor or identified through case management.

5 - SPFVS assisted clients with applying for mainstream resources such as PHA vouchers, and continued to offer case management after the housing subsidy provided by the agency ended.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:

1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter

1 - SPFVS ensures privacy and confidentiality by providing all direct service staff with individual offices that are equipped with white noise machines. The agency does not offer services to the alleged abuser.

2 - SPFVS engages in regular training sessions on safety planning with the Texas Council on Family Violence, and integrates this knowledge into the housing placement process. Staff ensure that housing placements meet the safety needs and goals that were identified by both survivor and staff.

3 - SPFVS maintains proper Releases of Information for survivors they serve, and does not release information about the survivor or their location without the explicit permission from the survivor. Staff ensure all confidential information is stored securely and appropriately, including use of a comparable HMIS database.

4 - SPFVS trains staff on confidentiality policies and procedures at hire and annually.

5 - SPFVS provides 24/7/365 case management coverage through on-call and weekend shifts, allowing for continuous coverage to respond to safety or crisis needs. Clients also have access to the agency's 24-hour hotline to seek services that increase safety when needed.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, a Victim Service Provider, maintains agency policy that requires that safety measures are in place to ensure that survivors in the program are not placed at risk. SPFVS has established working relationships with local law enforcement agencies that have become a staple of survivor safety routines when needed. SPFVS housing programs utilize private renters for housing options, and the agency's Housing Coordinator can and often collaborate with landlords on behalf of survivors to increase safety. Through collaboration, individualized safety planning, relationship building, and frequent check-ins, SPFVS creates a safer community for the survivors they serve. Building modifications are made in collaboration with the landlord based on individual survivor safety needs (e.g. bars on windows, exterior motion lights, video doorbell).

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter

As a Victim Service Provider, Safer Path Family Violence Shelter has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors as evidenced by the following examples:

1 - SPFVS programs utilize a survivor-driven approach to service delivery that prioritizes client choice. Assessment of services needs and preferences begins at intake and is an ongoing practice throughout the provision of services to ensure that support offered meets all clients needs and preferences, with an emphasis on safety needs.

2 - SPFVS carries out case management activities utilizing a collaborative approach with survivors to increase trust and promote client success in achieving goals.

3 - SPFVS ensures staff are trained in recognizing the widespread impact of trauma, as well as the signs and symptoms of trauma in clients, families, and staff. SPFVS staff are required to receive 20+ hours of training annually to ensure they are equipped with the most up-to-date knowledge on trauma-informed care and emerging best practices. This knowledge is integrated into the agency into policies, procedures, and practices to mitigate potential re-traumatization.

4- SPFVS programs are designed using an Empowerment Model that seeks to center and elevate a survivor's strengths. Additionally, case management is used as a tool to engage survivors in discussion that identifies the survivor's strengths. Survivors are encouraged to integrate these strengths into their service plans with the support of their case manager.

5- SPFVS ensures that all survivors are eligible for services regardless of income, ability to contribute, gender or sexual orientation, disabilities, number of previous times residing in the shelter, cultural barriers, language barriers, or number of children accompanying the victim.

6 - SPFVS provides opportunities that increase connection through offering weekly peer support groups that seek to promote community and connection through shared lived experience.

7- SPFVS supports survivors who are parents by providing internal parenting classes which utilize the ADVANCE curriculum, which seeks to provide survivors with the necessary tools to navigate a healthy family dynamic. SPVS understands domestic violence is a complex, multi-faceted issue with long-term, multi-generational impact, and creates complex and compound trauma for survivors and their children. To provide the most up-to-date trauma-informed care, staff are required to attend 20+ hours of training each year. SPFVS ensures staff are trained in recognizing the widespread impact of trauma, as well as the signs and symptoms of trauma in clients, families, and staff. This knowledge is integrated into the agency into policies, procedures, and practices to avoid re-traumatization.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc.

Child Custody - Through case management, SPFVS assesses clients for child custody needs and make referrals for legal aid and to local attorneys as needed. Case managers provide assistance with filing applications with the OAG, and provide financial assistance for reliable legal representation. The agency partners with local attorneys to provide legal representation for a variety of services, including child custody. Other legal resources clients benefit from include services provided by Texas Rio Grande Legal Aide (TRLA) and the Texas Advocacy Project (TAP).

Bad Credit History - SPFVS staff facilitate financial literacy classes for transitional housing clients using the Allstate Foundation Moving Ahead Curriculum which includes credit repair education. Through case management, clients are empowered to seek credit repair education and resources through SPFVS peer support groups and individual counseling.

Housing Search and Counseling - SPFVS has established landlord partnerships that allow staff to secure housing for survivors receiving rental assistance, and case managers connect survivors to available housing that meets their needs. Survivors are connected to income-based affordable housing when available.

Crisis DV Services - SPFVS operates a 24/7/365 emergency shelter and 24-hour crisis hotline, as well as provides case management, legal advocacy, crisis intervention, peer support, counseling, transportation, and outreach and education programs. Hotline staff are trained to utilize the seven-stage crisis intervention model. During a crisis call, Hotline Staff seek to provide immediate emotional support, develop a safety plan with them to address their immediate needs, de-escalate the individual, and engage them with either immediate shelter or on-going support services. Additionally, case managers are available during regular business hours, and also provide 24/7/365 case management coverage through on-call shifts to facilitate necessary crisis services and interventions, and to accompany clients accessing crisis services.

Long-term housing stability safety planning - SPFVS case managers assist survivors to increase their safety through safety planning, supporting their goals, and providing access to resources. Clients meet with Case Managers within 72-hours of accessing emergency shelter to develop individualized services plans to foster long-term stability and safety. Throughout the duration of case management services, clients receive frequent safety check-ins on-going safety planning. Case management is non-time limited and survivors can continue to receive these services after exiting transitional housing.

Education Services - Through case management, SPFVS assesses educational needs and refers survivors as needed to Alamo Workforce Solutions for educational resources offered through a local community college, employment training and childcare services. SPFVS covers the financial cost of GED or short-term job training sought by the survivor when able.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	

Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:

1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter:

1 - Safer Path Family Violence Shelter (SPFVS) will continue to prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing, consistent with participant's needs by a survivor-driven approach to service delivery that will prioritize client choice. Participants of the proposed projects will complete an assessment of services needs and preferences, including safety needs, which will begin at intake and will be an ongoing practice throughout the provision of services funded under this project.

2 - SPFVS will continue to maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect by fostering a collaborative relationship with project participants in order to increase trust.

3 - SPFVS will continue to offer survivors access to information on trauma by ensuring that staff funded under this project are trained in understanding and recognizing trauma. Staff funded under this project will be required to receive 20+ hours of training annually to ensure they have the most updated knowledge of evidence-based best practices, and will integrate this knowledge and training into the provision of case management services when working with survivors.

4 - SPFVS will continue to emphasize participant's strengths through the utilization of an Empowerment Model in the proposed project, which seeks to identify and elevate a survivor's strengths. Participants served under the proposed project will benefit from case management, where advocates will engage survivors in strength-identification dialogue. Through case management, survivors will be encouraged to integrate the identified strengths into their service plans.

5 - SPFVS will continue to center cultural responsiveness and inclusivity and will ensure that staff funded under this project are trained on inclusivity, and will use this knowledge to guide case management and service delivery.

6 - SPFVS will continue to provide opportunities for participant connection by offering weekly peer support groups to survivors served under the proposed project.

7 - SPFVS will continue to support survivors who are parents by providing participants with referrals to the agency's parenting classes. These classes use the ADVANCE curriculum, which seeks to provide survivors with the necessary tools to navigate a healthy family dynamic. Additionally, parents will be referred to available childcare resources at local organizations as needed and as identified through case management. SPFVS will also provide financial assistance for survivors to obtain legal aid, including legal needs related to child custody.

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safer Path Family Violence Shelter
Safer Path Family Violence Shelter (SPFVS), using a client-centered approach, routinely seeks input from the survivors they serve and incorporates survivor input into the development of new programs, strategic initiatives, policy and procedures. In the words of the applicant, "Research and the agency's experience with survivors have shown that when we offer services our survivors request, those services work. Providing information, offering encouragement, supportive counseling, and engaging survivors in safety planning works. Increasing survivor's access to resources and social support through advocacy services, works. As we gain traction with the Rapid Rehousing program, Safer Path will continue to develop new program policies to provide safe and accessible services."

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Friendship of Women
2.	Project Name	Pathways to Safe Housing..
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	34
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	HJSBF4LGJNZ6
5.	Amount Requested	\$267,481
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors—Percentage	67%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors—Percentage	80%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

Friendship of Women

FOW of calculated housing placement by dividing exits to permanent housing by total exits from the last 12 months to generate a percentage. Retention was calculated by dividing the total survivors who remained in housing by total exits to permanent housing from the last 12 months to generate a percentage.

FOW only included exits to safe destinations to calculate these rates.

FOW used project-level outcomes data from Osnium (HMIS Comparable Database)

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Friendship of Women

1 - FOW ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing by conducting a needs and risk assessment at entry to emergency shelter. Ongoing case management and follow-ups are provided to assist survivors with housing searches. Survivors who are not residing at FOW's emergency shelter but are experiencing homelessness receive individualized support through a trained housing advocate to provide the same level of services as clients in emergency shelter. FOW maintains a list of landlords and properties that have worked with the agency previously, which is routinely updated with availability to guide a client's housing search.

Transportation is provided to potential housing options when needed.

2 - FOW prioritized clients using the VI-SPDAT within their service area's Coordinated Entry Priority List. Self-referrals through calling FOW's crisis hotline or by accessing their community center, or referrals received from local service providers, law enforcement, and the local homeless coalition are connected to and assessed through Coordinated Entry.

3 - FOW Advocates conduct an individualized needs assessment for all clients and work with survivors to develop an Individualized Service Plan that addresses barriers to obtaining services and housing, and includes an inventory of the current services the client is receiving. Advocates use a resource mapping tool which is completed in collaboration with the survivor to identify mainstream and other supports needed. A survivor empowerment plan is also developed by the survivor, which outlines their personal goals and needs, which serves as a goal benchmark and timeline.

4 - FOW collaborates with mainstream homeless and supportive services to meet the needs of their clients, and makes needed referrals for food, medical needs and prescription assistance, ESL or GED services, and behavioral health and substance use services. FOW makes warm hand-offs for referrals when possible, and assists survivors with making appointments when needed. When warm-offs are not possible, FOW provides a written referral detailing the level of services and resources being requested by the survivor.

5 - FOW makes services available to clients after program exit. Prior to exit, advocates assist clients with applying for mainstream resources and benefits like TANF, childcare subsidies, PHA voucher waitlists, low-income or tax credit properties and employment services to i

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)**Friendship of Women**

1 - FOW ensures privacy and confidentiality by conducting one-on-one intakes in a private office, and no cameras or recording devices are located in these spaces. No guests or visitors are allowed in the room during intake unless the written and informed consent of the survivor has been documented and granted. Any partner identified as an unsafe is asked to leave FOW's facility, and safety precautions are taken with the survivor as needed and can include contacting law enforcement for any unwanted, unsafe person. Only trained victim advocates conduct intakes with clients, and clients with children receive respite childcare from the agency's Child Advocate while the parent is completing the intake.

2 - FOW direct service staff are required to complete an initial 40-training on intimate partner violence and sexual assault, which includes use of risk assessments, crisis response and safety planning. Staff must also complete 20 additional continuing education hours annually on topics related to serving survivors. Staff integrate this knowledge when developing safety plans with clients, and identify housing based on their unique safety needs.

3 - FOW policy requires that emergency shelter location is kept confidential and only visited by authorized staff or visitors. Additionally, survivors' information is safeguarded and only accessible by authorized staff. Survivors' addresses are redacted as needed only shared when the informed, written consent of the survivor has been granted and documented.

4 - FOW trains staff on the agency's confidentiality policies and procedures annually at hire. In addition, staff attend regular training opportunities from state and national coalitions and experts such as the Texas Council on Family Violence, Texas Association Against Sexual Assault, and Safe Housing Partnership Consortium.

5 - FOW staff ensure that scattered site placements meet the safety needs identified by survivors prior to placement, and relocate clients as needed when a safety issue cannot be resolved otherwise. Their emergency shelter location is confidential and can only be accessed by authorized individuals with use of a security pin code.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Friendship of Women

FOW is a Victim Service Provider with extensive history serving survivors. FOW regularly assesses safety needs through case management which is made available to all survivors. FOW Advocates use case management follow ups as an opportunity to assess and check-in with survivors regarding their comfort and safety needs. Advocates ensure that safety planning is ongoing, and a written and verbal safety plan is completed and updated with survivors as needed. Additionally, all survivors are invited to participate in anonymous, confidential, optional evaluation surveys, which collect information about the survivor's and the survivor's children's perceived safety. Through these evaluations, survivors can share if their level of safety and that of their children has increased as a result of the assistance provided by the agency. FOW uses these responses to guide future practices and incorporates changes as needed through a strategic planning process and modification of services and service delivery.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

Friendship of Women

As a Victim Service Provider, Friendship of Women has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors as evidenced by the following examples:

1 - FOW utilizes a trauma-informed approach to service delivery and facilitates interventions that foster healing for survivors. Survivor choice is the foundation to their survivor-centered, trauma-informed service delivery model, which means that advocates provide options to survivors and take direction from survivors on how to move forward with what best meets their preferences and safety needs as determined by the survivor.

2- FOW's guiding philosophy is grounded in evidence-based approaches and trauma-informed care. FOW strives to follow recommended practices such as creating a safe environment for survivors, preventing secondary traumatic stress in staff, hiring trauma-informed staff, and training clinical and non-clinical staff on the impact of trauma. These practices promote an environment of respect and agency.

3 - FOW Advocates receive extensive training on trauma, which includes topics such as how trauma impacts survivors, trauma over the span of a lifetime, and trauma triggers. Advocates utilize this knowledge to engage in meaningful dialogue with survivors about their trauma and how it impacts them. FOW prioritizes processing trauma responses as a critical component of safety and healing.

4- FOW offers advocacy and counseling services which incorporate a combination of client-centered therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, and solution-focused therapy. FOW's counseling philosophies draw on methodology from Relational, Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Approaches, the Self-Trauma model, Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction, Psycho-Education, and the Existential Model. Additionally, clients develop a Survivor Empowerment Plan which outlines their personal goals, needs and strengths.

5 - FOW employs staff that are bilingual in English and Spanish, and who have knowledge of the local culture which is predominantly Spanish-speaking, of Hispanic ethnicity and of Mexican descent. Staff utilize a 24/7 interpreting service as needed. In addition, FOW provides training to staff on building cultural competency skills to effectively serve survivors. Agency policies emphasize the need to provide culturally competent services to clients.

6 - FOW provides opportunities that foster connection by offering bi-weekly peer support groups. Peer support groups are led by trained victim advocates or licensed professional counselors. Recreational activities and psychoeducational groups are offered regularly and clients are invited to attend.

7 - FOW offers support to parents by employing two Child and Youth Advocates who are uniquely trained to provide individualized support to children and youth. These Advocates work closely with the parent to identify and provide needed support to parents that also support their child, and also provide education and psychoeducational classes to parents. In addition, FOW partners with local organizations that provide parenting classes, and FOW assists clients in covering the costs of registration to attend. FOW offers respite child care while the parent is engaging in services and refers clients to subsidized childcare assistance through their local workforce office

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Friendship of Women

Child Custody - Through a partnership between FOW and Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid (TRLA), TRLA offers a designated attorney assigned to FOW that received referrals for legal needs, including child custody, divorce or child support cases. FOW receives between 2-5 requests monthly for legal assistance for survivors that are referred to TRLA.

Bad Credit History - Economic justice advocacy is integrated into FOW's essential survivor services which are carried out as a function of case management, and includes survivor education on their rights to access credit reports, seeking legal representation for credit repair through their partnership with TRLA, and referrals to state and federal credit repair programs for survivors.

Housing Search and Counseling - A history of landlord recruitment, education, and engagement allows FOW to provide timely and strategic housing search by maintaining a robust list of housing options. Staff educate survivors of their tenant rights and VAWA protections, and provide ongoing landlord mediation.

Crisis DV Services - FOW is an HHSC recognized FV/DV shelter and an OAG recognized rape crisis center. Services include operating a 24-hour crisis hotline, emergency shelter, crisis counseling and intervention services, and other essential services to respond to the unique needs of survivors and their children.

Long-term housing stability safety planning - FOW staff utilize safety planning techniques and skills to increase survivor safety. Survivors remain FOW clients beyond the immediate aftermath of the victimization, and receive ongoing peer support and safety planning as the survivor's family and housing dynamics change.

Education Services - Through case management, FOW staff refer survivors as needed for free or low-cost education services such as ESL and GED classes, and provide financial support for education costs when needed.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	
	Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:	
	1. prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
	2. establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
	3. provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
	4. emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
FY2022 CoC Application		Page 112
		09/26/2022

5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Friendship of Women

1 - Friendship of Women (FOW) will continue to prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing, consistent with participant's needs, through utilization of a Housing First approach. This approach is voluntary and focuses on reducing barriers to housing and services in order to rapidly place survivors into safe housing. FOW staff will assess service, housing and safety needs, which is a process that begins within the first case management session, and use these needs as a guide to housing identification. Staff will provide transportation and accompaniment for survivors to potential housing opportunities as needed.

2 - FOW will continue to maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect through utilization of a trauma-informed approach to service delivery and interventions. Trauma-informed principles, which are the guiding principles for FOW's work, center safety, trust and transparency, mutuality, empowerment, collaboration, and cultural, historical and gender issues. Additionally, agency policies emphasize the need to deliver services that are culturally competent to foster an environment of respect.

3 - FOW will continue to offer survivors access to information on trauma by ensuring that Advocates funded under this project have completed required training on intimate partner violence and sexual violence. This training includes training on trauma, trauma over the lifespan, and trauma triggers. Advocates will integrate his knowledge to engage in meaningful dialogue with survivors about their trauma and how it impacts them.

4 - FOW will continue to emphasize participant's strengths through the development of an Individualized Service Plan, which is created in partnership between Advocates and survivors, and through the development of a Survivor Empowerment Plan. These tools integrate participant's strengths into the survivor's identified housing, service and safety goals.

5 - FOW will continue to center cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by employing direct service staff who are bilingual in English and Spanish and who are knowledgeable of the local culture of the service area. The proposed project will utilize a professional 24/7 interpreting service as needed to serve survivors with limited English proficiency. FOW will provide ongoing training to staff which seeks to strengthen cultural competency and proficiency skills in order to effectively deliver services. FOW agency policies emphasize the need to provide culturally competent services to the survivors they serve.

6 - FOW will continue to create opportunities for connection amongst survivors through offering bi-weekly peer support groups. Peer support groups will be led by trained victim advocates or volunteers, or by licensed professional counselors. Additional peer-led or peer-attended recreational activities are offered regularly, including recreational activities and psychoeducational groups.

7 - FOW will continue to support survivors who are parents by providing financial assistance for childcare, providing onsite respite childcare to survivors while they are receiving services, and by making referrals as needed for childcare assistance to the local Workforce Commission office. In addition, FOW employs two Child and Youth Advocates who are uniquely trained to provide individualized support to children and youth. Staff funded under the proposed project will collaborate with these specialized Advocates to provide necessary support to parents that seek to increase support to their children. These Advocates also provide parenting education and psychoeducational classes. When possible and necessary, FOW will cover the cost for parents to attend parenting classes offered by local organizations

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Friendship of Women

Friends of Women (FOW) draws on feedback from staff with lived experience for program and policy development, and agency board members with lived experience to provide strategic direction in the development of the organization and the services it offers. FOW will continue to count on these experts throughout the implementation and duration of the proposed project. FOW regularly collects feedback from clients through individual or small group listening sessions, and confidential and anonymous evaluations and feedback surveys, and will utilize this approach for the proposed project to evaluate its effectiveness and to assess, change, and guide the operations of this project.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/23/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	09/23/2022
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/23/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/24/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	09/24/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/24/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/24/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/24/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	09/25/2022

Applicant: Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care

TX-607

Project: TX-607 CoC Registration FY 2022.

COC_REG_2022_192044

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	09/25/2022
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected- Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/15/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/22/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/23/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/26/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/24/2022
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/23/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/23/2022
2C. System Performance	09/24/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/25/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/23/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/15/2022

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 121	09/26/2022
------------------------	----------	------------

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/23/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

TX-607

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

9/21/2022

TX-607

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

Housing Authority of Corpus Christi

9/21/2022

case management and clinical services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) at VA medical centers and community-based outreach clinics.

Foster for Youth Independence (FYI) – The Foster for Youth Independence initiative provides Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) rental assistance with case management provided by the Texas Department of Child and Protective Services.

Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) – The Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) program was made available through the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA). Through EHV, HUD is providing housing choice vouchers to local Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) in order to assist individuals and families who are:

- Homeless,
- At risk of homelessness,
- Fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking, or
- Were recently homeless or have a high risk of housing instability.

Regular HCV Funding

Regular HCV funding may be used to assist any eligible family on the waiting list. Families are selected from the waiting list according to the policies provided in Section 4-III.C.

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

CCHAs must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that the CCHA will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].

Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

CCHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the CCHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the CCHA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

The CCHA will use the following local preferences:

INVOLUNTARY DISPLACEMENT – (30 Points)

This preference is offered to families that are displaced due to Natural Disaster or Government Action.

- Verified in writing by local, state, or federal authorities within the last 6 months.

HOMELESS – (5 POINTS)

This preference is offered to applicants who lack stable, safe, and adequate housing.

- To qualify for this preference, CCHA will require: 1. Referral letter from CCHA homeless provider, 2. Certification from shelter that the family is homeless, 3. Letter from institution where family is exiting (90 days or less), 4. Letter from federal, state, or local police, court records, or certification from agency that provide VAWA assistance.

RESIDENCY – (50 Points)

An applicant shall qualify for the residency local preference if the applicant lives, works, or has been hired to work or is attending school within the Corpus Christ Housing Authority jurisdiction. Applicants who reside in the City of Corpus Christi receive preference over applicants who reside outside of the City of Corpus Christi. Verification of this local preference by an applicant must clearly identify residency, employment, impending employment, or schooling with the service jurisdiction of the Housing Authority.

MAINSTREAM – (35 points)

Applicants must have a household member who is a non-elderly person (18 – 61 years of age) with disabilities and who is –

- (1).Transitioning out of institutional or other segregated setting,
- (2).at serious risk of institutionalization,
- (3).Homeless,
- (4).at risk of becoming homeless

Verified by birth certificate, and 1. Referral letter from CCHA homeless provider, 2. Certification from shelter that the family is homeless, 3. Letter from institution where family is exiting (90 days or less), 4. Letter from federal, state, or local police, court records, or certification from agency that provide VAWA assistance.

ELDERLY – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to applicants with a Head/Spouse/Co-Head who are 62 years of age or older. Verified with applicant provided birth certificate.

DISABLED – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to applicants with a Head/Spouse/Co-head who are disabled as defined by HUD definition. Verified with through Social Security Administration, or with the applicant provided “licensed professional”.

VETERANS – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to Veteran families. CCHA defines a “veteran” as a Head of Household that was honourably or generally discharged or who is currently on active duty with the following branches of service: Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Coast Guard and the National Guard (if deployed during war). This definition also includes the spouse of a veteran who is currently on active duty, or the widow of a veteran who was killed in action. Verified using DD214.

WAITING LIST TIME ADJUSTMENT – (5 Points)

CCHA will give preference to applicants of 5 points each year they are on the waiting list. System automatically verified against application date.

WORKING FAMILIES – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to all working families. To qualify for this preference the head, co-head, or spouse must be working at least 30 hours per week at the State’s minimum wage, or more, for the period of twelve consecutive months prior to admission, at the time of eligibility determination. To qualify for the preference:

(1).Head, co-head, or Sole Member must be working at least 30 hours per week at the State’s minimum wage. Verification furnished by employment verification.

Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b) (2)]

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during the CCHA’s fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, a CCHA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

Low-income families admitted to the program that are “continuously assisted” under the 1937 Housing Act [24 CFR 982.4(b)], as well as low-income or moderate-income families admitted to the program that are displaced as a result of the prepayment of the mortgage or voluntary termination of an insurance contract on eligible low-income housing, are not counted for income targeting purposes [24 CFR 982.201(b) (2) (v)].

The CCHA will monitor progress in meeting the income targeting requirement throughout the fiscal year. Extremely low-income families will be selected ahead of other eligible families on an as-needed basis to ensure the income targeting requirement is met.

Order of Selection

The CCHA system of preferences may select families based on local preferences according to the date and time of application or by a random selection process (lottery) [24 CFR 982.207(c)]. If a CCHA does not have enough funding to assist the family at the top of the waiting list, it is

TX-607

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

Housing Authority of San Angelo

9/21/2022

1. Limited Homeless Preference (LHP)

LHP is a special set-aside of 20 HCVs administered by the HASA in partnership with the Salvation Army (SA) located in the City of San Angelo, and the City of San Angelo (COSA). The number of vouchers will decrease to 15, effective 10/1/2021, and the referring agency will change from COSA/SA, to Concho Valley Community Action Agency (CVCAA). LHP vouchers will give preference to applicants who meet the Public and Indian Housing (PIH) definition of homeless outlined below. The CVCAA must refer the LHP eligible family to the HASA once the homeless status has been properly vetted. The family must also meet all HCV Program eligibility requirements to qualify. The LHP vouchers are subject to the availability of subsidy and there is no guarantee that the maximum number of vouchers for this preference will always be available.

If the HASA determines that an applicant referred by the CVCAA does not meet the criteria described below, the applicant will not receive the preference. However, the HCV application will remain on the waiting list according to the date and time it was submitted, and is subject to the renewal requirements.

If the HASA denies an applicant's homeless preference claim, the HASA will notify the applicant and the CVCAA in writing, including the reason(s) for the preference denial. Applicants have the right to appeal the denial of eligibility for the homeless preference using the established process for informal reviews.

PIH definition of homeless: The PIH definition, as it applies to the HCV Program, is narrowed to the following two categories and taken from NOTICE PIH 2013-15 (HA).

Category 1: An individual or family who ***lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence***, meaning:

- a. An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground; ***or***
- b. An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements, including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by Federal, State, or local government programs for low-income individuals; ***or***
- c. An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less, and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution.

Category 4: Any individual or family who:

- a. Is ***fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking***, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence; ***and***
- b. Has no other residence; ***and***
- c. Lacks the resources or support networks, (e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks), to obtain other permanent housing.

TX-607

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

MOVING ON

Housing Authority of Corpus Christi

9/21/2022

17-VI.C. ORGANIZATION OF THE WAITING LIST [24 CFR 983.251(c)]

17-VI.D. SELECTION FROM THE WAITING LIST [24 CFR 983.251(c)]

The CCHA will establish one waiting list for both PBV and RAD PBV properties. The CCHA will maintain a separate HCV waiting list.

Income Targeting [24 CFR 983.251(c)(6)]

At least 75 percent of the families admitted to the PHA's tenant-based and project-based voucher programs during the PHA fiscal year from the waiting list must be extremely low-income families. The income targeting requirement applies to the total of admissions to both programs.

Units with Accessibility Features [24 CFR 983.251(c)(7)]

When selecting families to occupy PBV units that have special accessibility features for persons with disabilities, the PHA must first refer families who require such features to the owner.

Preferences [24 CFR 983.251(d), FR Notice 11/24/08]

INTERVENTION REFERRAL – (35 points)

This preference will be offered to individuals and families who:

- Have been referred to the CCHA by a partnering agency, undergoing an immersive 30-day program (Rehabilitation, drug/alcohol, behavioral). Examples of partnering agencies include but are not limited to Salvation Army, Purple Door, Charlie's Place (or another similar program).
- Submit certification by the partnering agency that they have been actively engaged in their program for 30 or more consecutive days.
- Submit commitment for continuing care (case management) from the partnering agency following the new admission date (including AA Meeting, DA Meeting, Anger Management etc.).

HOMELESS REFERRAL – (35 points)

Applicants must: Be in a homeless "rapid housing" transitional program for a minimum of 9 months with ongoing case management. The requirement for the partnering agencies homeless transitional program are as follows:

- Meet HUD's definition of homeless: "An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning: Has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation (cars, abandoned buildings, streets, etc.) Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs); or Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution. HUD Category 4- Fleeing or Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence: Any individual or Family who: (i) Is fleeing, or is attempting to flee,

domestic violence, (ii) Has no other residence; **and** (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing.

- Have been continuously homeless for the past TWELVE (12) CONSECUTIVE MONTHS (a year or more) **OR** Has had FOUR (4) occasions of homelessness in the last THREE (3) years, which total at least 12 months OR
- If NOT Chronically Homeless -Disabled: An individual who would benefit from housing, UNLESS Applicant has written verification from SSI/ SSDI/VA Disability
 - i. A diagnosable substance use disorder
 - ii. A developmental disability
 - iii. A serious mental illness
 - iv.. A chronic physical illness, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions
- Be referred by the partnering agency, confirming completion of the program and recommendation into a PBV assisted unit.
- Submit commitment for continuation of care (case management) from the partnering agency, for not less than 6 months following the new admission date.

INVOLUNTARY DISPLACEMENT – (30 Points)

This preference is offered to families that are displaced due to Natural Disaster or Government Action.

- Verified in writing by local, state, or federal authorities within the last 6 months.

HOMELESS – (5 POINTS)

This preference is offered to applicants who lack stable, safe, and adequate housing.

- To qualify for this preference, CCHA will require: 1. Referral letter from CCHA homeless provider, 2. Certification from shelter that the family is homeless, 3. Letter from institution where family is exiting (90 days or less), 4. Letter from federal, state, or local police, court records, or certification from agency that provide VAWA assistance.

RESIDENCY – (50 Points)

An applicant shall qualify for the residency local preference if the applicant lives, works, or has been hired to work or is attending school within the Corpus Christ Housing Authority jurisdiction. Applicants who reside in the City of Corpus Christi receive preference over applicants who reside outside of the City of Corpus Christi. Verification of this local preference by an applicant must clearly identify residency, employment, impending employment, or schooling with the service jurisdiction of the Housing Authority.

MAINSTREAM – (35 points)

Applicants must have a household member who is a non-elderly person (18 – 61 years of age) with disabilities and who is –

- (1).Transitioning out of institutional or other segregated setting,
- (2).at serious risk of institutionalization,
- (3).Homeless,
- (4).at risk of becoming homeless

Verified by birth certificate, and 1. Referral letter from CCHA homeless provider, 2. Certification from shelter that the family is homeless, 3. Letter from institution where family is exiting (90 days or less), 4. Letter from federal, state, or local police, court records, or certification from agency that provide VAWA assistance.

ELDERLY – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to applicants with a Head/Spouse/Co-Head who are 62 years of age or older. Verified with applicant provided birth certificate.

DISABLED – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to applicants with a Head/Spouse/Co-head who are disabled as defined by HUD definition. Verified with through Social Security Administration, or with the applicant provided “licensed professional”.

VETERANS – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to Veteran families. CCHA defines a “veteran” as a Head of Household that was honourably or generally discharged or who is currently on active duty with the following branches of service: Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Coast Guard and the National Guard (if deployed during war). This definition also includes the spouse of a veteran who is currently on active duty, or the widow of a veteran who was killed in action. Verified using DD214.

WAITING LIST TIME ADJUSTMENT – (5 Points)

CCHA will give preference to applicants of 5 points each year they are on the waiting list. System automatically verified against application date.

WORKING FAMILIES – (5 Points)

This preference is offered to all working families. To qualify for this preference the head, co-head, or spouse must be working at least 30 hours per week at the State’s minimum wage, or more, for the period of twelve consecutive months prior to admission, at the time of eligibility determination. To qualify for the preference:

- (1).Head, co-head, or Sole Member must be working at least 30 hours per week at the State’s minimum wage. Verification furnished by employment verification.

17-VI.E. OFFER OF PBV ASSISTANCE

Refusal of Offer [24 CFR 983.251(e)(3)]

TX-607

1-E1 LOCAL COMPETITION DEADLINE

8/31/2022

- **NEW!** The CoC will release two RFPs and applications: Please note that these are “staged” applications meaning that all scored materials are due by the deadline prescribed by the NOFO (August 31st) and non-scored materials will be due later in September
 - RFP for Renewal Projects that are eligible for renewal in 2022
 - RFP for New Projects that are applying for “CoC Bonus” funds (only Expansions of Renewal Projects will be eligible to apply) and for “DV Bonus” funds (new projects for Victim Service Providers may apply).

- Stay tuned for more details. To make sure you get communication about both of these processes, **please sign up to receive updates from the Competition Listserv by following this link.**

In response to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) release of an Unsheltered and Rural Supplemental Notice of Funding Opportunity on June 22, THN is holding a **Community Planning Session** on Wednesday, July 13th to seek TX Bos CoC stakeholder input on the development of a CoC Plan to address the most service needs and to discuss next steps for potential applicants.

The TX BoS CoC is interested in Applicants that can demonstrate a commitment to leveraging private Housing and Healthcare resources, such as Mainstream Vouchers or reduced/no-cost healthcare for participants in HUD programming as well as applicants that can demonstrate the need for Projects targeted for Survivors of Domestic Violence

In general, the CoC program will fund **Rapid Re-Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing, Joint Transitional Housing & Rapid Re-Housing (TH/RRH), HMIS, and Coordinated Entry**. To learn more about each of these activities, please click the hyperlinks above. Please note, the availability of funding and required applicant eligibility for each of the activities above is dependent both the TX BoS CoC Request for Proposals (RFP) and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) FY2022 CoC Program Notice Of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), or the relevant Funding Opportunity. **You can learn about the release of the NOFO on the HUD website.**

CoC Annual Competition - Renewals	CoC Annual Competition - Bonus	CoC Supplemental Unsheltered and Rural Competition -
THN is accepting applications from current Recipients of COC Program Funds that are eligible for renewal in the FY2022 Annual CoC Program Competition. Questions about the materials below should be directed to txboscoc@thn.org	As part of the FY2022 Annual CoC Program Competition, THN will be accepting applications from current Recipients of COC Program Funds that wish to expand the scope of their services by utilizing CoC Bonus Funds as well as from providers serving survivors of domestic violence seeking to establish new projects utilizing DV-Bonus funds.	Coming Soon These funds can be used to develop new projects in rural areas , or to create new projects to address unsheltered homelessness Please click here to view the DRAFT CoC Plan to Address Severe Service Needs
8/17/2022 - 8/31/2022 @11:59:59 PM	8/19/2022 - 8/31/2022 @11:59:59 PM	8/26/2022 - 9/11/2022 @11:59:59 PM
CoC Annual Request for Proposals	CoC Bonus Request for Proposals	Coming Soon
All Application Materials (zip file)	All Application Materials (zip file)	Coming Soon
Click here to access THN's online application system - Apply		
Additional resources available in the "General Application Materials/Resources" section below		

Edit

Competition Updates

TX-607

1-E2 LOCAL COMPETITION SCORING TOOL

RENEWAL Pp 1-17

8/31/2022

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- Permanent Supportive Housing

Agency Name:			Project Name:			PSH		
--------------	--	--	---------------	--	--	------------	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer						
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a							
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a							
Total leavers	APR: Q5a							
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a							
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total Units)							

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	>95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0%	100-95%=10 points <95-90%=7.5 points <90= 0 points	0	10	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	>40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -PSH		SPM 4.1-4.3						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points G22 <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	50%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-50% = 10 points <50-35% = 5 points <35% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	60%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-60% = 10 points <60-45% = 5 points <45% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -PSH		SPM 4.4-4.6						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	40%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	50%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	

4 Housing Stability								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1		System Performance Measure 7b2 "% of Successful Exits"						

	PSH: Percent participants who remained in project as of the end of the operating year or exited to PH during the operating year	≥95%	N/A		0%	100-95% = 20 points <95-92% = 10 points <92% = 0 points	0	20	
			N/A						
	Housing Stability Total Score						0	20	
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25		
5.2			"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo.		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	≤5%	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 12 mo.		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
	Returns to Homelessness					0	55		
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20		
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total						
			APR: Q08b "April" Total						
			APR: Q08b "July" Total						
			APR: Q08b "October" Total						
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	Meeting Community Need Total Score					0	50		
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term		0%	0-5% = 20 points >5-10% = 5 points >10% = 0 points	0	20	
			Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term						
7.2	Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No				Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0	
7.3	PSH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	<10%	APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations"			0-10% = 15 points >10-13% = 7.5 points >13% = 0	0	15	
			APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation"						
			Total Support Service BUI or 25% of the Total 2021 Award, whichever is greater						
	Cost Effectiveness Total Score					0	35		
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5		

8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	30	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
9.1	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report		100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	0	10	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	10	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score						0	0	
11	Prioritization Fidelity							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10	
Prioritization Fidelity Total Score						0	10	
Total Score						0	300	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

System Performance Measures = 135/300 Points

Objective Criteria = 300/300 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 20/300 Points

NOTE: 90% all Scores based on Applicant HMIS Data. Please see scorecards appended with "-DV" for VSP Scorecards, which utilize data from a comparable database.

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- Permanent Supportive Housing- DV

Agency Name:		Project Name:		PSH-DV				
---------------------	--	----------------------	--	---------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a						
Total leavers	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a						
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total Units)						

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	≥85%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0.0%	100-85% = 10 points <85-80% = 7.5 points <80% = 0 points	0	10	
1.2	Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	≥40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0.0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0.0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>							0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -PSH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	13%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-13% = 10 points <13-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	40%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	45%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-45% = 10 points <45-35% = 5 points <35% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>							0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -PSH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-10 = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	30%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-30% = 10 points <30-20% = 5 points <20% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	40%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>							0	30	

4 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	PSH: Percent participants who remained in project as of the end of the operating year or exited to PH during the operating year	≥95%	N/A		100-95% = 20 points <95-92% = 10 points <92% = 0 points	0	20	
APR 23c 'Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation'				0.0%				
APR 23c 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations'								

Housing Stability Total Score						0	20	
6	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total	0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	Spts	Renewal Narrative, Q1		1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	Spts	Renewal Narrative, Q2		1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	Spts	Renewal Narrative, Q3		1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	Spts	Renewal Narrative, Q4		1pt for each action identified	0	5	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	50	
7	Cost Effectiveness							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0.0%	0-5% = 20 points >5-10% = 5 points >10% = 0 points	0	20
7.2	Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No				Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0
7.3	PSH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	>10%	APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations" APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation" Total Support Service BLI or 25% of the Total 2021 Award, whichever is greater		0.0%	0-10% = 15 points >10-13% = 7.5 points >13% = 0	0	15
Cost Effectiveness Total Score						0	35	
8	HMIS Data Quality							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	30	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
9.1	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report		100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	0	10	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	10	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = 5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	

Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score							0	0	
11	Prioritization Fidelity								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10		
	Prioritization Fidelity Total Score					0	10		
	Total Score					0	245		
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%		

System Performance Measures = 100/245 Points

Objective Criteria = 215/245 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 20/245 Points

NOTE: 73% all Scores based on Applicant Comparable Database Data.

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing

Agency Name:			Project Name:			RRH		
--------------	--	--	---------------	--	--	------------	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a						
Total leavers	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a						
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total Units)						

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	>95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0%	100-95%=10 points <95-90%=7.5 points <90= 0 points	0	10	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	>40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH								
		SPM 4.1-4.3						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	17%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-17% = 10 points <17-11% = 5 points <11% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	30%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-30% = 10 points <30-20% = 5 points <20% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH								
		SPM 4.4-4.6						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score						0	60	

4 Housing Stability								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1		System Performance Measure 7b1"% of Successful Exits						

	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	N/A		0.0%	100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20	
			N/A						
Housing Stability Total Score							0	20	
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	<5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25		
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	<5%	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo.		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
			"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 12 mo.		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
Returns to Homelessness							0	55	
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20		
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total	0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10		
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	50	
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0%	0-5% = 20 points >5-10% = 5 points >10% = 0 points	0	20	
7.2	Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No				Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0	
7.3	RRH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	<10%	APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations"		0.0%	0-10% = 15 points >10-13% = 7.5 points >13% = 0	0	15	
			APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation"						
			Total Support Service BLI or 25% of the Total 2020 Award, whichever is greater						
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							0	35	
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5		

8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	30	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
9.1	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report		100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	0	10	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	10	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score						0	0	
11	Prioritization Fidelity							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10	
Prioritization Fidelity Total Score						0	10	
Total Score						0	300	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

System Performance Measures = 135/300 Points

Objective Criteria = 300/300 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 20/300 Points

NOTE: 90% all Scores based on Applicant HMIS Data. Please see scorecards appended with "-DV" for VSP Scorecards, which utilize data from a comparable database.

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing -DV

Agency Name:		Project Name:		RRH-DV				
---------------------	--	----------------------	--	---------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a						
Total leavers	APR: Q5a						
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a						
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total Units)						

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	≥85%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0.0%	100-85% = 10 points <85-80% = 7.5 points <80% = 0 points	0	10	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	≥40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0.0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0.0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>						0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH		SPM 4.1-4.3						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH		SPM 4.4-4.6						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-12% = 5 points <12% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30	
<i>Access to Income and Benefits Total Score</i>						0	60	

4 Housing Stability								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	N/A		100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
		APR 23c "Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation"						
		APR 23c "Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations"						
<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>						0	20	

6 Meeting Community Need								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
6.1 Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20		
6.2 What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	>95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total	0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10		
6.3 How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
Meeting Community Need Total Score					0	50		
7 Cost Effectiveness								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1 Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0.0%	0-5% = 20 points >5-10% = 5 points >10% = 0 points	0	20	
7.2 Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No				Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0	
7.3 RRH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	<10%	APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations" APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation" Total Support Service BU or 25% of the Total 2020 Award, whichever is greater		0.0%	0-10% = 15 points >10-13% = 7.5 points >13% = 0	0	15	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score					0	35		
8 HMIS Data Quality								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
8.1 Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5		
8.2 Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5		
8.3 Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5		
8.4 Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5		
HMIS Data Quality Total Score					0	30		
9 Coordinated Entry Participation								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
9.1 All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report		100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	0	10		
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score					0	10		
10 General Administration								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
10.1 Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0		
10.2 If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0		
Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score					0	0		

11	Prioritization Fidelity						
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
11.1	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10
	Prioritization Fidelity Total Score					0	10
	Total Score					0	245
	Cumulative Score out of 100%					0.00%	100%

System Performance Measures = 100/245 Points

Objective Criteria = 215/245 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 20/245 Points

NOTE: 73% all Scores based on Applicant Comparable Database Data.

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- JOINT TH/RRH (RRH)

Agency Name:			Project Name:			Joint - RRH		
---------------------	--	--	----------------------	--	--	--------------------	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a	
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a	
Total leavers	APR: Q5a	
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a	
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total RRH Units)	

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	≥95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0%	100-85% = 10 points <85-80% = 7.5 points <80% = 0 points	0	10	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	≥40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH		SPM 4.1-4.3						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH		SPM 4.4-4.6						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score						0	60	

4 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	APR 23c "Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation"		100-85% = 20 points <85-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
			APR 23c "Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations"						
<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>						0	20		
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25		
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	≤5%	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo."		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
			"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 12 mo."		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15		
<i>Returns to Homelessness</i>						0	55		
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20		
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total		100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10		
			APR: Q08b "April" Total						
			APR: Q08b "July" Total						
			APR: Q08b "October" Total						
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4		1pt for each action identified	0	5		
<i>Meeting Community Need Total Score</i>						0	50		
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term		#DIV/0!	0-5% = 20 points 5-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	20	
			Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term						
7.2	Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No				Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0	
7.3	RRH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	<10%	RRH APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations"		#DIV/0!	0-10% = 15 points >10-13% = 7.5 points >13% = 0	0	15	
			RRH APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation"						
			TH APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations"						
			TH APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation"						
			Total Support Service BLI or 25% of the Total 2021 Award, whichever is greater						
<i>Cost Effectiveness Total Score</i>						0	35		
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	

8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	30	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
9.1	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report		100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	0	10	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	10	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0		
Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score						0	0	
11	Prioritization Fidelity							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 10 No = 0	0	10	
Prioritization Fidelity Total Score						0	10	
Total RRH Score						0	300	
Total TH Score						0	215	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

System Performance Measures = 240/515 Points

Objective Criteria = 485/515 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 40/515 Points

NOTE: 90% all Scores based on Applicant HMIS Data. Please see scorecards appended with "-DV" for VSP Scorecards, which utilize data from a comparable database.

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- JOINT TH/RRH (TH)

Agency Name:		Project Name:		Joint - TH				
---------------------	--	----------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer			
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a				
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a				
Total leavers	APR: Q5a				
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a				
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total TH Units)				

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	≥95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"		0%	100-85% = 10 points <85-80% = 7.5 points <80% = 0 points	0	10	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	≥40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"		0%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -TH		SPM 4.1-4.3						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -TH		SPM 4.4-4.6						
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal						0	30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score						0	30	

4 Housing Stability								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1								

TH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	APR 23c 'Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation'		0%	100-85% = 20 points <85-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
		APR 23c 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations'							
Housing Stability Total Score						0	20		
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"			0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25	
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	<5%	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo."			0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
			"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 12 mo."			0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
Returns to Homelessness						0	55		
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total			Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total		0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
		APR: Q08b "April" Total							
		APR: Q08b "July" Total							
		APR: Q08b "October" Total							
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1			1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2			1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3			1pt for each action identified	0	5	
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4			1pt for each action identified	0	5	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	50		
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and Q06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number			0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"			0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"			0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"			0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	0	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	30		
Total Score						0	215		
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%		

TX-607

1-E2 LOCAL COMPETITION SCORING TOOL

NEW Pp 20-36

8/31/2022

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- Permanent Supportive Housing- DV

Agency Name:		Project Name:		PSH-DV				
--------------	--	---------------	--	--------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					
Renewal Project Total Budget	Apply Renewal Application						
Expansion Activities Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application						
Combined Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application						
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources	Apply Bonus Application						
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding	Apply Bonus Application						

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							0	10	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -PSH

	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	13%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-13% = 10 points <13-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10	
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	40%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	45%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-45% = 10 points <45-35% = 5 points <35% = 0 points	0	10	
Section Subtotal							0	30

3 Access to Income-Leavers -PSH

	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-10 = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10	
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	30%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-30% = 10 points <30-20% = 5 points <20% = 0 points	0	10	
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	40%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
Section Subtotal							0	30

4 Housing Stability

	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	PSH: Percent participants who remained in project as of the end of the operating year or exited to PH during the operating year	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1		100-95% = 20 points <95-92% = 10 points <92% = 0 points	0	20	
Housing Stability Total Score							0	20

6	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2		100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
	<i>Meeting Community Need Total Score</i>					0	30	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.1		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.2		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
	<i>General Administration Total Score</i>					0	0	
10	Leveraging Housing and Healthcare							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare Resources?	Yes	Renewal Project Application, Apply Entity Eligibility Task Project Details		No= 0 Points Yes = 10 Points	0	10	
11.2	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: Have a firm Written Commitment by September 15th? Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes	CoC Bonus (APPLY) Application		Yes = 10 No = 0 N/A = 0	0	10	
	<i>General Administration Total Score</i>					0	20	
	Total Score					0	140	
	Cumulative Score out of 100%					0.00%	100%	

VSP New Project Scorecard
System Performance Measures = 80/140
Points Objective Criteria = 140/140 Points
Specific Vulnerabilities =10/140 Points

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing -DV

Agency Name:			Project Name:			RRH-DV		
---------------------	--	--	----------------------	--	--	---------------	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer				
Renewal Project Total Budget	Apply Renewal Application					
Expansion Activities Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application					
Combined Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application					
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources	Apply Bonus Application					
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding	Apply Bonus Application					

1	Targeting Hard to Serve								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
	<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>						0	10	

2	Access to Income-Stayers -RRH							
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10	
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10	
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10	
	<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30

3	Access to Income-Leavers -RRH							
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10	
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10	
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-20% = 10 points <20-12% = 5 points <12% = 0 points	0	10	
	<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30
	<i>Access to Income and Benefits Total Score</i>						0	60

4	Housing Stability							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1		100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20	
0.0%								
	<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>						0	20

6	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in				Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points			

6.2	For participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20	
	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2	0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
	Meeting Community Need Total Score					0	30	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.1		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.2		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
	General Administration Total Score					0	0	
11	Leveraging Housing and Healthcare							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare Resources?	Yes	Renewal Project Application, Apply Entity Eligibility Task Project Details		No= 0 Points Yes = 10 Points	0	10	
11.2	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: Have a firm Written Commitment by September 15th? Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes	CoC Bonus (APPLY) Application		Yes = 10 No = 0 N/A = 0	0	10	
	General Administration Total Score					0	20	
	Total Score					0	140	
	Cumulative Score out of 100%					0.00%	100%	

VSP New Scorecard

System Performance Measures = 80/140 Points

Objective Criteria = 140/140 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities =10/140 Points

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing - JOINT TH/RRH (RRH)

Agency Name:		Project Name:		Joint RRH				
--------------	--	---------------	--	-----------	--	--	--	--

		Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer
Renewal Project Total Budget		Apply Renewal Application	
Expansion Activities Total Budget		Apply Bonus Application	
Combined Total Budget		Apply Bonus Application	
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources		Apply Bonus Application	
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding		Apply Bonus Application	

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							0	10	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal							0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-20% = 10 points <20-12% = 5 points <12% = 0 points	0	10		
Section Subtotal							0	30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score							0	60	

4 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1	0.0%	100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
Housing Stability Total Score							0	20	

6 Meeting Community Need

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	20	

6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	>95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2		0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
	Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	30	
10	General Administration								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.1		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = 5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0		
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.2		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0		
	General Administration Total Score						0	0	
11	Leveraging Housing and Healthcare								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
11.1	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare Resources?	Yes	Renewal Project Application, Apply Entity Eligibility Task Project Details		No= 0 Points Yes = 10 Points	0	10		
11.2	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: Have a firm Written Commitment by September 15th? Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes	CoC Bonus (APPLY) Application		Yes = 10 No = 0 N/A = 0		10		
	General Administration Total Score						0	20	
	Total RRH Score						0	140	
	Total TH Score						0	120	
	Total Project Score						0	260	
	Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

New TH/RRH Scorecard

System Performance Measures = 160/260 Points

Objective Criteria = 260/260 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 20/260 Points

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- JOINT TH/RRH (TH)

Agency Name:		Project Name:		Joint - TH				
--------------	--	---------------	--	------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					
Renewal Project Total Budget	Apply Renewal Application						
Expansion Activities Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application						
Combined Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application						
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources	Apply Bonus Application						
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding	Apply Bonus Application						

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>						0	10	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -TH

Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points <08% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-20% = 10 points <20-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -TH

Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-10% = 5 points <10% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>						0	30	
<i>Access to Income and Benefits Total Score</i>						0	60	

4 Housing Stability

Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1 TH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1		100-85% = 20 points <85-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>						0	20	

6 Meeting Community Need

Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1 Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20	
6.2				100-0% = 10 points			

	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2		0.0%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	30	
Total TH Score							0	120	
Cumulative Score out of 100%							0.00%	100%	

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- Permanent Supportive Housing

Agency Name:		Project Name:		PSH				
--------------	--	---------------	--	-----	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer			
Renewal Project Total Budget	Apply Renewal Application				
Expansion Activities Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application				
Combined Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application				
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources	Apply Bonus Application				
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding	Apply Bonus Application				

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							0	10	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -PSH

	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	15%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-15% = 10 points <15-08% = 5 points G22 <08% = 0 points	0	10	
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-50% = 10 points <50-35% = 5 points <35% = 0 points	0	10	
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	60%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-60% = 10 points <60-45% = 5 points <45% = 0 points	0	10	
Section Subtotal							0	30

3 Access to Income-Leavers -PSH

	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-20% = 10 points <20-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10	
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	40%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Section Subtotal							0	30

4 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	PSH: Percent participants who remained in project as of the end of the operating year or exited to PH during the operating year	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1		100-95% = 20 points <95-92% = 10 points <92% = 0 points	0	20	
0%								
Housing Stability Total Score							0	20

5 Returns to Homelessness

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes

5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 5.1		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25	
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	≤5%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 5.2		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
					0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
<i>Returns to Homelessness</i>						0	55	
6	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2		100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
<i>Meeting Community Need Total Score</i>						0	30	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.1		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.2		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
<i>General Administration Total Score</i>						0	0	
11	Leveraging Housing and Healthcare							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare Resources?	Yes	Renewal Project Application, Apply Entity Eligibility Task Project Details		No= 0 Points Yes = 10 Points	0	10	
11.2	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: Have a firm Written Commitment by September 15th? Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes	CoC Bonus (APPLY) Application		Yes = 10 No = 0 N/A = 0	0	10	
<i>General Administration Total Score</i>						0	20	
Total Score						0	195	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

New PSH

System Performance Measures = 135/195 Points

Objective Criteria = 195/195 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities = 10/195 Points

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Expansion Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing

Agency Name:		Project Name:		RRH				
--------------	--	---------------	--	-----	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer			
Renewal Project Total Budget	Apply Renewal Application				
Expansion Activities Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application				
Combined Total Budget	Apply Bonus Application				
Value of Leveraged Housing or Healthcare Resources	Apply Bonus Application				
Number of additional (NEW) households to be served with Expansion funding	Apply Bonus Application				

1 Targeting Hard to Serve									
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 1.3		0%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>							0	10	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH									
		SPM 4.1-4.3							
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	17%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.1		100-17% = 10 points <17-11% = 5 points <11% = 0 points	0	10		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.2		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	30%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 2.3		100-30% = 10 points <30-20% = 5 points <20% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>							0	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH									
		SPM 4.4-4.6							
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	20%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.1		100-20% = 10 points <20-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.2		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 3.3		100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
<i>Section Subtotal</i>							0	30	
<i>Access to Income and Benefits Total Score</i>							0	60	

4 Housing Stability									
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 4.1		100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	0	20		
0.0%									
<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>							0	20	

5 Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes

5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 5.1		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	0	25	
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	≤5%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 5.2		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
					0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	0	15	
Returns to Homelessness						0	55	
6	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.1		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 6.2		100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	10	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	30	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.1		0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Renewal Project Score Card, Measure 10.2		Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
General Administration Total Score						0	0	
11	Leveraging Housing and Healthcare							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare Resources?	Yes	Renewal Project Application, Apply Entity Eligibility Task Project Details		No= 0 Points Yes = 10 Points	0	10	
11.2	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: Have a firm Written Commitment by September 15th? Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes	CoC Bonus (APPLY) Application		Yes = 10 No = 0 N/A = 0	0	10	
General Administration Total Score						0	20	
Total Score						0	195	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

New RRH

System Performance Measures = 135/195 Points

Objective Criteria = 195/195 Points

Specific Vulnerabilities =10/195 Points

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care DV-Bonus Self-Assessment Tool

Agency Name:		Project Name:		Project Type?
Applicant Questions: Agency Details	Your Response	Self Assessment Score	Scored Criteria Value	Assessment Criteria
Q1. What is your Agency's Website URL?		N/A	N/A	Not Scored; Information Only
Q2. Who is the Authorized Primary Contact for your Agency?		N/A	N/A	Not Scored; Information Only
Q3. What is the preferred email for the Agency's Authorized Primary Contact?		N/A	N/A	Not Scored; Information Only
Q4. Who is the Agency's Authorized Secondary (Back Up) Contact?		N/A	N/A	Not Scored; Information Only
Q5. What is the preferred email for the Agency's Authorized Secondary Contact?		N/A	N/A	Not Scored; Information Only
Q6. Entity Eligibility: What is your Agency's SAM Registration (Unique Entity ID)?		Threshold	Threshold	Applicants that do not have an active SAM Registration via SAM.gov are ineligible.
Q6a. Entity Eligibility: When does your SAM Registration expire?		Threshold	Threshold	Applicants that do not have an active SAM Registration via SAM.gov are ineligible.
Q7. Entity Eligibility: Does your agency currently Serve DV Survivors in any Capacity?	Select response	Threshold	Threshold	Applicant must select 'Yes' or 'No' in Column C. Applicants that cannot demonstrate that they have served survivors in a capacity similar to the activities requested for survivors of DV specifically will be ineligible per HUD.
Q7a. Describe your agency's experience serving DV Survivors	Word Count = 0	Threshold	Threshold	Applicants must demonstrate that the Organization provides low-barrier housing and/or services to serve survivors of domestic violence. Applicants are encouraged to reference specific activities listed in 51.005(b)(3), Texas Human Resources Code as well as their experience providing housing or housing subsidies
Q8. Entity Eligibility: Do you currently use a Comparable Database?	Select response	8	8	Applicant must enter 'Yes' or 'No' in Column C. Applicants that currently utilize a Comparable Database comparable to a Homeless Management Information System will receive 8 pts. Applicants that use HMIS or do not currently utilize a Comparable Database will receive 0 pts. Applicant must self-score their response in Column E
Q9. What is the proposed geography the Project will serve? Please list the primary County to be served.		N/A	N/A	Tiebreaker Criteria. Applicants that propose to serve geography not currently served by the CoC Program will receive priority in a scoring tie. Calculated by the number of counties not currently receiving CoC Program Funding. This question is asked in Apply. Applicants are only required to list the primary county here.
Agency Capacity and Administration				
Q10. What is your Agency's Fiscal Year?		N/A	N/A	Applicant must enter a date range in Column C.
Q11. What is your current Board Approved Agency Budget?		Threshold	Threshold	Applicant must enter their total agency budget in Column C. Total Agency budget must be at least 2x the requested funding in this application
Component	Budget Amount (\$)	Description of proposed activities		
Q12. Proposed Total Project Budget, excluding match		N/A	N/A	Applicant must enter a total for all activities in Column B. Applicants are encouraged to consult the CoC Program Interim rule to determine that all activities proposed are eligible.
Q12a. Rental Assistance	Word Count = 0	5	5	Applicant must enter a total in Column B and provide a thorough description of all eligible costs requested under the Rental Assistance Budget Line in Column C. All Activities are eligible under 24 CFR 578 Subpart F = 5pts Any activity described is ineligible under Subpart F = 0pts Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E

						Applicant must enter a total for this activity in Column B and provide a thorough description of all eligible costs requested under the Supportive Services Budget Line in Column C. All Activities are eligible under 24 CFR 578 Subpart F = 5pts Any activity described is ineligible under Subpart F = 0pts Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q12b. Supportive Services			Word Count = 0		5	
						Applicant must enter a total for this activity in Column B and provide a thorough description of all eligible costs requested under the Admin Line in Column C. All Activities are eligible under 24 CFR 578 Subpart F = 5pts Any activity described is ineligible under Subpart F = 0pts Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q12c. Admin			Word Count = 0		5	
						Applicant must enter a total for this activity in Column B and provide a thorough description of all eligible costs requested under the Admin Line in Column C. All Activities are eligible under 24 CFR 578 Subpart F = 5pts Any activity described is ineligible under Subpart F = 0pts Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q12d. Other: (HMIS [Comparable Database])			Word Count = 0		5	
Q13. Please provide a summary of the proposed Match, including Source and Use. A full account of the Matching source and contribution will be required before inclusion in the final priority listing. All Applicants are required to Match CoC Program funding at 25% Cash or In-Kind with firm written commitments. Please review the CoC Virtual Binder that communicates the Match Requirements for the CoC Program.			Word Count = 0	Threshold	Threshold	Applicants should address the following in their response in Column C: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Identify the match as Cash or In-KindIdentify the source of the Matching FundsIf Cash, a description of the activities that the match will supportIf In-Kind, a description of the activities or goods that will be used as matchA citation from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities This is a threshold requirement
Q14. The Agency's accounting system must be compliant with 2 CFR 200. Please attach a statement from the Agency's CFO on letterhead stating compliance 2 CFR 200	File Attachment			N/A	Threshold	Required. Please submit this statement from the Agency's CFO that states that the Accounting system is in compliance with 2 CFR 200 by August 31, 2022 at 11:59:59 PM
Q15. Describe how your Agency has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a			Word Count = 0		10	Applicant must include specific components of the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework in Column C to receive points. No partial points Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E
Q16. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the Agency.			Word Count = 0		10	Applicant describes an internal monitoring strategy (5 pts) Applicant engages staff in internal monitoring activities on a regular and predictable basis (5 pts) Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E
Q17. Please submit a " <u>submitted</u> " (<u>complete</u>) Applicant Profile from e-snaps with this application	File Attachment			Threshold	Threshold	Applicants are required to complete an Application in e-snaps for this funding. As a first step, please complete your Applicant Profile, export it, and submit it with this scoresheet by the August 31, 2022 at 11:59:59 PM application deadline Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E
Q18. Please download and complete the Monitoring History Report	File Attachment				10	Applicants must submit a Monitoring History Report by the August 31st 11:59:59 PM application deadline. Applicants that are not required to answer Questions 2a, or 4 will receive full points, Responses to 2a are evaluated as (Yes=-0 pts, and No=5 pts) Responses to 4 are evaluated as (No monitoring findings, No monitoring findings= 5pts, <u>any</u> monitoring findings = 0 pts) Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Section Total				0	58	

Applicant Questions: Applicant Experience & Project Design	Your Response		Scored Criteria Value	Scored Criteria Value	Assessment Criteria
Q1. Please provide a summary of the proposed project, including how you determined the need for this project and the housing intervention requested.		Word Count = 0		10	Applicant provides a scope of the project that centers on Housing and the services that will be offered (4) People with Lived Experience of homelessness, or survivors of domestic violence that do not qualify as "literally homeless" were engaged in the development of the proposed Project (3pts) Applicant references specific data sources that were used to determine need for the project & describes how they were used to develop the project (3pts) Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q2. Please describe your Agency's experience with the following Activities in laetters A-E below (since the start of 2021)			N/A	N/A	N/A
A. Child custody legal services--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
B. Credit repair services--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
C. Housing Search and Counseling--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
D. Crisis DV Services--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
E. Long-term housing stability safety planning--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
F. Education Services--		Word Count = 0		2	Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q3. Please describe your Agency's experience providing Housing and Housing related services to DV Survivors since the start of 2021.		Word Count = 0		20	Description includes landlord recruitment strategies (4) how housing planning is survivor focused and survivor driven (4) describes similar housing activities funded by local, state or federal funders (4) demonstrates the performance of those services with %, Housing Placement rates (4) and Housing Retention rates (4) Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.
Q4. Please describe your Agency's experience meeting the safety needs of DV Survivors since the start of 2021.		Word Count = 0		20	Descriptions that includes Housing First (3) Survivor-driven safety planning (5) the Agency's implementation of Trauma-Informed Care (2) how the Agency prioritizes confidentiality (5) includes a description of security and/or safety Practices (5) may be eligible for full points Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.

<p>Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing or Healthcare resources? If Yes, please describe using the instructions in Column G</p>		Word Count = 0		20	<p>If you are able to leverage housing or healthcare benefits for this project please address the following questions in Column C: Will you be able to get firm written commitments in place that reflect these leveraged Resources no later than September 11th? (10 pts) Is the total Value of these commitments equal to 25% or more of your total request to HUD in Cell B19 (Proposed Total Project Budget, excluding match)? (10 Pts)</p> <p>Please also address the following items in your response: 1) the Source of the commitment 2) whether the resources will be available the entire proposed grant term 3)The Specific type of resource being leveraged (ex Housing Vouchers, or Substance Abuse Treatment, Mental Health, Preventative Health Services etc.) 4) The total value of the commitment</p> <p>If you are not able to leverage housing or healthcare resources at this time, please enter 'no' in Column C.</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>
Section Total			0	82	
Applicant Questions: System Performance	Your Response Please set realistic expectations. Base these responses on your prior and anticipated performance.		Scored Criteria Value	Scored Criteria Value	Assessment Criteria
<p>Please describe the data sources you used to complete questions Q1-Q6 below</p>		Word Count = 0		10	<p>Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts) Applicant references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>
<p>Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (Ie: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)</p>	Select response			20	<p>Applicant must select a response from the drop down menu in Column C.</p> <p>0-30 days = 10 pts 31-60 days = 5 pts 61+ days = 0 pts</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>
<p>Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)</p>	Select response			20	<p>Applicant must select a response from the drop down menu in Column C</p> <p>0-30% = 0pts 31-40% = 6 pts 41-50% = 14 pts 51%+ = 20 pts</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Cell E47</p>
<p>Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project? (10 pts)</p>	Select response			20	<p>Applicant must select a response from the drop down menu in Column C.</p> <p>0-30% = 0pts 31-40% = 6 pts 41-50% = 14 pts 51%+ = 20 pts</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>

Q5. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10pts)	Select response		20	<p>Applicant must select a response from the drop down menu in Column C.</p> <p>0-70% = 0pts 71-80% = 6pt 81-90% = 14pts 91%+ = 20pts</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>
Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)	Select response		20	<p>Applicant must select a response from the drop down menu in Column C.</p> <p>0-70% = 0 pts 71-80% = 6 pts 81-90% = 14 pts 91%+ = 20 pts</p> <p>Applicant must self-score their response by entering a numerical value in Column E.</p>
Section Total		0	110	
Applicant Acknowledgements	Please type your name in each line below to acknowledge			
Q1. Please review the CoC Expectations Form : Your name in the box to the right indicates that your Agency has read and will sign the CoC Expectations Form if awarded.		N/A	N/A	Threshold: Applicant must enter first and last name in Column C.
Q4. Applicants awarded funding under the DV Bonus must consent to the use of Comparable Database and may not enter data into HMIS.		N/A	N/A	Threshold: Applicant must enter first and last name in Column C.
Q5. THN has the responsibility to maintain oversight and monitor Applicants throughout the lifetime of the Project, Your name in the cell to the right is a consent to monitoring.		N/A	N/A	Threshold: Applicant must enter first and last name in Column C.
Q6. THN reserves the right to follow up and request additional information about any of the materials or information provided in any part of this application.		N/A	N/A	Threshold: Applicant must enter first and last name in Column C.
Q7. The Applicant agrees to partner with THN and the CoC to complete the full Application if selected for award, which includes at minimum: additional questions about your experience, the implementation of the project, and completion of the e-SNAPS Project Application.		N/A	N/A	Threshold: Applicant must enter first and last name in Column C.
Application Total		0	250	

New DV Bonus Scorecard
 Objective Criteria 168/250
 System Performance Measures 110/250
 Specific Vulnerabilities Threshold

TX-607

1-E2a Scored Forms for One Project

Renewal RRH

9/15/2022

2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Score Card- Rapid Rehousing

Agency Name: The Salvation Army Temple			Project Name: The Salvation Army - CoC Rapid Rehousing Program			RRH			
--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer				
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a	52				
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a	29				
Total leavers	APR: Q5a	17				
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a	10				
Number of Households to be served at a Point in Time from the 2021 Project Application	Reference FY21 e-snaps Screen 4B, Total Units)	13				

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations	>95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Other Locations"	29	100.0%	100-95%=10 points <95-90%=7.5 points <90= 0 points	10	10	
1.2	Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations	>40%	APR: Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 3 "Total"	3	10.3%	100-40% = 10 points <40-30% = 7.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	10	
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"	6	20.7%	100-50% = 10 points <50-40% = 7.5 points <40% = 0 points	0	10	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							10	30	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	17%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	20.0%	100-17% = 10 points <17-11% = 5 points <11% = 0 points	10	10		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	0.0%	100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	0	10		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	30%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	20.0%	100-30% = 10 points <30-20% = 5 points <20% = 0 points	5	10		
Section Subtotal							15	30	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH

	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with earned income at exit	20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	60.0%	100-20% = 10 points <20-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	10	10		
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	60.0%	100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	10	10		
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained</u> or <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 5, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	90.0%	100-25% = 10 points <25-15% = 5 points <15% = 0 points	10	10		
Section Subtotal							30	30	
Access to Income and Benefits Total Score							45	60	

4 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	System Performance Measure 7b1"% of Successful Exits	100.0%	100-85% = 20 points <84-70% = 10 points <70% = 0 points	20	20		
			APR 23c 'Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	0					100.0%
			APR 23c 'Total persons exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations	17					
Housing Stability Total Score							20	20	

5 Returns to Homelessness

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	What percentage of participants returned to homelessness in the last 2 years?	≤5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Last Column "Percentage of Returns in 2 Years"	0.0%		0-5% = 25 points >5-10% = 15 points >10% = 0 points	25	25	
5.2	Of participants exiting in the last 12 months, what percentage returned to homelessness?	≤5%	"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 3rd Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo.	0.0%		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	15	15	
			"CUSTOM" System Performance Measure 2a-2b, 5th Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 12 mo.	0.0%		0-5% = 15 points >5-10% = 7.5 points >10% = 0 points	15	15	
Returns to Homelessness							55	55	
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	≤ 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total	17		Avg ≤30 Days = 20 points Avg 31-60 Days = 15 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	20	20	
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily bed utilization	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total	16	115.4%	100-95% = 10 points <95-90% = 7.5 points <90% = 0 points	10	10	
			APR: Q08b "April" Total	15					
			APR: Q08b "July" Total	15					
			APR: Q08b "October" Total	14					
6.3	How is the Agency addressing Racial Disparities at the Agency-level?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q1	5		1pt for each action identified	5	5	
	How does the Agency engage those with Lived Expertise?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q2	2		1pt for each action identified	2	5	
	How does the Agency meet the needs of LGBTQIA persons experiencing homelessness?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q3	5		1pt for each action identified	5	5	
	How does the Agency implement the Equal Access Rule?	5pts	Renewal Narrative, Q4	5		1pt for each action identified	5	5	
Meeting Community Need Total Score							47	50	
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater then 10% of total project awards?	>5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage: Total funds awarded from the most recent grant term	\$162,398.00	0.0%	0-5% = 20 points >5-10% = 5 points >10% = 0 points	20	20	TX0502L6T071902
			Verify in Sage: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term	\$0.00					
7.2	Money Recaptured by HUD within the last 5 years?	No		No		Yes = -10 points No = 0 points	0	0	
7.3	RRH: What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	<10%	APR 23c "Persons exiting to positive housing destinations"	17	0.0%	0-10% = 15 points >10-13% =7.5 points >13% = 0	15	15	25% of TX0502L6T072104
			APR 23c "Persons whose destinations excluded from calculation"	0					
			Total Support Service BLI or 25% of the Total 2020 Award, whichever is greater	42156.5					
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							35	35	
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number	0.0%		0% = 7.5 points >0-2% = 5 points >2-5% = 2.5 points >5% = 0 points	7.5	7.5	
8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"	1		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	5	7.5	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"	0		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	7.5	7.5	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0%	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"	0		0 = 7.5 points 1 = 5 points 2-4 = 2.5 points 5+ = 0 points	7.5	7.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score							27.5	30	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes

9.1	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	75-100%	HMIS Report	88.0%	100-75% = 10 Points <75-50% = 5 points <50-25% = 2.5 points <25-0% = 0 points	10	10	
	<i>Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score</i>					10	10	
10	General Administration							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
10.1	Applicant had findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years	0	Monitoring Certification	0	0 findings = 0 Points 1-3 findings = -5 Points 4+ findings = -10 Points	0	0	
10.2	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements?(i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	Yes	Federal Audit Clearinghouse	N/A	Yes = 0 No = -5 N/A = 0	0	0	
	<i>Supplemental Project Narrative Total Score</i>					0	0	
11	Prioritization Fidelity							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
11.1	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps	Yes	Yes = 10 No = 0	10	10	
	<i>Prioritization Fidelity Total Score</i>					10	10	
	Total Score					259.5	300	
	Cumulative Score out of 100%					86.50%	100%	

TX-607

1-E5 Notification of Projects Rejected or Reduced
9/2/2022



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thank you for your submission to the FY2022 TX BoS CoC Bonus Request for Proposals: The Application will not move forward

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Fri, Sep 2, 2022 at 5:45 PM

To: jstrentzsch@crisiscenternb.org, Madison Trahan <mtrahan@crisiscenternb.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Good afternoon,

Thank you for the submission of your Application for "Transitional Housing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Comal County". Staff conducted a review as indicated in Section IV.F.(a) of the RFP.

Unfortunately, the reviewer determined that your Organization did not submit a completed Applicant Profile exported from e-snaps as required by the RFP Section IV.(a).vii.D. The applicant uploaded a screenshot of e-snaps instead.

This condition disqualifies the Organization from consideration in the TX BoS CoC Local Application Process. Applicants must submit a "completed" Applicant Profile by the Application Deadline as this requirement validates other threshold eligibility requirements, such as Non-Profit Status and evidence of an acceptable Organizational Code of Conduct. The reviewer was unable to conduct a complete review of the Organization as a result.

We understand from your responses in Apply that there were issues with e-snaps. Unfortunately, it is the responsibility of the applicant to navigate these systems and to prepare and plan for challenges that can arise from the use of software-based application processes. We know that this is disappointing and thank you for the efforts of the Organization. If you have any questions for THN, please reply to this email.

--



Like what we do? Join or donate!

How am I doing?: Customer Service Survey

TX-607

1E-5a Notification of Projects Accepted

All Projects

9/15/2022



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 4:52 PM

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Bcc: ahust1028@gmail.com, Manuel Carneiro <manny@abilenehopehaven.org>, gilbert.montez@ccahelps.org, Daphne Adams <daphne.adams@ccahelps.org>, Kirsten Cobb <kirsten.cobb@ccahelps.org>, "Salas, Robert" <robert.salas@cosatx.us>, mauri.rodriguez@cosatx.us, pcd@txkusa.org, Megan Price <megan.price@txkusa.org>, Pam Gutierrez <pamg@dentonmhmr.org>, John Akers <johna@dentonmhmr.org>, William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, dop@familiesincrisis.net, jstewart@gnty.com, "Leighanne (Sanchez) Christon" <leighanne@hopeincdenton.com>, Elissa Polley <grants@givinggrace.org>, Leighanne Christon <leighannec@givinggrace.org>, wendyn@givinggrace.org, Mary Gaona <mary@larha.org>, Jessica Martinez <jmartinez@larha.org>, Jennifer Barrientos <jbarrientos@larha.org>, chad@opendoorlbk.org, Andrea Omojola <andrea@opendoorlbk.org>, Ginny Stafford <gstafford@midcoastfamily.org>, Brett Jones <bjones@midcoastfamily.org>, Debra Huffman <debrah@exp.net>, Becky <beckbear09@hotmail.com>, Erika Chavez <homelesslinks@gmail.com>, linksconference@gmail.com, Inman White <inman.white@communityhealthcore.com>, Chesley Knowles <chesley.knowles@communityhealthcore.com>, James Keel <jkeel@tcc1878.org>, Marsha Wilson <mwilson@tcc1878.org>, marshatcci2902@gmail.com, Rick Elizondo <ricke@gulfcoastcenter.org>, Melissa Hollman <melissah@gulfcoastcenter.org>, art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, dawn.beckham@salvationarmy.org, Kyle Knutson <kyle.knutson@uss.salvationarmy.org>, Olivia Williams <olivia@unitedwaydenton.org>, Gary Henderson <gary@unitedwaydenton.org>, Michaelle Wormly <womaninc@pdq.net>, marilyn@farrellassociatesconsulting.com, Toni Johnson-Simpson <toni@dcfoc.org>, kflowers@dcfoc.org, Lillie Champion <ceo@fowinc.com>, Daisy Lopez <dovs@fowinc.com>, chenderson@rccgc.org, Beth Spencer <bspencer@rccgc.org>, Rhonda Williamson <rwilliamson@saferpathfvs.org>, Adriana Alvarado <aalvarado@saferpathfvs.org>

Hello CoC Program Applicants,

Thank you for your hard work in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. We recognize that the time limitations of this year's Competition were especially challenging, and we appreciate your dedication to this work.

We are pleased to announce the release of the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing, published to the Continuum of Care page of our website and linked here.

Please expect follow up communication regarding inclusion in the CoC Priority listing for each individual Project recommended for funding.

Thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? Join or donate!

How am I doing?: Customer Service Survey

FileMessageHelp

Tell me what you want to do

IgnoreJunk

DeleteArchive

ReplyReply AllForwardMore

Meeting

ESGTeam EmailReply & Delete

To ManagerDoneCreate New

Quick Steps

Move

RulesOneNoteActions

Move

Mark Unread

CategorizeTags

Follow Up

Translate

FindRelatedSelect

Editing

Read Aloud

Zoom

TB

Thu 9/15/2022 4:53 PM

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

To

Cc Texas BoS Continuum of Care

Hello CoC Program Applicants,

Thank you for your hard work in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. We recognize that the time limitations of this year's Competition were especially challenging, and your hard work.

We are pleased to announce the release of the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing, published to the [Continuum of Care page of our website and linked here](https://www.thn.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/annual_fy2022_ranking_txboscoc.pdf).

Please expect follow up communication regarding inclusion in the CoC Priority listing for each individual Project recommended for funding.

Thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--

THN

TEXAS HOMELESS NETWORK

Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)

TX BoS CoC FY 2022 ANNUAL Priority Listing															
Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F.1	Column F.2	Column F.3	Column G	Column H	Column I	Column J	Column K	Column L	Column M	Column N
Rank No.	Applicant Name	Project Name (2022 GIW names or new project names) Updated for the revised application names given to projects this year.	Project Type	Total 2022 GIW ARA	Points Awarded	Possible Point Total	2022 Percent Score or Status	2022 Project Application Budget	Running Balance	Tier	Tier 1 Funds	Tier 2 Funds	Tier 2 Balance	Score 40 * (1-4)	Status Accepted/ Rejected
1	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HMIS Project FY2022	HMIS	\$572,418	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 572,418	\$572,418	TIER 1	\$572,418	\$0	\$0		Accepted
2	Families In Crisis, Inc.	RIC_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22	RRH	\$688,430	223.00	245	91.02	\$ 688,430	\$1,260,848	TIER 1	\$688,430	\$0	\$0		Accepted
3	Women Opting for More Affordable Housing Now, Inc. (WOMAN, Inc.)	WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	\$128,784	220.00	245	89.80	\$ 128,784	\$1,389,632	TIER 1	\$128,784	\$0	\$0		Accepted
4	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	PSH	\$811,731	219.50	245	89.59	\$ 811,731	\$2,201,363	TIER 1	\$811,731	\$0	\$0		Accepted
5	Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program	RRH	\$202,396	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 202,396	\$2,403,759	TIER 1	\$202,396	\$0	\$0		Accepted
6	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	\$168,626	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 168,626	\$2,572,385	TIER 1	\$168,626	\$0	\$0		Accepted
7	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Transforming Lives	PSH	\$165,512	248.50	300	82.83	\$ 165,512	\$2,737,897	TIER 1	\$165,512	\$0	\$0		Accepted
8	Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH FY2022	PSH	\$731,968	245.50	300	81.83	\$ 731,968	\$3,469,865	TIER 1	\$731,968	\$0	\$0		Accepted
9	Odessa Links, Inc.	FY2022 Project HOPE	RRH	\$311,616	235.00	300	78.33	\$ 311,616	\$3,781,481	TIER 1	\$311,616	\$0	\$0		Accepted
10	Families In Crisis, Inc.	RIC_HUD_RAPID_REHOUSIN_FY22	RRH	\$179,141	180.50	245	73.67	\$ 179,141	\$3,960,622	TIER 1	\$179,141	\$0	\$0		Accepted
11	Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022	RRH	\$236,632	220.00	300	73.33	\$ 236,632	\$4,197,254	TIER 1	\$236,632	\$0	\$0	-	Accepted
12	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$150,713	217.00	300	72.33	\$ 150,713	\$4,347,967	TIER 1	\$150,713	\$0	\$0		Accepted
13	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Project Budenz	RRH	\$321,634	207.00	300	69.00	\$ 321,634	\$4,669,601	TIER 1	\$321,634	\$0	\$0		Accepted
14	Lubbock Open Door	Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined	PSH	\$1,404,880	200.00	300	66.67	\$ 1,404,880	\$6,074,481	TIER 1	\$1,404,880	\$0	\$0		Accepted
15	City of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$186,630	192.00	300	64.00	\$ 186,630	\$6,261,111	TIER 1	\$186,630	\$0	\$0		Accepted
16	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	RRH	\$217,707	187.00	300	62.33	\$ 217,707	\$6,478,818	TIER 1	\$217,707	\$0	\$0		Accepted
17	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS PSH FY 2022	PSH	\$225,181	180.00	300	60.00	\$ 225,181	\$6,703,999	TIER 1	\$225,181	\$0	\$0		Accepted
18	Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcare	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$254,326	179.00	300	59.67	\$ 254,326	\$6,958,325	TIER 1	\$254,326	\$0	\$0		Accepted
19	Giving HOPE, Inc.	Renewal Application FY2022	PSH	\$460,980	175.00	300	58.33	\$ 460,980	\$7,419,305	TIER 1	\$460,980	\$0	\$0		Accepted
20	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS FY 2022	RRH	\$261,311	172.50	300	57.50	\$ 261,311	\$7,680,616	TIER 1	\$261,311	\$0	\$0		Accepted
21	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	TH/RRH	\$286,170	239.50	435	55.06	\$ 286,170	\$7,966,786	TIER 1	\$286,170	\$0	\$0		Accepted
22	Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services	RRH	\$418,324	159.00	300	53.00	\$ 418,324	\$8,385,110	TIER 1	\$418,324	\$0	\$0		Accepted
23	United Way of Denton County, Inc.	FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	SSO	\$123,926	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 123,926	\$8,509,036	TIER 1	\$123,926	\$0	\$0		Accepted
24	Lubbock Open Door	Survivor Housing TH-RRH	TH/RRH	\$1,168,859	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 1,168,859	\$9,677,895	TIER 1	\$1,168,859	\$0	\$0		Accepted
25	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	SSO	\$280,000	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 280,000	\$9,957,895	TIER 1	\$280,000	\$0	\$0		Accepted
26	The Gulf Coast Center	FY22 GCC Permanent Housing	PSH	\$641,103	149.00	300	49.67	\$ 641,103	\$10,598,998	TIER 2	\$390,987	\$250,116	\$250,116	39.38	Accepted
27	The Children's Center, Inc	Pelican Island CoC Program	TH/RRH	\$294,562	191.50	435	44.02	\$ 294,562	\$10,893,560	TIER 2	\$0	\$294,562	\$544,678	38.03	Accepted
28	Denton County MHMR	Connections PSH Expansion FY2022	PSH	New -CoC	127.50	195	65.38	\$ 431,608	\$11,325,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$431,608	\$976,286	36.22	Accepted
29	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home: Expansion	RRH	New -CoC	121.50	195	62.33	\$ 50,000	\$11,375,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$50,000	\$1,026,286	35.03	Accepted
30	Denton County Friends of the Family	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors	RRH	New -DV	240.00	260	92.31	\$ 360,567	\$11,735,735	TIER 2	\$0	\$360,567	\$1,386,853	34.01	Accepted
31	Families In Crisis	RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22 Expansion	RRH	New -DV	238.00	260	91.54	\$ 1,049,453	\$12,785,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$1,049,453	\$2,436,306	30.51	Accepted
32	Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center	FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 200,000	\$12,985,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$200,000	\$2,636,306	27.40	Accepted
33	Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc	Rural Rapid Rehousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 166,266	\$13,151,454	TIER 2	\$0	\$166,266	\$2,802,572	26.49	Accepted
34	Friendship of Women	Friendship of Women, Inc.	TH/RRH	New -DV	223.00	260	85.77	\$ 267,481	\$13,418,935	TIER 2	\$0	\$267,481	\$3,070,053	25.42	Accepted
35	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	FY22 HUD Planning	Planning	New- NC	Not Scored	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,250,000	\$14,668,935						Accepted



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:09 PM

To: William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, dop@familiesincrisis.net

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Families In Crisis, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FIC_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22

Funding Amount: \$688,430

Project Score: 91.02% (223 points/245 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:34 PM

To: Michaelle Wormly <womaninc@pdq.net>, marilyn@farrellassociatesconsulting.com

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello WOMAN, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing

Funding Amount: \$128,784

Project Score: 89.80% (220 points/245 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:16 PM

To: Ginny Stafford <gstafford@midcoastfamily.org>, Brett Jones <bjones@midcoastfamily.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Next Step Combined

Funding Amount: \$811,731

Project Score: 89.59% (219.5 points/245 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:18 PM

To: Debra Huffman <debrah@exp.net>, Becky <beckbear09@hotmail.com>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Neighborhood Development Corp Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Homeless to Homes Program

Funding Amount: \$202,396

Project Score: 86.5% (259.50 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:25 PM

To: art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, dawn.beckham@salvationarmy.org

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Salvation Army Temple Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: The Salvation Army-CoC Rapid Rehousing Program

Funding Amount: \$168,626

Project Score: 86.5% (259.5 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:28 PM

To: art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, Kyle Knutson <kyle.knutson@uss.salvationarmy.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Salvation Army Corpus Christi Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Transforming Lives

Funding Amount: \$165,512

Project Score: 82.83% (248.5 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:07 PM

To: Pam Gutierrez <pamg@dentonmhmr.org>, John Akers <johna@dentonmhmr.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Denton County MHMR Center Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Connections PSH FY2022

Funding Amount: \$731,968

Project Score: 81.83% (245.5 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:20 PM

To: Erika Chavez <homelesslinks@gmail.com>, linksconference@gmail.com, Andrea Quiroz <ed@linksodessa.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Odessa Links, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FY2022 Project HOPE

Funding Amount: \$311,616

Project Score: 78.33% (235 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:10 PM

To: William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, dop@familiesincrisis.net

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Families In Crisis, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FIC_HUD_RAPID_REHOUSIN_FY22

Funding Amount: \$179,141

Project Score: 73.67% (180.50 points/245 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:12 PM

To: Mary Gaona <mary@larha.org>, Jessica Martinez <jmartinez@larha.org>, Jennifer Barrientos <jbarrientos@larha.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Housing Authority of the City of Laredo Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022

Funding Amount: \$236,632

Project Score: 73.33% (220 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:26 PM

To: art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, dawn.beckham@salvationarmy.org

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Salvation Army Temple Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program

Funding Amount: \$150,713

Project Score: 72.33% (217 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:29 PM

To: art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, Kyle Knutson <kyle.knutson@uss.salvationarmy.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Salvation Army Corpus Christi Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Project Bridge

Funding Amount: \$321,634

Project Score: 69% (207 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:14 PM

To: chad@opendoorlbk.org, Andrea Omojola <andrea@opendoorlbk.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Lubbock Open Door Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined

Funding Amount: \$1,404,880

Project Score: 66.67% (200 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:03 PM

To: "Salas, Robert" <robert.salas@cosatx.us>, mauri.rodriquez@cosatx.us

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello City of San Angelo Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: COSA Rapid Rehousing

Funding Amount: \$186,630

Project Score: 64% (192 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:04 PM

To: pcd@txkusa.org, Megan Price <megan.price@txkusa.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello City of Texarkana Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home

Funding Amount: \$217,707

Project Score: 62.33% (187 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 4:57 PM

To: ahust1028@gmail.com, Manuel Carneiro <manny@abilenehopehaven.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Abilene Hope Haven, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: AHH HHS PSH FY 2022

Funding Amount: \$225,181

Project Score: 60% (180 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:21 PM

To: Inman White <inman.white@communityhealthcore.com>, Chesley Knowles
<chesley.knowles@communityhealthcore.com>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing

Funding Amount: \$254,326

Project Score: 59.67% (179 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:11 PM

To: jstewart@gnty.com, "Leighanne (Sanchez) Christon" <leighanne@hopeincdenton.com>, Elissa Polley <grants@givinggrace.org>, Leighanne Christon <leighannec@givinggrace.org>, wendyn@givinggrace.org
Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Giving HOPE, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Renewal Application FY2022

Funding Amount: \$460,980

Project Score: 58.33% (175 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 4:59 PM

To: ahust1028@gmail.com, Manuel Carneiro <manny@abilenehopehaven.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Abilene Hope Haven, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: AHH HHS FY 2022

Funding Amount: \$261,311

Project Score: 57.50% (172.5 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:17 PM

To: Ginny Stafford <gstafford@midcoastfamily.org>, Brett Jones <bjones@midcoastfamily.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Pathways

Funding Amount: \$286,170

Project Score: 55.06% (239.5 points/435 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:00 PM

To: gilbert.montez@ccahelps.org, Daphne Adams <daphne.adams@ccahelps.org>, Kirsten Cobb
<kirsten.cobb@ccahelps.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Christian Community Action Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services

Funding Amount: \$418,324

Project Score: 53% (159 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:30 PM

To: Olivia Williams <olivia@unitedwaydenton.org>, Gary Henderson <gary@unitedwaydenton.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello United Way of Denton County, Inc. Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FY 2022 CoC CE SSO

Funding Amount: \$123,926

Project Score: Not Scored, Project Held Harmless

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:14 PM

To: chad@opendoorlbk.org, Andrea Omojola <andrea@opendoorlbk.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Lubbock Open Door Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Survivor Housing TH-RRH

Funding Amount: \$1,168,859

Project Score: Not Scored, Project Held Harmless

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:24 PM

To: Rick Elizondo <ricke@gulfcoastcenter.org>, Melissa Hollman <melissah@gulfcoastcenter.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Gulf Coast Center Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FY22 GCC Permanent Housing

Funding Amount: \$641,103

Project Score: 49.67% (149 points/300 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:23 PM

To: James Keel <jkeel@tcc1878.org>, Marsha Wilson <mwilson@tcc1878.org>, marshatcci2902@gmail.com

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello The Children's Center, Inc Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Pelican Island COC Program

Funding Amount: \$294,562

Project Score: 44.02% (191.5 points/435 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:39 PM

To: Pam Gutierrez <pamg@dentonmhmr.org>, John Akers <johna@dentonmhmr.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Denton County MHMR Center Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Connections PSH Expansion FY2022

Funding Amount: \$431,608

Project Score: 65.38% (127.5 points/195 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:35 PM

To: pcd@txkusa.org, Megan Price <megan.price@txkusa.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello City of Texarkana Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home: Expansion

Funding Amount: \$50,000

Project Score: 62.33% (121.5 points/195 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:36 PM

To: Toni Johnson-Simpson <toni@dcfoc.org>, kflowers@dcfoc.org

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Denton County Friends of the Family Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors

Funding Amount: \$360,567

Project Score: 92.31% (240 points/260 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:40 PM

To: William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, dop@familiesincrisis.net

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Families in Crisis Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22 Expansion

Funding Amount: \$1,049,453

Project Score: 91.54% (238 points/260 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate!](#)

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:43 PM

To: chenderson@rccgc.org, Beth Spencer <bspencer@rccgc.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County

Funding Amount: \$200,000

Project Score: 88.08% (229 points/260 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:44 PM

To: Rhonda Williamson <rwilliamson@saferpathfvs.org>, Adriana Alvarado <aalvarado@saferpathfvs.org>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County

Funding Amount: \$166,266

Project Score: 88.08% (229 points/260 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Notice of Project Inclusion in CoC Priority Listing

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 5:42 PM

To: Lillie Champion <ceo@fowinc.com>, Daisy Lopez <dovs@fowinc.com>

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Hello Friendship of Women Staff,

Thank you for your participation in the FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition. Your project is being recommended for funding consideration to HUD and is included in the TX BoS CoC's FY22 CoC Annual Program Competition Priority Listing, **contingent upon the receipt of your submitted esnaps Project Application by 9/15/22 at 11:59:59 PM**. The details of your project are listed below:

Project Name: Friendship of Women, Inc.

Funding Amount: \$267,481

Project Score: 85.77% (223 points/260 points)

We know that Applicants are juggling many important priorities, and thank you for your efforts to make this a successful CoC Program Funding Cycle!

TX BoS CoC Staff

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)

TX-607

1E-5a Notification of Projects Accepted

(corrected transcription errors)

9/23/2022



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

UPDATED Priority Listing FY2022 ANNUAL COC Competition

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Fri, Sep 23, 2022 at 9:24 AM

Cc: Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Bcc: ahust1028@gmail.com, Manuel Carneiro <manny@abilenehopehaven.org>, gilbert.montez@ccahelps.org, Daphne Adams <daphne.adams@ccahelps.org>, Kirsten Cobb <kirsten.cobb@ccahelps.org>, "Salas, Robert" <robert.salas@cosatx.us>, mauri.rodriguez@cosatx.us, pcd@txkusa.org, Megan Price <megan.price@txkusa.org>, Pam Gutierrez <pamg@dentonmhm.org>, John Akers <johna@dentonmhm.org>, William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, dop@familiesincrisis.net, jstewart@gnty.com, "Leighanne (Sanchez) Christon" <leighanne@hopeincdenton.com>, Elissa Polley <grants@givinggrace.org>, Leighanne Christon <leighannec@givinggrace.org>, wendyn@givinggrace.org, Mary Gaona <mary@larha.org>, Jessica Martinez <jmartinez@larha.org>, Jennifer Barrientos <jbarrientos@larha.org>, chad@opendoorlbk.org, Andrea Omojola <andrea@opendoorlbk.org>, Ginny Stafford <gstafford@midcoastfamily.org>, Brett Jones <bjones@midcoastfamily.org>, Debra Huffman <debrah@exp.net>, Becky <beckbear09@hotmail.com>, Erika Chavez <homelesslinks@gmail.com>, linksconference@gmail.com, Inman White <inman.white@communityhealthcore.com>, Chesley Knowles <chesley.knowles@communityhealthcore.com>, James Keel <jkeel@tcc1878.org>, Marsha Wilson <mwilson@tcc1878.org>, marshatcci2902@gmail.com, Rick Elizondo <ricke@gulfcoastcenter.org>, Melissa Hollman <melissah@gulfcoastcenter.org>, art.penhale@uss.salvationarmy.org, dawn.beckham@salvationarmy.org, Kyle Knutson <kyle.knutson@uss.salvationarmy.org>, Olivia Williams <olivia@unitedwaydenton.org>, Gary Henderson <gary@unitedwaydenton.org>, Michaelle Wormly <womaninc@pdq.net>, marilyn@farrellassociatesconsulting.com, Toni Johnson-Simpson <toni@dcfof.org>, kflowers@dcfof.org, Lillie Champion <ceo@fowinc.com>, Daisy Lopez <dovs@fowinc.com>, chenderson@rccgc.org, Beth Spencer <bspencer@rccgc.org>, Rhonda Williamson <rwilliamson@saferpathfvs.org>, Adriana Alvarado <aalvarado@saferpathfvs.org>

Good morning everyone.

THN Staff have updated the priority listing as of this morning. Staff identified transcription errors in two project names that needed to be corrected. This does **NOT** affect the ranking, budget or recommendation for ANY project. Staff are preparing the final materials for submission to HUD and plan to post the full Collaborative Application on our website on 9/28. If you have any questions, please let us know!

To access the updated Priority Listing, please visit the Competition homepage or visit this link: https://www.thn.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSCoC-Update-9.23.pdf

--



Like what we do? [Join](#) or [donate](#)!

How am I doing?: [Customer Service Survey](#)



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Error in Annual CoC Priority Listing. Corrected 9/23

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Fri, Sep 23, 2022 at 9:30 AM

To: William Hall <doo@familiesincrisis.net>, Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

William,

Staff identified an error in the name of your DV Bonus RRH project on the Priority Listing. The Project name was transcribed into the Priority Listing as an Expansion, which it is not. All other project details are confirmed correct. That naming error has been corrected and the updated Priority Listing can be accessed here: https://www.thn.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSCoC-Update-9.23.pdf

Please note that this has no material effect on the outcome of the competition or our recommendation of your project, as we have not yet submitted to HUD. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Thank you.

--



Like what we do? Join or donate!

How am I doing?: Customer Service Survey



Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Naming Error in Annual CoC Priority Listing. Corrected 9/23

1 message

Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Fri, Sep 23, 2022 at 9:34 AM

To: Daisy Lopez <dovs@fowinc.com>, Texas BoS Continuum of Care <txboscoc@thn.org>

Good morning Daisy,

Staff identified an error in the name of your DV Bonus TH/RRH project on the Priority Listing. The Project name in Apply, our Grant Application Software did not match e-snaps or the Certification of Consistency. Staff have updated Apply on your behalf. All other project details are confirmed correct. That naming error has been corrected in the Priority Listing and the updated Priority Listing can be accessed here: https://www.thn.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSCoC-Update-9.23.pdf

Please note that this has no material effect on the outcome of the competition or our recommendation of your project, as we have not yet submitted to HUD. If you have any questions, please let us know.

--



Like what we do? Join or donate!

How am I doing?: Customer Service Survey

FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSC

thn.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSCoC-Update-9.23.pdf

ClientTrackFormsBasecampSageeSNAPSAdobe ConnectPhoneHUD ExchangeTHN formsESG resourcesLitmosCalendlyCoC CompOther bookmarks

FINAL_FY2022_RANKING_TXBoSCoC Update 9.23.xlsx1 / 1100%

UPDATED 9.23 TX BoS CoC FY 2022 ANNUAL Priority Listing

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F.1	Column F.2	Column F.3	Column G	Column H	Column I	Column J	Column K	Column L	Column M	Column N
Rank No.	Applicant Name	Project Name (2022 GIW names or new project names) Updated for the revised application names given to projects this year.	Project Type	Total 2022 GIW ARA	Points Awarded	Possible Point Total	2022 Percent Score or Status	2022 Project Application Budget	Running Balance	Tier	Tier 1 Funds	Tier 2 Funds	Tier 2 Balance	Score 40 (1-x)	Status Accepted/Rejected
1	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HIMS Project FY2022	HIMS	\$572,418	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 572,418	\$572,418	TIER 1	\$572,418	\$0	\$0		Accepted
2	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22	RRH	\$688,430	223.00	245	91.02	\$ 688,430	\$1,260,848	TIER 1	\$688,430	\$0	\$0		Accepted
3	Women Opting for More Affordable Housing Now for WOMAN Inc.	WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	\$128,784	220.00	245	89.80	\$ 128,784	\$1,389,632	TIER 1	\$128,784	\$0	\$0		Accepted
4	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	PSH	\$811,731	219.50	245	89.59	\$ 811,731	\$2,201,363	TIER 1	\$811,731	\$0	\$0		Accepted
5	Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program	RRH	\$202,396	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 202,396	\$2,403,759	TIER 1	\$202,396	\$0	\$0		Accepted
6	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	\$168,626	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 168,626	\$2,572,385	TIER 1	\$168,626	\$0	\$0		Accepted
7	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Transforming Lives	PSH	\$165,512	248.50	300	82.83	\$ 165,512	\$2,737,897	TIER 1	\$165,512	\$0	\$0		Accepted
8	Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH FY2022	PSH	\$731,968	245.50	300	81.83	\$ 731,968	\$3,469,865	TIER 1	\$731,968	\$0	\$0		Accepted
9	Odessa Links, Inc.	FY2022 Project HOPE	RRH	\$311,616	235.00	300	78.33	\$ 311,616	\$3,781,481	TIER 1	\$311,616	\$0	\$0		Accepted
10	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_HUD_RAPID_REHOUSIN_FY22	RRH	\$179,141	180.50	245	73.67	\$ 179,141	\$3,960,622	TIER 1	\$179,141	\$0	\$0		Accepted
11	Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022	RRH	\$236,632	220.00	300	73.33	\$ 236,632	\$4,197,254	TIER 1	\$236,632	\$0	\$0		Accepted
12	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$150,713	217.00	300	72.33	\$ 150,713	\$4,347,967	TIER 1	\$150,713	\$0	\$0		Accepted
13	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Project Redwood	RRH	\$321,634	207.00	300	69.00	\$ 321,634	\$4,669,601	TIER 1	\$321,634	\$0	\$0		Accepted
14	Lubbock Open Door	Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined	PSH	\$1,404,880	200.00	300	66.67	\$ 1,404,880	\$6,074,481	TIER 1	\$1,404,880	\$0	\$0		Accepted
15	City of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$186,630	192.00	300	64.00	\$ 186,630	\$6,261,111	TIER 1	\$186,630	\$0	\$0		Accepted
16	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	RRH	\$217,707	187.00	300	62.33	\$ 217,707	\$6,478,818	TIER 1	\$217,707	\$0	\$0		Accepted
17	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS PSH FY 2022	PSH	\$225,181	180.00	300	60.00	\$ 225,181	\$6,703,999	TIER 1	\$225,181	\$0	\$0		Accepted
18	Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcare	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$254,326	179.00	300	59.67	\$ 254,326	\$6,958,325	TIER 1	\$254,326	\$0	\$0		Accepted
19	Giving HOPE, Inc.	Renewal Application FY2022	PSH	\$460,980	175.00	300	58.33	\$ 460,980	\$7,419,305	TIER 1	\$460,980	\$0	\$0		Accepted
20	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS FY 2022	RRH	\$261,311	172.50	300	57.50	\$ 261,311	\$7,680,616	TIER 1	\$261,311	\$0	\$0		Accepted
21	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	TH/RRH	\$286,170	239.50	435	55.06	\$ 286,170	\$7,966,786	TIER 1	\$286,170	\$0	\$0		Accepted
22	Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services	RRH	\$418,324	159.00	300	53.00	\$ 418,324	\$8,385,110	TIER 1	\$418,324	\$0	\$0		Accepted
23	United Way of Denton County, Inc.	FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	SSO	\$123,926	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 123,926	\$8,509,036	TIER 1	\$123,926	\$0	\$0		Accepted
24	Lubbock Open Door	Survivor Housing TH-RRH	TH/RRH	\$1,168,859	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 1,168,859	\$9,677,895	TIER 1	\$1,168,859	\$0	\$0		Accepted
25	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	SSO	\$280,000	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 280,000	\$9,957,895	TIER 1	\$280,000	\$0	\$0		Accepted
26	The Gulf Coast Center	FY22 GCC Permanent Housing	PSH	\$641,103	149.00	300	49.67	\$ 641,103	\$10,598,998	TIER 2	\$390,987	\$250,116	\$250,116	39.38	Accepted
27	The Children's Center, Inc	Pelican Island CoC Program	TH/RRH	\$294,562	191.50	435	44.02	\$ 294,562	\$10,893,560	TIER 2	\$0	\$294,562	\$544,678	38.03	Accepted
28	Denton County MHMR	Connections PSH Expansion FY2022	PSH	New-CoC	127.50	195	65.38	\$ 431,608	\$11,325,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$431,608	\$976,286	36.22	Accepted
29	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home: Expansion	RRH	New-CoC	121.50	195	62.33	\$ 50,000	\$11,375,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$50,000	\$1,026,286	35.03	Accepted
30	Denton County Friends of the Family	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors	RRH	New-DV	240.00	260	92.31	\$ 360,567	\$11,735,735	TIER 2	\$0	\$360,567	\$1,386,853	34.01	Accepted
31	Families In Crisis	FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22	RRH	New-DV	238.00	260	91.54	\$ 1,049,453	\$12,785,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$1,049,453	\$2,436,306	30.51	Accepted
32	Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	RRH	New-DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 200,000	\$12,985,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$200,000	\$2,636,306	27.40	Accepted
33	Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc	Rural Rapid Rehousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	RRH	New-DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 166,266	\$13,151,454	TIER 2	\$0	\$166,266	\$2,802,572	26.49	Accepted
34	Friendship of Women	Pathways to Safe Housing	TH/RRH	New-DV	223.00	260	85.77	\$ 267,481	\$13,418,935	TIER 2	\$0	\$267,481	\$3,070,053	25.42	Accepted
35	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	FY22 HUD Planning	Planning	New-NC	Not Scored	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,250,000	\$14,668,935						Accepted

10:21 AM9/23/2022

TX-607

1-E5b Final Scores for All Projects

9/15/2022

TX BoS CoC FY 2022 ANNUAL Priority Listing

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F.1	Column F.2	Column F.3	Column G	Column H	Column I	Column J	Column K	Column L	Column M	Column N
Rank No.	Applicant Name	Project Name (2022 GIW names or new project names) Updated for the revised application names given to projects this year.	Project Type	Total 2022 GIW ARA	Points Awarded	Possible Point Total	2022 Percent Score or Status	2022 Project Application Budget	Running Balance	Tier	Tier 1 Funds	Tier 2 Funds	Tier 2 Balance	Score 40 * (1-x)	Status Accepted/ Rejected
1	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HMIS Project FY2022	HMIS	\$572,418	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 572,418	\$572,418	TIER 1	\$572,418	\$0	\$0		Accepted
2	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22	RRH	\$688,430	223.00	245	91.02	\$ 688,430	\$1,260,848	TIER 1	\$688,430	\$0	\$0		Accepted
3	Women Opting for More Affordable Housing Now, Inc. (WOMAN Inc.)	WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	\$128,784	220.00	245	89.80	\$ 128,784	\$1,389,632	TIER 1	\$128,784	\$0	\$0		Accepted
4	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	PSH	\$811,731	219.50	245	89.59	\$ 811,731	\$2,201,363	TIER 1	\$811,731	\$0	\$0		Accepted
5	Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program	RRH	\$202,396	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 202,396	\$2,403,759	TIER 1	\$202,396	\$0	\$0		Accepted
6	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	\$168,626	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 168,626	\$2,572,385	TIER 1	\$168,626	\$0	\$0		Accepted
7	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Transforming Lives	PSH	\$165,512	248.50	300	82.83	\$ 165,512	\$2,737,897	TIER 1	\$165,512	\$0	\$0		Accepted
8	Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH FY2022	PSH	\$731,968	245.50	300	81.83	\$ 731,968	\$3,469,865	TIER 1	\$731,968	\$0	\$0		Accepted
9	Odessa Links, Inc.	FY2022 Project HOPE	RRH	\$311,616	235.00	300	78.33	\$ 311,616	\$3,781,481	TIER 1	\$311,616	\$0	\$0		Accepted
10	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_HUD_RAPID_REHOUSIN_FY22	RRH	\$179,141	180.50	245	73.67	\$ 179,141	\$3,960,622	TIER 1	\$179,141	\$0	\$0		Accepted
11	Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022	RRH	\$236,632	220.00	300	73.33	\$ 236,632	\$4,197,254	TIER 1	\$236,632	\$0	\$0	-	Accepted
12	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$150,713	217.00	300	72.33	\$ 150,713	\$4,347,967	TIER 1	\$150,713	\$0	\$0		Accepted
13	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Project Bridge	RRH	\$321,634	207.00	300	69.00	\$ 321,634	\$4,669,601	TIER 1	\$321,634	\$0	\$0		Accepted
14	Lubbock Open Door	Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined	PSH	\$1,404,880	200.00	300	66.67	\$ 1,404,880	\$6,074,481	TIER 1	\$1,404,880	\$0	\$0		Accepted
15	City of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$186,630	192.00	300	64.00	\$ 186,630	\$6,261,111	TIER 1	\$186,630	\$0	\$0		Accepted
16	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	RRH	\$217,707	187.00	300	62.33	\$ 217,707	\$6,478,818	TIER 1	\$217,707	\$0	\$0		Accepted
17	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS PSH FY 2022	PSH	\$225,181	180.00	300	60.00	\$ 225,181	\$6,703,999	TIER 1	\$225,181	\$0	\$0		Accepted
18	Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcare	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$254,326	179.00	300	59.67	\$ 254,326	\$6,958,325	TIER 1	\$254,326	\$0	\$0		Accepted
19	Giving HOPE, Inc.	Renewal Application FY2022	PSH	\$460,980	175.00	300	58.33	\$ 460,980	\$7,419,305	TIER 1	\$460,980	\$0	\$0		Accepted
20	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS FY 2022	RRH	\$261,311	172.50	300	57.50	\$ 261,311	\$7,680,616	TIER 1	\$261,311	\$0	\$0		Accepted
21	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	TH/RRH	\$286,170	239.50	435	55.06	\$ 286,170	\$7,966,786	TIER 1	\$286,170	\$0	\$0		Accepted
22	Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services	RRH	\$418,324	159.00	300	53.00	\$ 418,324	\$8,385,110	TIER 1	\$418,324	\$0	\$0		Accepted
23	United Way of Denton County, Inc.	FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	SSO	\$123,926	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 123,926	\$8,509,036	TIER 1	\$123,926	\$0	\$0		Accepted
24	Lubbock Open Door	Survivor Housing TH-RRH	TH/RRH	\$1,168,859	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 1,168,859	\$9,677,895	TIER 1	\$1,168,859	\$0	\$0		Accepted
25	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	SSO	\$280,000	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 280,000	\$9,957,895	TIER 1	\$280,000	\$0	\$0		Accepted
26	The Gulf Coast Center	FY22 GCC Permanent Housing	PSH	\$641,103	149.00	300	49.67	\$ 641,103	\$10,598,998	TIER 2	\$390,987	\$250,116	\$250,116	39.38	Accepted
27	The Children's Center, Inc	Pelican Island COC Program	TH/RRH	\$294,562	191.50	435	44.02	\$ 294,562	\$10,893,560	TIER 2	\$0	\$294,562	\$544,678	38.03	Accepted
28	Denton County MHMR	Connections PSH Expansion FY2022	PSH	New -CoC	127.50	195	65.38	\$ 431,608	\$11,325,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$431,608	\$976,286	36.22	Accepted
29	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home: Expansion	RRH	New -CoC	121.50	195	62.33	\$ 50,000	\$11,375,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$50,000	\$1,026,286	35.03	Accepted
30	Denton County Friends of the Family	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors	RRH	New -DV	240.00	260	92.31	\$ 360,567	\$11,735,735	TIER 2	\$0	\$360,567	\$1,386,853	34.01	Accepted
31	Families In Crisis	RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22 Expansion	RRH	New -DV	238.00	260	91.54	\$ 1,049,453	\$12,785,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$1,049,453	\$2,436,306	30.51	Accepted
32	Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center	FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 200,000	\$12,985,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$200,000	\$2,636,306	27.40	Accepted
33	Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc	Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 166,266	\$13,151,454	TIER 2	\$0	\$166,266	\$2,802,572	26.49	Accepted
34	Friendship of Women	Friendship of Women, Inc.	TH/RRH	New -DV	223.00	260	85.77	\$ 267,481	\$13,418,935	TIER 2	\$0	\$267,481	\$3,070,053	25.42	Accepted
	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	FY22 HUD Planning	Planning	New- NC	Not Scored	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,250,000	\$14,668,935						Accepted
	Comal County Crisis Center	Transitional Housing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Comal County	TH/RRH	New DV	Not Assessed	260	N/A	\$ 722,157							Rejected

TX-607

1E-5b Final Scores for All Projects

(corrected transcription errors, no change to scores or ranking

Changes from 9/15 version highlighted yellow)

9/23/2022

UPDATED 9.23 TX BoS CoC FY 2022 ANNUAL Priority Listing

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F.1	Column F.2	Column F.3	Column G	Column H	Column I	Column J	Column K	Column L	Column M	Column N
Rank No.	Applicant Name	Project Name (2022 GIW names or new project names) Updated for the revised application names given to projects this year.	Project Type	Total 2022 GIW ARA	Points Awarded	Possible Point Total	2022 Percent Score or Status	2022 Project Application Budget	Running Balance	Tier	Tier 1 Funds	Tier 2 Funds	Tier 2 Balance	Score 40 * (1-x)	Status Accepted/ Rejected
1	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HMIS Project FY2022	HMIS	\$572,418	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 572,418	\$572,418	TIER 1	\$572,418	\$0	\$0		Accepted
2	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22	RRH	\$688,430	223.00	245	91.02	\$ 688,430	\$1,260,848	TIER 1	\$688,430	\$0	\$0		Accepted
3	Women Opting for More Affordable Housing Now, Inc. (WOMAN, Inc.)	WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	\$128,784	220.00	245	89.80	\$ 128,784	\$1,389,632	TIER 1	\$128,784	\$0	\$0		Accepted
4	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	PSH	\$811,731	219.50	245	89.59	\$ 811,731	\$2,201,363	TIER 1	\$811,731	\$0	\$0		Accepted
5	Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program	RRH	\$202,396	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 202,396	\$2,403,759	TIER 1	\$202,396	\$0	\$0		Accepted
6	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	\$168,626	259.50	300	86.50	\$ 168,626	\$2,572,385	TIER 1	\$168,626	\$0	\$0		Accepted
7	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Transforming Lives	PSH	\$165,512	248.50	300	82.83	\$ 165,512	\$2,737,897	TIER 1	\$165,512	\$0	\$0		Accepted
8	Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH FY2022	PSH	\$731,968	245.50	300	81.83	\$ 731,968	\$3,469,865	TIER 1	\$731,968	\$0	\$0		Accepted
9	Odessa Links, Inc.	FY2022 Project HOPE	RRH	\$311,616	235.00	300	78.33	\$ 311,616	\$3,781,481	TIER 1	\$311,616	\$0	\$0		Accepted
10	Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_HUD_RAPID_REHOUSIN_FY22	RRH	\$179,141	180.50	245	73.67	\$ 179,141	\$3,960,622	TIER 1	\$179,141	\$0	\$0		Accepted
11	Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022	RRH	\$236,632	220.00	300	73.33	\$ 236,632	\$4,197,254	TIER 1	\$236,632	\$0	\$0	-	Accepted
12	The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$150,713	217.00	300	72.33	\$ 150,713	\$4,347,967	TIER 1	\$150,713	\$0	\$0		Accepted
13	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation Project Bridge	RRH	\$321,634	207.00	300	69.00	\$ 321,634	\$4,669,601	TIER 1	\$321,634	\$0	\$0		Accepted
14	Lubbock Open Door	Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined	PSH	\$1,404,880	200.00	300	66.67	\$ 1,404,880	\$6,074,481	TIER 1	\$1,404,880	\$0	\$0		Accepted
15	City of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$186,630	192.00	300	64.00	\$ 186,630	\$6,261,111	TIER 1	\$186,630	\$0	\$0		Accepted
16	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	RRH	\$217,707	187.00	300	62.33	\$ 217,707	\$6,478,818	TIER 1	\$217,707	\$0	\$0		Accepted
17	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS PSH FY 2022	PSH	\$225,181	180.00	300	60.00	\$ 225,181	\$6,703,999	TIER 1	\$225,181	\$0	\$0		Accepted
18	Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcare	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing	RRH	\$254,326	179.00	300	59.67	\$ 254,326	\$6,958,325	TIER 1	\$254,326	\$0	\$0		Accepted
19	Giving HOPE, Inc.	Renewal Application FY2022	PSH	\$460,980	175.00	300	58.33	\$ 460,980	\$7,419,305	TIER 1	\$460,980	\$0	\$0		Accepted
20	Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	AHH HHS FY 2022	RRH	\$261,311	172.50	300	57.50	\$ 261,311	\$7,680,616	TIER 1	\$261,311	\$0	\$0		Accepted
21	Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	TH/RRH	\$286,170	239.50	435	55.06	\$ 286,170	\$7,966,786	TIER 1	\$286,170	\$0	\$0		Accepted
22	Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services	RRH	\$418,324	159.00	300	53.00	\$ 418,324	\$8,385,110	TIER 1	\$418,324	\$0	\$0		Accepted
23	United Way of Denton County, Inc.	FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	SSO	\$123,926	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 123,926	\$8,509,036	TIER 1	\$123,926	\$0	\$0		Accepted
24	Lubbock Open Door	Survivor Housing TH-RRH	TH/RRH	\$1,168,859	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 1,168,859	\$9,677,895	TIER 1	\$1,168,859	\$0	\$0		Accepted
25	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	SSO	\$280,000	Not Scored	N/A	HH	\$ 280,000	\$9,957,895	TIER 1	\$280,000	\$0	\$0		Accepted
26	The Gulf Coast Center	FY22 GCC Permanent Housing	PSH	\$641,103	149.00	300	49.67	\$ 641,103	\$10,598,998	TIER 2	\$390,987	\$250,116	\$250,116	39.38	Accepted
27	The Children's Center, Inc	Pelican Island COC Program	TH/RRH	\$294,562	191.50	435	44.02	\$ 294,562	\$10,893,560	TIER 2	\$0	\$294,562	\$544,678	38.03	Accepted
28	Denton County MHMR	Connections PSH Expansion FY2022	PSH	New -CoC	127.50	195	65.38	\$ 431,608	\$11,325,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$431,608	\$976,286	36.22	Accepted
29	City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home: Expansion	RRH	New -CoC	121.50	195	62.33	\$ 50,000	\$11,375,168	TIER 2	\$0	\$50,000	\$1,026,286	35.03	Accepted
30	Denton County Friends of the Family	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV Survivors	RRH	New -DV	240.00	260	92.31	\$ 360,567	\$11,735,735	TIER 2	\$0	\$360,567	\$1,386,853	34.01	Accepted
31	Families In Crisis	FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22	RRH	New -DV	238.00	260	91.54	\$ 1,049,453	\$12,785,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$1,049,453	\$2,436,306	30.51	Accepted
32	Galveston County Resource and Crisis Center	FY22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 200,000	\$12,985,188	TIER 2	\$0	\$200,000	\$2,636,306	27.40	Accepted
33	Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc	Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	RRH	New -DV	229.00	260	88.08	\$ 166,266	\$13,151,454	TIER 2	\$0	\$166,266	\$2,802,572	26.49	Accepted
34	Friendship of Women	Pathways to Safe Housing	TH/RRH	New -DV	223.00	260	85.77	\$ 267,481	\$13,418,935	TIER 2	\$0	\$267,481	\$3,070,053	25.42	Accepted
35	Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	FY22 HUD Planning	Planning	New- NC	Not Scored	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,250,000	\$14,668,935						Accepted
	Comal County Crisis Center	Transitional Housing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Comal County	TH/RRH	New DV	Not Assessed	260	N/A	\$ 722,157							Rejected

TX-607

3A-1a Housing Leveraging Commitments

1. Families In Crisis FVP RA
2. Resource and Crisis Center FVP RA



p.o. box 25 killeen, tx 76540 • 254.634.1184 • 254.526.6111 • ficinc@earthlink.net • www.familiesincrisis.net

Written Letter of Leverage
Commitment

U.S. Department of HUD
Fort Worth, Texas Address Block

RE: Families In Crisis CoC Program Application: FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22

To Whom It May Concern,

Families in Crisis Inc. (FIC) has been awarded funding from the Texas Health and Human Services (HHS) Grant under the Family Violence Program (FVP) in the amount of \$383,890. The purpose of the Family Violence Program (FVP) is to promote self-sufficiency, long-term independence, and safety from family violence and dating violence through contracted services with statewide service providers and community-based organizations. Funds that have been awarded to FIC will be leveraged towards the Project referenced above: FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22 (CoC Project)

The CoC Project has a proposed grant term of 9/1/2023-8/31/2024. FVP funds in the amount of \$286,200 will be leveraged to create additional housing opportunities and resources for 50 households in the CoC Project by providing rental assistance, security deposits, utility deposits and utility payments. This leveraged assistance will create additional capacity in the project and allow FIC to serve up to 50 households that would otherwise not receive assistance. These funds are secured through 8/31/2024 and availability for the entire grant period reference above is contingent on renewal of the FVP funding through HHS

Please contact our office with any questions you may have

Sincerely,

William K. Hall
Director of Operations

25th September 2022



RESOURCE & CRISIS CENTER
OF GALVESTON COUNTY, INC.
Opening Doors-Breaking the Cycle

September 23, 2022

To Whom It May Concern,

The purpose of this letter is to provide written assurance of the housing related leverage of our agency's resources.

The Resource and Crisis center of Galveston County, Inc. (RCC) will be using \$10,000.00 in grant funding from the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) Family Violence Program (FVP) Budget as grant match with the source of grant funding is both state and federal funding.

The total budget line item in the snap-shot provided is for \$41,402.07. The description states **client assistance** will be provided to **assist with rent & utilities when shelter is at capacity**. It also lists other types of client assistance under this line item.

However, specifically attributed to our CoC RRH project will be only the qualifying activities and we will be leveraging this resource at \$10,000.00 of the \$41,402.07, which we assure will be used for rent and utilities. Our HHSC FVP contract runs from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. We receive this contract annually and when it is time to renew the project contract for FY 24 we will again request this assistance as a line item on our future budgets.

Thank you for your assistance and your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cecily Henderson

3C8CB384EE764D2...

Cecily Henderson
Executive Director

RE: HHSC FVP match question for CoC application

Family Violence Program Budget FY22

Contractor: Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County		Other		
A	B	C	D	E
Description	Justification	Cost	Percent Applied to HHSC Contract	Amount Budgeted to HHSC Contract
1 Client Assistance	Funds to assist clients with rent, utilities, clothing, tuition, hotel vouchers when shelter is at capacity and/or transportation fees. HHSC = 5% SA = 10% VOCA = 5%, UW Mainland = 80%	\$ 92,004.60	45.00%	\$ 41,402.07
2 Electric (Shelter/Counseling Wing)	Electric service for Shelter and Counseling Wing of the RCC Campus. HHSC = 5% VOCA = 20% SA = 10% HUD = 12% Unrestricted = 53%	\$ 9,780.00	5.00%	\$ 489.00
3 Electric (TC Apt)	Electric service for the client safehouse apartment located in Texas City. HHSC = 5% VOCA = 20% SA = 10% HUD = 12% Unrestricted = 53%	\$ 600.00	5.00%	\$ 30.00
	Gas service for the Kitchen and Cafeteria areas of the RCC			

TX-607

3A-2a Healthcare Formal Agreements

1. Denton County Friends of the Family
2. Resource & Crisis Center of Galveston County



DENTON COUNTY MHMR CENTER

September 20, 2022

Re: DCFOF PROJECT: RAPID REHOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

To Whom It May Concern,

Denton County MHMR Center and Denton County Friends of the Family (DCFOF) share a common goal of ensuring individuals in our community receive the best support possible to maintain health and safety. The need for mental health and homelessness services in Denton County is significant, particularly when there is an intersection with interpersonal violence. Victims of domestic violence are among our most vulnerable residents and need these critical services as they flee abuse and trauma.

Denton County MHMR and DCFOF have an existing partnership to serve the members of our community in need of crisis mental health and domestic violence services through coordination of care and services. As an example, MHMR received SAMHSA grant for COVID-19 Emergency Response for Suicide Prevention, which included a requirement that DV victims be served through the project. Our agencies partnered to provide mental health services, emergency financial assistance, and other services to this population.

Denton County MHMR is in support of DCFOF's application for Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for Victims of Domestic Violence and is willing and able to provide mental health services to the survivors participating in the project to the value of \$68,466.52 for the first year of the project. This value was determined by estimating costs for approximately 20 survivors receiving services based on the standard fee schedule. Project eligibility will not be limited by the eligibility for these services. A general, but not exhaustive list of MHMR services includes Psychiatric Diagnostic Interview Examination, Pharmacological Management, Crisis Risk of Harm Assessment, Med Training and Supports, Psychosocial Rehab Services, Routine Case Management, Individual/Family Counseling, Benefit Eligibility Determination, and Skills Training and Supports.

I strongly encourage you to approve grant funding for DCFOF, so that domestic violence survivors experiencing homelessness or fleeing abuse can receive the essential services they need to regain safety and stability. With your support, we will continue to grow our partnership, and collaborate to better help those in our community who are experiencing abuse and homelessness.

Sincerely,

Pam Gutierrez
Executive Director
Denton County MHMR

Toni Johnson-Simpson
Executive Director
Denton County Friends of the Family



Written Letter of Leverage
Commitment

To Whom It May Concern,

The Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County has been awarded funding from the **Texas Health and Human Services Grant under the Family Violence Program Enhanced Services Grant Program in the amount of \$197,065.58, for the budget period of September 1, 2022- August 31, 2023.**

The purpose of the Family Violence Program (FVP) is to promote self-sufficiency, long-term independence, and safety from family violence and dating violence through contracted services with statewide service providers and community-based organizations.

The overarching goal of the FVP is to reduce the incidence and impact of family violence on individuals, families, and communities in Texas. The Enhanced Services Program has the goal to provide current FVP service providers enhanced capacity for shelter and support services to victims of Family Violence, beyond what is provided in their current service grant agreements.

The Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County is utilizing the Enhanced Project to provide clinical counseling services to survivors, determining if they need more in-depth mental health services, and by hiring four part time psychiatrists that will provide enhanced mental health services and prescribing medications to mentally stabilize our clients in need. The Enhanced Program will also enable our clients to receive mental health medications, prescribed by our psychiatrists. The following is excerpts from our contract with HHSC and the RFA.

Cecily Wilson Henderson, Executive Director



Office: 409-763-1441
Direct: 409-443-0555
Hotline: 888-919-SAFE
www.rccgc.org



Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.

- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.

- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.

- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.

- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2022 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2022 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Texas Homeless Network

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2022 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2022 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2023 into one or more new projects? No

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reallocation	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Texarkana Homeless...	2022-09-16 09:59:...	PH	City of Texarkana	\$50,000	1 Year	E29	PH Bonus	RRH	Yes
Connections PSH F...	2022-09-16 12:25:...	PH	Denton County MHMR	\$431,608	1 Year	E28	PH Bonus	PSH	Yes
Rapid Rehousing a...	2022-09-21 12:46:...	PH	Denton County Fri...	\$360,567	1 Year	D30	DV Bonus	RRH	
FIC HUD_RRH_KILLE...	2022-09-21 13:20:...	PH	Families In Crisi...	\$1,049,453	1 Year	D31	DV Bonus	RRH	
Rural Rapid ReHou...	2022-09-22 15:17:...	PH	Safer Path Family...	\$166,266	1 Year	D33	DV Bonus	RRH	
FY 22 CoC Bonus r...	2022-09-22 18:05:...	PH	Resource and Cris...	\$200,000	1 Year	D32	DV Bonus	RRH	
Pathways to Safe ...	2022-09-23 13:03:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Friendship of Wom...	\$267,481	1 Year	D34	DV Bonus		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

☒

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☒

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

☐

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
Rapid Rehousing a...	2022-08-30 14:02:...	1 Year	Christian Community...	\$418,324	22	RRH	PH		
Pelican Island CO...	2022-08-19 12:10:...	1 Year	The Children's Ce...	\$294,562	27		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Survivor Housing ...	2022-08-26 16:47:...	1 Year	Lubbock Open Door	\$1,168,859	24		Joint TH & PH-RRH		

FIC_HU D_RRH_ KILLE...	2022-08-18 16:30:...	1 Year	Families In Crisi...	\$688,430	C2	RRH	PH	Survivor	
Lubbock Open Door...	2022-08-26 16:19:...	1 Year	Lubbock Open Door	\$1,404,880	14	PSH	PH		
FIC_HU D_RAPI D_REH..	2022-08-18 16:36:...	1 Year	Families In Crisi...	\$179,141	C10	RRH	PH	Individua l	
Fredonia Homeles s...	2022-09-06 17:59:...	1 Year	Sabine Valley Center	\$254,326	18	RRH	PH		
WOMAN , Inc. Rapid...	2022-08-31 17:05:...	1 Year	Women Opting for ...	\$128,784	3	RRH	PH		
Homeles s to Homes...	2022-08-31 17:33:...	1 Year	Neighbor hood Deve...	\$202,396	5	PSH	PH		
The Salvation Arm...	2022-09-13 12:21:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$150,713	12	PSH	PH		
Connecti ons PSH FY22	2022-09-13 12:20:...	1 Year	Denton County MHMR	\$731,968	E8	PSH	PH		Expansion
The Salvation Arm...	2022-09-13 12:40:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$168,626	6	RRH	PH		
Renewal Project A...	2022-09-13 16:44:...	1 Year	Odessa Links	\$311,616	9	RRH	PH		
Pathway s	2022-09-13 18:31:...	1 Year	Mid- Coast Family ...	\$286,170	21		Joint TH & PH- RRH		
Next Step Combine d	2022-09-13 18:36:...	1 Year	Mid- Coast Family ...	\$811,731	4	PSH	PH		
Project Bridge Ra...	2022-09-14 17:27:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$321,634	13	RRH	PH		
Texarkan a Homeles ...	2022-09-14 16:48:...	1 Year	City of Texarkan a	\$217,707	E16	RRH	PH		Expansion
Transfor ming Live...	2022-09-14 17:35:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$165,512	7	PSH	PH		
Laredo Housing Au...	2022-09-15 10:47:...	1 Year	Laredo Housing Au...	\$236,632	11	RRH	PH		

COSA Rapid Rehousing	2022-09-15 11:22:...	1 Year	City of San Angelo	\$186,630	15	RRH	PH		
Renewal Project A...	2022-09-15 11:30:...	1 Year	Giving HOPE, Inc.	\$460,980	19	PSH	PH		
Hope Housing Serv...	2022-09-15 11:39:...	1 Year	Abilene Hope Have...	\$225,181	17	PSH	PH		
Hope Housing Serv...	2022-09-15 11:42:...	1 Year	Abilene Hope Have...	\$261,311	20	RRH	PH		
FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	2022-09-15 13:11:...	1 Year	United Way of Den...	\$123,926	23		SSO		
GCC Permanent Hou...	2022-09-15 14:38:...	1 Year	The Gulf Coast Ce...	\$641,103	26	PSH	PH		
TX BoS CoC HMIS P...	2022-09-20 12:53:...	1 Year	Homeles s Network ...	\$572,418	1		HMIS		
TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	2022-09-20 12:49:...	1 Year	Homeles s Network ...	\$280,000	25		SSO		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
TX-607 CoC Planni...	2022-09-22 22:23:...	1 Year	Homeless Network ...	\$1,250,000	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal and replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing renewal projects.

☒

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?
This list contains no items						

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked (New and Renewal Project Listings only), or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$10,893,560
New Amount	\$2,525,375
CoC Planning Amount	\$1,250,000
YHDP Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$14,668,935

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	TX-607 Certificat...	09/20/2022
FY 2021 Rank Tool (optional)	No		
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: TX-607 Certification of Consistency

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

**WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions.
Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST
be submitted.**

**WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions.
Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST
be submitted.**

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/06/2022
2. Reallocation	09/08/2022
5A. CoC New Project Listing	09/23/2022
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/20/2022
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/22/2022
5E. YHDP Renewal	No Input Required
5F. YHDP Replace	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/20/2022
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Applicant: Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care

TX-607

Project: TX-607 CoC Registration FY 2022.

COC_REG_2022_192044

--

TX-607

Certificates of Consistency with Consolidated Plan

All Projects

9/20/2022

Exhibit 5B:
Certification of Consistency with Consolidated Plan

Applicants are required to submit the certification below from the official responsible for submitting the Consolidated Plan on behalf of the State or local government. Applicants may use this form or may reproduce the exact contents. HUD recommends completing the form itself and submitting it as the certification, rather than retyping it.

I certify that, based on the information provided, the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.

(Type or clearly print the following information)

Applicant Name: **Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network) (THN)**

Contact Name: **Eric Samuels, President/CEO**

Contact Phone Number: **512-687-5101**

Name of the Federal Program to
which the Applicant is Applying: **HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program**

Project Name: **Texas Balance of State CoC HMIS Project FY2022 Renewal**

Location of the Project: **THN, 3000 S. IH-35, Suite 100, Austin, TX 78704**

Brief Description of the Project: **The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is a local information technology system used to collect client-level data and data on the provision of housing and services to homeless persons. THN is the HMIS Lead for the TX BoS CoC, and the HMIS software is ClientTrack. The Renewal project will continue to provide HMIS system administration and operations activities.**

Needs the Project Will Address: **All recipients of HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program funding and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funding are required to use the CoC's HMIS. The HMIS project allows THN, as the HMIS Lead Agency for the CoC, and the TX BoS CoC to fulfill its requirement to establish and operate an HMIS, as described in 24 CFR Part 578.**


Strategic Plan and/or
Consolidated Plan Goals

Addressed *(TDHCA use only)*:

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction

Name: **Elizabeth Yevich**

Title: **Director, Housing Resource Center**

Signature & Date: 
09/19/2022

Note: After reviewing the information, the state has not found anything inconsistent with the Consolidated Plan.

If you have any questions, please contact Elizabeth Yevich at (512) 463-7961

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: _____


Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Mid-Coast Family ServicesProject Name: Next Step CombinedLocation of the Project: Office: 2010 N. Navarro, Suite A, Victoria, TX 77901
Housing: Scattered Sites in Victoria, TXName of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD 2022 Continuum of Care - Renewal ApplicationName of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Victoria, Planning & Development DepartmentCertifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Julie FulghamTitle: Director of Development ServicesSignature: Date: 08/23/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Neighborhood Development Corp

Project Name: Homless to Homes Program

Location of the Project: 520 W. Decatur Ave, Orange, Orange County, TX 77630

Name of

Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Orange, Texas

Certifying Official

of the Jurisdiction Name: Michael Kunst

Title: City Manager

Signature: 

Date: 8/22/2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corp. - Temple, TX

Project Name: The Salvation Army - CoC Rapid Rehousing Program

Location of the Project: The Salvation Army 419 West Ave. G Temple, TX 76504

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Continuum of Care

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: City of Temple

Title: City Manager

Signature: Brynn Myers

Date: 8/16/2022 | 9:52 AM CDT

DocuSigned by:



APPROVED AS TO FORM

DocuSigned by:

Kyle Muttall

City Attorney's Office

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporaton

Project Name: Transforming Lives

Location of the Project: 1804 Buford Street
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Corpus Christi

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Jennifer Buxton

Title: Assistant Director Neighborhood Services Department

Signature: 

Date: 09/14/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Denton County MHMR

Project Name: Denton County MHMR Connections PSH

Location of the Project: 2519 Scripture St. Denton, TX 76202

Name of

Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Denton

Certifying Official

of the Jurisdiction Name: Danielle Shaw

Title: Director of Community Services

Signature: 

Date: August 24, 2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Odessa Links

Project Name: Project HOPE

Location of the Project: City of Odessa

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Odessa

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Merita Sandoval

Title: Director of Community Development

Signature: 

Date: 9-1-2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Housing Authority of the City of Laredo

Project Name: Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2022

Location of the Project: 2000 San Francisco Avenue, Laredo, TX 78040
and scattered sites throughout Laredo, Texas

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: FY 2022 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Rapid Rehousing

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Laredo Texas

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Rosario C. Cabello

Title: Interim City Manager

Signature: 

Date: 9/6/2022

OK
AM

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corp. - Temple, TX

Project Name: The Salvation Army - CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program

Location of the Project: The Salvation Army 419 West Ave. G Temple, TX 76504

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Continuum of Care

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: City of Temple

Title: City Manager

Signature: Brynn Myers
E37CE5415DD84F8...

Date: 8/15/2022 | 3:51 PM CDT

DocuSigned by:



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DocuSigned by:
Kyle Nuttall
5A628B8E9F4B494
City Attorney's Office

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporaton

Project Name: Project Bridge Rapid Rehousing

Location of the Project: 1804 Buford Street
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Corpus Christi

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Jennifer Buxton

Title: Assistant Director Neighborhood Services Department

Signature: 

Date: 09/14/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Lubbock Open Door

Project Name: Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined

Location of the Project: 1918 13th St, Lubbock, TX 79401

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Lubbock, TX

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Shelia Patterson Harris

Title: Mayor Pro Tem

Signature: 

Date: 8/26/2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

OMB Approval No. 2500-0112 (Exp. 06/30/2011)

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: City of San Angelo

Project Name: COSA Rapid Rehousing


Location of the Project: 52 W. College
San Angelo, TX 76903

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of San Angelo

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Daniel Valenzuela

Title: City Manager

Signature: 

Date: 09-13-22

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Texarkana, Texas
Project Name: Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home
Location of the Project: 220 Texas Blvd. Texarkana, Tx 75501

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Texarkana, Texas

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: David Orr

Title: City Manager

Signature: 

Date: 8-4-2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.

Project Name: Hope Housing Services - PSH


Location of the Project: Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.
801 S. Treadaway Blvd.
Abilene, TX 79602

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Abilene

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Leticia L. Reeves

Title: Division Manager, Neighborhood Services

Signature: 

Date: 8/29/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcore

Project Name: Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing project

Location of the Project: 107 Woodbine Place, Longview, TX 75601

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Longview

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Andy Mack

Title: City Mayor

Signature: 

Date: August 11, 2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Grace Like Rain Inc. DBA Giving Grace


Project Name: TXBoSCoC PSH Renewal Project FY2022

Location of the Project: Denton County, Texas

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Denton

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Danielle Shaw

Title: Director of Community Services

Signature: 

Date: 08/29/22

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

OMB Approval No. 2506-0112 (Expires 12/31/2024)

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.

Project Name: Hope Housing Services


Location of the Project: Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.
801 S. Treadaway Blvd.
Abilene, TX 79602

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Abilene

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Leticia L. Reeves


Title: Division Manager, Neighborhood Services

Signature: 

Date: 8/29/2022

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Mid-Coast Family ServicesProject Name: PathwaysLocation of the Project: Office: 2010 N. Navarro, Suite A, Victoria, TX 77901
Housing: Scattered Sites in Victoria, TX
Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD 2022 Continuum of Care - Renewal ApplicationName of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Victoria, Planning & Development DepartmentCertifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Julie FulghamTitle: Director of Development ServicesSignature: Date: 08/23/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Christian Community Action

Project Name: Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services

Location of the Project: City of Lewisville

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Lewisville

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Claire Powell

Title: City Manager

Signature: Claire Powell

Date: August 8, 2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: United Way of Denton County

Project Name: FY2022 COC CE SSO


Location of the Project: 1314 Teasley Lane
Denton, TX 76205

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD FY 2022 CoC Program

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Denton

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Danielle Shaw

Title: Director of Community Services

Signature: 

Date: September 12, 2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Lubbock Open Door

Project Name: Survivor Housing TH-RRH

Location of the Project: 1918 13th St, Lubbock, TX 79401

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Lubbock, TX

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Shelia Patterson Harris

Title: Mayor Pro Tem

Signature: 

Date: 8/26/2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Exhibit 5B:
Certification of Consistency with Consolidated Plan

Applicants are required to submit the certification below from the official responsible for submitting the Consolidated Plan on behalf of the State or local government. Applicants may use this form or may reproduce the exact contents. HUD recommends completing the form itself and submitting it as the certification, rather than retyping it.

I certify that, based on the information provided, the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.

(Type or clearly print the following information)

Applicant Name: **Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network) (THN)**

Contact Name: **Eric Samuels, President/CEO**

Contact Phone Number: **512-687-5101**

Name of the Federal Program to
which the Applicant is Applying: **HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program**

Project Name: **TX BoS CoC SSO-CE (Renewal)**

Location of the Project: **THN, 3000 S. IH-35, Suite 100, Austin, TX 78704**

Brief Description of the Project: **This project will expand Coordinated Entry, a HUD required activity of Continuums of Care, THN staff will be placed at sites around the TX-607 Service area to organize and carry out the TX-607 Coordinated Entry process in that community. The staff will be responsible for Identification, Assessment, Prioritization and Referral of families that qualify under the definition of homeless and at risk of homelessness at 24 CFR 578**

Needs the Project Will Address: **This project will meet the needs of communities and households to promote rapid placement into housing from homeless situations, through intentional coordination and engagement with households and service providers.**

Addressed *(TDHCA use only)*:


Strategic Plan and/or

Consolidated Plan Goals

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction

Name: **Elizabeth Yevich**

Title: **Director, Housing Resource Center**

Signature & Date: 
09/19/2022

Note: After reviewing the information, the state has not found anything inconsistent with the Consolidated Plan.

If you have any questions, please contact Elizabeth Yevich at (512) 463-7961

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: The Children's Center, Inc.

Project Name: Pelican Island COC Program

Location of the Project: 602 Seawolf Parkway, Pelican Island Galveston, Texas 77554-2501

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Galveston

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Alice T. Law

Title: Director, Grants & Housing Department

Signature: Alice T. Law
Digitally signed by Alice T. Law
DN: cn=Alice T. Law, o=City of Galveston, TX,
ou=Grants and Housing Dept.,
email=alaw@galvestontx.gov, c=US
Date: 2022.08.11 15:36:53 -05'00'

Date: August 11, 2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Denton County MHMR

Project Name: Connections PSH Expansion FY21

Location of the Project: 2519 Scripture St. Denton, TX 76202

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Denton

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Danielle Shaw

Title: Director of Community Services

Signature: 

Date: September 9, 2022

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Texarkana, Texas
Project Name: Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home
Location of the Project: 220 Texas Blvd. Texarkana, Tx 75501

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Texarkana, Texas

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: David Orr

Title: City Manager

Signature: 

Date: 8-4-2022


Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Denton County Friends of the FamilyProject Name: Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for DV SurvivorsLocation of the Project: Denton CountyName of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban DevelopmentName of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of DentonCertifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Danielle ShawTitle: Director of Community ServicesSignature: Date: 09/09/2022

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Exhibit 5B: Certification of Consistency with Consolidated Plan

Applicants are required to submit the certification below from the official responsible for submitting the Consolidated Plan on behalf of the State or local government. Applicants may use this form or may reproduce the exact contents. HUD recommends completing the form itself and submitting it as the certification, rather than retyping it.

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.

(Type or clearly print the following information)

Applicant Name: Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc.

Contact Name: Rhonda Williamson

Contact Phone Number: (830)569-2001

Name of the Federal Program to which the Applicant is Applying:
FY 2022 BONUS Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Funding

Project Name: Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County

Location of the Project: Pleasanton TX, Atascosa County

Brief Description of the Project: Safer Path is seeking funding to provide rapid rehousing to survivors of Domestic Violence in rural south Texas. The agency's mission with this project is to provide economic assistance to survivors in Atascosa County which has limited resources and few affordable housing opportunities.

Needs the Project Will Address: This project will address the needs of survivors of Domestic Violence who cannot obtain or meet the requirements of local affordable housing entities.

Strategic Plan and/or Consolidated Plan Goals Addressed *(TDHCA use only)*:

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: **State of Texas**

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction

Name: **Elizabeth Yevich**

Title: **Director, Housing Resource Center**

Signature & Date:



09/13/2022

Note: After reviewing the information, the state has not found anything inconsistent with the Consolidated Plan.

Certification Directions:

Save this form to your computer. Open the form using Microsoft Word and fill out form fields. Save the file. Use your email program to email the completed form as an attachment to elizabeth.yevich@tdhca.state.tx.us.

When received, TDHCA will compare this project to TDHCA goals and determine consistency. When approved, the form will be signed and dated and emailed back to the sender.

If you have any questions, please contact Elizabeth Yevich at (512) 475-3976

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature:  _____

Date: _____

Exhibit 5B:
Certification of Consistency with Consolidated Plan

Applicants are required to submit the certification below from the official responsible for submitting the Consolidated Plan on behalf of the State or local government. Applicants may use this form or may reproduce the exact contents. HUD recommends completing the form itself and submitting it as the certification, rather than retyping it.

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.

(Type or clearly print the following information)

Applicant Name: **Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network) (THN)**

Contact Name: **Eric Samuels, President/CEO**

Contact Phone Number: **512-687-5101**

Name of the Federal Program to which the Applicant is Applying: **HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program**

Project Name: **TX-607 CoC Planning Project FY2022**

Location of the Project: **THN, 3000 S. IH-35, Suite 100, Austin, TX 78704**

Brief Description of the Project: **The CoC Planning project funds Texas Homeless Network (THN) staff positions to coordinate and facilitate Continuum of Care development for the Texas Balance of State CoC as a whole (215 counties) and for communities within the BoS CoC, working with local homeless coalitions (LHCs). Activities include needs analyses, inventories, counts, training, project development, planning, data analysis, system mapping, implementing Coordinated Entry systems, strategic planning, monitoring, and evaluation.**

Needs the Project Will Address: **The CoC Planning funds increase the capacity of THN, as the Collaborative Applicant for the TX BoS CoC, to assist the CoC with meeting the CoC responsibilities in 24 CFR Part 578, including establishing, planning for, and operating the CoC. THN also assists projects and the CoC system to perform well. Renewal funding would allow THN to continue those activities for another year.**

Strategic Plan and/or

Consolidated Plan Goals

Addressed (*TDHCA use only*):

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: **State of Texas**

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction

Name: **Elizabeth Yevich**

Title: **Director, Housing Resource Center**

Signature & Date:



09/19/2022

Note: After reviewing the information, the state has not found anything inconsistent with the Consolidated Plan.