

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) FOR

## FY2023 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Funding Competition

Funding Opportunity Number: FR-6700-N-25

Assistance Listing Number (formerly CFDA Number): 14.267

**ISSUE DATE:** 

Monday, July 31, 2023 9:00:00 AM CST

PROPOSALS DUE:

Sunday August 20, 2023 11:59:59 PM CST

SUBMIT VIA "APPLY" Application Software

RFP POINT OF CONTACT:

Jim Ward, Director of Planning <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a> (512) 861-2165

# Table of Contents

# Contents

<b>I.</b>	Table of Contents	2
	INTRODUCTION – INVITATION & BACKGROUND	
<u>III.</u>	PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK	8
<u>IV.</u>	TIMELINE	14
<u>V.</u>	EXPLANATION OF EVENTS & APPLICATION COMPONENTS	16
<u>VI.</u>	APPLICATION PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION	27
<u>VII.</u>	EVALUATION	27
VIII.	AWARD	33
IX.	APPENDICES	34

# Version History

Version	Date Posted	Changelog
Version 1	7/31/2023	Initial posting
Version 2	7/31/2023	Fixed hyperlinks p.16
Version 3	8/15/2023	Appendix C
		Renewal TH/RRH (All) Scorecard point criteria (Column C)
		corrected to match total points available (Column F)
		Renewal DV-PSH Scorecard measure 3.1 reference point
		(Column H) corrected to reflect APR instead of SPM Report

## **Appendices**

The Appendices listed below are for reference only and are not required to be submitted with the application.

## Appendix A: FY2023 Renewal Applicant Listing

## Appendix B: Application Components

- B.1 Renewal Project Application
- B.2 Expansion Project Application
- B.3 CoC Bonus- New Project
- B.4 DV Bonus- New Project

## Appendix C: Application Score Cards

- C.1 Renewal Project Score Cards (All)
- C.2 Expansion Project Score Cards (All)
- C.3.a. CoC Bonus (Rapid Re-Housing)
- C.3.b. CoC Bonus (Permanent Supportive Housing)
- C.3.c. CoC Bonus (Transitional Housing & Rapid Re-Housing (Joint Component))
- C.3.d. CoC Bonus Supportive Services Only-Coordinated Entry
- C.4.a. Domestic Violence Bonus (Rapid Re-Housing)
- C.4.b. Domestic Violence Bonus (Transitional Housing & Rapid Re-Housing (Joint Component))

## Appendix D: Grievance Policy

## I. INTRODUCTION - INVITATION & BACKGROUND

Texas Homeless Network (THN) serves as the Collaborative Applicant (CA), CoC Lead Agency, and Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Lead Agency for the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care, which covers 215 counties of Texas' 254 counties. THN is referred to as CA, throughout this document. The Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care (TX BoS CoC) was formed to carry out the responsibilities required under the CoC Program Interim Rule (24 CFR 578). The CoC is comprised of a broad group of stakeholders dedicated to ending and preventing homelessness across Texas. The over-arching CoC responsibility is to ensure community-wide implementation of efforts to end homelessness, including ensuring programmatic and systemic effectiveness of the local Continuum of Care program.

Applicants for CoC Program funding may not apply to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) directly without the approval of the CoC. They must apply as part of a Collaborative Application through a Continuum of Care's (CoC's) "local application process". For the TX BoS CoC, THN is the Collaborative Applicant (CA), and THN manages the CoC's Local Application Process on behalf of the TX BoS CoC. The process is described in this RFP and includes soliciting, reviewing, selecting, and ranking Project Applications, then submitting them to HUD as part of a Collaborative Application package.

The FY2023 CoC Annual Process has changed significantly from years prior. This year, all applications are solicited through a single request from the CoC. That is, New Projects, and Renewal Projects. The CoC Program is complex, and the CA has made attempts to simplify the process, Applicants are highly encouraged to read this document in its entirety. Although the processes are intended to be more inclusive, there are still required deadlines that the CA cannot overlook. Please pay close attention to the deadlines in this RFP and seek clarification if you are confused or think you may not understand what is being asked. This is an evolution of an existing process, and requests that may seem similar to a prior year may be slightly different, thus requiring the Applicant to revisit and revise past responses.

This funding is not guaranteed year-to-year. Multiple factors influence an application's overall score and chances of funding, including the applicant's administrative and financial capacity, alignment with CoC Priorities, HMIS data, the applicant's contribution to system performance, the relative strength of the CoC's Collaborative Application, whether the project incorporates a Housing First model, the extent to which the applicant leverages additional housing and healthcare resources and other specific conditions of the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

Applicants are strongly encouraged to read this document including the appendices, <u>the Notice</u> <u>of Funding Opportunity</u>, and ALL <u>relevant</u> <u>HUD collateral materials</u> including the detailed

instructions for the project type submitted for consideration under this solicitation.

## A. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

See Section I.B of the <u>FY2023 Notice of Funding Opportunity</u> for applicable definitions, the <u>Code of Federal Regulations at 24 CFR 578</u> and <u>2 CFR 200</u>, as well as the TX BoS CoC <u>Competition Frequently Asked Questions</u> (FAQ) for responses to common questions.

## B. POINT OF CONTACT

THN has designated a Lead Staff person who is responsible for this Solicitation:

Jim Ward
Director of Planning,
txboscoc@thn.org

## C. CHANGES TO THE PROCESS THIS YEAR

In response to extraordinary conditions outside the control of the CoC in 2023, and in an effort to streamline the Local Application Process for Project Applicants the CA has made the following significant changes to the process:

- i. In the structure of this RFP; references to THN, CoC Lead Agency, and Collaborative Applicant have been replaced with CA when referring to actions or activities carried out by THN on behalf of the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
- ii. The Continuum of Care is soliciting applications for all Projects through this RFP. Renewal Projects are those that are listed on the Grant Inventory Worksheet, Appendix A with a "Y" in Column L.
- iii. Although there is a single solicitation (this RFP), there are multiple application paths for an Applicant to select from in the grant application portal. It is the sole responsibility of the Applicant to select and complete the correct application materials. No consideration will be given if an Applicant mistakenly completes the incorrect application or submits non-responsive documentation
- iv. The Intent to Apply stage of the Application has been replaced with an application stage titled "Threshold Verification"
- v. The step of the process known as "Threshold Review" now occurs <u>during</u> the Full Application Stage, meaning that there is a manual review of all applications during the application submission window. Applicants should plan for this and allow sufficient time for the review of application materials required by CA staff. This manual review process can take 24-72 hours depending on the level of complexity of the applicant and the proposed activities
- vi. Scoring of <u>renewal</u> project applications has always been based on the extent to which applicants are able to demonstrate a positive contribution to System Performance Measures. **This year**,

renewal project application scoring is based entirely on HMIS or Comparable Database data and applicant self-report. The use of data exclusively, without narrative response removes the need for 3<sup>rd</sup> party review by the Independent Review Team. Instead, applicants will be notified of their scores and given the opportunity to appeal the score on any metric before a final reconciled score is used in the ranking of project applicants

- vii. Scoring of Renewal Projects will occur while the Application is technically still open, although all scored materials will be submitted no later than August 28, 2023. Applicants may still be working on other non-scored components of the Application. It is important for Applicants to check the timelines in this RFP and observe all deadlines, even for non-scored application components.
- viii. All new project applications, except for Expansion Applications, will be scored by the Independent Review Team
- ix. Due to the timeline mandated by HUD, applicants may find the need for an extension to certain, non-scored application components. Some exception may be allowed. See Appendix B for the relevant application type to learn more about exception availability, and the procedure to request an extension. Under no circumstances will CA staff offer any applicant an extension to any scored component of any application. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to request an extension. Please be sure to observe any and all extension requirements to ensure an extension request can be granted. Extension requests that do not follow the request format will be ignored.
- x. In general, Applicant and Project eligibility is detailed in this RFP and project-level requirements, specific information about the requested components of the application will be communicated through the appendices.

Please note, any request for Funding in the Texas Balance of State must align with the Texas Balance of State CoC Funding priorities; *how* an applicant demonstrates alignment will be communicated through the appendices.

## D. **ELIGIBLITY REQUIREMENTS**

In order to apply for CoC Program funding, Applicants must:

- 1. Project Applicants must satisfy all terms in the NOFO Section III.C.5.b, III.C.5.c, III.C.5.d
- 2. Satisfy HUD's Entity Eligibility Requirements
- 3. Submit the Full Application in response to this RFP between Monday July, 31 2023 at 9:00:00 AM and Sunday August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM CST. For more details on the contents of the Full Application Contents, please see Section IV and Appendix B of this RFP
- 4. Applicants must meet additional entity threshold requirements below
  - i) Applicants may submit one (1) **new** project application in addition to any eligible renewal project application(s) in response to this RFP
  - ii) Applicants and proposed sub-recipients must agree to the expectations outlined in the <u>FY23 CoC</u> <u>Expectations Form</u>, if awarded

- iii) Applicants and proposed sub-recipients must be nonprofit organizations, states or local governments, or instrumentalities of state and local governments, including Public Housing Authorities
- iv) Applicants and proposed sub-recipients must have an active System for Award Management (SAM) Registration
- v) Applicants and proposed sub-recipients must have an active Unique Entity Identification Number (UEIN). UEIN replaced the DUNS number earlier this year
- vi) The Applicant must have no outstanding delinquent federal debts listed at sam.gov
- vii) Applicants must have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302
- viii)The Applicant must submit a completed Certification Regarding Lobbying and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)
- ix) The Applicant must comply with Mandatory Disclosure requirements & disclose to the CoC any matter that would trigger mandatory disclosure requirements, through this application
- x) The Applicant must submit a Code of Conduct, or the Applicant's Code of Conduct must be on file with the Federal Government as demonstrated by e-snaps upload or listing at <a href="https://www.note.nubmit.org/">https://www.note.nubmit.org/</a>. Note that HUD updates this listing quarterly. Applicants that do not appear in this list and do not submit a code of conduct by the application deadline, will not be reviewed, scored or ranked
- xi) A Renewal Project Applicant must appear in the table of Appendix A of this RFP with a "Y" shown in column L
- xii) Applicants must propose eligible activities. Submissions that reflect a gross misunderstanding of the purpose of the CoC Program or the allowable uses of CoC Program funds will not be scored or ranked
- xiii) New Project Applicants must not have open monitoring findings with HUD. There is no distinction between monitoring findings. Any open monitoring finding at the time of submission will result in an automatic disqualification from CoC Bonus or DV Bonus Funding consideration
- xiv) Applicants to the DV Bonus category of funding must be a Victim Service Provider as defined by HUD at 24 CFR 578.3. That is a private non-profit, whose primary mission must be to serve Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking. Such Providers may also serve persons fleeing human trafficking, but may not exclusively target people fleeing or attempting to flee human trafficking. Similarly, DV Bonus Applicants must be currently participating in a comparable database recognized by HUD as such, at the time of application submission.
- 4. New Project Applications must adhere to the following requirements, in addition to any other requirement in this RFP or the CoC Program NOFO.
  - i) <u>The proposed Project may only serve counties</u> within the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care

- ii) The CoC will not accept applications that contain requests for any of the following activities regardless of the language used to request
  - (1) Construction
  - (2) Acquisition
  - (3) Re-habilitation
  - (4) Stand-alone Transitional Housing
  - (5) Any funding request or project design, or combination thereof that conflicts with  $\underline{24\ CFR}$  578.87

For reference, eligible Budget Line Items are;

- (1) Rental Assistance OR Leasing
- (2) Supportive Services
- (3) HMIS
- (4) Admin
- ii) The total request for a project must be equal to or greater than \$200,000 but less than \$750,000 excluding the matching requirements
- iii) All New Project Applications, and associated activities must align with the CoC's funding priorities
- iv) The maximum request for HMIS must be no more than 3% of the total of all other budget line requests from HUD, and cannot exceed \$15,000 per application, whichever is less.
- v) Successful New Project Applications will leverage housing <u>or</u> healthcare resources. More information can be found in Section II.D and Appendix B of this RFP
- vi) A New Project total budget request may not be more than 50% of the most recently approved (by the Board, Membership, Voters, etc) Agency operating budget
- vii) Housing Stability Case management is a required activity for all project applications, Therefore, all housing projects must request Supportive Services as a Budget Line Item in the Application
- viii) No more than 2:1 ratio of supportive services to housing budget is permitted. Example if Rental Assistance Budget is \$100,000, the maximum Supportive Service Budget Line may be \$200,000.
- ix) All Supportive Services must be reasonable, and necessary to assist participants to obtain, and maintain housing
- x) Applicants must supply, to the CoC's satisfaction, evidence that the Applicant will satisfy the matching requirements of the CoC Program at <u>24 CFR 578.73</u>

## II. PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK

The CA is seeking proposals from agencies wishing to fund housing and service projects targeted to people experiencing homelessness as defined in the FY2023 CoC Program NOFO. Successful applicants will:

## A. RENEW CURRENTLY FUNDED PROJECTS

HUD makes available to each CoC the amount of funding needed to re-fund all currently funded projects.

This amount is called the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). The TX BoS CoC's FY 2023 estimated ARD is \$13,444,459. To be eligible for renewal funding through the FY2023 competition, the project being renewed must be listed on the final Grant Inventory Worksheet maintained by HUD and expire in CY2023 (December 31, 2023).

For a complete list of eligible renewal projects please see Column "L" of Appendix A.

Projects that were conditionally awarded in a prior competition are subject to the terms and conditions placed on the individual award offer, as well as statutory requirements in the NOFO governing the subject award. All projects must comply with the terms of their conditional award and timeliness standards set by HUD in the Code of Federal Regulations, the Notice of Funding Opportunity, subsequent HUD Notices, and this Request for Proposals.

Renewal Project applications must remain materially similar to prior awards, with limited exceptions for increases to fair market rents by HUD. Changes to a Renewal Projects terms such as a change in a subpopulation, addition or removal of Budget Line Items, or a reduction in the number of units assisted are considered "significant changes" and are not allowed using this process. Renewal Project Applicants proposing to alter the content or scope of a renewal project application should contact <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a> for guidance before making such changes.

Renewal Project Applicants will complete an abbreviated application. For more details regarding the contents of the solicitation, see Section IV and Appendix B of this RFP

## B. CREATE NEW PROJECTS

In essence, there are two ways for an Applicant to create a new project in the local application process. These processes are described below and in additional detail in the NOFO itself. Applicants are encouraged to consult the NOFO before beginning a new project application.

## a. CoC Bonus Funding

Annually, HUD releases the amounts available to a COC for the creation of new Projects. This is done via an "Estimated Annual Renewal Demand Report". In FY2023 HUD has made available \$3,828,623 for new projects using CoC Bonus funding. This is by no means a guarantee of funding. Actual funding amounts will be determined by the strength of the collective CoC Application relative to all CoC's in the United States, how many projects the Balance of State CoC puts forward relative to other CoCs, and the individual project scoring in the local application process, and in HUD's Quality Review and evaluation. A New Project Application can be either an expansion to an existing project or a new set of activities that work together to promote housing stability for a group of eligible participants.

## **Expansion Applications**

All Expansion Project applications must expand a project eligible for renewal in FY2023. For more information please see the information in Section II.A of this RFP or consult Appendix A for a complete list of projects eligible for expansion. Expansion applications submitted for projects that are ineligible for renewal will not be reviewed, scored or ranked. Additionally, eligible applicants must have closed at least one (1) Annual Performance Report, for either the FY2020 or FY2021 grant cycle. Projects that were not scored in the FY2022 Renewal Application process are ineligible for expansion. Note that projects originally awarded under the DV Bonus are eligible for expansion, provided the applicant meets all other threshold requirements and may expand with either CoC Bonus or DV Bonus funding. CA Staff and the CoC Board will determine which funding an Applicant is more competitive for and will work with the applicant to complete the appropriate screens in esnaps

CoC Bonus funds can be used to expand eligible Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), or Joint Transitional Housing & Rapid Rehousing (TH/RRH) projects. Expansion project application must offer some <u>new</u> activity. That is, the expansion project application must offer new housing, some new eligible supportive service that was previously not offered, or some combination of housing and services. An enrichment of existing housing or services is not eligible for expansion. Please further note that expansions of TH/RRH project must create, or maintain a 2:1 ratio of Rapid Re-Housing to Transitional Housing.

Applications for expansion will be evaluated on a variety of factors, but primarily on the performance of the renewal project and the Applicants ability to leverage Housing or Healthcare resources.

#### New Project Applications

CoC Bonus funds can be used to create new housing and service projects designed to move people experiencing literal or chronic homelessness into Permanent Housing as quickly as possible.

These projects must align with <u>one</u> of three eligible housing interventions, (1) Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), (2) Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), or (3) Joint Transitional Housing & Rapid Re-Housing (TH/RRH). Within these three interventions, an applicant can request a variety of activities, but it is important to note that you cannot mix interventions within the same project application. In addition to housing supports to re-house people experiencing homelessness, the applicant can, and should, request a variety of supportive services as they are listed at 24 CFR 578.53e(1-17). For the purpose of brevity of this document, those services along with the eligible activities within PSH, RRH, and TH/RRH will not be listed here. Rather, it is the obligation of the

applicant to determine the allowability of proposed costs and communicate the full scope of the intended use of these funds in the Project Application. All funds requested and their correlating expenditures must align with CoC Program regulations at 24 CFR 578 and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Please note that new TH/RRH Project Applications must observe a 2:1 ratio of Rapid Re-Housing to Transitional Housing and the Applicant must be able to provide both components at any time during a participants' enrollment.

In addition to the housing projects described above, the CoC is also seeking applicants to administer a new Supportive Services Only – Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) project. Competitive Applicants will have a solid understanding of the CE system in the Balance of State, and *commit to serving the entire geography of the Texas Balance of State*. Eligible Activities are Supportive Services (Limited by the NOFO), HMIS, and Admin.

Applicants are limited to single-year grant terms. To learn more about eligible uses of CoC Program Funds <u>please visit the HUD Exchange Virtual Binders</u>, where HUD details eligible activities and eligible costs. Please note that HUD or the CoC may limit the types of funding available in a given competition year. To learn more please see Section 1.D of this RFP

## b. <u>Domestic Violence Bonus Funding (DV Bonus)</u>

While HUD has not made the amounts available for the DV Bonus, HUD has made available the formula to calculate a CoC's DV Bonus Maximum. CA Staff believe there is \$5,000,000 to fund new housing and service projects (or expansions of eligible renewal projects) for the exclusive benefit of Survivors of domestic violence. This funding can be used for 2 (two) interventions: RRH or TH/RRH.

Within these interventions, an applicant can request a variety of activities, but it is important to note that you cannot mix interventions within the same project application. In addition to housing supports to re-house people experiencing homelessness, the applicant can, and should, request a variety of supportive services as they are listed at 24 CFR 578.53e(1-17). For the purpose of brevity of this document, those services along with the eligible activities within RRH and TH/RRH will not be listed here. Rather it is the obligation of the applicant to determine the allowability of proposed costs and communicate the full scope of the intended use of these funds in the Project Application. All funds requested and their correlating expenditures must align with CoC Program regulations at 24 CFR 578 and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Applicants are limited to single-year grant terms. To learn more about eligible uses of CoC Program Funds please visit the HUD Exchange Virtual Binders, where HUD details eligible activities and

eligible costs. Please note that HUD or the CoC may limit the types of funding available in a given competition year. To learn more please see Section 1.D of this RFP.

Please note that new TH/RRH Project Applications must observe a 2:1 ratio of Rapid Re-Housing to Transitional Housing and the Applicant must be able to provide both components at any time during a participants' enrollment.

## C. RECIPIENTS & SUBRECIPIENTS

In general, Applicants to the CoC Program are permitted to sub-award CoC Program funding if awarded, with some important caveats. (1) the Subrecipient must be an eligible entity, and pass all threshold verification. The subrecipient must be identified at the time of the initial application, and sufficient information necessary to determine their eligibility must be disclosed in both, Apply and E-snaps. Applicants are not relieved of their obligation to the Federal Government in such a situation. Pass-through entities (Applicants making sub-awards) are subject to additional requirements at 2 CFR 200.331, 200.332 and 200.233. Please be aware of the distinction between a subrecipient and subcontractor, as there are different implications for the Recipient or pass-through entity. For-profit entities are not eligible for sub-award, with no exception.

## D. LEVERAGING HOUSING OR HEALTHCARE

A priority for HUD and the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care is that Applicants will leverage partnerships for Housing or Healthcare assistance as a part of the project application to the greatest extent possible.

Based on feedback in the Participative Planning portion of the CoC Plan to Address Unsheltered Homelessness in the fall of 2022, the CA is further incentivizing relationships between Applicants and a Housing or Healthcare partner.

The CA has incentivized these partnerships through scoring. To earn points for leveraging housing or healthcare, the Applicant will need to submit a written commitment from their partner. In the case of housing, eligible partners for this purpose are Public Housing Authorities (PHA), Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporation (CDC). Healthcare partners may be Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers (FQHC), or Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients. Applicants that cannot demonstrate this leverage are not excluded from applying.

This means that the competitive Applicant will secure, through firm written commitment, an additional housing or healthcare benefit for the <u>exclusive benefit of the project participants</u>. In order to secure points for these activities, the written commitment must,

• Be in place by September 6, 2023

- Identify the source and description of the benefit,
- Identify the Project by name
- Identify the dates the benefit will be available
- Quantify the benefit in terms of units added or dollars. Calculate the estimated dollar value of the commitment
- Explicitly state that these resources will be available to participants in the Project

While these commitments can be conditional on CoC Program funding, the commitment may not be some other public benefit, such as benefit navigation, or a benefit they would confer to the participant as any other member of the public. Some (non-exhaustive) examples of benefits that would count as leveraged housing or healthcare are given below. Please note that these examples are only intended to clarify the intent of the requirement, and would not sufficiently document these activities for purposes of the application. Similarly, there is an important distinction between "match" as described in Section IV.D and "leverage" described in this section. Not all leveraged resources will count towards the matching requirements. It is the Applicant's responsibility to understand both.

## Housing

i. Partnerships with Public Housing Authorities.

For example, mainstream or other Special Purpose Vouchers (including Stability Vouchers) made available to the Applicant by the Public Housing Authority, for a period of one year, to house persons experiencing homelessness, while the Applicant uses CoC Program Supportive Services to support housing stability. The value being the per-unit rental costs absorbed by the PHA on an annual basis as an aggregated total.

## Healthcare

i. Partnerships with Local Mental Health Authorities.

The Local Mental Health Authority (LMHA) has committed to offering enhanced Community-based Mental Health services to participants in this project and will assist with healthcare via a mobile clinic at project sites one day a week, using Community-Based Mental Health workers. The LMHA is committed on an ongoing basis, evaluating the relationship annually. The value of the proposed healthcare services is calculated by the per-unit costs of the services multiplied by the number of participants that are projected to utilize the services.

In order for an applicant to receive points for this requirement, the Reviewers must be able to identify:

- 1. The Source and specific type of commitment (The specific housing or healthcare resource being leveraged)
- 2. The dates that the resources will be available
- 3. The value that the applicant has calculated and what percentage of the application's total budget

that value represents. This value is calculated as (Total value of leveraged resource/Total HUD request) x100=Percent commitment. More points are available for larger commitments relative to the size of the CoC Program funding request.

The scoring criteria for this requirement is communicated in Appendix C.

## E. APPLY APPLICATION SOFTWARE

Momentive, formerly known as SurveyMonkey Apply, is the Grant Management Software the CoC uses. The TX BoS CoC <u>Local</u> Application Process will be hosted entirely within the Apply platform. That is, all application materials will be hosted in, or uploaded to Apply. Applicants are encouraged to make use of the robust Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) available from Apply. <u>Click here to access the Apply FAQ</u>

For questions related to your Apply Account such as password or user name issues, please contact Apply directly. THN <u>cannot</u> reset your password or retrieve your username. Additionally, Applicants are <u>highly</u> encouraged to add <u>noreply@mail.smapply.net</u> to their list of approved emails. The CA cannot be responsible for missed communication between the CoC and the Applicant.

For questions related to the contents of the application, please contact the staff person identified in Section I.B of this RFP. The CA may offer limited technical assistance related to the navigation of the site, however, successful navigation of Apply is the responsibility of the applicant alone.

## III. TIMELINE

This is not the usual TX BoS CoC Program Annual Competition timeline; it is complex and condensed, meaning that there will be a number of deadlines for Applicants to manage. That is outside the control of the CA. HUD imposed deadlines require that certain activities occur at specific times. The timeline below represents an attempt to comply with those requirements but also to collaborate with Applicants to ensure successful completion of their Application.

The items in Section III.A are intended to communicate the scope of the TX BoS CoC Local Application process. Items in **bold** font, are intended to draw attention to important dates in the process. A further explanation of key activities in the process is below. All times listed are Central Standard Time unless otherwise noted. Every effort will be made to adhere to these timelines. Due to the nature of these processes, a delay in one stage does not necessarily correlate to a corresponding delay in subsequent stages. Applicants should ensure that they add <a href="mailto:donotreply@mail.smapply.net">donotreply@mail.smapply.net</a> to their list of safe email addresses to ensure that there is no missed communication from the CoC. Applicants may also receive communications from txboscoc@thn.org.

## A. SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

1	Issuance of RFP	7/31/2023
		9:00:00 AM
2	Threshold Verification Stage Opens in Apply	7/31/2023
		9:00:00 AM
3	Full Application Stages Open in Apply	8/1/2023
		12:00:00 PM
4	CA Completes Threshold Review During Application	8/14/2023
	Period	11:59:59 PM
5	Full Application Stages Close in Apply. Applications Due	8/20/2023
		11:59:59 PM
6	CA Completes the Completeness and Quality Review in	8/24/2023
	Apply	11:59:59 PM
7	Independent Review Team Begin New Project Reviews	8/25/2023
		12:00:00 AM
8	Independent Review Team Returns Applications to CA	9/3/2023
		11:59:59 PM
9	Renewal Project Application Scores Are Available	9/5/2023
		11:59:59 PM
10	Deadline for Applicants to submit written	9/6/2023
	commitments of Housing and Healthcare Leverage	11:59:59 PM
11	Applicants Review Scoring	9/7/2023
		11:59:59 AM
12	The CA Reconciles Application Scoring	9/7/2023
		11:59:59 PM
13	The CA Completes Ranking Scenarios for Board	9/10/2023
	Review and Approval	11:59:59 AM
14	The TX BoS CoC Board selects a Ranking Scenario to	9/13/2023
	become the Priority Listing	10:00:00 AM
15	Applicants Notified Whether an Application Will Be	9/13/2023
	Submitted with the 2023 Collaborative Application	4:59:59 PM
16	Applicant Deadline for Grievances	9/14/2023
		4:59:59 PM
17	Non-Scored Application Component Extension	9/15/2023
	Deadline	11:59:59 PM
18	Board Makes Written Response to Grievances	9/18/2023
		4:59:59 PM

19	Minor Correction Deadline	9/20/2023
		11:59:59 AM
20	The TX BoS CoC Board Approves the FY2023	9/23/2023
	Collaborative Application	11:59:59 AM
21	FY2023 Collaborative Application posted on THN's	9/23/2023
	Website & Notice Sent Via the BoS News Listserv	12:59:59 PM
22	The CA Submits Collaborative Application	9/25/2023
		1:00:00 PM
23	Collaborative Applications Due to HUD	9/28/2023
	(National Deadline)	8:00:00 PM EDT

## IV. EXPLANATION OF EVENTS & APPLICATION COMPONENTS

## A. **ISSUANCE OF RFP**

Texas Homeless Network issues the RFP on behalf of the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care. Prior to issuance, this document is reviewed and approved by the TX BoS CoC Board. This document and the Appendices represent the entirety of the solicitation. Any question related to the content or process of this RFP or any part thereof should be directed to the contact person in Section I.B of this RFP. No CA staff person can guarantee funding through this process. Applicants are encouraged to seek clarity in writing. Verbal communication is non-binding, and further, in the event of a conflict between the CA and the HUD NOFO, the Code of Federal Regulations, or local HUD Field Office, HUD will prevail.

## B. <u>APPLICATION STAGES</u>

The Application opens on Monday July 31, 2023 at 9:00:00 AM. There are four possible applications for Applicants to select from. The Application Portal for each is linked below,

- FY2023 Renewal Project Application
- FY2023 Expansion Project Application
- FY2023 CoC Bonus Project Application
- FY2023 DV Bonus Project Application

Within each application, there are two stages for Applicants to complete in order to submit their application. They are, the Threshold Verification Stage and the Full Application Stage. Please note that the full name of the Full Application Stage is dependent on funding source and Project Type. The Applicant must complete the stages in order of their listing on the timeline in Section III.A of this RFP. The components of the Applications can be found in Appendix B of this RFP. The Threshold Verification Stage will open on Monday July 31, 2023 at 9:00 AM, will remain open for the duration of the Application period, and will close at 11:59:59 PM Sunday, August 20, 2023. Applicants will receive an automated system message when the Threshold Verification stage is submitted. Following the Threshold Verification stage

submission CA Staff will perform a Threshold Review of the applicant's responses, and either, return the threshold verification for edits or move the applicant to the Full Application Stage. This review can take approximately 24 to 72 hours depending on when the applicant submits. Applicants should plan accordingly. Following Threshold Review, Applicants will move forward to the Full Application Stage. This Stage opens at 12:00:00 PM on Tuesday, August 1, 2023 and will remain open until 11:59:59 PM on Sunday, August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Applicants must consult materials published on the HUD Exchange, including the relevant Renewal or New Project Detailed Instructions for the e-snaps application to successfully complete an application to the CoC. Failure to reference the Detailed Instructions while completing the e-snaps application may result in a lower overall application score in the Local Application Process. Applicants can access the Detailed Instructions and other materials at the HUD website.

## a) Threshold Verification: Closes Sunday, August 20, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM

This step of the Application Process verifies basic eligibility about the Applicant, the Project and provides limited information about both the Project Applicant and the Project itself. There are no uploads required for this stage of the Application. Applicants must complete this step first, before gaining access to the remainder of the Full Application.

This application stage will open and remain open through the close of the Full Application: Questions, Disclosures, and Uploads close Sunday, August 20, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM. Please note that submission of the Threshold Verification is only the initial step in the Application process. Submission of this stage does not constitute a completed application. Applicants that do not complete the next stage in the section below will not be reviewed.

## b) Full Application: Closes Sunday, August 20, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM

There are two major components of the Full Application Stage, "Apply" and "e-snaps". For more information on Apply, see Section II.C of this RFP. For a link to the relevant Apply Application portal, please see Section IV.B

E-snaps is the Grant Management Software used by HUD. Applicants must complete an application in e-snaps and then export and upload the completed Applicant Profile and e-snaps Project Application to Apply. <u>HUD</u> will evaluate projects based on the Applicant's e-snaps application and the application's relative ranking in the CoC Priority Listing submitted as part of the CoC Collaborative Application. The importance of close attention to detail as it relates to the e-snaps application cannot be overstated.

This stage is where the bulk of the CoC's data collection occurs, and is broken into several tasks that can be completed in any order. Please consult the Appendices for additional details for a particular application path

The deadline for applicants to complete these tasks and submit this Stage of the Application is Sunday, August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM. Applicants that do not complete this step will become ineligible for consideration and will not be reviewed, scored or ranked, and will not be included in the Priority Listing of projects recommended to HUD for funding.

c) Non-scored Application Component Extension Deadline: Friday, September 15, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM This is an optional non-scored application stage. Applicants that request an extension to a non-scored Application component in the full application stage *must satisfy all application deficiencies by this stage deadline*. Applications that are not complete as of Saturday September 16, 2023 at 12:00:00 AM will be removed from the CoC's Priority Listing. This requirement applies to both the Certification of Consistency, and e-snaps Project Applications.

Please note that Applicants must request an extension to an eligible application component. Extensions are not automatically granted, and an application received with non-responsive documentation or documents that otherwise do not follow Application submission requirements will be removed from consideration and will not be reviewed, scored, or ranked and will not be included in the CoC's Collaborative Application.

#### Requesting an extension

This annual competition is unlike prior application cycles. Applicants can request additional time to complete some parts of the project application, however, the CoC must still do a thorough review and maintain appropriate levels of oversight over the process. Please make note of the deadlines throughout this document and take care not to miss a deadline. The additional time to complete the e-snaps application comes at a cost. CA Staff will be unable to perform multiple rounds of corrections with applicants.

The only application components that Applicants can request an extension for are;

- The e-snaps Project Application
- The Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)

Please note that no extensions will be granted for e-snaps Applicant Profile uploads

Applicants must start these tasks as soon as possible. <u>Extension requests must be submitted in Apply before the Application deadline</u>. Applicants that request extension must demonstrate that there has been sufficient progress made by the application deadline. Applicants must submit the following, on Agency letterhead, in the place of the required upload by the application deadline.

- The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
- The reason the extension request is needed
- A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday September 8, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM
- An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without all required materials
- Executive Director or their equivalent's signature on the document certifying the above information
- Additionally, applicants must utilize the e-snaps export functionality and upload the partially completed application to Apply

The Apply Upload must reflect the following,

- o e-snaps export will be combined with the letter into a single document.
- The e-snaps application must correlate to the project intervention in Apply. That is RRH, PSH, TH/RRH
- o Parts 1, 2, 3,6, of the e-snaps application must have activity within 24hrs of the application deadline
- o Part 3 or Part 6 must be complete
- The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the completed sections.

Certification of Consistency extension requests must contain

- The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
- The reason for the extension request, and the date of the original written request
- A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday, September 8, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM
- An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without the Certification of Consistency and an acknowledgement of the Appeal process contained in the HUD NOFO
- Additionally, applicants must upload a copy of the original written request made to the PJ before August 4, 2023 along with any subsequent communication with the PJ to Apply.

The Apply Upload must address the following,

- o Whether the PJ explicitly refused to sign the Certification
- o If there is an event, such as a City Council Meeting, where the certification will be considered
- o If there are any other mitigating circumstances other than a late request that should be considered.

Please email extension questions to <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a>. Do not however, email extension requests to the CA. Extension requests must be made using the process described above.

Applications that fail to meet the extension request deadline or fail to satisfy the criteria for extension will be removed from consideration.

## C. CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN

All applications must include a HUD-required Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (Certification). This Certification is completed by a Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) in the area the proposed project will operate. When a Project's coverage area spans multiple PJ, it is only necessary to collect one (1) Certification from a PJ in the proposed coverage area. All applicants must submit a completed, signed Certification through the appropriate screen in Apply for the proposed Project. Certifications must be dated between May 1, 2023 and August 20, 2023.

Applicants that plan to request an extension to supply a Certification because the PJ has indicated for whatever reason that they will not sign the certificate, must submit their intent to appeal, using the extension request format in the section above.

Due to the variation in customary business procedure at the PJs throughout the State, Applicants are encouraged to prioritize this request early in the application process. HUD will not grant application submission extensions for circumstances other than a Federal Natural Disaster Declaration immediately (less than three months) before or during the application period.

Applicants must give the PJ sufficient time to review, approve and certify the proposed activities. Applicants may not appeal non-responses or a PJs refusal to certify if the Applicant's initial request was made to the PJ within two weeks (10 business days) of the Sunday August 20, 2023 application submission deadline.

NOTE: The CA is unable to submit a recommendation on your behalf without this form. Under no circumstances will the CA accept a Certification of Consistency after Tuesday September 19, at 11:59:59 PM. Applicants that fail to secure a Certification before the close of the application period may appeal HUDs resulting disqualification, instructions are in Section VII.E of the NOFO.

## D. MATCH DOCUMENTATION

In general, all recipients or subrecipient must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of cash or in-kind contributions from other sources. Cash or In-kind match must be used for the costs of activities that are eligible under subpart D of 24 CFR 578. This matching requirement is on a per-grant basis.

Applicants must upload a letter (on Agency Letterhead) that satisfies the following criteria:

- Identify the match as Cash or In-kind
- Identify the source of the Matching Funds

- If cash, a detailed description of the activities that the match will support
- If in-kind, a detailed description of the goods or services that will be used as match
- A citation from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities
- Explicitly state that the match will be available for the entire FY2023 Grant term
- Is signed by the Agency's Chief Financial Officer, or their equivalent.

CA Staff will review, and must approve all match documentation prior to inclusion of a project application in the CoC's Priority Listing.

Insufficient match is one of the most common monitoring findings when HUD monitors CoC Program Recipients. Additionally, HUD will not authorize the release of federal funds until the Department is satisfied that a conditionally awarded applicant will be able to satisfy the matching requirements. Therefore, it is critically important that Applicants thoroughly understand the matching requirements of the CoC Program.

Below are common examples of **ineligible** match (non-exhaustive)

- Furniture, including beds, dressers, Kitchen utensils and common area seating
- Clothing
- Cleaning supplies
- Other CoC Program Funds
- Cash that is used for activities that are not eligible should they be requested from HUD
- Funds from any source where that source has limited their use for this purpose
- An otherwise eligible cost that is provided to persons who are not participants in the project, e.g. before enrollment or after they have exited.

## Examples of **eligible** match (non-exhaustive)

- Food (Groceries or prepared food items, no paper or household items)
- Rental Assistance (paid with other sources, must comply with CoC Requirements)
- Security Deposits
- Unreimbursed admin costs that exceed the allowed admin budget line item
- The value of free or reduced supportive services such as counseling, mental health or medical care, mediation etc. The match is the difference between the negotiated rate and the market for that service in your community

Match must be supported by sufficient documentation to correlate that expenditure or service to an active participant in the CoC Program, and evidence that the cost is eligible as match. This includes but is not limited to receipts, Accounting Ledger Entries, Acknowledgement of receipt by the participant, and/or

sign in/out sheets. Third-party in-kind match must be supported by a Memorandum of Understanding between the Recipient and the Third-party before HUD will execute a contract with the Recipient.

## E. <u>APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESSES</u>

All applications will go through two (2) standard review processes. Those review processes are explained below. The majority of the reviews center entity eligibility and consistency across materials as well as the e-snaps application that will be submitted to HUD as part of the Collaborative Application. Application errors discovered after ranking may result in a determination of ineligibility, and a renewal project being removed from the Priority Listing. Please see the information below, and be diligent about following the relevant detailed instructions supplied by HUD.

## a) Threshold Eligibility Review

This review occurs in Stages. At the submission of the first stage of the application, "Threshold Verification" CA staff review each application to verify the following

- i. Applicants must be nonprofit organizations, states or local governments, or instrumentalities of state and local governments, including Public Housing Authorities
- ii. Applicants must have an active SAM Registration
- iii. Applicants must have an active Unique Entity Identification (UEI) Number
- iv. The Applicant must have no outstanding delinquent federal debts
- v. That the proposed Project will only serve counties within the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
- vi. That the Applicant intends to comply with the requirements throughout this document
- vii. That the proposed activities are eligible, and the details supplied reflect a sufficient understanding of the CoC Program

Applicants that do not meet the above criteria will be notified both in Apply and notified in writing via automated message from <a href="mailto:norepy@mail.smapply.net">norepy@mail.smapply.net</a> that the proposed applicant or project is ineligible along with the reason for the decision. Applicants may resubmit the application if it is believed that information was submitted in error, or CA staff incorrectly determined that a project is ineligible for consideration. All Applications are due by the Full Application deadline of August 20, 2023 @ 11:59:59 PM.

Applicants may submit multiple Applications if necessary to satisfy the threshold review process, but only one (1) application will be considered. In the event that an applicant submits multiple applications, the application with the latest eligible time stamp of submission will be considered.

## b) Completeness and Project Quality Review

Upon submission of the Full Application, CA Staff will review the application for completeness and consistency throughout,

- i. The e-snaps Applicant Profile is complete and accurately reflects the current staffing of the Applicant (i.e. Matching Primary and Secondary Contacts between e-snaps and Apply)
- ii. The e-snaps Applicant Profile must contain a Code of Conduct or the Applicant's Code of Conduct must be on file with the Federal Government as demonstrated by e-snaps upload. See Appendix B for more information
- iii. Any extensions requested are in the proper format and comply with the requirements for the extension requested. See the appendices for more information
- iv. That the Applicant satisfies the terms in Section I.D of this RFP

During this review process, CA Staff will perform a preliminary review of each application for completeness. Completeness means that all required documents are present, that the applicant uploaded valid attachments that are responsive to the prompts in Apply, and that there are no obvious discrepancies in the application that would limit the ability of the Independent Review Team to score the application.

Applications must comply with this RFP and the Annual NOFO. Applications that do not comply with the provisions of the NOFO or this RFP will not pass the Completeness and Quality Review.

The second part of this combined review process focuses on the e-snaps project application upload, including continuity throughout the application. Through the Quality Review process, CA staff are ensuring that the Project Applicant followed the detailed instructions provided by HUD, that there is consistency throughout the Application, budgets have sufficient detail and that the Applicant's responses address all parts of the question asked. Renewal applicants that submit e-snaps applications "without changes" are assumed to have met the quality review thresholds and Staff will perform an abbreviated Review of the application sections marked as changed in the e-snaps summary. Sections of the e-snaps application with 2023 submission dates on the Submission Summary screen will be thoroughly reviewed.

If staff identify deficiencies in the Project Application or the supporting documents uploaded to Apply during the Quality Review, the Application will be amended back to the Applicant both in Apply, and in e-snaps (if required). Staff will notify both the Authorized Representative and the Person to Contact Regarding the Application in writing to resubmit the Application for consideration. Applicants will have 72 hours to correct the identified deficiencies. The last day for Quality Review corrections to be submitted to the CA is Friday, September 15 2023, at 11:59:59 PM.

## F. INDEPENDENT REVIEW TEAM

The Independent Review Team (IRT) is a group of TX BoS CoC Stakeholders assembled for the sole purpose of reviewing the FY2023 CoC Program New Project Applications submitted for review in the Balance of State CoC. This review will take place from August 25, 2023 to September 3, 2023. IRT recruitment is focused on direct

service staff, people with lived experience of homelessness, and people who live and/or work in the Balance of State CoC. IRT members sign a Conflict of Interest disclosure prior to review of any application materials and undergo training to successfully carry out this important role. Per the Review and Scoring Policy, each Project application is reviewed by two (2) IRT members, and their scores are averaged in order to create a final score

## G. APPLICANTS REVIEW SCORING

Consistent with Section VI.A & Appendix C of this solicitation, CA staff will run HMIS reports and score Renewal projects that pass the Project Completeness and Quality Review stage of the Evaluation process. New Project Applications are scored by the Independent Review Team based on responses in Apply. These scores will be available to Applicants on or before Wednesday September 6, 2023. Applicants are strongly encouraged to check their application by Friday September 7, 2023 at 11:59:59 AM to accept or challenge individual metrics on the scorecard. Applicants that do not respond to this step in Apply, will be moved on to the next step of the review process once the deadline for the stage has passed. For more information about the scoring process and how applicants can prepare for scoring, please see Section VI.A of this RFP.

## H. APPLICATION COMPONENTS AND CHECKLIST

## Renewal Project Applications must contain:

No.	Component	Format					
	Threshold Verification						
1	Entity Eligibility Verification	Apply					
	Full Application: Part 1 due August	: 20, 2023 @11:59:59 PM					
2	Renewal Project Questions	Apply					
3	Monitoring History Report	Apply					
4	e-snaps Applicant Profile	Submitted in e-snaps and					
		Submission exported to Apply					
5	Letters of Support	Apply Document Upload					
6	Match Documentation	Apply Document Upload					
	Full Application: Part 2 due August	20, 2023 @11:59:59 PM					
1	e-snaps Project Application, with all required	Submitted in e-snaps and					
	attachments	Submission exported to Apply					
2	Certification of Consistency with the	Apply Document Uplead					
	Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Apply Document Upload					

NOTE: Appendix B contains a detailed description of each of the items above

## **Expansion Project Applications** must contain:

No.	Component	Format					
	Threshold Verification						
1	Applicant Questions and Project Details	Apply					
	Full Application: Part 1 due August	20, 2023 @11:59:59 PM					
2	Additional Project Questions	Apply					
3	Monitoring History Report	Apply					
4	e-snaps Applicant Profile	Submitted in e-snaps and					
		Submission exported to Apply					
5	Letters of Support	Apply Document Upload					
6	Match Documentation	Apply Document Upload					
	Full Application: Part 2 due August	: 20, 2023 @11:59:59 PM					
1	e-snaps Project Application, with all required	Submitted in e-snaps and					
	attachments	Submission exported to Apply					
2	Certification of Consistency with the	Apply Document Upload					
	Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	дриу Босинтент оргови					
3	Written Commitments- Leveraged Housing	Apply Document Upload					
	or Healthcare	дриу Боситтепт оргови					

NOTE: Appendix B contains a detailed description of each of the items above

# CoC Bonus & DV Bonus Applications must contain:

No.	Component	Format	
	Threshold Verif	ication	
1	Entity Eligibility	Apply	
2	Charity Verification	Apply	
3	Agency Details	Apply	
4	Project Details	Apply	
	Full Application: ALL C	OMPONENTS	
1	Agency Capacity	Apply & Apply Document Upload	
2	Financial Management and Administration	Apply & Apply Document Upload	
3	Budget Table	Apply	
4	Component Specific Questions	Apply & Apply Document Upload	
5	Capital Costs	Apply	
7	Monitoring History Report	PDF: Apply Upload (Optional)	
8	Required File Uploads	Submitted in e-snaps and	
	e-snaps Applicant Profile	Submission exported to Apply	
	e-snaps Project Application		
	HUD-2991 Certification of Consistency		

NOTE: Appendix B contains a detailed description of each of the items above

#### H. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

CA Staff have published a FAQ document that is linked in Section I.A of this document and also available as a hyperlink on the <u>THN website</u>. Please note that this is a tabbed google sheet. Please ensure that you are on the tab labeled "Annual".

## I. SUBMISSION OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS

All applicants must submit the relevant Full Application materials in Apply by Sunday August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM. The CA will not accept late or incomplete submissions. Applicants are encouraged to screenshot the submission message in Apply as a receipt of submission. Applicants will also receive an automated messaged from Apply, indicating that the Full Application has been submitted, with instructions to screenshot or print screen for Agency records. For more details regarding the content of the Apply Full Application, please see Appendix B. Applicants should also review Section IV of this RFP for a summary of the application components.

There is no cost to create an account in Apply. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to understand and plan for challenges that can arise from the use of software-based application processes.

## J. CONFLICT OF INTEREST: APPLICANT CONDUCT

Applicants and Recipients of CoC Program Funding (Organizations), or CoC Board Members, Independent Review Team Members or any other Covered Person as defined at 24 CFR 578.95(d)(1) are subject to Federal Conflict of Interest requirements at 24CFR 578.95. This requirement includes development and approval of the solicitation, scoring metrics, evaluation of project applications or any other action that may be perceived as a conflict of interest. If it is determined that a Covered Person has a conflict of interest and acted in a manner that calls their capacity for ethical decision-making into question during the application process, CA staff may remove that person, their application, evaluations, or otherwise seek to remediate the Conflict.

Further, Applicants to CoC Program Funding, must have an Organizational Code of Conduct on file with HUD at the time of application, or attach an acceptable Code of Conduct to the Organization's Applicant Profile in e-snaps. Applications received without a Code of Conduct, or a Code of Conduct that is grossly inadequate will not pass the Quality Review.

Applicants as represented by the authorized representative, that obscure information about their Organization with the intent to conceal potentially negative information about the performance of, or capacity of the Applicant or any of the Staff or Board of the Organization, either through omission or dishonesty will be disqualified from consideration.

#### V. APPLICATION PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

These instructions outline the guidelines governing the format and content of the application and the approach to be used in its development and presentation.

## A. NUMBER OF RESPONSES

Eligible Entities may submit one (1) New project application. Expansion Applications are considered New Projects and are subject to all of the new project requirements those that are listed in this RFP. Renewal Projects, as identified in Appendix A Column L with a "Y" – must submit a *separate* Renewal Project Application for each project that they intend to renew.

## B. <u>APPLICATION FORMAT</u>

Project Applicants must submit the Application Packet through Apply. Apply is the TX BoS CoC Grant Management Software used for competitive funding applications. Organizations must have a primary and secondary authorized official in order to submit an application in Apply. These persons should mirror the authorized primary and secondary contacts communicated to HUD. Where applicable, attachments must follow the attachment requirements, which are clearly communicated in the software and Appendix B of this REP.

Application consistency is paramount. Please use the EXACT same naming conventions from e-snaps and in Apply on all supporting documentation. For example, if you named the project "Looking Forward" in Apply, there should be a corresponding "Looking Forward" e-snaps application upload. Inconsistency in naming conventions will slow the review process for all applicants. Please follow the naming conventions for the attachments in e-snaps, where applicable. HUD prescribes naming conventions in the Detailed Instructions, and applications that do not follow these instructions will be returned for corrections.

## C. <u>APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS</u>

All applications must include the information requested in Section IV.G at they are relevant to the Project Type. The CA may, at its discretion, request additional documentation outside of Apply to validate the response to any question in Apply or in e-snaps at any time.

## VI. EVALUATION

## A. SCORING

Scoring is the primary method of evaluation for all CoC Program Applications in the TX BoS CoC. Projects are scored using the relevant Application Score Cards (Appendix C) for the project type. However, not all renewal projects are scored. Those renewal projects with less than 12 months of data, are "held harmless"

as provided for in the <u>TX BoS CoC Ranking Policy</u>. Similarly, projects that are the only project of the type that are eligible for scoring, are exempted from the scoring process and are also "held harmless". These projects will be included in the FY2023 CoC Program Priority Listing in the FY2022 ranked order as submitted in the <u>FY2022 TX BoS CoC Priority Listing</u>. Projects held harmless are indicated by a "Y" on Column M of Appendix A.

HUD requires CoCs to consider objective, performance-based scoring metrics when scoring Project Applications to determine the extent to which each Project addresses HUD's policy priorities. The TX BoS CoC also evaluates multiple metrics that contribute to the TX BoS CoC System Performance Measures.

The objective, performance-based scoring metrics projects the CoC uses to review Project Applications may include:

- 1. Successful housing placement and retention
- 2. Income growth
- 3. Severity of needs and vulnerability of participants at project start
  - Persons/Families with a history of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse
  - People with a history of criminal justice system involvement
  - People experiencing chronic homelessness
  - Youth experiencing homelessness
  - Unsheltered homelessness
  - Low or no income at project entry
  - Current or past substance abuse
- 4. Returns to homelessness
- 5. Bed utilization
- 6. Cost-effectiveness, i.e. how much each Project spends to serve and house an individual or family as compared to other projects serving similar populations
- 7. Agency Capacity
- 8. Fiscal management & Internal controls
- 9. History Administering Funds
- 10. Alignment with CoC Priorities
- 11. HMIS data quality
- 12. Adherence to Housing First
- 13. Coordinated Entry Prioritization fidelity
- 14. Race Equity
- 15. Equal Access Implementation
- 16. Engaging Persons with Lived Experience of Homelessness
- 17. Reaching Underserved Communities

## Renewal Project Scoring

For the Specific scoring metrics used in the FY2023 scoring process for a particular project type, please see Appendix C. Please note that Renewal Projects are scored by intervention type, therefore there are six Renewal Project score cards PSH, PSH-DV, RRH, RRH-DV, Joint TH/RRH and DV-Joint/TH/RRH. It is important to note that there are different performance expectations for different housing interventions, and therefore different scoring thresholds on the above-indicated metrics dependent on the type of intervention applied for. Renewal Project Applications are scored differently on the same metric for this reason. CA staff will complete the Renewal Project Score Cards in Excel. Blank versions of Renewal Project Score Cards are made available with the release of this RFP and can be found at the competition homepage of the CA website. Renewal Project Applicants are strongly encouraged to download and run a scorecard for their own project.

Renewal Projects will be evaluated on a rolling 12-month basis. For the FY2023 TX BoS CoC Local Application Process, the period considered for review is July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023, although some cost effectiveness evaluations are based on the most recently submitted APR recorded in the APR repository SAGEhmis.info. CA Staff will begin the process of completing the Local Application Process Renewal Scorecards on Monday August 7, 2023. Agencies listed on Appendix A that use a comparable database will be contacted by CA staff to supply a zipped Excel format APR export for the above-referenced date range. Applicants should ensure that HMIS data accurately reflects their project, that there are no issues with data quality, that there is no missing data, and that annual or exit assessments have been completed to receive the highest score possible.

## **New Project Scoring**

For specific evaluation criteria for all types of new projects, please see Appendix C for the relevant Application type. The CoC evaluates a variety of objective criteria as listed above, including performance-based scoring metrics when scoring Project Applications to determine the extent to which each proposed project addresses the CoC's and HUD's policy priorities. The TX BoS CoC also evaluates multiple metrics that contribute to the TX BoS CoC System Performance Measures.

While all scoring materials are attached to this document as Appendix C. A summary of the scored components for all application types is below. Please note that the applicant responses to the System Performance section of the Application will be incorporated into performance expectations of the Organization, and may be used in future interim evaluations, such as Quarterly Performance Score Cards, and CoC funding competitions to assess progress and effectiveness of the project, if awarded.

New this year, first time applicants, or those not currently administering CoC program funds are also eligible for bonus points. Applicants that complete the Litmos course "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" prior to the submission deadline will receive 5 bonus points. However, no applicant can receive more

than the points listed in the Project Scoring Summary section below for any project.

## **Project Scoring Summary**

In general applications follow similar format depending on their type, Renewal and Expansions, follow a similar format, and CoC Bonus and DV Bonus follow a similar format. Please note however, it is imperative that the applicant select the correct application path in Apply. For example, a Victim Service Provider that intends to create a new project that will serve exclusively survivors should complete the DV Bonus Application. Completing the CoC Bonus or any other application type will result in an undesirable outcome. Note: The same applies for all application type.

## Renewal Projects

Renewals						
RRH PSH TH/RRH DV - RRH DV - PSH DV - TH/RF						DV - TH/RRH
HMIS subtotal	80	76	152	68	64	128
Competition subtotal	72	72	139	72	72	139
TOTAL POINTS 152 148 291 140 136						267

## New Projects

CoC Bonus						
	PSH	RRH	TH/RRH	SSO-CE		
Agency Capacity	52	52	52	32		
Financial Management	29	29	29	29		
Community Alignment	68	68	68	24		
SPMS	90	90	90	78		
General App	51	55	61	84		
Monitoring History report	45	45	45	45		
TOTAL POINTS	335	339	345	292		
70% Threshold	235	238	242	205		

DV Bonus				
	RRH	TH/RRH		
Agency Capacity	78	78		
Financial Management	29	29		

Community Alignment	68	68
SPMS	90	90
General App	55	61
Monitoring History report	45	45
TOTAL POINTS	365	371
70% Threshold	256	260

## **Expansion Projects**

Expansions							
RRH PSH TH/RRH DV - RRH DV - PSH DV - TH							
HMIS subtotal	58	54	126	46	42	84	
Competition subtotal	64	64	128	64	64	128	
TOTAL POINTS	122	118	254	110	106	212	
70% Threshold 86 83 178 77 75 1						149	

## Scoring Threshold

New Project Applicants must score at minimum 70% of the total points available for that project type to be eligible for inclusion in the CoC's Priority Listing.

Renewal Projects that score less than 70% of the highest scoring project of that type are subject to the Conditional Inclusion Policy and may be subject to reallocation in a subsequent competition.

## B. **RANKING**

Following the IRT completion, CA Staff rank projects using the <u>TX BoS CoC Ranking Policy</u> and present the proposed ranking scenarios to the CoC Board. When the CoC Board votes to accept a ranking scenario, the CA staff will promptly notify Applicants of the results and whether a specific project will be submitted with the 2023 Collaborative Application. It is anticipated that all Renewal Projects will be submitted as part of the Collaborative Application, provided that the project meets eligibility requirements and application deadlines.

NOTE: Inclusion in the TX BoS CoC Priority Listing does not guarantee funding. The CoC cannot guarantee funding to any agency, including THN as the Lead Agency. Applicants are advised that no CA staff person can guarantee funding regardless of application's position on the Priority Listing.

## C. **GRIEVANCES**

Project Applicants must adhere to the Grievance Policy below.

Grievances must be written on Applicant's letterhead or by using the attached form in Appendix D, addressed to the TX BoS CoC Board, and received by the CA at <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a> no later than 24 hours after the notification that indicates that the application will not be included in the CoC's Priority Listing (which is estimated to be Wednesday, September 13 2023 at 4:59:59 PM). See Appendix D Grievance Policy for more information about the FY2023 CoC Program Grievance Process.

Note: for purposes of this competition, automated emails from Apply to the person generating the application will constitute notification from the CA. It is the sole responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that messages are received. Applicants are <a href="mailto:highly">highly</a> encouraged to add <a href="mailto:noreply@mail.smapply.net">noreply@mail.smapply.net</a> to their list of approved emails. The CA cannot be responsible for missed communication between the CoC and the Applicant, although the CA will take all steps possible to ensure that Applicants receive communication in a timely manner.

Grievances should reference a specific policy or section of this RFP that was violated, and a proposed remedy. In order to appeal based on policy application, the policy must be expressly referenced in this RFP or Competition Policy. Grievances that do not satisfy these conditions will not be considered. The CoC Board will make the final decision about the Grievance on or before Monday, September 18, 2023 at 11:59:59 AM. The board's decision regarding any grievance will be communicated to the Applicant in writing by Jim Ward, Director of Planning.

What cannot be appealed;

- 1. Applicants deemed ineligible for expired or no SAM Registration as of August 20, 2021
- 2. Scoring concerns due to missing data or data quality issues
- 3. Emotional appeals not based in error or incorrect application of this RFP or Policy.

## D. MINOR CORRECTIONS OR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Applicants with projects selected for inclusion in the TX BoS CoC's Priority Listing may be solicited for minor corrections or additional information about the project after applicants are notified whether an application will be submitted with the 2023 Collaborative Application. Examples of minor corrections could be;

- i. A typo in the Project's Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan
- ii. Typos or errors in the e-snaps project application

Regardless of the specifics of the minor correction, number of corrections required, or information requested, all responses to these requests must be submitted to the CA for inclusion in the Priority Listing no later than Tuesday September 20, 2023 @11:59:59 AM.

## VII. AWARD

HUD will select and notify applicants of the overall outcome of the FY2023 CoC Program Competition via a Notice of Conditional Award. These announcements <u>usually</u> occur anywhere from January-March of the year following the competition. Once applicants are notified by HUD that the project has been selected, CA Staff will contact the authorized primary and secondary contacts to collect a signature on the <u>FY23 CoC Expectations Form</u>, and schedule "Start-up" Technical Assistance.

NOTE: Inclusion in the TX BoS CoC Priority Listing does not guarantee funding. The CoC cannot guarantee funding to any agency, including THN as the Lead Agency. Applicants are advised that no CA staff person can guarantee funding regardless of position on the Priority Listing.

Satisfying the Terms and Conditions of the Notice of Conditional Award is the sole responsibility of the Applicant. However, CA Staff are available to provide support and guidance through this process. Often, the post-award phase of the project can take several months or longer depending on the conditions placed on the award. Project Applicants that are conditionally awarded as a result of this competitive process must have an executed contract before September 30, 2025. Awarded funds that are not obligated by HUD by this statutory deadline will be recaptured by the Treasury of the United States. To learn more about the post-award process and what to expect, please refer to the CoC Program Recipient's Post-Award User guide, available here. Given the potentially lengthy nature of the post-award process, applicants that are selected for inclusion in Tier 2 of the CoC's priority listing are encouraged to "Act as-if" and begin preparing for award upon conclusion of the TX BoS CoC Local Application Process. CA Staff are available to assist with this process if requested.

Preparing for a Federal Award will be different for each conditionally awarded applicant, due to the variation between applicants, and proposed activities. In general you must comply, to HUDs satisfaction, with all terms, issues and conditions placed on the award, which could include but is not limited to;

- Producing Financial and programmatic Policies and Procedures that comply with all relevant sections of the Code of Federal Regulations, Including 2 CFR 578 and 2 CFR 200.
- Updating the Organizational Code of Conduct
- Evidencing sufficient sources of Match
  - o Producing an executed Memorandum of Understanding in the case of 3<sup>rd</sup> party in-kind
- Clarifying eligibility of proposed target populations
- Clarifying service delivery structure
- Correcting Unit/Bed Configuration Discrepancies
- Removing ineligible costs from the Project Budget
- Any other condition that HUD deems necessary and appropriate to assess capacity to administer the award

# VIII. APPENDICES

# Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Eligible Renewal Projects $^{\rm C}$ $^{\rm D}$ $^{\rm E}$

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M
Applicant and Project Information					Current Budget Line Item Amoun				unts			
Applicant Name	Project Name	Grant Number	Project Component	Leasing	Rental Assistance	Supportive Services	Operating Costs	HMIS	Admin	Total ARA	Eligible for Renewal in FY23 Y/N	Held Harmless Y/N
Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	TX0044L6J072215	PSH	\$513,691	\$0	\$168,288	\$88,128	\$10,624	\$31,000	\$811,731	Υ	N
Grace Like Rain dba Giving Grace	Renewal Project Application FY2022	TX0149L6T072215	PSH	\$281,550	\$0	\$110,761	\$30,323	\$0	\$38,346	\$460,980	Υ	N
Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH FY22	TX0150L6T072215	PSH	\$0	\$740,688	\$367,608	\$0	\$0	\$55,280	\$1,163,576	Υ	N
The Gulf Coast Center	GCC Permanent Housing	TX0228L6E072215	PSH	\$0	\$389,376	\$201,528	\$0	\$0	\$50,199	\$641,103	Υ	N
Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program	TX0247L6E072212	PSH	\$0	\$0	\$33,528	\$161,792	\$0	\$7,076	\$202,396	Υ	N
City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	TX0387L6T072208	RRH	\$0	\$174,804	\$83,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,903	\$267,707	Υ	N
Women Opting for More Affordable Housing Now,Inc.(WOMAN,Inc.	WOMAN, Inc. Rapid Re-Housing	TX0463L6E072206	RRH	\$0	\$106,296	\$15,545	\$0	\$0	\$6,943	\$128,784	Υ	N
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Project Bridge Rapid Rehousing FY 2022	TX0464L6J072206	RRH	\$0	\$191,640	\$99,631	\$0	\$15,600	\$14,763	\$321,634	Υ	N
Abilene MHMR dba. Betty Hardwick Center	Rapid Rehousing	TX0465L6T072206	RRH	\$0	\$182,568	\$76,174	\$0	\$1,400	\$15,641	\$275,783	Υ	Υ
Odessa Links, Inc.	Renewal Project Application FY2022	TX0466L6T072206	RRH	\$0	\$242,040	\$51,750	\$0	\$0	\$17,826	\$311,616	Υ	N
Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_FY22	TX0467L6T072206	RRH	\$0	\$601,608	\$198,775	\$0	\$0	\$67,188	\$867,571	Υ	N
Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HMIS Project FY2022 Renewal	TX0470L6T072206	HMIS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$520,382	\$52,036	\$572,418	Υ	N
Lubbock Open Door	Lubbock Open Door PSH Combined	TX0471L6T072205	PSH	\$0	\$695,700	\$604,825	\$0	\$3,090	\$101,265	\$1,404,880	Υ	N
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Transforming Lives FY2022	TX0472L6J072206	PSH	\$0	\$97,296	\$62,500	\$0	\$5,716	\$0	\$165,512	Υ	N
Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcore	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing program	TX0474L6T072206	RRH	\$0	\$168,384	\$73,438	\$0	\$0	\$12,504	\$254,326	Υ	N
The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army - CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	TX0502L6T072205	RRH	\$0	\$120,816	\$36,976	\$0	\$0	\$10,834	\$168,626	Υ	N
Abilene MHMR dba. Betty Hardwick Center	Permanent Supportive Housing	TX0529L6T072204	PSH	\$0	\$139,428	\$77,100	\$0	\$350	\$19,355	\$236,233	Υ	Υ
United Way of Denton County	FY 2022 CoC CE SSO	TX0530L6T072204	SSO	\$0	\$0	\$112,660	\$0	\$0	\$11,266	\$123,926	Υ	Υ
The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	TX0531L6T072204	PSH	\$0	\$98,568	\$39,480	\$0	\$0	\$12,665	\$150,713	Υ	N
Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	TX0556L6J072203	TH/RRH	\$65,496	\$93,696	\$73,054	\$18,500	\$10,624	\$24,800	\$286,170	Υ	N
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY2022	TX0575L6J072203	RRH	\$0	\$97,152	\$105,823	\$0	\$13,550	\$20,107	\$236,632	Υ	N
City of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	TX0576L6T072203	RRH	\$0	\$94,800	\$75,000	\$0	\$900	\$15,930	\$186,630	Υ	N
The Children's Center, Inc	Pelican Island COC Program	TX0577L6E072203	TH/RRH	\$37,056	\$90,624	\$134,839	\$6,766	\$0	\$25,277	\$294,562	Υ	N
Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services CoC Registration FY 2022	TX0578L6T072203	RRH	\$0	\$314,904	\$73,420	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$418,324	Υ	N
Lubbock Open Door	Survivor Housing TH-RRH	TX0633D6T072201	TH/RRH	\$70,116	\$152,208	\$797,285	\$41,500	\$2,250	\$105,500	\$1,168,859	Υ	Υ
Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE	TX0634L6T072201	SSO	\$0	\$0	\$255,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$280,000	Υ	Υ
Families In Crisis, Inc.	FIC_HUD_RRH_KILLEEN_DV_FY22	TX0689D6T072200	RRH	\$0	\$615,528	\$279,925	\$0	\$59,000	\$95,000	\$1,049,453	Υ	Υ
Denton County Friends of the Family	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for Domestic Violence Survivors	TX0691D6T072200	RRH	\$0	\$100,116	\$236,054	\$0	\$5,000	\$19,397	\$360,567	Υ	Υ
Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County, Inc.	FY 22 CoC Bonus request Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County	TX0692D6E072200	RRH	\$0	\$159,348	\$32,500	\$0	\$5,000	\$3,152	\$200,000	Υ	Υ
Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc.	Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	TX0693D6J072200	RRH	\$0	\$118,176	\$48,090	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$166,266	Υ	Υ
Friendship of Women, Inc.	Pathways to Safe Housing	TX0694D6J072200	TH/RRH	\$44,304	\$118,512	\$61,931	\$9,000	\$9,734	\$24,000	\$267,481	Υ	Υ

## TX BOS COC FY2023 PROJECT APPLICATION COMPONENTS APPENDIX B

## **Submission of Project Applications**

The use of Grant Management Software is a required part of the CoC Program Local Application Process in the Texas Balance of State CoC. In prior years, applicants submitted application materials to THN for review and assessment through a variety of methods, almost always involving some back and forth between the parties. This year, like 2022, the entire application process will be hosted online at <a href="https://thncompetition.smapply.io/prog/">https://thncompetition.smapply.io/prog/</a>, This site is known as "Apply". Apply will prompt the user for the required application materials, including all required uploads however, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to understand the selections, and how to submit the required materials. THN can assume no responsibility whatsoever for user error, or technical difficulties that may occur. Users can make a single submission per project application, and are able to modify the contents of the application package prior to submission to THN for review. Applicants must submit an application for each project they intend to renew. The following page details the variety of information that Applicants will be required to complete or upload in Apply.

## APPENDIX B.1: FY2023 RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION COMPONENTS

	Threshold Verification						
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES			
Threshold Verification Tasks: Entity Eligibility Charity Verification (Non-Profits Only) Agency Details Project Details	N	N/A	N	Entity Eligibility Verification is the first step in the Full Application process, for fastest processing, please email <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a> on completion of this step. All submissions will be assessed within 24 hours. This step allows CoC staff to verify entity eligibility, that the project is eligible for renewal and confirms basic details about the project.			
Renewal Pro	ject App	lication (ALL PR	OJECTI	TYPES) PART 1 & 2 Due August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM			
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES			
Full Application: Part 1  Task: Renewal Project Questions	N	N/A	Y	Applicants will complete four (4) checkbox questions, one scored narrative question describing how the project aligns with the CoC Priorities, and two optional narrative questions that are not scored.  RRH & PSH Components are scored on the outcomes of a single component type and may receive up to 3 pts each for each of the four checkbox questions, and up to 3 pts for their CoC Priorities narrative response.  Because TH/RRH Components are scored on the outcomes of two Components, this project type may receive up to 6 pts each for each of the four checkbox questions, and up to 6 pts for their CoC Priorities narrative response in an effort to ensure all components are scored equitably.			

Full Application Part 1  Task:  Monitoring History Report 2023	Y	"Applicant Name- Monitoring Report"	Y	<ul> <li>Applicants must disclose their contracting activity over the last five (5) years. This includes</li> <li>Receipt of Federal or State Awards</li> <li>Whether the Applicant is subject to the 2CFR200 Single Financial Audit Requirements         <ul> <li>And where the Applicant is subject to the Single Audit requirements, whether the Applicant has had any late submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse in the last five (5) years</li> </ul> </li> <li>Returns of Funds other than Pandemic Relief Funding such as ESG-CV</li> <li>Disclosure of issues of capacity</li> <li>Monitoring History</li> <li>Agencies that have been monitored by a State or Federal Agency in the last three (3) years, and that monitoring resulted in a finding, are required to disclose that, and upload the monitoring report for review. Applicants that have had no findings in the last 3 years are exempted from this upload.</li> </ul>
Full Application: Part 1  Task: e-snaps Applicant Profile (upload)	Y	"Applicant Profile Applicant Name_Project Name"	N	Esnaps login  Esnaps is HUD's Grant Management Software. Applicants must upload the Agency's submitted Applicant Profile from e-snaps into Apply. Incomplete or draft uploads will be returned for correction.  All Applicants are asked to add Eric Samuels, President & CEO, Texas Homeless Network as a registrant to assist in any application troubleshooting that we might be able to offer.  User name: THN  Email: Eric@thn.org  Please contact Jim Ward Director of Planning, at <a href="mail:im@thn.org">iim@thn.org</a> if you require assistance or have further questions.  HUD Applicant Resources:  Project Applicant Profile Navigational Guide  NOTE: All Agencies must have an approved Code of Conduct on file with HUD. If your Agency is not on the list linked <a href="mailto:here">here</a> , you must attach a code of conduct to your applicant profile.

Full Application: Part 1	Υ	"LHC Letter of		Applicants must upload a letter of support from the Local Homeless Coalition that
		Support_Applicant		correlates to the project's geographic service area. If the Project geographic service area
Task:		Name_Project Name"		covers multiple LHCs, the applicant need only upload one (1) letter of support.
Letters of Support-LHCor				
Partnerships (upload)		OR		In rare occasions, where there is no LHC in the associated area, the applicant is the LHC,
				or where the LHC declines to offer support, the Applicant may instead upload two letters
		"Partnership Letter of		of support from community partners clearly stating the explicit support of the project.
		Support_Applicant		NOTE ICIL A III A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
		Name_Project Name"		NOTE: If the Applicant requests and does not receive a letter of support, please upload
				documentation of the written request to the LHC and the response if any, along with alternate letters of support.
				alternate letters of support.
				Please see Appendix B of the RFP for more information.
Full Application: Part 1	Υ	"FY2023_Match_Appli	N	Applicants to the CoC Program are required to match CoC Program funds at 25%.
		cant Name_Project		Renewal Applicants must upload a letter (on Agency Letterhead) that satisfies the
Task:		Name"		following,
Match Documentation (upload)				
				Identify the match as Cash or In-kind
				Identify the source of the Matching Funds
				If cash, a description of the activities that the match will support
				• If in-kind, The activities that will be used as match
				A citation from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that
				correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities
				Explicitly state that the match will be available for the entire FY2023 Grant term

Full Application: Part 2	Υ	"Esnaps	N	Click here for HUDs Esnaps login page
		application_submitted		
Task:		_ Applicant		THN Staff must review the completed e-snaps project application to review and rank projects.
e-snaps Project Application		Name_Project Name"		Applicants must upload a submitted e-snaps application to Apply. This means that an applicant
(upload)				must complete the e-snaps portion of the project application before a complete application in
				Apply can be submitted.
				Errors in the esnaps upload can result in delays in project awards, or in rare circumstances, HUD
				declining an award after an offer of conditional award. It is critical that the responses to the
				questions asked in the esnaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked.
				Applicants <u>must use</u> the esnaps Project Application Detailed Instructions, and Navigational guide to answer questions completely.
				Extension requests must be made before the Application deadline. Applicants that request extension must demonstrate that there has been sufficient progress made by the application
				deadline. Applicants must submit the following, on Agency letterhead in the place of the required
				upload by the application deadline
				e-snaps project application extension requests must contain;
				The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
				<ul> <li>The reason the extension request is needed</li> <li>A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday September 8, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM</li> </ul>
				An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission
				<ul> <li>without all required materials</li> <li>Executive Director or their equivalent's signature on the document certifying the above</li> </ul>
				information
				Additionally, applicants must utilize the e-snaps export functionality and upload the partially completed application to Apply
				The Apply Upload must reflect the following,
				o E-snaps export will be combined with the letter into a single document.
				o The e-snaps application must correlate to the project intervention in Apply. That is RRH, PSH, TH/RRH
				o Parts 1, 2, 3,6, of the e-snaps application must have activity within 24hrs of the application
				deadline
				<ul> <li>Part 3 or Part 6 must be complete</li> <li>The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the</li> </ul>
				o The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the completed sections.

	T.,	// C	ı	
Full Application: Part 2	Y	"Certificate_Consisten	N	All Applicants are required to submit Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan
		cy_Applicant		(HUD-2991)
Task:		Name_Project Name"		Blank (HUD-2991) certificates are available for download in Apply, however your
Certification of Consistency with				jurisdiction may use a different form.
the Consolidated Plan (HUD-		OR		To determine whether a project is in a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction please visit this link
2991) (upload)				If no part of an project will be carried out within a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction, please
		"Certificate_Consisten		contact Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs <u>Elizabeth.yevich@tdhca.state.tx.us</u>
		cy_APPEAL_Applicant		
		Name_Project Name"		Applicants are required to collect and submit one Certification of Consistency per project.
				However, multiple projects may be listed on the same certificate.
		OR		
				Certification of Consistency extension requests must be on Agency Letterhead and contain;
		"Certificate_Consisten		The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
		cy_EXTENSION_		The reason for the extension request, and the date of the original written request
		Applicant		<ul> <li>A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday, September 8, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM</li> </ul>
		Name Project Name"		An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission
		_ ,		without the Certification of Consistency and an acknowledgment of the Appeal process contained in the HUD NOFO
				<ul> <li>Additionally, applicants must upload a copy of the original written request made before August 4, 2023, and any subsequent communication with the PJ to Apply.</li> </ul>
				The Apply Upload must address the following,
				Whether the PJ explicitly refused to sign the Certification
				o If there is an event such as a City Council Meeting where the certification will be
				considered
				o There are any other mitigating circumstances other than a late request that should
				be considered.
				NOTE: It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that the HUD 2991 is completed correctly.
				THN will not accept a certificate that is incomplete, unsigned or contains other errors. THN
				cannot submit an application on your behalf without a HUD 2991. Applicants that are unable to
				collect a certificate of consistency, despite their attempts, must follow the steps above and those
				in the RFP Section IV.B.c

## APPENDIX B.2: FY2023 EXPANSION PROJECT APPLICATION COMPONENTS

	Threshold Verification						
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES			
Threshold Verification Tasks: Applicant Questions and Project Details	N	N/A	N	Entity Eligibility Verification is the first step in the Full Application process, for fastest processing, please email <a href="mailto:txboscoc@thn.org">txboscoc@thn.org</a> on completion of this step. All submissions will be assessed within 24 hours. This step allows CoC staff to verify entity eligibility, that the project is eligible for renewal and confirms basic details about the project.			
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	TYPES) PART 1 & 2 Due August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM  NOTES			
Full Application: Part 1  Task: Expansion Details	N	N/A	Y	Applicants will respond to a series of unscored questions about the proposed Expansion project, including two summary narratives about the proposed activities and how it will enrich the current project, a summary of the proposed match, the amount of funding requested under both the proposed Expansion project and the associated Renewal project, the number of households currently served in the associated Renewal project and how many additional households will be served in the proposed Expansion, and if housing or healthcare commitments will be leveraged and the amount.  Applicants will also respond to one scored narrative question regarding how the activities of the proposed Expansion project align with the Coc Priorities.			
Full Application: Part 1  Task: e-snaps Applicant Profile (upload)	Y	"Applicant Profile Applicant Name_Project Name"	N	Esnaps login  Esnaps is HUD's Grant Management Software. Applicants must upload the Agency's submitted Applicant Profile from e-snaps into Apply. Incomplete or draft uploads will be returned for correction.  All Applicants are asked to add Eric Samuels, President & CEO, Texas Homeless Network as a registrant to assist in any application troubleshooting that we might be able to offer.			

			User name: THN Email: Eric@thn.org Please contact Jim Ward Director of Planning, at <a href="mailto:jim@thn.org">jim@thn.org</a> if you require assistance or have
			further questions.  HUD Applicant Resources:  Project Applicant Profile Navigational Guide
			NOTE: All Agencies must have an approved Code of Conduct on file with HUD. If your Agency is not on the list linked <a href="here">here</a> , you must attach a code of conduct to your applicant profile.
Full Application: Part 1  Task:	Y	"LHC Letter of Support_ Applicant Name Project Name"	Applicants must upload a letter of support from the Local Homeless Coalition that correlates to the project's geographic service area. If the Project geographic service area covers multiple LHCs, the applicant need only upload one (1) letter of support.
Letters of Support- LHC or Partnerships (upload)		OR  "Partnership Letter of Support_ Applicant	In rare occasions, where there is no LHC in the associated area, the applicant is the LHC, or where the LHC declines to offer support, the Applicant may instead upload two letters of support from community partners clearly stating the explicit support of the project.
		Name_Project Name"	NOTE: If the Applicant requests and does not receive a letter of support, please upload documentation of the written request to the LHC and the response if any, along with alternate letters of support.
			Please see Appendix B of the RFP for more information.

Full Application: Part 1	Y	"FY2023_Match_Appli cant Name_Project	N	Applicants to the CoC Program are required to match CoC Program funds at 25%.  Renewal Applicants must upload a letter (on Agency Letterhead) that satisfies the
Task:		Name"		following,
Match Documentation (upload)				<ul> <li>Identify the match as Cash or In-kind</li> <li>Identify the source of the Matching Funds</li> <li>If cash, a description of the activities that the match will support</li> <li>If in-kind, The activities that will be used as match</li> <li>A citation from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities</li> </ul> Explicitly state that the match will be available for the entire FY2023 Grant term

Full Application: Part 2	Υ	"Esnaps	N	Click here for HUDs Esnaps login page
		application_submitted		
Task:		_ Applicant		THN Staff must review the completed e-snaps project application to review and rank projects.
e-snaps Project Application		Name_Project Name"		Applicants must upload a submitted e-snaps application to Apply. This means that an applicant
(upload)				must complete the e-snaps portion of the project application before a complete application in
				Apply can be submitted.
				Errors in the esnaps upload can result in delays in project awards, or in rare circumstances, HUD declining an award after an offer of conditional award. It is critical that the responses to the
				questions asked in the esnaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked.
				Applicants <u>must use</u> the esnaps Project Application Detailed Instructions, and Navigational guide
				to answer questions completely.
				Extension requests must be made before the Application deadline. Applicants that request extension must demonstrate that there has been sufficient progress made by the application
				deadline. Applicants must submit the following, on Agency letterhead in the place of the required
				upload by the application deadline
				e-snaps project application extension requests must contain;
				The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
				The reason the extension request is needed
				A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday September 8, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM
				<ul> <li>An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without all required materials</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Executive Director or their equivalent's signature on the document certifying the above information</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Additionally, applicants must utilize the e-snaps export functionality and upload the partially completed application to Apply</li> </ul>
				The Apply Upload must reflect the following,
				o E-snaps export will be combined with the letter into a single document.
				<ul> <li>The e-snaps application must correlate to the project intervention in Apply. That is RRH, PSH, TH/RRH</li> </ul>
				o Parts 1, 2, 3,6, of the e-snaps application must have activity within 24hrs of the application
				deadline
				o Part 3 or Part 6 must be complete
				<ul> <li>The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the completed sections.</li> </ul>

Full Application: Part 2	Υ	"Certificate Consisten	N	All Applicants are required to submit Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan
		cy_Applicant		(HUD-2991)
Task: Certification of Consistency with		Name_Project Name"		Blank (HUD-2991) certificates are available for download in Apply, however your jurisdiction may use a different form.
the Consolidated Plan (HUD-		OR		To determine whether a project is in a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction please visit this link
2991) (upload)				If no part of an project will be carried out within a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction, please
		"Certificate_Consisten		contact Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs Elizabeth.yevich@tdhca.state.tx.us
		cy_APPEAL_Applicant		
		Name_Project Name"		Applicants are required to collect and submit one certificate of consistency per project. However, multiple projects may be listed on the same certificate.
		OR		
				Certification of Consistency extension requests must be on Agency Letterhead and contain;
		"Certificate_Consisten		The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
		cy_EXTENSION_ Applicant Name_Project Name"		<ul> <li>The reason for the extension request, and the date of the original written request</li> <li>A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday, September 8, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM</li> <li>An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without the Certification of Consistency and an acknowledgment of the Appeal process contained in the HUD NOFO</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Additionally, applicants must upload a copy of the original written request made before         August 4, 2023, and any subsequent communication with the PJ to Apply.         The Apply Upload must address the following,</li> </ul>
				Whether the PJ explicitly refused to sign the Certification
				o If there is an event such as a City Council Meeting where the certification will be considered
				<ul> <li>There are any other mitigating circumstances other than a late request that should be considered.</li> </ul>
				NOTE: It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that the HUD 2991 is completed correctly. THN will not accept a certificate that is incomplete, unsigned or contains other errors. THN cannot submit an application on your behalf without a HUD 2991. Applicants that are unable to collect a certificate of consistency, despite their attempts, must follow the steps above and those in the RFP Section IV.B.c

Full Application: Part 2	"Leverage_ Applicant	Υ	Applicant must upload written commitments in this task
Task: Written Commitments- Leveraged Housing or Healthcare (upload)	Name_Project Name"		<ul> <li>The Written commitment must</li> <li>Identify the source and description of the benefit,</li> <li>Identify the dates the benefit will be available</li> <li>Calculate the estimated dollar value of the commitment</li> </ul>

## APPENDIX B.3: FY2023 CoC Bonus: New Project Application

				Threshold Verification
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES
Threshold Verification Tasks: (1 of 5) Entity Eligibility	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks for basic details about the Applicant Agency's eligibility status, including Type of Organization, SAM Registration Status and Unique Entity ID, Active Exclusions and/or Federal Debt, and if the Applicant is identifying any subrecipients. If identifying subrecipients, this step will collect the same eligibility information for each subrecipient (up to 3).
Threshold Verification Tasks: (2 of 5) Charity Verification	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application will only populate if the Applicant indicated they are a 501c3 nonprofit in <i>Task 1: Entity Eligibility</i> . Applicants will enter their Employer Identification Number (EIN) with no dashes or spaces. Applicants who indicate they represent a State or Local Government, or Instrumentality of State or Local Government, will not see this stage.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (3 of 5) Agency Details	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks basic details about the Applicant's Agency, including Agency Name, Website, the Primary Contact and Email, Secondary Contact and Email.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (4 of 5) Project Details	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks basic details about the proposed Project, including Proposed Counties you will serve, the Project Component Type, a brief narrative of the proposed Project and activities, the names of any partnerships that will be leveraged to support this Project, the proposed budget, any additional eligible activities the Applicant is applying for, and steps the Applicant has taken to ensure the proposed Project aligns with the CoC Plan (checkbox). Applicant's response to the Project Component Type question will determine what questions the Applicant will see in the Full Application. No upload is required for this section.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (5 of 5) Acknowledgements	N	N/A	N	This applies to all new project applicants and is intended to ensure that applicants are aware of expectations associated with the Application itself, and post-award requirements as well.

Co	CoC Bonus Full Application (ALL PROJECT TYPES) Due August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM						
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES			
Full Application  Task: (1 of 6)  Agency Capacity	Y	"Applicant Name_Project Name_LOS"	Y	This step of the Application collects information about the agency's capacity to operate a CoC Project, including the year the Agency was received IRS Designation, the Agency's experience advancing race equity (checkbox) and involving persons with lived experience of homelessness (checkbox), support from the Local Homeless Coalition (LHC). Applicants who indicate they have support an LHC will be prompted to upload a letter of support. If no LHC exists or where a conflict of interest is present, Applicants will indicate if they have a letter of support from community stakeholders (2) and will be prompted to upload the letters of support.			
Full Application  Task: (2 of 6)  Financial Management and Administration	Y	"Applicant Name_Project Name_CFR Compliant" (CFO Letter)  "Applicant Name_Project Name_Match" (match)	Y	Applicants will upload a statement on Agency letterhead from the CFO or their equivalent, that states explicitly that the Agency has an accounting system is compliant with 2 CFR 200, and will be asked to provide a narrative response in 100-500 works describing how the organization has/will implement required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.303a, as well as 100-500 word narrative response describing the Agency's internal and administrative monitoring activities. Applicants will upload Match documentation in this task.  Match Documentation (file upload)  On Agency letterhead, Applicants must address the following criteria:  Identify the match as Cash or In-kind Identify the source of the Matching Funds If cash, a description of the activities that the match will support If in-kind, a description of the goods or services that will be used as match Provide citation(s) from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities Explicitly state that the match will be available for the entire initial grant term, (Example 06/01/2024-05/31/2025)			
Full Application  Task: (3 of 6)  Budget Table	N		N	Applicants will complete a budget table and will report the dollar amount the Applicant will request from HUD for Rental Assistance, Supportive Services, Other Eligible Uses, Admin, as well as the dollar amount of leveraged assistance for each Budget Line Item, where applicable. The total HUD Request and Leverage Assistance fields will auto -calculate the total using the information you entered in the table. This information will auto-populate into later tasks. Applicants must provide a thorough description of all costs. Staff will determine activity eligibility from this description			

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	Υ	This task of the application has three sections which seek to capture more detail about the proposed Project and how it
		Name_Project		will operate:
Task: (4 of 6)		Name_Healthcare		Alignment with CoC Priorities
Component Specific Questions		Commitment"		In general, narrative responses (300-500 words), in addition to multiple choice questions
		OR		o Applicant must upload written commitments to leverage housing or healthcare in this task
		"Applicant		■ The Written commitment must
		Name_Project		Be in place by September 6, 2023
		Name_Healthcare		<ul> <li>Identify the source and description of the benefit,</li> </ul>
		Commitment"		Identify the dates the benefit will be available
				Calculate the estimated dollar value of the commitment
				System Performance Measures
				Applicants will respond to a series of multiple-choice questions based on prior and anticipated performance,
				such as average length it time it will take the average participate to enter housing following identification.
				General Application Questions
				Applicants will indicate the number of participants that will be served and provide narrative responses
				addressing the proposed Project's method of service delivery, relationship building strategies, participation
				requirements, and strategies for meeting various participant needs (e.g. health insurance and health needs).
Full Application	N	(optional)	Y	Applicants must disclose their contracting activity over the last five (5) years. This includes
Tunapplication		"Applicant	'	Receipt of Federal or State Awards
T. 1. (5 . f.C)		Name Monitoring		Whether the Applicant is subject to the 2CFR200 Single Financial Audit Requirements
Task: (5 of 6)		Report"		o And where the Applicant is subject to the Single Audit requirements, whether the Applicant
Monitoring History Report 2023		Кероге		has had any late submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse in the last five (5) years
				Returns of Funds other than Pandemic Relief Funding such as ESG-CV
				Disclosure of issues of capacity
				NOTE: Optional Monitoring Report (File Upload)
				Agencies that have been monitored by a State or Federal Agency in the last three (3) years, and that monitoring  resulted in a finding are required to disclose that and upleed the monitoring report for review. Applicants that
				resulted in a finding, are required to disclose that, and upload the monitoring report for review. Applicants that have had no findings in the last 3 years are exempted from this upload.
L	I		ı	have had no maings in the last 5 years are exempted from this apioad.

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	N	Applicants will upload the following file:
product		Name_Project		Applicant Profile (eSNAPS Export)
Task: (6 of 6)		Name_ApplicantProfile		HUD Applicant Resources :
1		"		Accessing the Application (Applicant Profile Detailed Instructions)
Required File Uploads (1 of 3)		(Applicant Profile)		Esnaps login
Applicant Profile		(Applicant Fronic)		<u>LSHaps logili</u>
				Esnaps is HUD's Grant Management Software. Applicants <u>must</u> upload the Agency's <u>submitted</u> Applicant Profile from
				e-snaps into Apply. Incomplete or draft uploads will be returned for correction.
				e-shaps into Apply. Incomplete of draft uploads will be returned for correction.
				All Applicants are asked to add Eric Samuels, President & CEO, Texas Homeless Network as a registrant to assist in any
				application troubleshooting that we might be able to offer.
				User name: THN
				Email: Eric@thn.org
				Please contact Jim Ward Director of Planning, at <a href="mailto:jim@thn.org">jim@thn.org</a> if you require assistance or have further questions.
				NOTE: All Agencies must have an approved Code of Conduct on file with HUD. If your Agency is not on the list linked
				here, you must attach a code of conduct to your applicant profile.
				nere, you must attach a code of conduct to your applicant profile.
				The CoC will NOT grant an extension for this Application Component. Applications submitted with draft Applicant
				<u>Profiles will be considered incomplete</u>

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	N	Applicants will upload the following file:
		Name_Project		e-snaps Project Application
		Name_ProjectApp"		Click here for HUDs Esnaps login page
Task: (6 of 6)		(Project Application)		
Required File Uploads (2 of 3)		"Annlicant Name e-		THN Staff must review the completed e-snaps project application to review and rank projects. Applicants
Required File Uploads (2 of 3) e-snaps Project Application		"Applicant Name_e- SNAPS_Ext_Req		THN Staff must review the completed e-snaps project application to review and rank projects. Applicants must upload a submitted e-snaps application to Apply. This means that an applicant must complete the e-snaps portion of the project application before a complete application in Apply can be submitted. Errors in the e-snaps upload can result in delays in project awards, or in rare circumstances, HUD declining an award after an offer of conditional award. It is critical that the responses to the questions asked in the e-snaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked. Applicants must we the e-snaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked. Applicants must we the e-snaps Project Application are responsive to the Application deadline. Applicants who request an extension must demonstrate that there has been sufficient progress made by the application deadline. Applicants must submit the following, on Agency letterhead in place of the required upload by the application deadline e-snaps project application extension requests must contain;  • The name of the Project Application in e-snaps • The reason the extension request is needed • A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday September 8, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM • An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without all required materials • Executive Director or their equivalent's signature on the document certifying the above information • Additionally, applicants must utilize the e-snaps export functionality and upload the partially completed application to Apply The Apply Upload must reflect the following, • E-snaps export will be combined with the letter into a single document. • The e-snaps application must correlate to the project intervention in Apply. That is RRH, PSH, TH/RRH • Part 3 or Part 6 must be complete  The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the completed sections.
	l	l .	I	

Full Application  Task: (6 of 6)  Required File Uploads (3 of 3)  Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Application	Y	"Applicant Name_Project Name_2991"  OR  "Applicant Name_Project Name_2991_EXT(or Appeal)"	N	Applicants will upload the following file:  Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan HUD-2991  Blank (HUD-2991) certificates are available for download in Apply, however your jurisdiction may use a different form.  To determine whether a project is in a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction please visit this link of an project will be carried out within a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction, please contact Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs Elizabeth.yevich@tdhca.state.tx.us  Applicants are required to collect and submit one Certification of Consistency per project. However, multiple projects may be listed on the same certificate.
				Certification of Consistency extension requests must be on Agency Letterhead and contain;  • The name of the Project Application in e-snaps  • The reason for the extension request, and the date of the original written request  • A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday, September 8, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM  • An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without the Certification of Consistency and an acknowledgment of the Appeal process contained in the HUD NOFO  • Additionally, applicants must upload a copy of the original written request made before August 4, 2023, and any subsequent communication with the PJ to Apply.  The Apply Upload must address the following,  • Whether the PJ explicitly refused to sign the Certification  • If there is an event such as a City Council Meeting where the certification will be considered  • There are any other mitigating circumstances other than a late request that should be considered.  • NOTE: It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that the HUD 2991 is completed correctly. THN will not accept a certificate that is incomplete, unsigned or contains other errors. THN cannot submit an application on your behalf without a HUD 2991. Applicants that are unable to collect a certificate of consistency, despite their attempts, must follow the steps above and those in the RFP Section IV.B.c

## APPENDIX B.4: FY2023 DV Bonus: New Project Application

				Threshold Verification
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES
Threshold Verification Tasks: (1 of 5) Entity Eligibility	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks for basic details about the Applicant Agency's eligibility status, including Type of Organization, SAM Registration Status and Unique Entity ID, Active Exclusions and/or Federal Debt, and if the Applicant is identifying any subrecipients. If identifying subrecipients, this step will collect the same eligibility information for each subrecipient (up to 3).
Threshold Verification Tasks: (2 of 5) Charity Verification	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application will only populate if the Applicant indicated they are a 501c3 nonprofit in <i>Task 1: Entity Eligibility</i> . Applicants will enter their Employer Identification Number (EIN) with no dashes or spaces. Applicants who indicate they represent a State or Local Government, or Instrumentality of State or Local Government, will not see this stage.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (3 of 5) Agency Details	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks basic details about the Applicant's Agency, including Agency Name, Website, the Primary Contact and Email, Secondary Contact and Email.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (4 of 5) Project Details	N	N/A	N	This step of the Application asks basic details about the proposed Project, including Proposed Counties you will serve, the Project Component Type, a brief narrative of the proposed Project and activities, the names of any partnerships that will be leveraged to support this Project, the proposed budget, any additional eligible activities the Applicant is applying for, and steps the Applicant has taken to ensure the proposed Project aligns with the CoC Plan (checkbox). Applicant's response to the Project Component Type question will determine what questions the Applicant will see in the Full Application. No upload is required for this section.
Threshold Verification Tasks: (5 of 5) Acknowledgements	N	N/A	N	This applies to all new project applicants and is intended to ensure that applicants are aware of expectations associated with the Application itself, and post-award requirements as well.

Co	CoC Bonus Full Application (ALL PROJECT TYPES) Due August 20, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM						
Required Application Component	Upload Required Y/N	File Naming Convention	Scored Y/N	NOTES			
Full Application  Task: (1 of 6)  Agency Capacity	Y	"Applicant Name_Project Name_LOS"	Y	This step of the Application collects information about the agency's capacity to operate a CoC Project, including the year the Agency was received IRS Designation, the Agency's experience advancing race equity (checkbox) and involving persons with lived experience of homelessness (checkbox), support from the Local Homeless Coalition (LHC). Applicants who indicate they have support an LHC will be prompted to upload a letter of support. If no LHC exists or where a conflict of interest is present, Applicants will indicate if they have a letter of support from community stakeholders (2) and will be prompted to upload the letters of support.			
Full Application  Task: (2 of 6)  Financial Management and Administration	Y	"Applicant Name_Project Name_CFR Compliant" (CFO Letter)  "Applicant Name_Project Name_Match" (match)	Y	Applicants will upload a statement on Agency letterhead from the CFO or their equivalent, that states explicitly that the Agency has an accounting system is compliant with 2 CFR 200, and will be asked to provide a narrative response in 100-500 works describing how the organization has/will implement required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.303a, as well as 100-500 word narrative response describing the Agency's internal and administrative monitoring activities. Applicants will upload Match documentation in this task.  Match Documentation (file upload)  On Agency letterhead, Applicants must address the following criteria:  Identify the match as Cash or In-kind Identify the source of the Matching Funds If cash, a description of the activities that the match will support If in-kind, a description of the goods or services that will be used as match Provide citation(s) from the CoC Program Interim Rule Subpart D (578.37-578.63) that correlates to the eligible matching activity or activities Explicitly state that the match will be available for the entire initial grant term, (Example 06/01/2024-05/31/2025)			
Full Application  Task: (3 of 6)  Budget Table	N		N	Applicants will complete a budget table and will report the dollar amount the Applicant will request from HUD for Rental Assistance, Supportive Services, Other Eligible Uses, Admin, as well as the dollar amount of leveraged assistance for each Budget Line Item, where applicable. The total HUD Request and Leverage Assistance fields will auto -calculate the total using the information you entered in the table. This information will auto-populate into later tasks. Applicants must provide a thorough description of all costs. Staff will determine activity eligibility from this description			

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	Υ	This task of the application has three sections which seek to capture more detail about the proposed Project and how it
		Name_Project		will operate:
Task: (4 of 6)		Name_Healthcare		Alignment with CoC Priorities
Component Specific Questions		Commitment"		In general, narrative responses (300-500 words), in addition to multiple choice questions
		OR		Applicant must upload written commitments to leverage housing or healthcare in this task
		"Applicant		■ The Written commitment must
		Name_Project		Be in place by September 6, 2023
		Name_Healthcare		Identify the source and description of the benefit,
		Commitment"		Identify the dates the benefit will be available
				Calculate the estimated dollar value of the commitment
				System Performance Measures
				Applicants will respond to a series of multiple-choice questions based on prior and anticipated performance,
				such as average length it time it will take the average participate to enter housing following identification.
				General Application Questions
				Applicants will indicate the number of participants that will be served and provide narrative responses
				addressing the proposed Project's method of service delivery, relationship building strategies, participation
				requirements, and strategies for meeting various participant needs (e.g. health insurance and health needs).
Full Application	N	(optional)	Y	Applicants must disclose their contracting activity over the last five (5) years. This includes
Turrapplication		"Applicant	'	Receipt of Federal or State Awards
T. J. /Ff.C.\		Name Monitoring		Whether the Applicant is subject to the 2CFR200 Single Financial Audit Requirements
Task: (5 of 6)		Report"		o And where the Applicant is subject to the Single Audit requirements, whether the Applicant
Monitoring History Report 2023		Кероге		has had any late submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse in the last five (5) years
				Returns of Funds other than Pandemic Relief Funding such as ESG-CV
				Disclosure of issues of capacity
				NOTE: Optional Monitoring Report (File Upload)
				Agencies that have been monitored by a State or Federal Agency in the last three (3) years, and that monitoring  resulted in a finding, are required to disclose that and unload the manifering report for region. Applicants that
				resulted in a finding, are required to disclose that, and upload the monitoring report for review. Applicants that have had no findings in the last 3 years are exempted from this upload.
	I	L	I .	have had no mindings in the last 5 years are exempted from this appoal.

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	N	Applicants will upload the following file:
		Name_Project		Applicant Profile (eSNAPS Export)
Task: (6 of 6)		Name_ApplicantProfile		HUD Applicant Resources :
Required File Uploads (1 of 3)		"		Accessing the Application (Applicant Profile Detailed Instructions)
Applicant Profile		(Applicant Profile)		Esnaps login
Application				
				Esnaps is HUD's Grant Management Software. Applicants <u>must</u> upload the Agency's <u>submitted</u> Applicant Profile from
				e-snaps into Apply. Incomplete or draft uploads will be returned for correction.
				All Applicants are asked to add Eric Samuels, President & CEO, Texas Homeless Network as a registrant to assist in any
				application troubleshooting that we might be able to offer.
				User name: THN
				Email: Eric@thn.org
				Please contact Jim Ward Director of Planning, at <a href="mailto:iim@thn.org">iim@thn.org</a> if you require assistance or have further questions.
				NOTE: All Agencies must have an approved Code of Conduct on file with HUD. If your Agency is not on the list linked
				here, you must attach a code of conduct to your applicant profile.
				The CoC will NOT grant an extension for this Application Component. Applications submitted with draft Applicant
				<u>Profiles will be considered incomplete</u>

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	N	Applicants will upload the following file:
		Name_Project		e-snaps Project Application
		Name_ProjectApp"		Click here for HUDs Esnaps login page
Task: (6 of 6)		(Project Application)		
Required File Uploads (2 of 3)		"Applicant Name e-		THN Staff must review the completed e-snaps project application to review and rank projects. Applicants
e-snaps Project Application		"Applicant Name_e-SNAPS_Ext_Req"		must upload a submitted e-snaps application to Apply. This means that an applicant must complete the e-snaps portion of the project application before a complete application in Apply can be submitted.  Errors in the e-snaps upload can result in delays in project awards, or in rare circumstances, HUD declining an award after an offer of conditional award. It is critical that the responses to the questions asked in the e-snaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked. Applicants must use the e-snaps Project Application are responsive to the question asked. Applicants must use the e-snaps Project Application per quests must be made before the Application deadline. Applicants who request an extension must demonstrate that there has been sufficient progress made by the application deadline. Applicants must submit the following, on Agency letterhead in place of the required upload by the application deadline e-snaps project application extension requests must contain;  • The name of the Project Application in e-snaps  • The reason the extension requests in seeded  • A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday September 8, 2023 at 11:59:59 PM  • An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without all required materials  • Executive Director or their equivalent's signature on the document certifying the above information  • Additionally, applicants must utilize the e-snaps export functionality and upload the partially completed application to Apply  The Apply Upload must reflect the following,  • E-snaps export will be combined with the letter into a single document.  • The e-snaps application must correlate to the project intervention in Apply. That is RRH, PSH, TH/RRH  • Part 1, 2, 3, 6, of the e-snaps application must have activity within 24hrs of the application deadline  • Part 3 or Part 6 must be complete  The e-snaps upload must include the entirety of the e-snaps application, not just the completed sections.

Full Application	Υ	"Applicant	N	Applicants will upload the following file:
		Name_Project		Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan HUD-2991
Task: (6 of 6)		Name_2991"		Blank (HUD-2991) certificates are available for download in Apply, however your jurisdiction may use
Required File Uploads (3 of 3)				a different form.
Certification of Consistency with		OR		To determine whether a project is in a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction please visit this link If no part
the Consolidated Application		<b>"</b>		of an project will be carried out within a local Consolidated Planning jurisdiction, please contact Texas
		"Applicant		Department of Housing and Community Affairs <u>Elizabeth.yevich@tdhca.state.tx.us</u>
		Name_Project Name 2991 EXT(or		
		Appeal)"		Applicants are required to collect and submit one Certification of Consistency per project. However, multiple
		Арреац		projects may be listed on the same certificate.
				Certification of Consistency extension requests must be on Agency Letterhead and contain;
				The name of the Project Application in e-snaps
				The reason for the extension request, and the date of the original written request
				<ul> <li>A self-imposed deadline that is before Friday, September 8, 2023, at 11:59:59 PM</li> </ul>
				An acknowledgment that the Application will not be included in the final submission without the
				Certification of Consistency and an acknowledgment of the Appeal process contained in the HUD NOFO
				Additionally, applicants must upload a copy of the original written request made before August 4, 2023,  and a support as a support is a point to a point to be a Point A and by
				and any subsequent communication with the PJ to Apply.
				The Apply Upload must address the following,
				<ul> <li>Whether the PJ explicitly refused to sign the Certification</li> <li>If there is an event such as a City Council Meeting where the certification will be considered</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>If there is an event such as a City Council Meeting where the certification will be considered</li> <li>There are any other mitigating circumstances other than a late request that should be</li> </ul>
				considered.
				Softial Call
				NOTE: It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that the HUD-2991 is completed correctly. THN
				will not accept a certificate that is incomplete, unsigned or contains other errors. THN cannot
				submit an application on your behalf without a HUD-2991. Applicants that are unable to collect a
				certificate of consistency, despite their attempts, must follow the steps above and those in the
				RFP Section IV.B.c

<b>Evaluation Period</b>		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Project Total Score - Renewal RRH									
Category	Points Received	Points Available							
Competition Questions Section Score	0	72							
Project-specific worksheet score	0	80							
Total Points	0	152							
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%							



Comp	etition Questions (All Project Ty	pes)						
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		SAGE BLI Report	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR, SAGE BLI Report	
C.3	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	100-75% = 2 points < 75 - 50% = 1 point < 50% = 0 points		no data	2		HMIS Report	
C.4	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	2		Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps	
C.5	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.6	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.7	How did the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.8	How did the Applicant contribute to advancing Race Equity?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q1 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.9	How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q2 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.10	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q6 & Q6b "Monitoring History"	
C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Monitoring History"	
C.12	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring History"	
C.13	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	res/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3a of "Monitoring History"	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	72			

1) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16			
1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15		100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	8				_	
2) Acc	ess to Income									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2			
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2			
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	20					
3) Hou	using Stability									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c			
3.2	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		SPM 2a-2b			
3.3	of participants who exited to permanent housing within the <u>last 12 months</u> , the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		"CUSTOM" SPM 2a-2b (modified date range)			
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	18					
4) Me	eting Community Need				~					
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days = 0 points 100-95% = 8 points		no data	8		APR: Q22c	I	I	
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		APR: Q08b			
			Section 4 Subtotal	0	16					
5) HM	IS Data Quality									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)			<del>.</del>
		0% = 10 points > 0-2% = 7 points	no data	no data	10		APR: Q06c			
5.2	Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	> 2-4% = 5 points > 4-5% = 2 point > 5% = 0 points								
5.2	Percentage of missing Financial Assessments  Timeliness of data entry	> 4-5% = 2 point	Select Answer	no data	4		APR Q06e			<u> </u>
		> 4-5% = 2 point > 5% = 0 points No entries later than 3 days = 4 points No entries later than 10 days = 2 point	Select Answer  Section 5 Subtotal	no data	4		APR Q06e			

<b>Evaluation Period</b>		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Project Total Score - Ro	enewal PSH	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	72
Project-specific worksheet score	0	80
Total Points	0	152
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



Comp	etition Questions (All Project Ty	pes)						
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		SAGE BLI Report	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR, SAGE BLI Report	
C.3	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	100-75% = 2 points < 75 - 50% = 1 point < 50% = 0 points		no data	2		HMIS Report	
C.4	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	2		Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps	
C.5	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.6	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.7	How did the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.8	How did the Applicant contribute to advancing Race Equity?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q1 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.9	How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q2 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.10	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q6 & Q6b "Monitoring History"	
C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Monitoring History"	
C.12	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring History"	
C.13	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	res/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3a of "Monitoring History"	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	72			

ı) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations										
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference				
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16				
1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15			100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	< 30
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	8				-	=	
2) Acc	ess to Income										
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference				
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1				
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric.	100-55% = 4 points < 55-35% = 2 points < 35-20% = 1 point < 20% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1				
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q19a2				
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-50% = 4 points < 50-30% = 2 points < 30-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q19a2				
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	16						
B) Hou	ising Stability										
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference				
3.1	Percent participants who remained in project or exited to PH during the reporting range	100-95% = 6 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90-85% = 2 points < 85% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c				
3.2	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		SPM 2a-2b				
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the <u>last 12 months</u> , the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		"CUSTOM" SPM 2a-2b (modified date range)				
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	18						
) Me	eting Community Need										
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference				
4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days = 0 points		no data	8		APR: Q22c		1		
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data  Section 4 Subtotal	no data	8 <b>16</b>		APR: Q08b				
			Section 4 Subtotal		16	J					
HIM	IS Data Quality				Total Possible	I	1				
				Points Awarded	Points	Notes	Reference	1			
5.1	Scored Element  Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	Point Criteria  0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points	Project Outcome	no data	4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)				
		0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point	no data				Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this				
5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	0% = 4 points > 0.2% = 3 points > 2.4% = 2 points > 4.5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points > 5% = 0 points > 0% = 10 points > 0.2% = 7 points > 2.4% = 5 points > 4.5% = 2 point		no data	4		Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)				
5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)  Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	0% = 4 points > 0.2% = 3 points > 2.4% = 2 points > 2.4% = 2 points > 4.5% = 1 points > 5% = 0 points  O% = 10 points > 0.2% = 7 points > 2.4% = 5 points > 2.4% = 5 points > 5.5% = 0 points > 0.5% = 0 points	no data	no data	10		Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)  APR: Q06c				

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Joint RRH/TH	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served in RRH component*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults in RRH component		APR Q05a
Number of leavers in RRH component		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in RRH component		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for RRH component		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Total number of persons served in <b>Transitional Housing Component</b> [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]		APR Q05a
Total number of adults in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]		APR Q05a
Number of leavers in Transitional Housing Component		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in Transitional Housing Component		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for Transitional Housing Component		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units TH)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Project Total Score - Rene	wal Joint TH/RR	RH
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	139
Project-specific worksheet score	0	152
Total Points	0	291
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



		Point Criteria	Project Outcome				
	Does the total amount of unspent program						
	funds from the most recently completed grant	0-5% = 8 points					
C.1	term total greater than 10% of total project	> 5-10% = 4 points	no data	no data	8		SAGE BLI Report
	awards?	> 10% = 0 points					
	What Percentage of the Support Service	0-10% = 8 points					
C.2	Budget line is spent on negative housing	> 10-13% = 4 points	no data	no data	8		APR, SAGE BLI Report
C.2	outcomes?	> 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	S S		AI II, SAGE BEI INCPORT
		100-75% = 4 points			<u> </u>	1	
C.3	All participants enrolled after January 23rd,	< 75 - 50% = 2 point		no data	4		HMIS Report
C.3	2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	< 50% = 0 points		110 data	4		niviis kepoit
	Does the Applicant have access to the	< 30% = 0 points			+	<b> </b>	
C.4		Yes = 4 points	Calant Annual	no data	4		Coordinated Entry Regiona
C.4	Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the	No = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	4		P&Ps
	equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	1				<b>!</b>	
	Did the Applicant described assets	2 clear examples = 6 pts					Applicant response in
C.5	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the	1 clear example = 2 pts	# of priorities described		6		SurveyMonkey:
	project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC	0 clear examples = 0 pts	•				Q7 of "Renewal Project
	Program Competition Priorities?						Questions"
		5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response in
C.6		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken		6		SurveyMonkey:
	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal	1-2 actions = 2 pt					Q3 of "Renewal Project
	Access Rule?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
		5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response in
C.7		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken		6		SurveyMonkey:
	How did the Applicant reach "underserved"	1-2 actions = 2 pt			-		Q4 of "Renewal Project
	communities?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
		5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response in
C.8		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken		6		SurveyMonkey:
0.0	How did the Applicant contribute to	1-2 actions = 2 pt	" of decions taken		Ŭ		Q1 of "Renewal Project
	advancing Race Equity?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
		5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response in
C.9		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken		6		SurveyMonkey:
C.S	How did the Applicant involve persons with	1-2 actions = 2 pt	" of decions taken		Ŭ		Q2 of "Renewal Project
	lived experience?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
		0 findings = 20 pts					Applicant response in
C.10		1-2 findings = 10 pts	# of findings		20		SurveyMonkey:
0.10	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in	3+ findings = 0 pts	" or midnigs		20		Q6 & Q6b "Monitoring
	a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	3. Illiuliig3 – 0 pt3					History"
							Applicant response in
C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured	Yes = 0 pts	Yes/No		10		
C.11	in the last 5 years due to slow spending,	No = 10 pts	res/NO		10		SurveyMonkey:
	monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?						Q4 of "Monitoring History
							Applicant roops !-
C.12	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured	Yes = 0 pts	Vac/No		50		Applicant response in
C.12	in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or	No = 50 pts	Yes/No		50		SurveyMonkey:
	default on a contract?						Q5 of "Monitoring History
	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit						Applicant response in
	requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501,	If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts)					
C.13	did Applicant comply with audit	OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3a of "Monitoring
	requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in	ok, if U3 = No (5pts)					
	previous 5 years?)						History"
			Competition				
			Competition Questions Section	0	139		

1) Serv	ring Vulnerable Populations	RRH Component	1	1	I		1			
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	-		
RRH 1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16		_	
RRH 1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15		100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	< 30-20% = 2 p < 20-10% = 1 p
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	8		"		<u></u> -	
2) Acce	ess to Income	RRH Component		·		<b>-</b>				
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference			
	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or		•		Points					
RRH 2.1	maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
RRH 2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assexment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
RRH 2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2			
RRH 2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased nor employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2			
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	20	J				
B) Hou	sing Stability	RRH Component								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
RRH 3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c			
RRH 3.2	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		SPM 2a-2b			
RRH 3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		"CUSTOM" SPM 2a-2b (modified date range)			
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	18					
4) Mee	eting Community Need	RRH Component								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
RRH	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points		no data	8		APR: Q22c			
4.1 RRH 4.2	be housed  Average daily bed utilization for the project	Avg > 60 Days= 0 points 100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		APR: Q08b			
			Section 4 Subtotal	0	16		•			
5) HMI	S Data Quality	RRH Component		·						
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference			
RRH 5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points		no data	Points 4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)			
RRH 5.2	Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	0% = 10 points > 0.2% = 7 points > 2.4% = 5 points > 4.5% = 2 point > 5% = 0 points	no data	no data	10		APR: Q06c			
RRH 5.3	Timeliness of data entry	No entries later than 3 days = 4 points No entries later than 10 days = 2 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	4		APR Q06e		II.	<u>.</u>
			Section 6 Subtotal	0	18					
			RRH Component							
			Subtotal	0	80					
L) Serv	ing Vulnerable Populations	TH Component								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	_		
TH 1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16		_	
TH 1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15		100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	< 30-20% = 2 pr < 20-10% = 1 pr
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	8				_	
2) Acce	ess to Income	TH Component								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
TH 2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	Points 4		APR: Q19a1			
TH 2.2	metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
TH 2.3	increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased	< 15% = 0 points 100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2			
2.3	earned income <u>at exit</u>	< 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points								

TH 2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points	Section 2 Subtotal	no data	6		APR: Q19a2		
3) Hou	sing Stability	TH Component	L	1	1	J			
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
TH 3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c		
TH 3.2	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		SPM 2a-2b		
TH 3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the <u>last 12 months</u> , the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		"CUSTOM" SPM 2a-2b (modified date range)		
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	18		<u>'</u>		
4) Mee	eting Community Need	TH Component							
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
TH 4.1	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		APR: Q08b		
			Section 4 Subtotal	0	8				
5) HMI	S Data Quality	TH Component		,					
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
TH 5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)		_
TH 5.2	Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	0% = 10 points > 0-2% = 7 points > 2-4% = 5 points > 4-5% = 2 point > 5% = 0 points	no data	no data	10		APR: Q06c		
TH 5.3	Timeliness of data entry	No entries later than 3 days = 4 points No entries later than 10 days = 2 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	4		APR Q06e		
			Section 6 Subtotal	0	18				
			TH Component Subtotal	0	72				
			Total Points	0	152				

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - Renewal RRH (DV)							
Category	Points Received	Points Available					
Competition Questions Section Score	0	72					
Project-specific worksheet score	0	68					
Total Points	0	140					
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%					




Comp	etition Questions (All Project Ty	pes)						
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	 
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		SAGE BLI Report	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR, SAGE BLI Report	
C.3	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	100-75% = 2 points < 75 - 50% = 1 point < 50% = 0 points		no data	2		HMIS Report	
C.4	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	2		Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps	
C.5	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.6	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.7	How did the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.8	How did the Applicant contribute to advancing Race Equity?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q1 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.9	How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q2 of "Renewal Project Questions"	
C.10	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q6 & Q6b "Monitoring History"	
C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Monitoring History"	
C.12	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring History"	
C.13	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3a of "Monitoring History"	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	72			

Scored Element	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  8  Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6	Notes	Reference  APR: Q15  Reference  APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1		100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	< 30-20% = 2
1.1 Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  1.2 Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  2.3 ON = 0 points  1.0 Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations  1.2 Percentage of adults and heads of households coming (2.50.30% = 1 point (2.50.30% = 1 point)  2.3 Access to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  2.1 Note: Practicipants with 0 income of entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing enomenployment income at (2.51.50% = 1 point) (2.51.50%	Points Awarded  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4	Notes	APR: Q15  Reference  APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1		< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1
Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations  Section 1 Sub  Section 1 Sub  2) Access to Income    Scored Element	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4	Notes	Reference  APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1		< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1
2.1 Access to Income    Scored Element	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4	Notes	APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1			-
Scored Element   Point Criteria   Project Outcome	no data no data no data	4 4	Notes	APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1			
Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual  Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment  Note: Participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  2.2 Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  2.3 earned income at exit  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased carned income at exit  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit  2.4 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit  Section 2 Sub	no data no data no data	4 4	Notes	APR: Q19a1  APR: Q19a1			_
maintained existing earned income at Annual  2.1 Assessment.  Note: Proficipions with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment  Note: Proficipions with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  2.2 Note: Proficipants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit  2.4 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit  2.5 10% = 0 points  2.6 10% = 0 points  2.7 10% = 0 points  2.8 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit  2.9 10% = 0 points  2.10% = 0 points	no data no data no data	6		APR: Q19a1			-
maintained existing non-employment income at 2.2 and an increase their income at increase their income will not be counted by this metric.  2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit 100-30% = 4 points (15% = 0 points 100-30% = 4 points (15% = 0 points 100-30% = 4 points (15% = 0 points 100-30% = 0 p	no data	6					
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased carned income at exit carned carned income at exit carned	no data			APR: Q19a2			
2.4 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit < 30.20% = 4 points < 20.10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points  Section 2 Sub		6					
	total 0			APR: Q19a2			
3) Housing Stability		20					
Scored Element Point Criteria Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations 49.086 4 points 40.070% 2 points 40.070% 2 points	no data	6		APR Q23c			
Section 3 Sub	total 0	6					
4) Meeting Community Need							
Scored Element Point Criteria Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, 4.1 the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed Avg 3.50 Days = 4 points Avg 3.50 Days = 4 points Avg 3.60 Days = 0 points 100.95% = 8 points	no data	8		APR: Q22c			
4.2 Average daily bed utilization for the project < 95-90% = 4 points no data < 90% = 0 points	no data	8		APR: Q08b			
Section 4 Sub	total 0	16					
5) HMIS Data Quality							
Scored Element Point Criteria Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
5.1 Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)  0% = 4 points >0.2% = 3 points >2.4% = 2 points >4.5% = 1 point >5% = 0 points	no data	4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)			
0% = 10 points   > 0.2% = 7 points   > 0.2% = 7 points   > 2.4% = 5 points   no data   > 4.5% = 2 point   > 5% = 0 points	no data	10		APR: Q06c			
No entries later than 3 days = 4 points No entries later than 10 days = 2 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	er no data	4		APR Q06e			
	total 0	18					
Section 5 Sub							

<b>Evaluation Period</b>		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - Rer	newal PSH (DV)	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	72
Project-specific worksheet score	0	64
Total Points	0	136
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



compe	tition Questions (All Project Typ	•	1	1	1	1	1
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		SAGE BLI Report
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR, SAGE BLI Report
C.3	All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment	100-75% = 2 points < 75 - 50% = 1 point < 50% = 0 points		no data	2		HMIS Report
C.4	Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?	Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	2		Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps
C.5	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Renewal Project Questions"
C.6	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 of "Renewal Project Questions"
C.7	How did the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Renewal Project Questions"
C.8	How did the Applicant contribute to advancing Race Equity?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q1 of "Renewal Project Questions"
C.9	How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?	5-6 actions = 3 pts 3-4 actions = 2 pts 1-2 actions = 1 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts	# of actions taken		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q2 of "Renewal Project Questions"
C.10	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q6 & Q6b "Monitoring History"
C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Monitoring History"
C.12	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring History"
C.13	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3a of "Monitoring History"
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	72		

1) Serv	ring Vulnerable Populations									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16		_	
1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15		100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	< 30-20% =
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	8				•	
) Acc	ess to Income				· <del></del>					
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with O income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-55% = 4 points < 55-35% = 2 points < 35-20% = 1 point < 20% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1			
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q19a2			
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-50% = 4 points < 50-30% = 2 points < 30-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q19a2			
ı			Section 2 Subtotal	0	16					
3) Hou	sing Stability		<del>'</del>			<u> </u>				
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	100-95% = 6 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90-85% = 2 points < 85% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c			
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	6					
1) Med	eting Community Need									
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference			
4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days= 0 points		no data	8		APR: Q22c			
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points								
	Average daily bed utilization for the project	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		APR: Q08b			
	Average uaily bed duitzation for the project	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data Section 4 Subtotal	no data	8 16		APR: Q08b			
5) HMI	S Data Quality	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points					APR: Q08b			
5) HMI		< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points			16 Total Possible	Notes	APR: Q08b			
5) HM	IS Data Quality	< 90% = 0 points	Section 4 Subtotal	0	16	Notes	Reference  APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores			
	S Data Quality Scored Element	< 90% = 0 points  Point Criteria  0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point	Section 4 Subtotal	O Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used			
5.1	S Data Quality  Scored Element  Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	< 90% = 0 points  Point Criteria  0% = 4 points > 0-2% = 3 points > 2-4% = 2 points > 4-5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points  0% = 10 points > 0-2% = 7 points > 2-4% = 5 points > 4-5% = 2 point	Section 4 Subtotal  Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)			
5.1	S Data Quality  Scored Element  Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)  Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	< 90% = 0 points    O% = 4 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points 4	Notes	Reference  APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)  APR: Q06c			

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	DV-Joint RRH/TH	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served in RRH component*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults in RRH component*		APR Q05a
Number of leavers in RRH component*		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in RRH component*		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for RRH component		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Total number of persons served in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]		APR Q05a
Total number of adults in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]		APR Q05a
Number of leavers in Transitional Housing Component		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in Transitional Housing Component		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for Transitional Housing Component		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units TH)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - Renewa	al Joint TH/RRH (DV	<b>'</b> )	
Category	Points Received	ived Points Available	
Competition Questions Section Score	0	139	
Project-specific worksheet score	0	128	
Total Points	0	267	
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0	100%	



term total greater than JUN of total project awards?  What Precentage of the Support Service audigat line is spent on inegative boosing outcomes?  John Service of the Support Service audigate is spent on inegative boosing John Service of Serv		Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference
Section		Does the total amount of unspent program						
term total greater than JUN of total project awards?  What Precentage of the Support Service audigat line is spent on inegative boosing outcomes?  John Service of the Support Service audigate is spent on inegative boosing John Service of Serv		funds from the most recently completed grant	'			_		
what Percentage of the Support Service  2 Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?  3 All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2015 Have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment  3 All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2015 Have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment  4 Coordinated Entry Service Applicant Processing  5 Ones the Applicant Processing  5 Ones the Applicant Chearly describe how the equivalent DV value and a coordinated Entry Service Applicant Processing  5 One the Applicant Chearly describe how the equivalent DV value and a coordinated Entry Service Applicant Processing  5 One the Applicant Chearly describe how the equivalent DV value and a coordinated Entry Service Applicant Processing  6 How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal 12 actions = 0 pts  1 Access Rule?  1 Access Rule?  1 Access Rule?  1 Applicant resolves  1 Applicant resolves  1 Applicant resolves  1 Applicant resolves  2 Applicant resolves  2 Applicant resolves  2 Applicant resolves  3 Agricum services  4 Applicant resolves  4 Applicant resolves  5 Access Rule?  2 Applicant resolves  3 Agricum services  4 Applicant resolves  5 Access Rule?  6 Applicant resolves  5 Access Rule?  6 Applicant resolves  5 Access Rule?  6 Applicant resolves  7 Applicant resolves  8 Access Rule?  9 How did the Applicant involve persons with 12 accions = 2 pts  1 Access Rule?  2 Access Rule?  3 Accions = 6 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  5 Accions = 6 pts  3 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  5 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts  5 Accions = 2 pts  4 Accions = 2 pts	C.1	term total greater than 10% of total project		no data	no data	8		SAGE BLI Report
2 Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?  13.18 – a points outcomes?  14.18 – a points outcomes?  15.2 – a points outcomes?  15.2 – a points outcomes?  15.3 – a cations = a pit outcomes = a pit outco			> 10% = 0 points					
2 auguste fine is spent on negative housing outcomes?  13.1% o points 100-375% a poi		What Percentage of the Support Service	0-10% = 8 points					
3. All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Condinated Entry Registry 2018 have a Condinated Entry Registry world bow in Mish or the equivalent DV workaround in MMS?  3. All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Condinated Entry Registry world bow in Mish or the equivalent DV workaround in MMS?  4. Coordinated Entry world bow in Mish or the equivalent DV workaround in MMS?  5. Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at 1881 2 Co.C Program Competition Priorities?  5. Did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Debt 10 clear examples = 6 pts 3 dections = 6 pts 4 dections = 6 pts 3 dections = 6 pts 3 dections = 6 pts 3 dections = 6 pts 4 dections = 6 pts 3 dections = 6 pts 4 de	C.2	Budget line is spent on negative housing	'	no data	no data	8		APR SAGE BUI Repor
3 All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2017-75% = 4 points (2.50% = 2 point	U		'	110 data	110 data	· ·		7 ii ii, oride bei nepo
3. All participants enrolled after January 23rd, 2018 have a Condinated Entry Enrollment 2018 have a Condinated Entry Enrollment 4 (2018 have a Condinated Entry Morrishon HMS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMS?)  5. Old the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 Cot Program Competition Priorities?  6. Old the Applicant involves at least 2 Cot Program Competition Priorities?  6. How did the Applicant injuries and the second of the Applicant response SurveyMonkey: 2 clear examples = 6 pts 3 decitions = 6 pts 4 decitions = 6 pts 4 decitions = 6 pts 4 decitions = 6 pts 3 decitions = 6 pts 4 decitions			·					
2018 have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment 4 Coordinated Entry Morlow in HMIS or the 4 Coordinated Entry Morlow in HMIS or the 4 Coordinated Entry Morlow in HMIS or the 5 project aligns with or advances at least 2 Coc 6 program Competition Priorities?  5 bid the Applicant learn described and the Applicant implement HUD's Equal 7 Access Rule?  6 low did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal 7 Access Rule?  7 low did the Applicant reach "underserved" 8 and actions = 0 pts 1 - 2 actions	C.3	All participants oprolled after January 22rd	· ·		no data	4		UMIS Papart
Does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry Workflow in MINIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?   Yes = 4 points No = 0 points	C.5		· ·		no data	7		Tilviis Report
4 Coordinated Entry workflow in HMIS or the equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?  5 Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 Coc Program Competition Priorities?  5 Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 Coc Program Competition Priorities?  5 Sea clions = 6 pts 1 clear examples = 6 pts 1 clear examples = 6 pts 1 clear examples = 2 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts 1 clear examples = 2 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts 1 clear examples = 6			< 50% = 0 points				<b>+</b>	
4 Conditable Entry workforw in Hills or the equivalent DV workforword in Hills or the equivalent DV workforword in Hills or the equivalent DV workforword in Hills or the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?  5 Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?  5 -6 actions = 6 pts - 2 -6 actions = 6 pts - 3 -4 actions = 4 pts - 1 -2 actions = 2 pts - 2 actions = 2 pt			Yes = 4 points			_		Coordinated Entry Regi
Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?  5 Sections = 6 pts 1 Clear examples = 0 pts 2 Coc Program Competition Priorities?  6 How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?  7 How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?  7 How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?  8 Fe actions = 0 pts 3 A actions = 0 pts 4 Access Rule?  9 Load access Rule?  9 Load access Rule?  1 Se actions = 0 pts 3 A actions = 0 pts 4 Access Rule?  1 College access Rule?  2 College access Rule?  3 A actions = 0 pts 4 Access Rule?  4 College access Rule?  5 Se actions = 0 pts 5 Access Rule?  5 Se actions = 0 pts 5 Access Rule?  5 Se actions = 0 pts 5 Access Rule?  6 College access Rule?  7 How did the Applicant reach "underserved" College access Rule?  8 Female Rule Access Rule?  9 Female Rule Access Rule?  10 College Ac	C.4			Select Answer	no data	4		
5 bit the Applicant clearly describe how the project alignes at least 2 Co. Program Competition Priorities?  5 clear examples = 0 pts of clear examples = 2 pts of clear examples = 0 pts of clear examples = 0 pts of sactions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions =		equivalent DV workaround in HMIS?						
1 clear example = 2 pts of earnings with or advances at least 2 cop Program Competition Priorities?  1 clear example = 2 pts of personnel provided in the last 5 years due to slowe personnel with a composition of the Applicant response somewhorkey. It is a special to the Applicant have monitoring findings in 3 H fold in the last 5 years due to slowe personnel in the last 5 years due to slowed controlled. If Case 1 pts in the last 5 years due to slowed controlled in the last 5 years due to slowed controlled. If Case 1 pts in the last 5 years due to slowed controlled. If Case 2 pts in the last 5 years due to slowed controlled in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant the perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant the perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant the perform or default on			2 clear examples = 6 pts					
Program Competition Priorities?  5-6 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 4 world the Applicant reach "underserved"  7- Now did the Applicant reach "underserved"  8- How did the Applicant reach "underserved"  9- Access Rule?  1-2 actions = 2 pt 1-2 actions = 2	C.5			# of priorities described		6		' '
Program Competition Priorities?  5-6 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions =		. , ,		. ,				
3 - 4 actions = 4 pts   1-2 actions = 2 pt   0-1 actions = 0 pts   1-2 actions = 2 pt   0-1 actions = 0 pts   1-2 actions = 0 pts		Program Competition Priorities?						
4 Access Rule?  1.2 actions = 2 pt								Applicant response
How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal Access Rule?    1-2 actions = 2 pt   0-1 actions = 0 pts   5-6 actions = 6 pts   3-4 actions = 4 pts   1-2 actions = 2 pt   0-1 actions = 0 pts   0-1	C.6		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken		6		SurveyMonkey:
5-6 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts 0-1 actio	C.0	How did the Applicant implement HUD's Equal	1-2 actions = 2 pt	# Of decions taken		· ·		Q3 of "Renewal Proj
3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts 0-1 act		Access Rule?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
Media the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?  1-2 actions = 2 pt			5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response
How did the Applicant reach "underserved" communities?  1-2 actions = 2 pt O-1 actions = 0 pts SurveyMonkey: O-1 actions = 0 pts Applicant response SurveyMonkey: O-2 of "Monitoring His Applicant response SurveyMonkey: O-3 of "Monitoring His Applicant response SurveyMonkey: O-3 of "Monitoring His Applicant response SurveyMonkey: O-4 of "Monitoring His Applicant response SurveyMonkey: O-5 of "Monitoring His Applicant response Surv	C.7		3-4 actions = 4 pts	# of actions taken				SurveyMonkey:
See a continue to advancing Race Equity?  See a continue to advancing Race Equity.  See a continue to advancing Ra	C./	How did the Applicant reach "underserved"	1-2 actions = 2 pt	# of actions taken		ь		Q4 of "Renewal Proj
3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 1-2 actions = 0 pts 0-1 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 4-4 pplicant response 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 a		communities?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					Questions"
3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 1-2 actions = 0 pts 0-1 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 1-2 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 actions = 0 pts 4-4 pplicant response 3-4 actions = 0 pts 3-4 a			5-6 actions = 6 pts					Applicant response
How did the Applicant contribute to advancing Race Equity?  1-2 actions = 2 pt O-1 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 10 did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  10 Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  11 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  13 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  14 Applicant response SurveyMoney: Q4 of "Monitoring His Ves/No 50 SurveyMoney: Q5 of Monitoring His Ves/No 50 SurveyMoney: Q5 of Moni								
advancing Race Equity?  O-1 actions = 0 pts  5-6 actions = 6 pts  3-4 actions = 4 pts  1-2 actions = 2 pt  O-1 actions = 0 pts  How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  O-1 actions = 2 pt  O-1 actions = 0 pts  3-4 actions = 4 pts  1-2 actions = 2 pt  O-1 actions = 0 pts  O-1 actions = 0 pts  4 of actions taken  O findings = 20 pts  1-2 findings = 10 pts  1-2 findings = 10 pts  1-2 findings = 0	C.8	How did the Applicant contribute to		# of actions taken		6		
5-6 actions = 6 pts 3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 0-1 actions = 0 pts Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  Did the Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in requirements?) (E.A. Any late submissions in responses of "Monitoring Findings")  Solves/No  Did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  Did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 3 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in late of the contract of th			·					
3-4 actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 9 How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  10 Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  11 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  13 A actions = 4 pts 1-2 actions = 2 pt 91 for findings = 20 pts 4 of findings = 20 pts 5 of findings = 20 pts 4 of findings = 20 pts 4 of findings = 20 pts 5 of findings = 20 pts 6 of findings = 20 pts 7 of findings = 20 pts 7 of findings = 20 pts 8 of findings = 20 pts 9 of findin								
How did the Applicant involve persons with lived experience?  1-2 actions = 2 pt								
lived experience?  O-1 actions = 0 pts  O findings = 20 pts  1-2 findings = 10 pts  3+ findings = 0 pts  History"  Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements (i.e. Any late submissions in requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in late).  O-1 actions = 0 pts  4 policant response  Yes/No  10  Applicant response  SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His  Yes/No  5  Applicant response  SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His  OR, if Q3 = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	C.9	How did the Applicant involve persons with	· ·	# of actions taken		6		
Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in requirements?) (i.e. Any late submissions in requirements?) (i.e. Any late submissions in requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.(5pt))								
10 Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Ves/No Spring Pixel)  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  Applicant response SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q6 of "Monitoring His Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q7 of "Monitoring His Monitoring His Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q6 of "Monitoring His Monitoring His Monito		lived experience?	0-1 actions = 0 pts					
Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in the submission to the submission that the submission in the submission that th			0 findings = 20 pts					
a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?  11 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to sallowed costs?  13 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  14 If Q3a = Yes (Opts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  15 OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  16 Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in late of the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  18 Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in late of the last 3 years? Applicant response SurveyMonkey:  19 Applicant omply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in late of "Monitoring His OR, if Q3 = No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	C.10	Did the Applicant bases are literated findings in	1-2 findings = 10 pts	# of findings		20		
Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  10  Applicant response SurveyMonkey: Q4 of "Monitoring His Yes/No Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in QR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  Applicant response SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q8 Q3 as of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q9 Applicant Complex His Applicant Co			3+ findings = 0 pts					
11 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  13 If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Park 10 or		a HUD OF TUHCA audit in the last 3 years?	- '					History"
11 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  13 If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Park 10 or								Applicant response
in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  12 Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  13 If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)  14 OR 10 Pts  15 Q4 of "Monitoring His Applicant response SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q8 Q3 of "Monitoring His SurveyMonkey: Q5 of "Monitoring His SurveyMon	C.11	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured		Yes/No		10		
monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?  Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Ves/No)  OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)		in the last 5 years due to slow spending,	No = 10 pts			_		
the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Part 2 (i.e. Any late submi		monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?						51 Monitoring 1113
the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Part 2 (i.e. Any late submi		Bidaha Analisanah kasar ( )						Applicant response
If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in	C.12		· ·	Yes/No		50		
default on a contract?  If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)			No = 50 pts					
requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Page 1 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Page 1 CFR Part 200.501, No (5pts) Ves/No SurveyMonkey:  OR, if Q3 = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) Ves/No SurveyMonkey:  OR, if Q3 = No (5pts) Ves/No SurveyMo								as or womening mis
requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in CR, if Q3 = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts) Ves/No 5 SurveyMonkey: Q3 & Q3 & Q3 of "Monitot or "Mon								Applicant response
requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in Page 1970 OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)			If O3a = Ves (Onts) No (5nts)					
requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in	C.13	did Applicant comply with audit		Yes/No		5		
previous 5 years?)		requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in	on, ii Q5 = NO (5pts)					
F 2		previous 5 years?)						HISTORY
Competition				Competition				
Questions Section 0 139				Ouestions Section	0	139		
Subtotal								

1) Serv	ing Vulnerable Populations	RRH Component						Ì			
,	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		_		
RRH 1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR Q16				
RRH 1.2	Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsheltered locations	100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q15			100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	100-30% = 4 points <30-20% = 2 points <20-10% = 1 point <10% = 0 points
2) 4	ess to Income		Section 1 Subtotal	0	8	L					
2) Acce	Scored Element	RRH Component	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference				
	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual	100-20% = 4 points			Points						Ī
2.1	Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or	< 20.15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1				
RRH 2.2	maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Porticipants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		APR: Q19a1				
RRH 2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2				=
RRH 2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		APR: Q19a2				
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	20	L					
3) Hou	sing Stability Scored Element	RRH Component	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference				
RRH	Of participants who exited during the reporting	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points			Points						
3.1	period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	< 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		APR Q23c				
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	6	L					
4) Mee	ting Community Need	RRH Component	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference				
RRH	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points	Project Guicone	no data	Points 8	No.	APR: Q22c				
4.1 RRH	be housed	Avg > 60 Days = 4 points 100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points									
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		APR: Q08b				
5) HM	S Data Quality	RRH Component	Section 4 Subtotal	0	16	L					
3) mivii	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference				
RRH 5.1	Universal Data Elements error rate (Except for SSN)	0% = 4 points > 0.2% = 3 points > 2.4% = 2 points > 4.5% = 1 point > 5% = 0 points		no data	4		APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate is be used for this metric. (Ignores Social Security Number)				
RRH 5.2	Percentage of missing Financial Assessments	0% = 10 points > 0.2% = 7 points > 2.4% = 5 points > 4.5% = 2 point > 5% = 0 points	no data	no data	10		APR: Q06c				
RRH 5.3	Timeliness of data entry	No entries later than 3 days = 4 points No entries later than 10 days = 2 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	Select Answer	no data	4		APR Q05e		•		•
			Section 5 Subtotal	0	18						
			RRH Component Subtotal	0	68						
1) Serv	ing Vulnerable Populations	TH Component			Total Possible						
	Scored Element										
	Scored Element	Point Criteria 100.50% = 4 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Points	Notes	Reference		1		
TH 1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	Project Outcome no data	Points Awarded no data		Notes	Reference APR Q16			ī	
TH 1.1		100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point	no data no data	no data	Points 4 4	Notes				< 70-50% = 2 points	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points
1.1 TH 1.2	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unshellbered locations	100-50% - 4 points < 50-40% - 2 points < 40-30% - 4 points < 100% - 4 points < 100% - 0 points < 70-50% - 2 points < 50-30% - 1 points < 30% - 0 points	no data	no data	Points 4	Notes	APR Q16			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unchellered locations	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points 100-70% = 4 points < 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal	no data	Points 4 4	Notes	APR Q16			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unshellered locations  sss to Income	100.50% ~ 4 points <30.40% - 2 points <40.50% - 2 points <40.50% - 2 points <40.50% - 4 points <50% - 4 points <70.50% - 2 points <70.50% - 2 points <50.30% - 4 points <50.30% - 9 points <30% - 0 points	no data no data	no data	Points 4 4 Total Possible		APR Q16  APR: Q15			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acce	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unabland business  SSS to Income  Scored Element  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unabland business  SSS to Income  Scored Element  Percentage of the Comment of the Comment of Annual Assessment  Asses	100.50% - 4 points	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data no data  O  Points Awarded	Points  4  4  Total Possible Points		APR Q16  APR: Q15  Reference			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2	Parcent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and head of thouseholds coming from unsubsilized backers  55 to Income  Stored Stement  Percent participants age 31 or older with increased or Anacosmot.  Another Percent participants age 31 or older with increased or Anacosmot.  Another Percentage and Enrollment Anacosmot.  Percent participants age 16 or older with increased or Anacosmot.  Another Percentage and Anacosmot.  Another Percentage 31 or older with percentage  Another Percentage and Anacosmot.  Another Percentage and Another Percentage and Anacosmot.  Another Percentage and A	DOIS 0001-4 points   10.00 0001-1 points	no data  ro data  Section 1 Subtotal  Project Outcome  no data	no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	4  4  4  S  S  Total Positive Points		APR Q16  APR: Q15  Reference  APR: Q19a1			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acces TH 2.1 TH 2.2	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unabilitied business  \$55 to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 15 or older with increased or instructed extracting under income at Annual Assessment  Assessment  Assessment  Percent participants age 15 or older with increased or instructed extracting under income at Annual Assessment  Assessme	100.50% - 4 points   40.50% - 2 points   40.50% - 2 points   40.50% - 1 point   40.50% - 1 point   40.50% - 1 point   100.70% - 4 points   100.70% - 4 points   47.50% - 2 points   47.5	no data  ro data  Section 1 Subtotal  Project Outcome  no data	no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	4 4 4 Variation of the state of		APR Q16  APR Q15  Reference  APR Q19s1			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2	Parcent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and head of thouseholds coming from unsubmittend backsons  55 to Income  Soored Stement  Percent participants age 18 or other with increased or floors incomed an enrollment of the common of the commo	DEC 500-4 points   40 300 + 1 point   100 700 + 4 points   100 700 + 4 points   40 30 + 2 point   4 300 + 0 points   4 30 200 + 2 points   4 30	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data	no data  B  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	4 4 Fotal Positive Points 4		APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q15  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acces TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.2	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unabilitied business  \$55 to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 15 or older with increased or instructed extracting under income at Annual Assessment  Assessment  Assessment  Percent participants age 15 or older with increased or instructed extracting under income at Annual Assessment  Assessme	100.000 - 4 points - 4.00-000 - 2 points - 4.00-000 - 4 points - 4	no data  ro data  Section 1 Subtotal  Project Outcome  no data	no data  D  Points Awarded  no data  no data	4  B  Total Positive Paints  4  4  6  6		APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q15  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acces TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.2	Parcent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and head of thouseholds coming from unsubsilized blockforms  55 to Income  Stored Stement  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or Adults and the adult of thouseholds or the common of	DOS 000 - 4 goests   40.00 to 10.00 t	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data	no data  B  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	4  B  Total Positive Paints  4  4  6  6		APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q15  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acces TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.2	Parcent of adults with no income at enrollment Percentage of adults and hands of households coming from unshaltend boardons  SS to Income  Source Officers  Source Officers  Forces any adult of the source of the s	100.000 - 4 points	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data Section 2 Subtotal	no data  Roints Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Noints Awarded  Noints Awarded  no data	# 4 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q19s1  APR Q19s1  APR Q19s2			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2  2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.3 TH 2.4 TH 3.1	Parents of adults with no income at enrollment  Parents part of adults and hands of households coming from unshaltend boardons  SS to Income  Source Officers  Source Officers  Source Officers  Parents participants age 15 or older with increased or management and parents of the country of political black Participants age 15 or older with increased or management and the country of political more country of the country of the country of political more country of the country of the country of political more country of the country of the country of political more country of the country of the country of political more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of the country of the country of the more country of t	100.50% - 4 points   40.50% - 10.50%	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome no data section 2 Subtotal	no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded	4 4 4 Total Possible Points 4 4 For all Possible Points 5 For all Possible Points For all Possible Points For all Possible Points	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q1911  APR Q1912  APR Q1912  APR Q1912			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2  2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.3 TH 2.4 TH 3.1	Personal of adults with no income all enrollment  Personaling of adults with no income all enrollment  Personaling of adults and hands of thouseholds coming  times unshalmed locations  \$\$50 Income    Control Chamber   Control Chamber	Disc Stote - 4 general	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data Section 2 Subtotal	no data  Roints Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Noints Awarded  Noints Awarded  no data	# 4 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  APR Q1911  APR Q1912  APR Q1912  APR Q1912			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2  2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.3 TH 2.4 TH 3.1	hercent of adults with no income at enrollment hercentage of adults and head of households coving to unwahilered blocktons  55 to Income  Save of Income  The Income of Income of Income of Income and Income of Income of Income and Income and Income of Income of Income and I	Disc Ston - 4 geneta	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data Project Outcome Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data  no data  D  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  Points Awarded  no data	Formers  4  4  Solution  S	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  Selference  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u1  APR Q19u2  APR Q19u2  APR Q19u2			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
TH 12  2) Acce TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  4) Mee	Parent of adults with no income all enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from weakflowed boardons.  SES TO Income  Some of Enemant  Percent participants age 18 of order with increased or managed adults and adults and adults and adults and floor. Percentageous age 18 of order with increased or managed adults and adults and adults and adults and floor. Percentageous ages 18 of order with increased or managed adults and adults and adults and floor. Percentageous ages 18 or order with increased or managed adults and adults and adults and floor. Percentageous ages 18 or order with increased and adults and adults and adults and floor. Percentageous ages 18 or order with increased among the adults and adults and adults and managed adults and adults and adults and managed adults and adults and adults and some of the adults and adults and some of the adults and adults and some of the adul	Disc Ston - 4 giorns	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data Section 2 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data no data  Points Awarded no data no data no data no data Points Awarded o data Points Awarded	Founds  4  4  Foral Possible Points  4  4  Foral Possible Points  6  6  7  Total Possible Points	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  Software  APR Q19s1  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
TH 12  2) Acce TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  4) Mee	hereast of adults with no income at enrollment hereasts of adults and head of thouseholds coming too unsubalized blocktons  55 to Income  Stored Remark  Protest participants aged to refer with incomed or Annual A	100.000 - 4 points   4.00 -	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data Project Outcome Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data no data  Points Awarded no data no data no data no data Points Awarded o data Points Awarded no data	Formers  4  4  Solution  S	Notes	APR Q16  APR Q15  Software  APR Q19s1  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
TH 12  2) Acce TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  4) Mee	Parents of adults with no income at enrollment  Parents of a dults and hands of households coming from unshaltend boardons.  SES TO Income  Source of themset  Parents of a dults and hands of households coming from unshaltend boardons.  Parents of a dults and hands of households coming from unshaltend boardons.  Parents of a dults and hands of the common and the from the common and the common and the from the common and the common and the manufactured unshaltend programs and the common and the from the common and the common and the from the common and the dults and the manufactured common and the dults and the from the common and the dults and the from the common and the dults and the from the common	100.000 - 4 points   4.00 -	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data Section 2 Subtotal Project Outcome Project Outcome Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Founds  4  4  8  Solution Possible Paints  4  4  6  6  7  Total Possible Paints  6  Total Possible Paints  6  Total Possible Paints  7  Total Possible Paints  8  Total Possible Paints	Nuclea Section 1 Nuclea	APR Q16  APR Q19  APR Q19  APR Q19  APR Q19  APR Q19  APR Q19  APR Q22  APR Q22  APR Q22  APR Q22  APR Q22			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
1.1 TH 1.2 2) Acce TH 2.1 TH 2.2 TH 2.3 TH 2.4 4) Mee	hercent of adults with no income at enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming from unsubalized blockfores  55 to Income  Source Stones  Source Stones  Forces participants age 18 or older with increased or Anticometed.  Anticometed.  Management and the adult of older with increased or Anticometed.  Management and the adult of older with increased or Anticometed.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or Anticometed.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or Anticometed.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or another forces percent with 00 Anticometed enrolled or work.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased consider common agent  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased consideration agent  Source Stones agent  The advantage of the advanted by the older  Anticometed and agent  The advantage of the older with increased  consideration agent  The advantage of the advanted by the older  Anticometed participants age 18 or older with increased  consideration agent  The advantage of the advanted by the older  The advantage of the advanted by the older  The advantage of the advanted by the older  The advantage of the older with increased  The advantage of the older with i	Disc Still 4 points	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data Section 2 Subtotal Project Outcome Project Outcome Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome	no data  no data  D  Noints Awarded  no data  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Formers  4  4  Former Possible Points  4  4  6  7  Total Possible Points  6  Total Possible Points  8  Total Possible Points  8  Total Possible Points	Nuclea Section 1 Nuclea	APR Q15  APR Q15  Ballerence  APR Q19s1  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2  APR Q19s2  APR Q23c2  APR Q23c2  APR Q23c2  APR Q23c2			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
TH 1.2  2) Acce TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  4) Mee	Percent of adults with no income all enrollment  Percentage of adults and heads of households coming  too unwalkfullend bloodsons.  Storied Element  Storied Element  Percent participants ages to ordine with incomed or  Annual percentage of the product of the control of or  Percentage of the common of the control of or  Annual percentage of the control of the control of or  Annual percentage of the control of the control of or  Annual percentage of the control of the control of the  Percent participants age to ordine with incomed or  Annual percentage of the control of the control of the  Percent participants age to ordine with incomed or  Annual percentage of the control of the control of the  Percent participants age to ordine with incomed  Annual control of the control of the control  Annual control of the control of the  Percent participants age to ordine with incomed  annual control general and the control of the  Percent participants age to ordine with incomed  annual control general and the control of the  Annual percentage of the control of the control of the  Percentage of the control of the control of the  Percentage of the control of the control of the  Percentage of the control of the control of the  Percentage of the control of the control of the  Percentage of the control of the project  Societ Climites  Societ Climites  Societ Climites  Societ Climites  Concerning Control of the project  Societ Climites  Concerning Climi	DOIS 000 - 4 points	no data no data Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome no data no data Section 2 Subtotal Project Outcome Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data	no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Formers  4  4  Solution  S	Nuclea Section 1 Nuclea	APR Q16  APR Q15  Software  APR Q1991  APR Q1992  APR Q			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
11	hercents of adults with no income all enrollment  hercentage of adults and heads of households coving  too unwahalmend bootstons.  Stored Element  Charles participants ages fit or other with households coving  the process participants ages fit or other with household or  microstated coving mend or coving an other participants and other participants ages fit or other with household or  from freelings and with or coving an other participants ages fit or other with household or  freelings and with the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  from freelings of the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  freelings and the coving of the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  more participants ages fit or o	Disc Stoth - 4 gardes	no data no data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome no data  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome Section 3 Subtotal  Section 5 Subtotal	no data  Points Awarded no data  No data no data no data  Points Awarded no data  Points Awarded no data  Points Awarded no data  O  Points Awarded no data  O  Points Awarded no data		Nuclea Section 1 Nuclea	APR Q16  APR Q191			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point
11	hercents of adults with no income all enrollment  hercentage of adults and heads of households coving  too unwahalmend bootstons.  Stored Element  Charles participants ages fit or other with households coving  the process participants ages fit or other with household or  microstated coving mend or coving an other participants and other participants ages fit or other with household or  from freelings and with or coving an other participants ages fit or other with household or  freelings and with the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  from freelings of the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  freelings and the coving of the coving of the participants ages fit or other with household or  more participants ages fit or o	Disc Stoth - 4 gardes	ro data ro data Section 3 Subtotal Project Outcome ro data Section 2 Subtotal  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome ro data Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome ro data Section 3 Subtotal  Section 3 Subtotal Section 3 Subtotal	no data  Points Awarded no data no data no data no data  Points Awarded no data  Points Awarded no data  Points Awarded no data  O  Points Awarded no data no data no data	Founds  4  4  8  Solution Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  Founds  7  Total Possible Points  8  Total Possible Points  10  10	Nuclea Section 1 Nuclea	APR Q16  APR Q191			< 70-50% = 2 points < 50-30% = 1 point	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score -	Expansion	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	64
Project-specific worksheet score	0	58
Total Points	0	122
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



	etition Questions (All Project Ty Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
	Does the total amount of unspent program	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	roints Awarued	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	ivotes	Reference	
C.1	funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 4 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 4 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	64			

.) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 1.1		
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	4				
Acc	ess to Income								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.1		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.2		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.3	·	
		100 200/ - C points							
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.4		
2.4		< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point	Section 2 Subtotal	no data	6 <b>20</b>				
		< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point	Section 2 Subtotal						
	non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point	Section 2 Subtotal Project Outcome			Notes			
	non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		0	20 Total Possible	Notes	Measure 2.4		
 3) Ho	non-employment income at exit  Ising Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points  Point Criteria  100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points		O Points Awarded	ZO  Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard,		
3.1	Ising Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points < 70% = 0 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 5-10% = 3 points		Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,		
3.1 3.2	Issing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points > 5-10% = 3 points >> 10% = 0 points >> 5-10% = 3 points		Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  6	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2		
3.1 3.2	Issing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points > 5-10% = 3 points >> 10% = 0 points >> 5-10% = 3 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  6	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2		
3.1 3.2	ssing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points > 5-10% = 3 points >> 10% = 0 points >> 5-10% = 3 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points 6 6 18	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2		
3.1 3.2	sing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points  Point Criteria  100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points  > 5-10% = 3 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points  D-5% = 6 points > 10% = 0 points  D-5% = 6 points > 10% = 0 points  Aug 30 Days = 4 points  Aug 30 Days = 4 points  Aug - 50 Days = 0 points  D-5% - 50 Days = 0 points  Aug - 50 Days = 0 points  D-5% - 5% - 5% - 5% - 5% - 5% - 5% - 5%	Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  o data	Total Possible Points  6  6  18		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3		
3.1 3.2 3.3	non-employment income at exit  Ising Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need  Scored Element  Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points < 70% = 0 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points Description Avg = 4 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points Point Criteria Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points	Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded	Total Possible Points  6  6  18  Total Possible Points		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3		
3.1 3.2 3.3 4.1	non-employment income at exit  Issing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need  Scored Element  Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points Point Criteria 100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points O-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points Point Criteria Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days = 0 points 100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 95-90% = 4 points	Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points  6  6  18  Total Possible Points		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3		

<b>Evaluation Period</b>		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - E	expansion	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	64
Project-specific worksheet score	0	54
Total Points	0	118
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



	etition Questions (All Project Ty Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
	Does the total amount of unspent program	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	roints Awarued	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	ivotes	Reference	
C.1	funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 4 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 4 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	64			

.) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 1.1		
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	4				
) Acc	ess to Income								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.1		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-55% = 4 points < 55-35% = 2 points < 35-20% = 1 point < 20% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.2		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.3		
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-50% = 4 points < 50-30% = 2 points < 30-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points		no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.4		
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	16				
) Ho	using Stability		-			-'			
,	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1		
3.1	Percent participants who remained in project or exited to PH during the reporting range	100-95% = 6 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90-85% = 2 points < 85% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1		
	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points		no data			Denous I Drainst Conveyed		
3.2	homelessness within two years.*	> 10% = 0 points		110 data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.2		
3.2	homelessness <u>within two years</u> .*  Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the <u>last 12 months</u> , the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	> 10% = 0 points 0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6				
	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points	Section 3 Subtotal				Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard,		
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points	Section 3 Subtotal	no data	6		Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard,		
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6  18  Total Possible	Notes	Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard,  Measure 3.3		
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need  Scored Element	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points	Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome	no data	6	Notes	Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3  Reference		
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points  > 10% = 0 points  Point Criteria  Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days = 0 points		no data	6  18  Total Possible	Notes	Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.1		
3.3	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need  Scored Element Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points  Point Criteria  Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points		no data  O  Points Awarded	18 Total Possible Points	Notes	Measure 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard,		
3.3 3) Me	Of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness  eting Community Need  Scored Element  Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	0-5% = 6 points > 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points  Point Criteria  Avg < 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days = 0 points 100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points	Project Outcome	no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points 8	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.3  Reference Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,		

<b>Evaluation Period</b>		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units)
Total number of persons served in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]	N/A	APR Q05a
Total number of adults in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]	N/A	APR Q05a
Number of leavers in Transitional Housing Component	N/A	APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in Transitional Housing Component	N/A	APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for Transitional Housing Component	N/A	Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units TH)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score -	Expansion	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	72
Project-specific worksheet score	0	126
Total Points	0	198
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



Compe	etition Questions (All Project Ty	pes)						
-		Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 8 points > 5-10% = 4 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 8 points > 10-13% = 4 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 6pts 1 clear example = 3 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		6		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 20 pts 1-2 findings = 10 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		20		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 10 pts	Yes/No		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No = 50 pts	Yes/No		50		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (10pts) OR, if Q3 = No (10pts)	Yes/No		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 8 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		8		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 8 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		8		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	128			

4) C	dan Malanachia Banadatiana	DDII Commonst					
1) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations Scored Element	RRH Component	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference
	Second Element	100-50% = 4 points	. ojeti odtcome	. omis Awarded	Points		
RRH 1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 1.1
		< 30% = 0 points	Section 1 Subtotal	0	4		
2) Acc	ess to Income	RRH Component		·	L	·	
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference
	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual	100-20% = 4 points					
RRH 2.1	Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to	< 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 2.1
	increase their income will not be counted by this metric	< 10% = 0 points					
	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at	100-30% = 4 points					
RRH 2.2	Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to	< 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 2.2
	increase their income will not be counted by this metric	< 15% = 0 points					
RRH 2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 2.3
	curred medine. at exte	< 10% = 0 points 100-30% = 6 points					measure mar 2.5
RRH 2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	< 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 2.4
		< 10% = 0 points	Section 2 Subtotal	0	20		
3) Hou	ising Stability	RRH Component	Section 2 Subtotal	L	L	l	
,,	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference
RRH	Of participants who exited during the reporting	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points			Points		Renewal Project Scorecard,
3.1	period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	< 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		Measure RRH 3.1
RRH	Of participants who exited to permanent housing,	0-5% = 6 points		no dete	-		Renewal Project Scorecard,
3.2	the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within two years.*	> 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Measure RRH 3.2
RRH	Of participants who exited to permanent housing	0-5% = 6 points					Renewal Project Scorecard,
3.3	within the <u>last 12 months</u> , the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness	> 5-10% = 3 points > 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Measure RRH 3.3
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	18		
4) Me	eting Community Need	RRH Component					
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference
RRH 4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days= 0 points		no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 4.1
RRH	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard,
4.2		< 90% = 0 points					Measure RRH 4.1
			Section 4 Subtotal RRH Component	0	16		
			Subtotal	0	58		
1) Serv	ving Vulnerable Populations	TH Component					
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference
TH		100-50% = 4 points					
	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	< 50-40% = 2 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points					Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1
1.1		< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data  Section 1 Subtotal	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1
1.1	ess to Income	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	Section 1 Subtotal	0	4 Total Possible	Notes	Measure TH 1.1
1.1	ess to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points  TH Component  Point Criteria			4	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1
1.1 2) Асс	ess to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Citeria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points	Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome	O Points Awarded	4 Total Possible	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1 2) Acc	ess to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 Income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points	Section 1 Subtotal	0	4 Total Possible Points	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference
1.1 2) Асс	ess to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 Income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome	O Points Awarded	4 Total Possible Points	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1 2) Acc	ess to Income  Scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Porticipants with 0 income of entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points	Section 1 Subtotal Project Outcome	O Points Awarded	4 Total Possible Points	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1 2) Acc	Scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 100-30% = 4 points < 100-30% = 4 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1
1.1 2) Acc	Scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Porticipants with 0 income at entity who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at normal Assessment with Oncome at entity who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 30-30% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 20-55% = 6 points < 25-55% = 4 points < 25-55% = 4 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points 4	Notes	Measure TH 1.1  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1 2) Acco	ess to Income  Scored Element  Provent participants age 18 or older who increased are mainly and a service of participants age 18 or older who increased assessment. Note: Porticipants with Dincome at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment. Note: Porticipants with Dincome at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15 - 10% = 2 points < 15 - 10% = 2 points < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 20-30% = 2 points < 20-30% = 2 points < 20-30% = 2 points < 20-55% = 0 points < 20-55% = 0 points < 20-55% = 0 points < 25-55% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 15-00% = 0 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3	ess to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with O Income at entry who fail to increase their increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with O Income at entry who fail to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at any and a second participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at 2011.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15 - 10% = 1 points < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 30-30% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points < 20-55% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 25-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 5 points < 25-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1 2) Acc	Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Accessment.  Accessment and a state of the stat	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 25-15% = 6 points < 35-15% = 6 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 100 = 0 points < 100 = 0 points < 100 = 0 points	Project Outcome  no data	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points 4	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.2	Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 Income at entry who fail to increase the increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 Income at entry who fail to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased non-employment income at east 18 or older with increased	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-30% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 points <th>Project Outcome</th> <th>Points Awarded  no data  no data</th> <th>Total Possible Points  4  4</th> <th>Notes</th> <th>Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard,</th>	Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.2	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entity who fail to increase their increase their increase will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entity who fail to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at antity.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at antity.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 30-30% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 20-15% = 0 points < 21-10% = 2 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 15-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points <td>Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal</td> <td>Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data</td> <td>Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6</td> <td></td> <td>Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4</td>	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.2	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entity who fail to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entity who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east to exercise the increased income at east to earned income at east to	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 21-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 6 points < 25-15% = 6 points < 45-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 90-20% = 9 points < 100-30% = 9 points < 90-20% = 9 points < 100-30% = 0 points < 90-20% = 0 points < 100-30% = 0 po	Project Outcome  no data	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard, Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.2	Scored Bennent  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to Annual Assessment will not be counted by this increase the income will not be counted by this metric.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased examed income at east in income at east in the increased examed income at east increased examed income at east income at east increased examed income at east income at east increased examed income at east	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 10-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 35-15% = 4 points < 35-15% = 4 points < 35-15% = 4 points < 30-20% = 5 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100 = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria < 90-90% = 6 points	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  Company of the Comp		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou	scored Bennent  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased examed income at early who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased examed income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 10-30% = 4 points < 30-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-25% = 2 points < 30-15% = 2 points < 30-15% = 2 points < 10-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 25-10% = 2 points < 25-10% = 2 points < 25-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100-90% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 90-90% = 4 points < 90-90% = 90	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  ZO  Total Possible Points		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.1
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou	Scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Porticipants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be outside by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Porticipants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit  Sing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations.  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 1 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 15% = 0 points < 15% = 0 points < 15% = 0 points < 10-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 20-20% = 2 points < 20-20% = 2 points < 100-30% = 0 points < 20-20% = 2 points < 90-80% = 6 points < 90-80% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 70% = 2 points < 10-20% = 2 po	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  ZO  Total Possible Points		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  TH 3.1	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased examed income at east with increased examed income at east increased examed	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15% = 2 points < 15% = 0 points < 10% = 0 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10% = 0 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-25% = 6 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 25-15% = 0 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100-30% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-00% = 4 points < 20-00% = 6 points < 20-00% = 6 points < 50-00% = 6 points < 70% = 0 points < 70% =	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  TH 3.1	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east in a commendation of the participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations.  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations.  Of participants who exited to permanent housing the percentage of participants who returned to homelesses within two years.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 1 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 21-15% = 0 points < 10-20% = 0 points < 10-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 6 points < 10-5% = 6 points > 10-5% = 6 points > 10-5% = 6 points > 10-5% = 6 points < 10-5% = 6 points <p< td=""><td>Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal</td><td>Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data</td><td>Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6</td><td></td><td>Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,</td></p<>	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Researce TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard,
1.1  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  TH 3.1  TH 3.2	Scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Porticipants with 0 income at entity who full to increase the increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Of participants on 0 income or entity who full to increase their income will not be occurred by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exist.  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exist.  Sing Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who existed during the reporting period, percentage of participants who existed to Permanent Housing.  Of participants who existed to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who existed to homelessness, within two years.  Of participants who existed to permanent housing the percentage of participants who existed to homelessness, within two years.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 0 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-10% = 1 points < 10-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-20%	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  7  Total Possible Points  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2
TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be outside by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  String Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing. Destinations  Of participants who exited ob permanent housing the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness suithin two years.  Of participants who exited to permanent housing which the last 12 manufals, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness suithin two years.	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 1 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 10-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100-90% = 6 points < 100-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 9 points < 10-5% = 0 points > 10% = 0 points	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Foints  6		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2
TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be outside by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  String Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing. Destinations  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to homelessess within two years.  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessess within two years.		Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  o data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  6  18  Total Possible	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3
1.1  2) Acco TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hoto TH 3.1  TH 3.2  TH 3.3	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Of participants age 18 or older with increased one-employment income at exit  Of participants upon the exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the st	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 points < 40-30% = 1 points TH Component Point Criteria 100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-15% = 2 points < 10-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 20-15% = 0 points < 21-15% = 0 points < 10-15% = 0 points < 10-15% = 0 points < 10-15% = 0 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 30-20% = 6 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 20-10% = 2 points < 100-90% = 6 points < 90-90% = 4 points > 10-5% = 6 points > 10-5% = 6 points > 10-5% = 6 points > 10-6% = 9 points > 10-6% = 9 points < 10-90% = 8 points > 10-90% = 8 points < 10-90% = 8 points	Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data  no data  Points Awarded	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  Total Possible Points  6  Total Possible Points		Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3
TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be outside by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early  String Stability  Scored Element  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing. Destinations  Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to homelessess within two years.  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing within the last 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessess within two years.		Project Outcome  no data  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  o data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  6  18  Total Possible	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou  TH 3.1  TH 3.2  TH 3.3  4) Mee	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Of participants age 18 or older with increased one-employment income at exit  Of participants upon the exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the st		Project Outcome  Project Outcome  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data  no data  Points Awarded	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  Total Possible Points  6  Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou  TH 3.1  TH 3.2  TH 3.3  4) Mee	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Of participants age 18 or older with increased one-employment income at exit  Of participants upon the exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the st		Project Outcome  no data  Project Outcome  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome  TH Component	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  Points Awarded  no data  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  18  Total Possible Points  8	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3
1.1  2) Acc  TH 2.1  TH 2.2  TH 2.3  TH 2.4  3) Hou  TH 3.1  TH 3.2  TH 3.3  4) Mee	scored Element  Fercent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase the income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment.  Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fall to increase their increase will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at early who fall to increase their income will not be counted by this metric  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at east  Of participants age 18 or older with increased one-employment income at exit  Of participants upon the exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations  Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within they state 12 months, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the state of participants who returned to homelessness states and the st		Project Outcome  Project Outcome  no data  Section 2 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal  Project Outcome  Section 3 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  Points Awarded  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data  no data  no data  O  Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points  4  4  6  6  20  Total Possible Points  6  18  Total Possible Points  8  8	Notes	Reference  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.1  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 2.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 2.4  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure Th 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.2  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3  Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 3.3

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen 4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - E	xpansion	
Category	Points Received	Points Available
Competition Questions Section Score	0	64
Project-specific worksheet score	0	46
Total Points	0	110
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%



	etition Questions (All Project Ty Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
	Does the total amount of unspent program	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	roints Awarued	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	ivotes	Reference	
C.1	funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 4 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 4 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	64			

L) Ser	ving Vulnerable Populations								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	_	
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 1.1		
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	4				
2) Acc	ess to Income								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.1		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.2		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-25% = 6 points < 25-15% = 4 points < 15-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.3		
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 6 points < 30-20% = 4 points < 20-10% = 2 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.4		
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	20				
B) Hou	using Stability								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	100-90% = 6 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2 points < 70% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1		
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	6				
1) Me	eting Community Need								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days= 0 points		no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.1		
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.2		
			Section 4 Subtotal	0	16				
			Project Subtotal	0	46				

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - Expansion									
Category	Points Received	Points Available							
Competition Questions Section Score	0	64							
Project-specific worksheet score	0	42							
Total Points	0	106							
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%							



	etition Questions (All Project Ty Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
	Does the total amount of unspent program	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	roints Awarued	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	ivotes	Reference	
C.1	funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 4 points > 5-10% = 2 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 4 points > 10-13% = 2 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 3pts 1 clear example = 1 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		3		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 10 pts 1-2 findings = 5 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 5 pts	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =25 pts	Yes/No		25		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (5pts) OR, if Q3 = No (5pts)	Yes/No		5		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 4 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 4 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		4		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	64			

1) Sen	ving Vulnerable Populations								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
1.1	Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 1.1		
			Section 1 Subtotal	0	4				
2) Acc	ess to Income								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual Assessment. Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-20% = 4 points < 20-15% = 2 points < 15-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.1		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual Assessment Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to increase their income will not be counted by this metric	100-55% = 4 points < 55-35% = 2 points < 35-20% = 1 point < 20% = 0 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.2		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income <u>at exit</u>	100-30% = 4 points < 30-20% = 2 points < 20-10% = 1 point < 10% = 0 points		no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.3		
2.4	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income <u>at exit</u>	100-50% = 4 points < 50-30% = 2 points < 30-15% = 1 point < 15% = 0 points		no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 2.4		
			Section 2 Subtotal	0	16				
3) Hou	using Stability								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
3.1	Percent participants who remained in project or exited to PH during the reporting range	100-95% = 6 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90-85% = 2 points < 85% = 0 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 3.1		
			Section 3 Subtotal	0	6				
4) Me	eting Community Need								
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference		
4.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, the average length of time it takes for a participant to be housed	Avg ≤ 30 Days = 8 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points Avg > 60 Days= 0 points		no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.1		
4.2	Average daily bed utilization for the project	100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure 4.2		
			Section 4 Subtotal	0	16				
			Project Subtotal	0	42				

Evaluation Period		
Agency Name		SAGE
Project Name		SAGE
Project Type	Select Project Type	SAGE
Does the project serve exclusively survivors of DV?	Select Answer	e-snaps
Current Grant Number		SAGE
Current Grant start date		SAGE
Current Grant end date		SAGE
Previous Grant total budget		SAGE
Previous Grant total draw down		SAGE
Total number of persons served*		APR Q05a
Total number of adults		APR Q05a
Number of leavers		APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More		APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application		Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units)
Total number of persons served in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]	N/A	APR Q05a
Total number of adults in Transitional Housing Component [Only used for Joint RRH/TH projects]	N/A	APR Q05a
Number of leavers in Transitional Housing Component	N/A	APR Q05a
Heads of households and Adult Stayers in the project 365 Days or More in Transitional Housing Component	N/A	APR Q05a
Number of households to be served at any point in time as recorded in most recent project application for Transitional Housing Component	N/A	Reference most recent e-snaps app. <b>Screen</b> <b>4B</b> , (Total Units TH)
Does your agency operate a homeless shelter?	Select Answer	Project survey

Total Project Score - Expansion										
Category	Points Received	Points Available								
Competition Questions Section Score	0	128								
Project-specific worksheet score	0	84								
Total Points	0	212								
Cumulative Score out of 100%	0%	100%								



Compe	etition Questions (All Project Ty	pes)						
	Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Reference	
C.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 10% of total project awards?	0-5% = 8 points > 5-10% = 4 points > 10% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.1	
C.2	What Percentage of the Support Service Budget line is spent on negative housing outcomes?	0-10% = 8 points > 10-13% = 4 points > 13% = 0 points	no data	no data	8		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.2	
C.3	Did the Applicant clearly describe how the project aligns with or advances at least 2 CoC Program Competition Priorities?	2 clear examples = 6pts 1 clear example = 3 pts 0 clear examples = 0 pts	# of priorities described		6		Applicant response in SurveyMonkey, Full Application (Part 1), Expansion Details Q11	
C.4	Did the Applicant have monitoring findings in a HUD or TDHCA audit in the last 3 years?	0 findings = 20 pts 1-2 findings = 10 pts 3+ findings = 0 pts	# of findings		20		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.10	
C.5	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to slow spending, monitoring findings, or disallowed costs?	Yes = 0 pts No = 10 pts	Yes/No		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.11	
C.6	Did the Applicant have any funds recaptured in the last 5 years due to failure to perform or default on a contract?	Yes = 0 pts No =50 pts	Yes/No		50		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.12	
C.7	If Applicant is subject to Single Financial Audit requirements identified in 2 CFR Part 200.501, did Applicant comply with audit requirements? (i.e. Any late submissions in previous 5 years?)	If Q3a = Yes (0pts), No (10pts) OR, if Q3 = No (10pts)	Yes/No		10		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure C.13	
C.8	Will the Applicant leverage Housing or Healthcare resources as part of this Expansion application?	Yes = 8 point No = 0 points	Yes/No		8		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
C.9	If the Applicant will leverage Housing Resources, will those resources: 1) Have a firm Written Commitment by September 6th? 2) Equal at least 25% of the Total Expansion Budget?	Yes = 8 points No = 0 points	Yes/No		8		Applicant Response in CoC Bonus Application (SurveyMonkey)	
			Competition Questions Section Subtotal	0	128			

Section   Process   Proc										
March   Marc	.) Serv		RRH Component			Total Possible				
Mathematical   Math		Scored Element		Project Outcome	Points Awarded		Notes	Reference		
Part		Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	< 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 1.1		
Marie   Mari				Section 1 Subtotal	0	4				
March   Marc	) Acce	ss to Income	RRH Component				·			
The contraction of the part of the contraction of		Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible	Notes	Reference		
10						Points				
1	RRH							Renewal Project Scorecard,		
March   Marc	2.1	Note: Participants with 0 income at entry who fail to	< 15-10% = 1 point	no data	no data	4		Measure RRH 2.1		
The content of the		metric	< 10% = 0 politics							
March   Control   Contro			100-30% = 4 points							
Marcine Construction for Construction		Assessment	< 30-20% = 2 points	no data	no data	4		Renewal Project Scorecard,		
The content of the		increase their income will not be counted by this	< 15% = 0 points					Meddate MATELE		
1			100-25% = 6 points							
					no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure RRH 2.3		
Manual   M										
No.     No.     No.     No.		Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non	< 30-20% = 4 points		no data	6		Renewal Project Scorecard,		
Note   Standilly	2.4	employment income <u>at exit</u>	< 10% = 0 points					Measure RRH 2.3		
March   Marc				Section 2 Subtotal	0	20				
Montrol   Mont	) Hou	ing Stability	RRH Component							
Maria		Scored Element	Point Criteria	Project Outcome	Points Awarded		Notes	Reference		
March   Marc	DD::	Of participants who exited during the reporting period,						0		
Meeting Community Need		percentage of participants who exited to Permanent	< 80-70% = 2 points		no data	6				
Note   The Community Need   New Community Need		= *** *** *	< 70% = 0 points	Section 2 Subtotal	0	6				
March   Process   Proces		the Community No. 1	DDII C-	Jection 3 Subtotal		J	l			
March Section   March Sectio	) ivie	-				Total Possible		1		
March   Marc				Project Outcome	Points Awarded		Notes	Reference		
March   Marc		the average length of time it takes for a participant to	Avg 31-60 Days = 4 points		no data	8				
1		be housed								
Service   Section & Substant		Average daily bed utilization for the project	< 95-90% = 4 points < 90% = 0 points	no data	no data	8				
Surving Vulnerable Populations TH Component    Population				Section 4 Subtotal	0	16			<u> </u>	
Subtotal V 40  Serving Vulnerable Populations TH Component  The format principal service of Jadahs with no income at enrollment				PPH Component						
Source   Tenness   Popular Contents   Popular Con					_					
Process formers   Process fo					0	46				
100   100	) Serv	ing Vulnerable Populations	TH Component		0	46				
Access to Income   TH Component	) Serv			Subtotal		Total Possible	Notes	Reference		
Access to Income TH Component  Sored Element Nacional Control Note Project Control Note Proje			Point Criteria 100-50% = 4 points	Subtotal		Total Possible	Notes			
Access to Income	тн	Scored Element	Point Criteria 100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point	Subtotal  Project Outcome	Points Awarded	Total Possible Points	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard,		
Source   Element   Politic Chatcome   Politic Cha	тн	Scored Element	Point Criteria 100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point	Project Outcome	Points Awarded no data	Total Possible Points	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard,		
Percent participants age 18 or older with increased or contractive designed states and increased and contractive d	TH 1.1	Scored Element  Percent of adults with no income at enrollment	Point Criteria  100-50% = 4 points  < 50-40% = 2 points  < 40-30% = 1 point  < 30% = 0 points	Project Outcome	Points Awarded no data	Total Possible Points	Notes	Renewal Project Scorecard,		
The maintained existing earned income at Annual Assument 12.1 Miles Perceipents with 0 florence of entity who fail to 100-25% = 4 points 100-25% =	TH 1.1	Scored Element  Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  ss to Income	Point Criteria  100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points  TH Component	Project Outcome  no data  Section 1 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points  4		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1		
1. In the Participants age 18 or older with increased or increase their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. Percent purticipants age 18 or older with increased or increase their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  1. And the process their increme will not be counted by this meric.  2. Section 2 Subtotal 0 0 20  2. Section 2 Subtotal 0 20  3. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 20  3. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 6  4. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  4. And the project Subtotal 0 0 8  4. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  4. And the project Subtotal 0 0 8  4. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  4. And the project Subtotal 0 0 8  4. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  4. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  4. TH Component  5. Section 4 Subtotal 0 0 8  5. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  5. Section 3 Subtotal 0 0 8  5. Section 4 Subtotal 0 8  6. Renewall Project Subtocard, Measure TH 3.1  6. Measure TH 3.1  6. Section 4 Subtotal 0 8  6. Section	TH 1.1	Scored Element  Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  ss to Income  Scored Element	Point Criteria  100-50% = 4 points < 50-40% = 2 points < 40-30% = 1 point < 30% = 0 points  TH Component	Project Outcome  no data  Section 1 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points 4 4 Total Possible		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1		
In contract participants age 18 or older with increased or marking of the counted by this metric.    Participants age 18 or older with increased or marking of the counted by this metric.   Participants age 18 or older with increased or marking of the counted by this metric.   100-25% = 6 points	TH 1.1	Scored Element  Percent of adults with no income at enrollment  SS to Income  Scored Element  Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained existing earned income at Annual	Point Criteria  100-50% = 4 points  < 50-40% = 2 points  < 40-30% = 1 points  < 40-30% = 0 points  TH Component  Point Criteria  100-20% = 4 points	Project Outcome  no data  Section 1 Subtotal	Points Awarded  no data	Total Possible Points 4 4 Total Possible		Renewal Project Scorecard, Measure TH 1.1		
The Assessment Control participants age 18 or older with increased or maintained existing non-employment income at Annual 100-30% 4 goints										

## **CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - RRH**

Agency Capacity
Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts) (5pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0 1 2 3 4 5
Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of th work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)  0  1  2  3  4
☐ 5  ■ Q5. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

(10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded  0 15 10
Q6. Was a Point-In-Time Count conducted in 2023 for the geographic area that you are proposing to serve in this project application?
Yes = 20 ptsNo = 0pts
☐ 20 pts ☐ 0 pts
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score)}}/52
Financial Management and Administration
Q2. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points  0 15
Q3. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)
Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring of a regular and predictable basis (7)  0 7 14
Financial Management total score:  {{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q4. Please describe the Landlord Recruitment Strategy that will be implemented as part of this project, and how the Applicant w

(1)Has dedicated staff conducting ongoing Landlord outreach
(1)Describes specific incentives offered to Landlords
(1)Describes how agency solicits feedback from Landlords and incorporates that feedback to improve efforts(1)Describes data sources used to develop strategy(1)Other reasoned approaches
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> </ul>
Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application? Public Housing Authorities (PHA)Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), Community Development Corporation (CDC)(15 pts)
0 pts if no PHA,CHDO,CDC Commitment. If yes, see Q5d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q5d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q5b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q5a) to score this question. Public Housing Authority (PHA) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporation (CDC)Commitment (up to 15 pts possible)Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Housing leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
Q6. Do you plan to leverage Healthcare partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application?Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA)Healthcare/Hospital DistrictsPublic Health DepartmentsFederally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC)Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients(15 pts)
0 pts if no Healthcare partnerships If yes, see Q6d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q6d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q6b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q6a) to score this question. Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC), Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients Commitment (up to 15 pts possible) Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Healthcare Partner leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
© O7 Assisting participants to access mainstream resources such as SSI/SSDI is a priority for the CoC. Will this project employ a

person whose primary role is to assist participants using SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR)? (5 pts)

☐ Yes = 5 ☐ No = 0
Q8. Please describe this project's utilization of Housing First (4 pts)
Describes no prerequisites to project entry or retention (e.g. sobriety, participation in mental health/health services, etc) and/or low barrier admission process (e.g. screening people in, not out) (2)Describes flexible approach, individualized supports, client choice, and autonomy; services are voluntary (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q9. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q10. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery. (10)
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3)Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3)Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2)Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> <li>□ 6</li> <li>□ 7</li> <li>□ 8</li> <li>□ 9</li> <li>□ 10</li> </ul>
Q12. Please describe how this Project will connect participants to immediate, low-barrier shelter or housing. (4 pts)
<ol> <li>Strategy includes transportation method</li> <li>Strategy includes methodology for assessing housing needs</li> <li>Srategy includes an acknowledgement of limited housing resources</li> <li>Strategy includes reference to larger community-level housing access goals, (access to resources)</li> </ol>
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4
Community-wide Commitment total score:
{{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score)}}/68
System Performance Measures

© Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (le: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 10 ☐ 31-60 days = 5 ☐ 61+ days = 0
Q2a What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 6 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2b What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-10% = 3 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2c What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 24 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-5% = 10 points ☐ 6-10% = 5 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts
Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts
Q5. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% - 0pts ☐ 71-80-% 4pts ☐ 81-90% 7pts ☐ 91%+ 10pts
Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% 0 pts ☐ 71-80% 4 pts ☐ 81-90% 7 pts ☐ 91%+ 10 pts
Q7. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions (10 pts).
Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization OR references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)
□ 0 pts

☐ 10 pts		
System Performance Measure total score:		
{{SUM (SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score, SP9.score)}}/90		
General Application		
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget not including leveraged assistance? (5pts)		
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant		
☐ \$0-\$3000= 5pts ☐ \$3,001 = 0pts		
Q2. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed and outreach and services are relationship-focused. (5 pts)		
Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1)Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or have other obligations during working hours (1)		
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> </ul>		
Q3. How is service delivery (a) tailored to meet the participants' unique needs, (b) strength based, (c) trauma informed, and (d) participant centered? (5 pts)		
Describes how the project will incorporate evidence-based practices for client engagementStaff training/certification or relevant expertience for strengths-based and trauma-informed careHousing/service plans developed in consultation with clients and are revised when appropriate		
□ o □ 5		
Q4. Please describe your understanding and experience with the Progressive Engagement approach to delivering Rental Assistance. (4pts)		
(2) Applicant states experience using Progressive Engagement (2) Response reflects that Rental Assistance will be offered based of the unique needs of the household, approach is flexible and individualized  \[ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 4 \end{array}		
Q5. Does the project impose restrictions on length of participation beyond that which would be mandated by HUD? (E.g. less that 24 months of rental assistance for RRH) (20pts)		
☐ (0) Applicant restricts length of participation ☐ (20) Applicant does not restrict length of participation		
Q6. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, please describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (2 pts)		

Currently operating projects that utilize CE Involvement with Coordinated Entry Planning EntityPrioritizing clients with most severe service needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly)Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE assessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)
Q7. Please describe any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance. (ex. Participants must attend mental health appointments, life skills groups, must meet with the case manager, must gain a job within the first 3 weeks, etc.) (2 pts)
Full points if project communicates no conditional participation requirements to maintain assistanceNo partial points
$\begin{array}{c} \square \ 0 \\ \square \ 2 \end{array}$
Q8. Please describe how the project systematically keeps project staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., SNAP, SSI, TANF) within the geographic area. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to keep staff informed of mainstream resources for participants. Strategy described is regular and predictable
Q9. Describe how the project collaborates with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with meeting health needs. (2 pts)
Organization describes partnership and/or referral path to health provider (may include behavioral health)Organization describes assistance with connecting participant to health provider (e.g. coordinating services, transportation)
Q10. Describe how the project provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other health benefits. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to utilize Medicare and connect participants to health services
□ 0 □ 2
Q11. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing CoC Program funds. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule
Q12. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing alternative funding sources or by leveraging existing community services. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Response must identify services offered internally through alternative funding OR services offered within local community
$\begin{array}{c} \square \ 0 \\ \square \ 2 \end{array}$
Q13. Describe how the Project will assess and meet the individual needs of each Participant through referrals to local resources, social services, and benefits such as workforce and early childhood education resources, etc. (2 pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Describes referral pathway or strategy for connecting participants to available, local resources
■ G16. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos?
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5

ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this

section.

☐ Yes ☐ No
General Application total score:
{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, G13.score)}}/55
Monitoring History
If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 5pts ☐ 3a not present = 5pts
If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 5pts
If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 25 pts
6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts.lf total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts
☐ 3 or more findings = 0 pts ☐ 1 or 2 findings = 5pts ☐ 0 findings = 10 pts ☐ 6a not present = 10 pts
Monitoring History total score:
{{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45
Total Score:
{{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score, SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score,

SP4.score, SP5.score, SP5.score, SP5.score, SP5.score, G1.score, G

## **CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - PSH**

Agency Capacity
Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts) (5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points
0
Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6
Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)  0  1  2  3  4  5
Q5. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

Applicants must demonstrate the support of the Local Homeless Coalition through a signed letter from the chair of the Local Coalition (10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded  0  1  5  10
6. Was a Point-In-Time Count conducted in 2023 for the geographic area that you are proposing to serve in this project application?
Yes = 20 ptsNo = 0 pts
☐ 20 pts ☐ 0 pts
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score)}}/52
Financial Management and Administration
Q2. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts). The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points  0 15
Q3. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)  Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring on a regular and predictable basis (7)  0  7  14
Financial Management total score: {{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q4. Please describe the Landlord Recruitment Strategy that will be implemented as part of this project, and how the Applicant will update that strategy based on data and performance (5pts)

(1)Has dedicated staff conducting ongoing Landlord outreach
(1)Describes specific incentives offered to Landlords
(1)Describes how agency solicits feedback from Landlords and incorporates that feedback to improve efforts(1)Describes data sources used to develop strategy(1)Other reasoned approaches
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> </ul>
Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application? Public Housing Authorities (PHA)Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), Community Development Corporation (CDC) (15 pts)
0 pts if no PHA, CHDO,CDC If yes, see Q5d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q5d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q5b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q5a) to score this question. Public Housing Authority (PHA) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporation (CDC)Commitment (up to 15 pts possible)Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Housing leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
Q6. Do you plan to leverage Healthcare partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application?Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA)Healthcare/Hospital DistrictsPublic Health DepartmentsFederally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC)Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients (15 pts)
0 pts if no Healthcare partnerships If yes, see Q6d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q6d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q6b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q6a) to score this question. Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC), Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients Commitment (up to 15 pts possible) Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Healthcare Partner leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
© O7 Assisting participants to access mainstream resources such as SSI/SSDI is a priority for the CoC. Will this project employ a

person whose primary role is to assist participants using SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR)? (5 pts)

Yes= 5 No = 0pts
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q8. Please describe this project's utilization of Housing First (4 pts)
Describes no prerequisites to project entry or retention (e.g. sobriety, participation in mental health/health services, etc) and/or low barrier admission process (e.g. screening people in, not out) (2)Describes flexible approach, individualized supports, client choice, and autonomy; services are voluntary (2)  0  2
4
Q9. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q10. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery. (10)
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3) Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3) Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2) Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> <li>□ 6</li> <li>□ 7</li> <li>□ 8</li> <li>□ 9</li> <li>□ 10</li> </ul>
211. Please describe how this Project will connect participants to immediate, low-barrier shelter or housing. (4 pts)
<ol> <li>Strategy includes transportation method</li> <li>Strategy includes methodology for assessing housing needs</li> <li>Srategy includes an acknowledgement of limited housing resources</li> <li>Strategy includes reference to larger community-level housing access goals, (access to resources)</li> </ol>
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4
Community-wide Commitment total score:
{{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score)}}/68

System Performance Measures
Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (le: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 10 ☐ 31-60 days = 5 ☐ 61+ days = 0
Q2a What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 6 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2b What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2c What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 24 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-5% = 10 points ☐ 6-10% = 5 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)
☐ 0-15% = 0pts ☐ 15-30% = 3 pts ☐ 31-40% = 7 pts ☐ 40%+ = 10 pts
Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project (10pts)
☐ 0-15% = 0pts ☐ 15-30% = 3 pts ☐ 31-40% = 7 pts ☐ 40%+ = 10 pts
© Q5. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% - 0pts ☐ 71-80-% 1pt ☐ 81-90% 4pts ☐ 91-95% 7pts ☐ 96+ 10pts
Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)
☐ 0-80% 0 pts ☐ 81-90% 4 pts ☐ 91-95% 7 pts ☐ 96%+ 10 pts
Q7. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions.

Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization OR references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)
□ 0 pts □ 10 pts
System Performance Measure total score:
{{SUM (SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score, SPM9.score)}}/90
General Application
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget not including leveraged assistance? (5pts)
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant
☐ \$0-\$7000= 5pts ☐ \$7,001 = 0pts
Q2. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed, and outreach and services are relationship-focused. (5 pts)
Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1)Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or have other obligations during working hours (1)
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
Q3. How is service delivery (a) tailored to meet the participants' unique needs, (b) strength based, (c) trauma informed, and (d) participant centered? (5 pts)
Describes how the project will incorporate evidence-based practices for client engagementStaff training/certification or relevant expertience for strengths-based and trauma-informed careHousing/service plans developed in consultation with clients and are revised when appropriate
□ 0 □ 5
EQ4. Does the project impose restrictions on length of participation beyond that which would be mandated by HUD? (20 points)
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 20 pts
Q5. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, please describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (2 pts)
Currently operating projects that utilize CEInvolvement with Coordinated Entry Planning EntityPrioritizing clients with most severe service needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly)Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE assessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)

<b>3</b> Q6. Please describe any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance. (ex. Participants must attend mental health appointments, life skills groups, must meet with the case manager, must gain a job within the first 3 weeks, etc.) (2 pts)
Full points if project communicates no conditional participation requirements to maintain assistanceNo partial points
Q7. Please describe how the project systematically keeps project staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., SNAP, SSI, TANF) within the geographic area. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to keep staff informed of mainstream resources for participants. Strategy described is regular and predictable
Q8. Describe how the project collaborates with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with meeting health needs. (2 pts)
Organization describes partnership and/or referral path to health provider (may include behavioral health)Organization describes assistance with connecting participant to health provider (e.g. coordinating services, transportation)
Q9. Describe how the project provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other health benefits. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to utilize Medicare and connect participants to health services
Q10. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing CoC Program funds. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule
Q11. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing alternative funding sources or by leveraging existing community services. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Response must identify services offered internally through alternative funding OR services offered within local community
Q12. Describe how the Project will assess and meet the individual needs of each Participant through referrals to local resources, social services, and benefits such as workforce and early childhood education resources, etc. (2 pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Describes referral pathway or strategy for connecting participants to available, local resources
Q13. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos?
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5 ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this section.
☐ Yes ☐ No
General Application total score:

Monitoring History If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts if No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts  $\square$  Yes = 0 pts  $\square$  No = 5 pts ☐ 3a not present = 5pts If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts ☐ Yes = 0pts  $\square$  No = 5 pts If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.  $\square$  Yes = 0 pts  $\square$  No = 25 pts 6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts. If total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts  $\Box$  3 or more findings = 0 pts  $\Box$  1 or 2 findings = 5 pts  $\bigcup$  0 findings = 10 pts ☐ 6a not present = 10 pts Monitoring History total score: {{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45

{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score,

Total Score:

G12.score)}}/51

{{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC5.

# **CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - TH/RRH**

Agency Capacity
Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts)
(5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0 1 2 3 4 5
Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)  0  1  2  3  4  5
Q5. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

Applicants must demonstrate the support of the Local Homeless Coalition through a signed letter from the chair of the Local Coalition (10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded  0  1  5  10
Q6. Was a Point-In-Time Count conducted in 2023 for the geographic area that you are proposing to serve in this project application?
Yes = 20 ptsNo = 0 pts
☐ 20 pts ☐ 0 pts
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score)}}/52
Financial Management and Administration
Q2. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts). The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points  0 15
Q3. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)  Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring on a regular and predictable basis (7)  0  7  14
Financial Management total score:  {{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3
Q4. Please describe the Landlord Recruitment Strategy that will be implemented as part of this project, and how the Applicant will update that strategy based on data and performance (5pts)

(1)Has dedicated staff conducting ongoing Landlord outreach
(1)Describes specific incentives offered to Landlords
(1)Describes how agency solicits feedback from Landlords and incorporates that feedback to improve efforts(1)Describes data sources used to develop strategy(1)Other reasoned approaches
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> </ul>
Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application? Public Housing Authorities (PHA)Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), Community Development Corporation (CDC)(15 pts)
0 pts if no PHA, CHDO. CDC Commitment. If yes, see Q5d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q5d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q5b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q5a) to score this question. Public Housing Authority (PHA) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporatio (CDC)Commitment (up to 15 pts possible)Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Housing leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
Q6. Do you plan to leverage Healthcare partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application?Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA)Healthcare/Hospital DistrictsPublic Health DepartmentsFederally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC)Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients (15 pts)
0 pts if no Healthcare partnerships If yes, see Q6d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q6d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q6b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q6a) to score this question. Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC), Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients Commitment (up to 15 pts possible) Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Healthcare Partner leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0 • 5 • 10
© O7 Assisting participants to access mainstream resources such as SSI/SSDI is a priority for the CoC. Will this project employ a

person whose primary role is to assist participants using SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR)? (5 pts)

☐ Yes = 5 ☐ No = 0
Q8. Please describe this project's utilization of Housing First (4 pts)
Describes no prerequisites to project entry or retention (e.g. sobriety, participation in mental health/health services, etc) and/or low barrier admission process (e.g. screening people in, not out) (2)Describes flexible approach, individualized supports, client choice, and autonomy; services are voluntary (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q9. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q10. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery (10 pts).
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3)Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3)Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2)Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> <li>□ 6</li> <li>□ 7</li> <li>□ 8</li> <li>□ 9</li> <li>□ 10</li> </ul>
Q11. Please describe how this Project will connect participants to immediate, low-barrier shelter or housing. (4 pts)
<ol> <li>Strategy includes transportation method</li> <li>Strategy includes methodology for assessing housing needs</li> <li>Srategy includes an acknowledgement of limited housing resources</li> <li>Strategy includes reference to larger community-level housing access goals, (access to resources)</li> </ol>
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4
Community-wide Commitment total score:
{{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score)}}/68
System Performance Measures

© Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (le: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 10 ☐ 31-60 days = 5 ☐ 61+ days = 0
Q2a What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 6 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2b What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-10% = 3 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2c What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 24 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-5% = 10 points ☐ 6-10% = 5 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts
Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts
Q5. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% - 0pts ☐ 71-80-% 4pts ☐ 81-90% 7pts ☐ 91%+ 10pts
Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% 0 pts ☐ 71-80% 4 pts ☐ 81-90% 7 pts ☐ 91%+ 10 pts
Q7. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions (10 pts)
Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization OR references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)
□ 0 pts

10 pts System Performance Measure total score:	
General Application	
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget not including leveraged assistance? (5pts)	
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant	
☐ \$0-\$7000= 5pts ☐ \$7,001 = 0pts	
Q3. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment including the ability to move between components (TH to RRH and vice versa)? (6 pts)	
Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between Transitional Housing and RRH Components at intake (2)Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between TH and RRH Components throughout enrollment (2)Organization communicates that housing intervention will be reassessed on a regular and predictable schedule (2)	
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4 □ 6	
Q4. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed, and outreach is relationship-focused. (5 pts)	
Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1) Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1) Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)	
Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)  Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or have other obligations during working hours (1)	
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5	
Q5. How is service delivery (a) tailored to meet the participants' unique needs, (b) strength based, (c) trauma informed, and (d) participant centered? (5 pts)	
Describes how the project will incorporate evidence-based practices for client engagementStaff training/certification or relevant expertience for strengths-based and trauma-informed careHousing/service plans developed in consultation with clients and are revised when appropriate	
□ 0 □ 5	
© Q6. Please describe your understanding and experience with the Progressive Engagement approach to delivering Rental Assistance. (4pts)	
(2) Applicant states experience using Progressive Engagement (2) Response reflects that Rental Assistance will be offered based on	

the unique needs of the household, approach is flexible and individualized

□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q7. Does the project impose restrictions on length of participation beyond that which would be mandated by HUD? (E.g. less that 24 months of rental assistance for RRH) (20pts)
☐ (0) Applicant restricts length of participation ☐ (20) Applicant does not restrict length of participation
Q8. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, please describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (2 pts)
Currently operating projects that utilize CE Involvement with Coordinated Entry Planning EntityPrioritizing clients with most severe service needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly)Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE assessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)
Q9. Please describe any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance. (ex. Participants must attend mental health appointments, life skills groups, must meet with the case manager, must gain a job within the first 3 weeks etc.) (2 pts)
Full points if project communicates no conditional participation requirements to maintain assistanceNo partial points  0 2
Q10. Please describe how the project systematically keeps project staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., SNAP, SSI, TANF) within the geographic area. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to keep staff informed of mainstream resources for participants. Strategy described is regular and predictable
$\begin{array}{c} \square \ 0 \\ \square \ 2 \end{array}$
Q11. Describe how the project collaborates with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with meeting health needs. (2 pts)
Organization describes partnership and/or referral path to health provider (may include behavioral health)Organization describes assistance with connecting participant to health provider (e.g. coordinating services, transportation)
Q12. Describe how the project provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other health benefits. (2 pts)
Describes a detailed plan to utilize Medicare and connect participants to health services
$egin{array}{c} egin{array}{c} 0 \ \hline 0 \ 2 \end{array}$
Q13. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing CoC Program funds. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule  0 2
Q14. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing alternative funding sources or by leveraging existing community services. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Response must identify services offered internally through alternative funding OR services offered within local community
$\square_0$ $\square_2$

215. Describe how the Project will assess and meet the individual needs of each Participant through referrals to local resources, social services, and benefits such as workforce and early childhood education resources, etc. (2 pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Describes referral pathway or strategy for connecting participants to available, local resources
□ o □ 2
Q16. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos?
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5 ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this section.
☐ Yes ☐ No
General Application total score:
{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, G13.score, G14.score)}}/61
Monitoring History
If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts if No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts
☐ Yes = 0pts ☐ No = 5pts ☐ 3a not present = 5 pts
If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 5 pts
If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 25 pts
€ 6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts.lf total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts
☐ 3 or more findings = 0 pts ☐ 1 or 2 findings = 5pts ☐ 0 findings = 10 pts ☐ 6a not present = 10 pts
Monitoring History total score:
{{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45
Total Score:

{{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC9.score, CC10.score, SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score, SP9.score, G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score,

G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, M4.score)}}/345	, G10.score, G11.score, G12.scc	ore, G13.score, G14.score, G	15.score, M1.score, M2.score	e, M3.score,

# **CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - SSO-CE**

Agency Capacity
Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts) (5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0 1 2 3 4 5
Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points. "Other" responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  \[ \begin{align*} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{align*} \]
Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points. "Other" responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 ■ Q5. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

Applicants must demonstrate the support of the Local Homeless Coalition through a signed letter from the chair of the Local Coalition (10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded
□ 0 □ 5 □ 10
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score)}}/32
Financial Management and Administration
Q2. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts)
The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points
□ 0 □ 15
Q3. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)
Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring on a regular and predictable basis (7)
□ 7 □ 14
_
Financial Management total score:  {{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment
Community-wide Commitment  Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0  1  1  2
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3 Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3 3 Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3 2 Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 1 2
Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 12 3 2 Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)  1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points  0 1 2 3

Q5. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q6. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery (10pts)
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3)Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3)Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2)Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
□ 0         □ 1         □ 2         □ 3         □ 4         □ 5         □ 6         □ 7         □ 8         □ 9         □ 10
Community-wide Commitment total score:  {{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC5.score)}}/24
System Performance Measures
Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (le: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (12pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 12
☐ 31-60 days = 6 ☐ 61+ days = 0
☐ 61+ days = 0  2. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of
☐ 61+ days = 0  2. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10 pts)  ☐ 0-70% = 0pts ☐ 70-80% = 4pts ☐ 81-90% = 7pts
☐ 61+ days = 0  2. SPM7b.1 What percentage of participants that exit, will exit to permanent housing destinations by the end of the first year of operation? (10 pts)  ☐ 0-70% = 0pts ☐ 70-80% = 4pts ☐ 81-90% = 7pts ☐ 91%+ = 10 pts

☐ 0-5% = 12 pts ☐ 6-8% = 9 pts ☐ 9-10% = 6 pts ☐ 11+% = 0pts
4b) What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (12pts)
☐ 0-10% = 12pts ☐ 11-15% = 9 pts ☐ 16-20% = 6 pts ☐ 21+% = 0 pts
4c) What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 24 months? (12pts)
☐ 0-10% = 12 pts ☐ 11-25% = 6 pts ☐ 26%+ = 0 pts
Q5. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions (10 pts)
Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization OR references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)
□ 0 pts □ 10 pts
System Performance Measure total score:
General Application
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget? (5pts)
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant
□ \$0-\$7000= 5pts □ \$7,001 = 0pts
Q2. How will the proposed SSO-CE project ensure service delivery is (a) tailored to meet the individual needs, (b) strength base (c) trauma informed, and (d) participant centered? (5 pts)
Describes how the project will incorporate evidence-based practices for client engagement
Staff training/certification or relevant experience for strengths-based and trauma-informed care Housing/service plans developed in consultation with clients and are revised when appropriate
$\square$ 0 $\square$ 5
Q3. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed and outreach is relationship-focused. (5 pts)
Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1) Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding

Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1) Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)

Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or

centers, local library, etc) (1)

have other obligations during working hours (1)
□ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
Q4. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, please describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (6 pts)
Currently operating projects that utilize CE Involvement with Coordinated Entry Planning Entity Prioritizing clients with most severe service needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly) Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE assessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)
Q5. Please describe how the addition of this project will support the expansion of Coordinated Entry in one of the 18 Established Coordinated Entry Regions in the TX BoS CoC and identify which established region. (6 pts)
Applicant specifically describes how the addition of this project will support the expansion of Coordinated Entry in more than 1 of the established 18 Coordinated Entry regions, or through the creation of a new Coordinated Entry region.
Q6. Please describe which gaps in your coordinated entry system you plan to fill using this funding and how this funding will improve your Coordinated Entry system. (6 pts)
Applicant describes multiple gaps related to Access, Entry, Assessment, or Referral within the CE system and specifically addresses how this funding will fill those gaps (6)
Q7. Please describe the specific HUD eligible costs the Applicant is requesting. NOTE: The NOFO specifies which activities are eligible for the SSO-CE Project type. (2 pts)
Applicant describes eligible costs directly tied to expanding the capacity for Access, Entry, Assessment, or Referral within the CE system.
Q8.Describe the referral process and how the coordinated entry process ensures that participants are directed to appropriate housing and/ or services. Please address if this project make referrals to all participating agencies in the CE Region. (3 pts)
Applicant describes referral process based on identified client needsReferrals are not limited to a specific service, program or entry point
Q9. Please describe how the CE system will be marketed and advertised to reach people who have the highest barriers within the geographic area. Please describe how this project will make access to Coordinated Entry easier to those with disabilities and limited English proficiency. Please address specific examples of outreach to vulnerable populations that will be conducted. (2 pts)
Description specifically states how they will affirmatively market this process to eligible participants regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, marital status, handicap, actual or perceived sexual orientation, or gender identity.

a housing project. (4 pts)
Applicant provides clear examples or strategies of how expanding the capacity for Access, Entry, Assessment, or Referral within the Coordinated Entry system will decrease the amount of time to housing project referral
☐ 0 pts ☐ 4 pts
11. Does the project propose to cover the entire Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care, i.e., all 215 counties? (40 pts)
☐ Yes ☐ No
■ G12. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos?
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5 ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this section.
☐ Yes ☐ No
General Application total score:
{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score)}}/84
■ Monitoring History If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts if No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts
Yes = 0pts
□ No = 5pts □ 3a not present = 5 pts
If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts.
☐ Yes = 0pts ☐ No = 5 pts
If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 25 pts
6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts.lf total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts
☐ 3 or more findings = 0pts ☐ 1 or 2 findings = 5pts ☐ 0 findings = 10 pts ☐ 6a not present = 10 pts
Monitoring History total score:
{{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45
Total Score:

{{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, SP1.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/292

# **DV Bonus - IRT Assessment - RRH**

Agency Capacity
Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts) (5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0 1 2 3 4 5
Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)
One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)  0  1
☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ Q5. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

Applicants must demonstrate the support of the Local Homeless Coalition through a signed letter from the chair of the Local Coalition (10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded
□ 0 □ 5 □ 10
■ Q6. Do you currently use a Comparable Database? (4 pts)
Yes = 4 ptsNo = 0 pts
□ 0 □ 4
Q7. Please describe your Agency's experience with the following Activities in letters A-F below (since the start of 2021).  Applicants should answer this question based on previous experience with these activities, not how the applicant will implement these activities into the proposed project.
📰 Q7A. Child custody legal services
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
■ Q7B. Credit repair services
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
📰 Q7C. Housing Search and Counseling
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.       0  2
■ Q7D. Crisis DV Services
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
Q7E. Long-term housing stability safety planning
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
📰 Q7F. Education Services
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
Q8. Please describe your Agency's experience providing Housing and Housing-related services to victims and survivors of domestic violence since the start of 2021.

Descriptions that include: landlord recruitment strategies (1) how housing planning is survivor focused and survivor driven (1) describes similar housing activities funded by local, state or federal funders (1) demonstrates the performance of those services with

%s, Housing Placement rates (1) and Housing Retention rates (1) may be eligible for full points. Up to 5 pts available. Partial points may be awarded.
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> </ul>
Q9. Please describe your Agency's experience meeting the safety needs of victims and survivors of domestic violence since the start of 2021.
Descriptions that include: Housing First (1) Survivor-driven safety planning (1) the Agency's implementation of Trauma-Informed Care (1)how the Agency prioritizes confidentiality (1) the agency's security and/or safety practices (1) may be eligible for full points Up to 5 pts available. Partial points may be awarded.
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
Q10. Was a Point-In-Time Count conducted in 2023 for the geographic area that you are proposing to serve in this project?
Yes = 20 ptsNo = 0pts
☐ 20 pts ☐ 0 pts
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC5.score, AC7.score, AC7.s
Financial Management and Administration
Q1. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts). The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points  0 15
Q2. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)
Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring on a regular and predictable basis (7)
□ 0 □ 7 □ 14
Financial Management total score:
{{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment  Q2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)

1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points
<ul><li>□ 0</li><li>□ 1</li><li>□ 2</li><li>□ 3</li></ul>
Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3
Q4. Please describe the Landlord Recruitment Strategy that will be implemented as part of this project, and how the Applicant will update that strategy based on data and performance (5pts)
(1)Has dedicated staff conducting ongoing Landlord outreach
(1)Describes specific incentives offered to Landlords
(1)Describes how agency solicits feedback from Landlords and incorporates that feedback to improve efforts(1)Describes data sources used to develop strategy(1)Other reasoned approaches
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application? Public Housing Authorities (PHA)Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), Community Development Corporation (CDC) (15 pts)
0 pts if no PHA, CHDO, CDC Commitment. If yes, see Q5d  Yes No
Q5d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q5b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q5a) to score this question. Public Housing Authority (PHA) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporation (CDC)Commitment (up to 15 pts possible)Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Housing leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
Q6. Do you plan to leverage Healthcare partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application?Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA)Healthcare/Hospital DistrictsPublic Health DepartmentsFederally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC)Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients (15 pts)
0 pts if no Healthcare partnerships. If yes, see Q6d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q6d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners

Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC), Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients Commitment (up to 15 pts possible) Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Healthcare Partner leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0  • 5  • 10
Q7. Assisting participants to access mainstream resources such as SSI/SSDI is a priority for the CoC. Will this project employ a person whose primary role is to assist participants using SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR)? (5 pts)
☐ Yes = 5 ☐ No = 0
Q8. Please describe this project's utilization of Housing First (4 pts)
Describes no prerequisites to project entry or retention (e.g. sobriety, participation in mental health/health services, etc) and/or low barrier admission process (e.g. screening people in, not out) (2)Describes flexible approach, individualized supports, client choice, and autonomy; services are voluntary (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q9. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q10. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery. (10 pts)
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3)Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3)Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2)Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
<ul> <li>□ 0</li> <li>□ 1</li> <li>□ 2</li> <li>□ 3</li> <li>□ 4</li> <li>□ 5</li> <li>□ 6</li> <li>□ 7</li> <li>□ 8</li> <li>□ 9</li> <li>□ 10</li> </ul>
Q12. Please describe how this Project will connect participants to immediate, low-barrier shelter or housing. (4 pts)
<ul><li>(1) Strategy includes transportation method</li><li>(1) Strategy includes methodology for assessing housing needs</li></ul>

(1) Strategy includes an acknowledgement of limited housing resources (1) Strategy includes reference to larger community-level housing access goals, (access to resources)  0 1 1 2 3 4 Community-wide Commitment total score:  {{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score)}}/68
System Performance Measures
Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (le: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 10 ☐ 31-60 days = 5 ☐ 61+ days = 0
Q2a What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 6 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2b What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-10% = 3 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2c What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 24 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-5% = 10 points ☐ 6-10% = 5 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts
Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts

coperation? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% - 0pts ☐ 71-80-% 4pts ☐ 81-90% 7pts ☐ 91%+ 10pts
■ Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)
□ 0-70% 0 pts □ 71-80% 4 pts □ 81-90% 7 pts □ 91%+ 10 pts
Q7. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions.
Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts), ORApplicant references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)Up to 10 pts. No partial pts.
System Performance Measure total score:
[{SUM (SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score,SP9.score)}}/90
General Application
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget not including leveraged assistance? (5pts)
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant
☐ \$0-\$3000= 5pts ☐ \$3,001 = 0pts
Q2. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed and outreach and services are relationship-focused. (5 pts)
Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1)Organization's outreach strategy
includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or have other obligations during working hours (1)
butreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)Response includes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)Case management loads are appropriate and case management schedules may be flexible to engage participant's with who work or have other obligations during working hours (1)
outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)Response ncludes reference to cultural appropriateness/competency which may include relevant staff training or experience (1)Staff efforts utilize harm reduction principles, non-judgmental, non-coercive (1)Case management loads are appropriate and case management

revised when appropriate

	] o ] s
	Q4. Please describe your understanding and experience with the Progressive Engagement approach to delivering Rental ssistance. (4pts)
	2) Applicant states experience using Progressive Engagement (2) Response reflects that Rental Assistance will be offered based or ne unique needs of the household, approach is flexible and individualized
	] 0 ] 2 ] 4
	Q5. Does the project impose restrictions on length of participation beyond that which would be mandated by HUD? (E.g. less than 4 months of rental assistance for RRH) (20pts)
	(0) Applicant restricts length of participation (20) Applicant does not restrict length of participation
	Q6. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, lease describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (2 pts)
s	currently operating projects that utilize CE Involvement with Coordinated Entry Planning EntityPrioritizing clients with most severe ervice needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly)Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE ssessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)
	]
n	Q7. Please describe any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance. (ex. Participants nust attend mental health appointments, life skills groups, must meet with the case manager, must gain a job within the first 3 weeks tc.) (2 pts)
F	ull points if project communicates no conditional participation requirements to maintain assistanceNo partial points
	] 0 ] 2
	Q8. Please describe how the project systematically keeps project staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for rogram participants (e.g., SNAP, SSI, TANF) within the geographic area. (2 pts)
	escribes a detailed plan to keep staff informed of mainstream resources for participants. Strategy described is regular and redictable
	] 0 ] 2
	Q9. Describe how the project collaborates with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with meeting health eeds. (2 pts)
а [	Organization describes partnership and/or referral path to health provider (may include behavioral health)Organization describes ssistance with connecting participant to health provider (e.g. coordinating services, transportation)  0  2
0	Q10. Describe how the project provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other health benefits. (2 pts)
	pescribes a detailed plan to utilize Medicare and connect participants to health services  0 2
	Q11. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of ousing or to help obtain housing utilizing Supplemental CoC Program funds. (2pts)
D	Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule
	] <sub>0</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>

Q12. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing alternative funding sources or by leveraging existing community services. (2pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Response must identify services offered internally through alternative funding OR services offered within local community
□ o □ 2
Q13. Describe how the Project will assess and meet the individual needs of each Participant through referrals to local resources, social services, and benefits such as workforce and early childhood education resources, etc. (2 pts)
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Describes referral pathway or strategy for connecting participants to available, local resources
☐ G14. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos ☐ ?
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5 ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this section.
☐ Yes ☐ No
General Application total score:
{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, G13.score)}}/55
Monitoring History
If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts
Yes = Opts
□ No = 5pts □ 3a not present = 5 pts
If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts.
☐ Yes = 0pts ☐ No = 5 pts
If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 25 pts
6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts.lf total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts
3 or more findings = 0 pts 1 or 2 findings = 5 pts 0 findings = 10 pts 6a not present = 10 pts
Monitoring History total score:
{{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45

#### Total Score:

{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, AC7A.score, AC7B.score, AC7C.score, AC7D.score, AC7E.score, AC7E.score, AC8.score, AC9.score, AC10.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC5.score, CC7.score, CC9.score, CC9.score, CC10.score, SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score, SP9.score, G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, G13.score, G14.score, M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/365

# **DV Bonus - IRT Assessment - TH/RRH**

Agency Capacity  Q1. What year was your organization designated by the IRS as a Nonprofit entity or other eligible applicant designation? (5pts) (5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0
(5 pts) Organization recieves 1 point for operating for 5 years, then one additional point per year up to a total of 5 points  0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5  22. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 23. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ Q2. Racial Equity must be a focus of projects working to end homelessness. Dismantling systemic racism must be a focus of the work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points □ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points □ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 3
work that we do. We know that there are disparities associated with Race, Ethnicity & Gender Identity in the TX BoS CoC. Please affirm any of the actions your Organization has taken from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  \[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ \emptyreq 03. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  \[ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 1 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 1 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 1 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 2 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 2 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 1 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 2 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 2 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 2 \\ 3 \\ \emptyreaction 3 \\ \emptyreaction 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ Q3. Involvement from persons with lived experience of homelessness during the planning and implementation of a project is critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points □ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3
critical to ensuring participants can access the services they want, need, and will use. Please affirm any of the actions your organization has taken, or will take if awarded funds, from the following list. (6 pts)  One point per action taken by the applicant up to a total of 6 points Other responses should be evaluated prior to awarding points  0  1 2 3
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3
<ul><li>□ 4</li><li>□ 5</li><li>□ 6</li></ul>
Q4. What process did you utilize when determining the need for this application? If no specific data sources, how did you determine community need? Please provide as much detail as possible. (5pts)
Applicants must identify data sources, groups, advisory bodies, or other means of establishing community need other than internal data sources. Applicants that do not identify external data sources may only recieve 2 points1 point - Evidence of data-driven decision making  1 point - HMIS or comparable database data used  1 point - Point in Time Count Data used (external)  1 point - Housing Inventory County data used (external)  1 point - Other local data used (external)
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ COS. Does your agency have the support of the Local Homelessness Coalition (LHC)? (10 pts)

Applicants must demonstrate the support of the Local Homeless Coalition through a signed letter from the chair of the Local Coalition (10 pts), Applicants that upload letters of support from other partners are eligible for 5pts per letter uploaded  0 5 10
□ Q6. Do you currently use a Comparable Database? (4 pts)
Applicants total agency budget must be at least 2x the annual funding request in this application. Applicants whose agency budget is at least 2x the annual funding request will meet this threshold requiement. Applicants that request an annual funding amount that exceeds 50% of their current agency budget are ineligible.
Q7. Please describe your Agency's experience with the following Activities in letters A-F below (since the start of 2021). Applicants should answer this question based on previous experience with these activities, not how the applicant will implement these activities into the proposed project.
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
$\begin{array}{c} \square \ 0 \\ \square \ 2 \end{array}$
Q7B. Credit repair services (2pts)
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
■ Q7C. Housing Search and Counseling (2pts)
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
Q7D. Crisis DV Services (2pts)
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
■ Q7E. Long-term housing stability safety planning (2pts)
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
Q7F. Education Services (2 pts)
Reasoned responses that reflect a strategic implementation or provide specific examples will be awarded points. One off, or informal assistance does not count for this purpose. No partial points.
Q8. Please describe your Agency's experience providing Housing and Housing-related services to victims and survivors of domestic violence since the start of 2021. (5pts)

describes similar housing activities funded by local, state or federal funders (1) demonstrates the performance of those services with %s, Housing Placement rates (1) and Housing Retention rates (1) may be eligible for full points. Up to 5 pts available. Partial points may be awarded.
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
Q9. Please describe your Agency's experience meeting the safety needs of victims and survivors of domestic violence since the start of 2021. (5pts)
Descriptions that include: Housing First (1) Survivor-driven safety planning (1) the Agency's implementation of Trauma-Informed Care (1)how the Agency prioritizes confidentiality (1) the agency's security and/or safety practices (1) may be eligible for full points Up to 5 pts available. Partial points may be awarded.
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5
10. Was a Point-In-Time Count conducted in 2023 for the geographic area that you are proposing to serve in this project?
Yes = 20 pts No = 0 pts  20 pts 0 pts
Agency Capacity total score:
{{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, AC7A.score, AC7B.score, AC7C.score, AC7D.score, AC7E.score, AC7F.score, AC8.score, AC9.score, AC10.score)}}/78
Financial Management and Administration
Q1. Describe how your organization has implemented or will implement the required Internal Controls at 2 CFR 200.302a (15 pts
The Organization must acknowledge the COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework to receive points No partial points  0 15
■ Q2. Describe the internal financial and administrative monitoring activities utilized by the organization (14pts)
Organization has an internal monitoring strategy (7)Organization communicates monitoring policy and engages staff in monitoring or a regular and predictable basis (7)
□ 0 □ 7 □ 14
Financial Management total score:
{{SUM(FM1.score, FM2.score)}}/29
Community-wide Commitment  O2 Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)
EQ2. Please name the partner organizations that were consulted in the development of the Project Application (3 pts)

Descriptions that include: landlord recruitment strategies (1) how housing planning is survivor focused and survivor driven (1)

1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3
Q3. Please name the partner organizations that will participate in the implementation and oversight of the Project, if awarded (3 pts)
1 pt per partner organization, up to 3 points
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3
Q4. Please describe the Landlord Recruitment Strategy that will be implemented as part of this project, and how the Applicant will update that strategy based on data and performance (5pts)
(1)Has dedicated staff conducting ongoing Landlord outreach
(1)Describes specific incentives offered to Landlords
(1)Describes how agency solicits feedback from Landlords and incorporates that feedback to improve efforts(1)Describes data sources used to develop strategy(1)Other reasoned approaches  □ 0
<ul><li>□ 1</li><li>□ 2</li><li>□ 3</li><li>□ 4</li><li>□ 5</li></ul>
Q5. Do you plan to leverage Housing partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application? Public Housing Authorities (PHA)Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), Community Development Corporation (CDC) (15 pts)
0 pts if no PHA, CHDO, CDC- commitment. If yes, see Q5d
☐ Yes ☐ No
Q5d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q5b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q5a) to score this question. Public Housing Authority (PHA) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), or Community Development Corporation (CDC)Commitment (up to 15 pts possible)Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Housing leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload  • 0  • 5  • 10  • 15
Q6. Do you plan to leverage Healthcare partnerships with any of the following partners as part of this application?Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA)Healthcare/Hospital DistrictsPublic Health DepartmentsFederally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC)Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients (15 pts)
0 pts if no Healthcare partnerships If yes, see Q6d
☐ Yes ☐ No

Q6d. Please upload written commitments from this/these partners
Divide the Total HUD Request (Q6b) by 2. Compare this value to the value of the commitment (Q6a) to score this question. Local Mental/Behavioral Health Authorities (LMHA/LBHA), Healthcare/Hospital Districts, Public Health Departments, Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers FQHC), Healthcare for the Homeless (HCH) Recipients Commitment (up to 15 pts possible) Applicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at least 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 15 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that is valued at less than 25% of the total budget on the Budget Portion of this application will receive 10 ptsApplicants that submit evidence of leveraged assistance provided by a Healthcare Partner that does specify the value of the commitment will receive 5 pts.0pts if no Healthcare Partner leverage commitment, or a non-relevant upload.  • 0 • 5 • 10 • 15
Q7. Assisting participants to access mainstream resources such as SSI/SSDI is a priority for the CoC. Will this project employ a person whose primary role is to assist participants using SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR)? (5 pts)
☐ Yes = 5 ☐ No = 0
Q8. Please describe this project's utilization of Housing First (4 pts)
Describes no prerequisites to project entry or retention (e.g. sobriety, participation in mental health/health services, etc) and/or low barrier admission process (e.g. screening people in, not out) (2)Describes flexible approach, individualized supports, client choice, and autonomy; services are voluntary (2)
□ 0 □ 2 □ 4
Q9. How will this project meaningfully engage Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE)? (4 pts)
Organization will employ project-level staff with lived expertise (2)Organization will include PWLE in implementation or evaluation of project, including staff, Board Members, or current or former participants (2)  0  2  4
Q10. Please describe how the proposed project will meet the needs of LGBTQ+ participants, including how the project will center the privacy, safety, respect and access of all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation through agency policy, training, and client service delivery. (10 pts)
Describes agency or project policies, procedures or processes that address privacy, safety and access standards for all persons regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (3)Describes the implementation of HUD's Equal Access Rule or Housing First (3)Describes inclusive client-engagement strategies including Trauma-Informed Care, Person-Centered Care, Strengths-Based Care or similar (2)Describes regular training provided to staff or volunteers that addresses LGTBQ+, gender identity or sexual orientation considerations (2)
□ 0 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 □ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10  ■ Q11. Please describe how this Project will connect participants to immediate, low-barrier shelter or housing. (4 pts)
= 4 isass asserbe non the reject him connect participants to infiniodiate, for barrier or redoing. (4 pto)

(1) Strategy includes transportation method (1) Strategy includes methodology for assessing housing needs (1) Srategy includes an acknowledgement of limited housing resources (1) Strategy includes reference to larger community-level housing access goals, (access to resources)  0 0 1 2 3 4  Community-wide Commitment total score:  {{SUM(CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC6.score, CC7.score, CC8.score, CC9.score, CC10.score)}}/68
System Performance Measures
Q1. SPM 1 What is the length of time the average participant will remain homeless following identification? (Ie: how long will it take you to house someone, once they are identified?) (10pts)
☐ 0-30 days = 10 ☐ 31-60 days = 5 ☐ 61+ days = 0
Q2a What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 6 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-8% = 4 points ☐ 9-10% = 2 point ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2b What percentage of participants will return to homelessness at 12 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-2% = 10 points ☐ 3-5% = 7 points ☐ 6-10% = 3 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q2c What percentage of participants will return to homlessness at 24 months? (10pts)
☐ 0-5% = 10 points ☐ 6-10% = 5 points ☐ 11+% = 0 points
Q3. SPM4 What percentage of (adult) participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) while enrolled in the project? (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts  ■ Q4. SPM4 What percentage of participants will increase their income (earned or unearned) at exit from the project (10pts)
☐ 0-30% = 0pts ☐ 31-40% = 3 pts ☐ 41-50% = 7 pts ☐ 51%+ = 10 pts

operation? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% - 0pts ☐ 71-80-% 4pts ☐ 81-90% 7pts ☐ 91%+ 10pts
Q6. SPM7b.2 What percentage of participants that remain enrolled will retain housing at the end of the first year? (10pts)
☐ 0-70% 0 pts ☐ 71-80% 4 pts ☐ 81-90% 7 pts ☐ 91%+ 10 pts
Q7. Please describe the data sources you used to complete these questions. (10pts)
Applicant references an Annual Performance Report run in the last 12 months that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts), ORApplicant references any other comparable database report or contractual performance report that reflects that the percentages given below are realistic for the Organization (10 pts)Up to 10 pts. No partial pts.
System Performance Measure total score:
{{SUM (SP1.score, SP2.score, SP3.score, SP4.score, SP5.score, SP6.score, SP7.score, SP8.score, SP9.score)}}/90
General Application
Ode What is the total Compartice Comice Dudget not including leveraged assistance (Fata)
Q1a. What is the total Supportive Service Budget not including leveraged assistance? (5pts)
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$\sum_{\text{\$0-\$7000= 5pts}}\$
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$0-\$7000= 5pts \$7,001 = 0pts  Q3. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$0-\$7000= 5pts \$7,001 = 0pts  Q3. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment including the ability to move between components (TH to RRH and vice versa)? (6 pts)  Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between Transitional Housing and RRH Components at intake (2)Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between TH and RRH Components throughout enrollment
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$0-\$7000= 5pts  \$7,001 = 0pts  Q3. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment including the ability to move between components (TH to RRH and vice versa)? (6 pts)  Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between Transitional Housing and RRH Components at intake (2)Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between TH and RRH Components throughout enrollment (2)Organization communicates that housing intervention will be reassessed on a regular and predictable schedule (2)  0  1 0 1 2 1 4
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$0-\$7000= 5pts \$7,001 = 0pts  30. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment including the ability to move between components (TH to RRH and vice versa)? (6 pts)  Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between Transitional Housing and RRH Components at intake (2)Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between TH and RRH Components throughout enrollment (2)Organization communicates that housing intervention will be reassessed on a regular and predictable schedule (2)  0 0 1 0 1 0 2 1 4 0 6  Q4. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed and outreach is relationship-focused. (5 pts)  Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1)  Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding centers, local library, etc) (1)
Reviewer should divide \$ amount in Q1a by the number of persons in Q1 to get a cost per participant  \$0-\$7000= 5pts \$7,001 = 0pts  30. Describe how the program ensures participants have autonomy and agency in decision-making throughout their enrollment including the ability to move between components (TH to RRH and vice versa)? (6 pts)  Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between Transitional Housing and RRH Components at intake (2)Organization communicates that participants have access to choose between TH and RRH Components throughout enrollment (2)Organization communicates that housing intervention will be reassessed on a regular and predictable schedule (2)  0 0 1 2 1 4 6 304. Describe the techniques staff uses to ensure that participant engagement is culturally appropriate, regular, trust is developed and outreach is relationship-focused. (5 pts)  Organization describes that services and outreach will occur on a regular, predictable schedule (1)  Organization's outreach strategy includes multiple methods of outreach such as in or out of agency office, in participant's home, in local community which may include outreach conducted at other organizations or public locations within community (e.g. feeding

	11   2   3   4   5
	Q5. How is service delivery (a) tailored to meet the participants' unique needs, (b) strength based, (c) trauma informed, and (d) participant centered? (5 pts)
е	Describes how the project will incorporate evidence-based practices for client engagementStaff training/certification or relevant expertience for strengths-based and trauma-informed careHousing/service plans developed in consultation with clients and are evised when appropriate
	] o ] 5
	Q6. Please describe your understanding and experience with the Progressive Engagement approach to delivering Rental Assistance. (4pts)
tl	2) Applicant states experience using Progressive Engagement (2) Response reflects that Rental Assistance will be offered based on the unique needs of the household, approach is flexible and individualized
	]
	Q7. Does the project impose restrictions on length of participation beyond that which would be mandated by HUD? (E.g. less than 4 months of rental assistance for RRH) (20pts)
	(0) Applicant restricts length of participation (20) Applicant does not restrict length of participation
	Q8. Please describe the Organization's experience utilizing the Coordinated Entry process, if any. If no experience with CE, elease describe the proposed prioritization factors that will determine order of enrollment. (2 pts)
S	Currently operating projects that utilize CE Involvement with Coordinated Entry Planning EntityPrioritizing clients with most severe ervice needs (disabilities, mental health and substance use issues, elderly)Local CE "entry" points (does the agency offer CE issessments? where would someone go to be assessed?)
	]
n	Q9. Please describe any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance. (ex. Participants nust attend mental health appointments, life skills groups, must meet with the case manager, must gain a job within the first 3 weeks, etc.) (2 pts)
	Full points if project communicates no conditional participation requirements to maintain assistanceNo partial points  0 2
	Q10. Please describe how the project systematically keeps project staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., SNAP, SSI, TANF) within the geographic area. (2 pts)
	Describes a detailed plan to keep staff informed of mainstream resources for participants. Strategy described is regular and predictable
	] o ] 2
	Q11. Describe how the project collaborates with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with meeting health leeds. (2 pts)
a [	Organization describes partnership and/or referral path to health provider (may include behavioral health)Organization describes assistance with connecting participant to health provider (e.g. coordinating services, transportation)
ı	12

Q12. Describe how the project provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other health benefits. (2 pts)			
Describes a detailed plan to utilize Medicare and connect participants to health services			
□ 0 □ 2			
Q13. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing Supplemental CoC Program funds. (2pts)			
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule			
Q14. Describe the strategy the Project will utilize to provide supportive services to participants to ensure successful retention of housing or to help obtain housing utilizing alternative funding sources or by leveraging existing community services. (2pts)			
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Response must identify services offered internally through alternative funding OR services offered within local community			
Q15. Describe how the Project will assess and meet the individual needs of each Participant through referrals to local resources, social services, and benefits such as workforce and early childhood education resources, etc. (2 pts)			
Describes strategy for assessing participant needs at intake, as needed, and on a regular and predictable schedule Describes referral pathway or strategy for connecting participants to available, local resources			
■ G16. Did the applicant complete the HUD Grant Writing Workshop Course via Litmos?			
See the "HUD Grant Writing Workshop" tracking sheet for a list of applicants that have successfully completed this course. Yes = 5 ptsNo = 0 ptsNote: These are bonus points and will only be reflected in the total application score, not in the total score of this section.			
☐ Yes ☐ No			
General Application total score:			
{{SUM (G1.score, G2.score, G3.score, G4.score, G5.score, G6.score, G7.score, G8.score, G9.score, G10.score, G11.score, G12.score, G13.score, G14.score)}}/61			
Monitoring History			
If Q3a = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts If Q3a not present, 5pts			
☐ Yes = 0pts ☐ No = 5pts ☐ 3a not present = 5pts			
If Q4 = Yes, 0 pts. If No, 5 pts.			
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 5 pts			
If Q5 = Yes, 0pts. If No, 25 pts.			
☐ Yes = 0 pts ☐ No = 25 pts			

6a. If total # of Findings in last column = 0, 10 ptslf total # of Findings in the last column = 1 or 2, 5 pts.lf total # of Findings = 3 or more, 0 ptslf Q6a not present, 10pts
☐ 3 or more findings = 0 pts ☐ 1 or 2 findings = 5 pts ☐ 0 findings = 10 pts ☐ 6a not present = 10 pts
Monitoring History total score:
{{SUM (M1.score, M2.score, M3.score, M4.score)}}/45

#### Total Score:

{SUM (AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score, AC5.score, AC6.score, AC7A.score, AC7B.score, AC7C.score, AC7D.score, AC7E.score, AC7E.score, AC8.score, AC9.score, AC10.score, FM1.score, FM2.score, CC1.score, CC2.score, CC3.score, CC4.score, CC5.score, CC5.score

### Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Grievance Policy

#### Purpose

The purpose of the grievance procedure is to settle any disagreement between an Applicant Organization for the HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program funding and the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care (TX BoS CoC) as quickly and impartially as possible, to ensure an efficient, transparent, and fair competition.

### **Applicability**

A grievance may be filed by any Applicant Organization that claims it has been adversely affected by:

- Improper application or interpretation of rules, regulations, and/or procedures concerning participation in the TX BoS CoC FY 2023 CoC Program application process, as outlined in the FY 2023 Request for Proposals (RFP) or;
  - Ranking Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 2. Review and Scoring Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 3. Reallocation Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 4. <u>Conditional Inclusion Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds</u> in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
- Disparity in the application of rules, regulations, and/or procedures regarding participation in the TX BoS CoC FY 2023 CoC Program application process, as outlined in the FY 2023 Request for Proposals (RFP) or;
  - 1. Ranking Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 2. Review and Scoring Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 3. Reallocation Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 4. <u>Conditional Inclusion Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds</u> in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
- 3. Violation of rules, regulations, and/or procedures concerning participation in the TX BoS CoC FY 2023 CoC Program application process, as outlined in the FY 2023 Request for Proposals (RFP) or;
  - Ranking Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 2. Review and Scoring Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 3. Reallocation Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care
  - 4. <u>Conditional Inclusion Policy and Procedure for Continuum of Care Program Funds</u> in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care.

### Procedure for Filing Grievances and Grievance Appeals

The following steps must be taken, in the order given. Time limits shall begin on the end of the first day after the applicable occurrence, filing, appeal, response, or recommendation. Due to the nature and time constraints of the CoC Program competition, working days shall include weekends and City, County, State and/or Federal holidays.

#### Step 1

#### Step 2

The TX BoS CoC Board has forty-eight (48) hours to investigate and respond in writing, using the attached official form. Applicant Organization should expect to receive the response via email. The Board's decision/response is final and binding.

### Step 3

If the Applicant Organization is not satisfied with the TX BoS CoC Board's response, depending on the type of appeal, the Applicant Organization may file an appeal with HUD, as outlined in 24 CFR 578.35. Details can be found in <u>Section VII of the 2023 CoC Program NOFO</u>

- 1. The initial grievance must be submitted in writing to the TX BoS CoC within the allowable time frame in order to be considered. Email is strongly preferred.
- 2. To expedite the process the Applicant Organization should cite the applicable sections of the RFP, NOFO, and/or the relevant CoC policy pertaining to their grievance

## TX BoS CoC FY 2023 CoC Program Local Application Process Grievance Appeal Form

Applicant Organization:	
Applicant Organization's Address:	
Applicant Organization's Phone Number:	
Applicant Representative:Job Title:	
Applicant Representative's Email Address:	
We have received a response to our organization's grievance from the CoC Director of P	Planning
(or designated staff person, in his/her absence) on (date) this answer is unacceptable to us, we wish to file a formal grievance appeal.	_
this answer is unacceptable to us, we wish to file a formal grievance appeal.  Nature of grievance appeal. Explain how you believe that this situation satisfies the Applicability of the FY2023 CoC Program Grievance Procedure including names and dates, and cite the specific	Because y section
	Because y section
this answer is unacceptable to us, we wish to file a formal grievance appeal.  Nature of grievance appeal. Explain how you believe that this situation satisfies the Applicability of the FY2023 CoC Program Grievance Procedure including names and dates, and cite the specific	Because y section
this answer is unacceptable to us, we wish to file a formal grievance appeal.  Nature of grievance appeal. Explain how you believe that this situation satisfies the Applicability of the FY2023 CoC Program Grievance Procedure including names and dates, and cite the specific	Because y section
this answer is unacceptable to us, we wish to file a formal grievance appeal.  Nature of grievance appeal. Explain how you believe that this situation satisfies the Applicability of the FY2023 CoC Program Grievance Procedure including names and dates, and cite the specific	Because y section

We believe a just and fair resolution of our grievance appeal is:		
Date	Signature	

# TX BoS CoC FY 2021 CoC Program Application Process

### **Grievance Appeal Form – The TX BoS CoC Board Response**

Applicant Organization:	
Applicant Representative:	
The TX BoS CoC Board Response to A	Applicant Organization's Grievance Appeal:
If the Applicant Organization is not satisfied with the Board's response, depending on the type of grievance appeal, the Applicant Organization may file an appeal with HUD as outlined in 24 CFR 578.35. Details can be found in Section VII of the 2023 NOFO:	
Printed Name	
Date	Signature