

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2026 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2026 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Texas Homeless Network

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Texas Homeless Network

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Accountable Structure and Participation.	
-------	--	--

In the chart below for the period from June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026:

1.	select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below if the entity listed participated in CoC meetings or select 'Nonexistent' if the entity does not exist in your CoC's geographic area, and
2.	enter the number of persons on the board for each category where you answered 'Yes' in the Participated in CoC Meetings column.

You must click Save at the bottom of the form before entering the number of persons for each category where you answered 'Yes'.

	Entity	Participated in CoC Meetings	Number of Board Members
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	3
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction(s)	Yes	6
3.	Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC)	Yes	1
4.	Community Mental Health Center (CMHC)	Yes	0
5.	Disability Advocate(s)	Yes	1
6.	Disability Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
7.	Emergency Medical Services (EMS)/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	0
8.	Employment Assistance Program(s) (e.g., Local Workforce Development, American Job Center)	Yes	2
9.	Faith-based Organization(s)	Yes	5
10.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Person(s)	Yes	4
11.	Hospital(s)	Yes	0
12.	Other Primary Healthcare Provider(s) (e.g., Federally Qualified Health Center, Healthcare for the Homeless)	Yes	0
13.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No	
14.	Law Enforcement	Yes	1
15.	Local Court Systems, Including Specialty Courts (e.g., Mental Health Court, Drug Court, Care Court)	Yes	1
16.	Local Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	3

17.	State Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	1
18.	State or Local Elected Public Official(s)	Yes	1
19.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	0
20.	Mental Health Service Organization(s)	Yes	1
21.	Mental Illness Advocate(s)	Yes	2
22.	Organization(s) led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	1
23.	Other homeless subpopulation advocate(s)	Yes	3
24.	Other nonprofit homeless assistance provider(s)	Yes	8
25.	Other social service provider(s)	Yes	6
26.	Public Housing Agencies	Yes	3
27.	Recovery Housing or Sober Living Provider(s)	Yes	0
28.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaison(s)	Yes	0
29.	School District(s)	Yes	0
30.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	2
31.	Substance Abuse Advocate(s)	Yes	1
32.	Substance Abuse Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
33.	Universities	Yes	0
34.	Veteran Serving Organization(s)	Yes	5
35.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	1
36.	Victim Service Provider(s)	Yes	3
37.	Domestic Violence Advocate(s)	Yes	2
38.	Other Victim Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
39.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	0
40.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	0
41.	Youth Advocate(s)	Yes	2
42.	Youth Homeless Organization(s)	Yes	2
43.	Youth Service Provider(s)	Yes	1
44.	Other Homeless Assistance Provider(s)	Yes	1
45.	Local Business or Chamber of Commerce or a Member of a Business Improvement District	Yes	1
	Other: (limit 50 characters)		
46.	Local Homeless Coalitions	Yes	7
47.			

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
-------	----------------------------------	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to invite new members to join the CoC at least annually.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC considers anyone who lives and/or works in the CoC's geographic area to be a general member of the CoC. The CoC has an open invitation process & lists an invitation on Texas Homeless Network's/THN's (Collaborative Applicant's) website, in monthly CoC e-newsletters, on twice-yearly General Membership Meeting agendas, & on THN's social media. In addition, CoC staff recommend becoming a general member & attending General Membership meetings when people reach out to learn about the CoC & during CoC committee and workgroup meetings, training sessions, Local Homeless Coalition (LHC) meetings, technical assistance activities, & CoC Orientation webinars. The CoC maintains a "Join the Texas Balance of State CoC" webpage on THN's website, which defines General Membership and the CoC's geographic coverage area, information about CoC General Meetings, how members can participate in CoC Planning and Local Homeless Coalitions, CoC-wide events and trainings, copies of past CoC newsletters, and recordings of prior CoC General Membership meetings. Additionally, the CoC's Director of Engagement is the point of contact for CoC General Members, and the Director of Engagement's contact information is published on the "Join the Texas Balance of State CoC" webpage on THN's website. Therefore, the CoC ensures that new members are invited to join the CoC as General Members at least annually. In practice, new members are invited to join the CoC more frequently than annually, both through formal and informal invitations and opportunities.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit and Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
-------	--	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit and consider opinions regarding the CoC's general performance, strategies, and priority setting process from a broad array of individuals and organizations that have knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing or ending homelessness in the CoC's geographic area.
--

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses a transparent, multi-channel process to solicit & consider opinions on its general performance, strategies, & priority-setting from a broad range of individuals & organizations with knowledge of or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness across its 214-county geography.

The CoC holds twice-yearly General Membership Meetings, publicly announced through the THN website, e-newsletter, & social media, open to the public. Agendas include opportunities for participant input on specific topics & open dialogue. The CoC also uses polls and follow-up surveys to gather & consider member perspectives. Proposed CoC policies & policy changes, including updates to the CoC Written Standards, are posted through an online public comment process, with feedback also solicited through webinars and surveys. The CoC publicly provides staff contact information & encourages individuals & organizations to submit questions, concerns, & recommendations directly to CoC staff.

The CoC seeks input from a broad array of stakeholders, including people experiencing/at risk of homelessness; homeless service providers; CoC-&ESG-funded programs; Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs); public housing authorities; local governments; behavioral health & other community-based organizations including faith-based; Coordinated Entry & HMIS users; CoC Board & committee members; & other individuals & organizations engaged in addressing housing instability & homelessness. The CoC shares info about Board & committee participation & elections & publicizes funding opportunities, inviting prospective applicants to discuss community needs, grant requirements, & project design.

Because the CoC spans 214 counties, local perspectives are essential to its planning & priority setting. THN staff engage communities through quarterly LHC meetings, direct outreach to LHC leads, participation in local meetings, & individual calls and emails.

In 2025–26, the CoC expanded these engagement strategies through its CoC Modernization initiative, conducted in collaboration with HUD Technical Assistance. A CoC Modernization Workgroup met monthly through April 2026 to provide structured, intentional feedback on CoC governance, Coordinated Entry, HMIS & data through polls, surveys, discussion questions, & open dialogue. Participation was open to General Members & members were recruited through e-newsletter, social media, CoC Board & committees, LHCs, & targeted outreach, and on the CoC's website.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
-------	--	--

Describe your CoC's transparent process to accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding including faith-based organizations.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC notified the public via its website, which contains the following statement “We are interested in working with (...) Partners we have not worked with before! If you are in a community without CoC Program Funding, or your Organization has never administered CoC Program Funding, we encourage you to review the materials below & reach out to us with any questions at ...” The CoC maintains a list of stakeholders from previous competitions & did outreach to that group, most of whom have never administered CoC funding. Orgs can sign-up for CoC Program Competition info through the CoC's website & as advertised in its monthly e-newsletter. The CoC provides routine announcements at CoC General Membership meetings, open to anyone who lives &/or works in the CoC, where meeting information is published on the CoC's website, social media, & monthly e-newsletter. Organizations, including Faith-Based, are encouraged to sign-up for the CoC Competition email listserv when engaging w/ the CoC for the first time, & through tailored outreach to Local Homeless Coalitions. In 2026, the CoC held 2 online CoC Program Clinics which provided organizations with info on how to prepare for the Competition & how to effectively design a project application. In 2026 the CoC received apps from 19 unfunded orgs; 9 did not meet eligibility criteria & were denied at threshold; 10 moved forward.

In all communication about competition, the Collaborative Applicant communicates that applications are accepted via the “Apply” platform, used to collect applicant info. The CoC describes the process by webinar prior to the release of CoC's Request for Proposals (RFP) & documents it in the RFP, including scored factors for project selection. These materials are posted on the competition webpage & remain up throughout the competition. New applications are scored by an Independent Review Team of CoC Members based on responses to narrative questions & proposed performance. HMIS data is used to score renewal projects. Then, CoC staff rank projects by score. Results are presented to the CoC Board who select a ranking that factors score, project type & the NOFO. A local deadline is displayed publicly & on page 1 of the RFP. Projects submitted incomplete or reflecting a gross misunderstanding of the CoC Program are denied at threshold. Application requirements in the RFP & CoC competition policies are listed on the CoC's website year-round. Faith-based applicants were prioritized through scoring.

1B-5	Plan for Comprehensive Strategies for Reducing Homelessness.
------	--

Describe how your CoC will incorporate comprehensive strategies for reducing homelessness, including interventions in 42 U.S.C. 11386b(d) and other targeted projects for specific subpopulations, by providing a plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's plan for reducing homelessness is to strengthen local homeless response systems across its 214-county geography through data-driven planning, targeted investments, & coordinated partnerships that prevent homelessness & help individuals and families move quickly to permanent housing.

To advance this plan, the 2025 Gaps Analysis, informed by 82 stakeholders, identified opportunities to strengthen housing, services, partnerships, & local system capacity. Communities have varying infrastructure & resources, requiring strategies responsive to local conditions. The analysis found strong engagement: 89% expressed interest in deeper CoC involvement & 75.6% reported an existing Local Homeless Coalition (LHC). It also identified housing, transportation, healthcare access, prevention, & coalition capacity as areas for development.

During 2026-2027, the CoC will implement its local focus strategy with prioritized LHCs to develop local action plans with 2-5 locally appropriate strategies. HMIS, PIT/HIC, SPM, CE, Gaps Analysis, project performance, funding data, & stakeholder feedback will inform priorities. Strategies will incorporate eligible interventions under 42 U.S.C. 11386b(d) & address families with children, youth, survivors of domestic violence, Veterans, people with disabilities, & chronic & unsheltered homelessness. CE redesign, provider training, performance reviews, partnership development, & lived-experience input will support implementation.

By the end of 2026, the CoC will complete its LHC prioritization framework & action-plan tools & begin engagement with prioritized communities. In 2027, prioritized LHCs will establish 2-5 local strategies. Measures include LHC plans completed, LHCs receiving data portfolios/training, new partnerships, quarterly project-performance reviews, CE measures, & HMIS/SPM outcomes. The Director of Data will oversee HMIS/data; Director of Systems Change, CE; Director of Planning, CoC programs/performance; Director of Engagement, governance/Board; & Senior Director of CoC Programs, overall implementation & cross-team coordination.

For funding, this implementation will leverage CoC Program & ESG resources, & federal, state, local, healthcare, philanthropic, & community funding. The Director of Planning & Senior Director of CoC Programs will align priorities with funding opportunities and project development or expansion.

1B-5a	Confirmation of Plan Coverage.
-------	--------------------------------

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your narrative in question 1B-5 addresses the following aspects of your plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.

1. Identifies the gap, using data or stakeholder feedback	Yes
2. The strategy to address the needs of all relevant subpopulations	Yes
3. Sets quantifiable performance measures	Yes

4. Identifies timelines for the completion of specific tasks	Yes
5. Identifies specific funding sources for planned activities	Yes
6. An individual or body responsible for overseeing implementation of specific strategies	Yes

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Treatment and Recovery Services—On-site Substance Use Treatment Availability.	
	You must upload the List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	What percent of your TH/RRH/PSH projects have substance use treatment available on site?	16%

If you are a rural CoC, describe what substance use treatment is available in your CoC and how people you serve access those services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC covers 214 counties across a geographically expansive area that includes rural, suburban, and urban communities. Approximately 16% of the CoC's TH, RRH, and PSH projects have substance use treatment available. However, this percentage does not fully reflect participants' access to substance use treatment across the CoC. Given the CoC's size and limited behavioral health infrastructure in many rural communities, the CoC relies on both on-site services and partnerships with community-based treatment providers.

Available resources vary by community & include outpatient substance use & behavioral health treatment, recovery supports, medication management & medication-assisted treatment where available, peer recovery services, and other community-based treatment resources. CoC-funded projects and homeless response partners connect participants to these services through referrals, warm handoffs, care coordination, & partnerships with local behavioral health and substance use treatment providers, including Local Mental Health Authorities, substance use treatment providers, Federally Qualified Health Centers, & other healthcare partners. Where locally available, communities may also use transportation assistance, mobile services, or other strategies to overcome geographic barriers.

This distributed approach is critical because treatment infrastructure varies significantly across the CoC's 214 counties. Rural communities may have fewer specialized providers, longer travel distances, & fewer transportation options than more populated communities. Therefore, access cannot depend exclusively on treatment being physically located within a TH, RRH, or PSH project. Housing and service providers instead connect participants to the treatment resources available within or accessible from their communities.

The CoC continues to strengthen coordination between homeless response, behavioral health, healthcare, & recovery systems so that the absence of an on-site provider does not prevent participants from accessing available substance use treatment and recovery services. Through quality review & technical assistance, CoC program applicants are encouraged to & receive support in applying for necessary supportive service dollars for substance use treatment, transportation, case management, & health & mental health care to ensure that areas without direct access to services can still meet the diverse treatment needs of participants.

1C-1a. Treatment and Recovery Services—Outpatient Treatment for Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide, outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) system is an important entry point for identifying the housing, behavioral health, substance use, & supportive service needs of people experiencing homelessness. The participating CE agencies help connect individuals to appropriate housing interventions and community-based services. This coordinated approach is particularly important across the CoC's 214 counties, where behavioral health resources & service availability vary significantly by community.

The CoC uses a community-based approach to outpatient mental health & substance use disorder treatment. CoC-funded projects & homeless response partners coordinate with locally available behavioral health, healthcare, & substance use treatment providers, including Local Mental Health Authorities, community mental health and substance use treatment providers, Federally Qualified Health Centers, healthcare systems, and other qualified providers.

Depending on individual needs & local availability, participants are connected to a range of outpatient services. Participants may also access peer & recovery supports that promote treatment engagement & stability. Individual needs are identified through a tailored service plan and progress identifying progress towards goals is an essential component of case management. Through technical assistance to applicants, the CoC ensures that all projects request adequate Supportive Service funding to address anticipated client needs through the CoC Program, or leverage external partnerships to support the overall mental and behavioral health needs of participants.

Providers use case management, care coordination, referrals, & warm handoffs to help participants navigate treatment systems. Where available, mobile services & transportation resources help address long travel distances & limited provider capacity in rural areas.

Individuals experiencing behavioral health crises or suicide risk are connected to appropriate local, state & national crisis resources. Coordination between housing, behavioral health, healthcare, and recovery partners supports continuity of care following a crisis and helps participants remain connected to outpatient treatment and recovery supports. The CoC partners with Be Well Texas who provides free referrals, both onsite and by phone, to substance use treatment services.

1C-1b. Treatment and Recovery Services—Peer Support and Recovery Navigation.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide access to, peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC expands access to peer recovery specialists & recovery navigation through partnerships with behavioral health & recovery organizations, homeless service providers, & initiatives that increase the availability of trained peer specialists. These partnerships connect homeless persons to individuals with lived experience of recovery who provide relationship-based support, help participants navigate treatment and service systems, & strengthen connections to resources that support housing stability.

A key example is the CoC's partnership with Be Well Texas which strengthens local capacity to provide person-centered recovery navigation, particularly for people who may face barriers to traditional behavioral health or substance use treatment systems.

Recovery Resource Council (RRC) provides another example of integrated recovery & housing services within the CoC. RRC provides Recovery Support Services through Recovery Support Peer Specialists who offer individualized recovery coaching, peer-driven support, advocacy, & connections to community resources, including housing. RRC also provides training and certification pathways for peer specialists and operates housing programs within the CoC geography. These programs pair housing with trauma-informed case management, supportive services, & referrals to mental health & substance use treatment, demonstrating how peer recovery support and housing services can work together to promote housing stability and recovery.

The CoC's Coordinated Entry system & homeless response network provide pathways for identifying individuals who may benefit from peer support or recovery navigation. Through assessment, housing navigation, case management, & referrals, participants can be connected to available peer specialists, recovery organizations, behavioral health providers, & treatment resources. Availability varies across the CoC's 214 counties, particularly in rural & suburban communities. The CoC therefore leverages partnerships among homeless service providers, Local Mental Health Authorities, recovery & treatment organizations, healthcare providers, housing providers, & other community partners to expand access.

Through these partnerships the CoC works to strengthen local capacity for peer support & recovery navigation & connect people experiencing homelessness to services that promote recovery, housing stability, & long-term well-being.

1C-1c. Treatment and Recovery Services—Connecting Individuals Experiencing Serious Mental Illness with the Services Necessary to Promote Stability.

Describe how your CoC identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as Assertive Community Treatment teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC covers 214 rural/suburban counties & relies on local housing crisis response systems & partnerships to identify individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness (SMI) & connect them to services that promote housing & long-term stability. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) System is a primary mechanism for identifying service needs & coordinating connections to resources. CE assessments identify housing & supportive service needs, including mental & behavioral health needs. Per the CoC's CE Written Standards, assessors identify & arrange referrals for permanent housing & emergency & supportive services, including mental & behavioral health care. Referrals are entered in HMIS, & assessors provide a warm hand-off to the receiving organization. The CoC operates 23 CE Regions, each maintaining an Eligibility Matrix of available services & eligibility requirements.

CoC- & ESG projects further assess participants' individual needs & work with them to develop service plans that identify goals, needed services, & strategies to support stability. Projects coordinate services & make referrals based on assessed needs, including connections to Local Mental Health Authorities, Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) teams, behavioral health and mental health providers, hospitals, substance use treatment & recovery providers, & other community resources. Project-level service planning complements CE by supporting ongoing assessment, individualized goal setting, service coordination, & follow-up after referral.

Street Outreach and PATH programs are critical to identifying people with SMI who may not otherwise engage with traditional systems. Multidisciplinary teams may include homeless service providers, mental health professionals, law enforcement, public safety & other first responders. Outreach teams engage people in encampments & places not meant for habitation & connect them to treatment/recovery, housing, & stabilization resources.

THN (Collaborative Applicant), hosts Texas' statewide SOAR training & tracking system. SOAR equips providers to assist individuals with SMI & other disabilities in accessing SSI/SSDI, an important component of housing & recovery stability. Providers integrate SOAR with case management, service planning, & CE referrals to address housing, income, treatment, & supportive service needs. Through CE, service planning, local partnerships, outreach, health services, & SOAR, the CoC connects persons with SMI to local resources.

1C-1d. Treatment and Recovery Services—Coordination with Providers in Connection with Drug or Other Specialty Courts.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with a provider or entity providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.

(limit 500 characters)

In 2026, the CoC established relationships with 29 Specialty Courts within the CoC's geography. Specific partnerships include the Hays County Mental Health Court, the Hays County Drug Court, and the Hays County DWI Court.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the provider or entity identified above to support housing stability and movement towards independence, including by leveraging court orders.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with specialty courts to connect participants to housing & supportive services that promote stability & movement toward independence. The Hays County Mental Health Court is an active partner in the Hays County Local Homeless Coalition (LHC), which receives technical assistance (TA) & tailored support from THN, the CoC Collaborative Applicant. The LHC also coordinates with the local Coordinated Entry (CE) Region & its network of housing, behavioral health, & supportive service providers. Through CE, individuals experiencing homelessness are assessed for housing & supportive service needs. Trained CE assessors provide referrals to housing, emergency, behavioral health, substance use, & other supportive services. Per the CoC's CE Written Standards, referrals are documented in HMIS & assessors provide a required warm hand-off to the receiving provider. This connects specialty court participants with identified housing needs to the local housing crisis response system while supporting coordination with behavioral health and recovery providers.

The CoC has also coordinated directly with the Hays County DWI & Drug Courts to provide information about available housing and supportive services and local referral processes. As a result, THN is listed as a resource in the Courts' resource guide provided to Specialty Court participants. When individuals contact THN directly, staff provide written referral information for local CE assessors & other resources identified by the Hays County LHC. Across the CoC's 214-county geography, 33 LHCs provide a local structure for coordination among homeless service providers, behavioral health & substance use providers, courts, healthcare organizations, & other community partners. The CoC works with CoC-funded projects to address comprehensive participant needs, including substance use, health, & supportive services, & provides TA to integrate recovery-oriented housing resources, including Sober Living Homes, into LHCs & CE Regions.

These partnerships allow courts to leverage the CoC's housing crisis response infrastructure when participants experience housing instability. Court referrals, conditions, & identified service needs can inform individualized service coordination while CE and project providers connect participants to appropriate housing, treatment, recovery, & supportive services. This coordinated approach supports court engagement while helping participants establish stability.

1C-1e. Treatment and Recovery Services—Partnership with Local Crisis System of Care.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the local crisis system of care including 988 and crisis contact centers, mobile crisis and outreach services, and crisis stabilization services.

(limit 500 characters)

CoC partners w/ the Gulf Coast Center (GC), a Local Mental Health Authority through an MOU; GC conducts PATH outreach program to homeless persons. Outreach services include needs assessment, crisis counseling, addressing urgent physical needs, & connecting clients to treatment. City of Denton has a specialized Police & Mental Health Crisis Response Team that pairs officers with licensed clinicians, who work w/ homeless providers & stabilization service provided by Denton County MHMR.

1C-1f.	Treatment and Recovery Services—Availability of Units for Persons Reporting Chronic Substance Use.	
	You must upload the List of CoC Program Projects and the Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	Identify the number of CoC Program-funded units that require program participant engagement in substance abuse treatment services as a condition of continued participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75.	387
1C-1g.	Treatment and Recovery Services—24/7 Access to Detox, Residential, or Inpatient Treatment.	
Is there 24/7 access to detox, residential, and inpatient behavioral health treatment within the geographic area of your CoC?		No

1C-1h. Treatment and Recovery Services—Formal Partnership with Behavioral and Mental Health Facilities.

Identify at least one formal partnership the CoC has with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC), Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GBHI) provider, or a similar facility if none of the above are located in the geographic area.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC maintains formal partnerships with behavioral and mental health providers throughout its 214-county geography, including SAMHSA PATH providers Spindletop Center and Gulf Coast Center. The CoC also partners with community mental health/MHMR providers, including Denton County MHMR, Nueces Center for Mental Health and Intellectual Disabilities, and Tropical Texas Behavioral Health, to connect people experiencing homelessness to behavioral health services.

1C-1i. Treatment and Recovery Services—Sober Housing Availability.

Identify at least one new or existing CoC Program-funded project that operates sober housing in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b)(5).

(limit 500 characters)

GG Replacement PSH FY2024, operated by Giving Grace, is a CoC Program-funded project that operates sober housing. Participants must sign an agreement prohibiting the possession or use of, or being under the influence of, illegal substances or alcohol on the premises, consistent with 24 CFR 578.93(b)(5).

1C-1j. Treatment and Recovery Services—Warm Hand-Offs to Stable Housing Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the programs named in questions 1C-1a through 1C-1i to facilitate warm hand-offs to stable housing providers and prevent entry into homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses Coordinated Entry (CE) as the primary mechanism for connecting homeless persons to stable housing & the services needed to obtain & retain housing. CE coordinates with homeless service providers, behavioral health & substance use providers, recovery organizations, specialty courts, healthcare providers, outreach programs, & other community partners to connect households to housing based on assessed needs.

The CoC's CE Written Standards (CEWS) require CE Assessors to make warm hand-offs for referrals to housing & supportive services. Assessors must make every effort to connect the household directly with a contact at the Receiving Agency (referral source), preferably with the household present. Warm hand-offs may occur by phone/email/HMIS-generated email, & referrals are documented in HMIS. HMIS-participating Receiving Agencies must acknowledge referrals within 3 business days & document the acknowledgment & referral outcome. These requirements create accountability for completing the connection & help reduce gaps that can delay housing placement or result in a household losing contact with the housing system.

CoC- & ESG-funded projects reinforce this process through individualized assessment, service planning, housing navigation, referrals, & case management. Project staff coordinate with behavioral health, substance use, recovery, healthcare, & other providers to address barriers that can prevent a household from obtaining or retaining housing. Street Outreach & PATH programs engage people in places not meant for habitation & facilitate connections to housing & stabilization services. The CoC connects participants to peer recovery services, SOAR, Local Mental Health Authorities, Assertive Community Treatment teams, & recovery organizations when appropriate. & and their requirements. THN provides tailored technical assistance to CE Planning Entities & providers to strengthen referral pathways & address local gaps. A bi-monthly CE Steering Committee with representatives from each Region supports consistent practices across the CoC.

THN trains CE Assessors through an online system & annual refresher training required to maintain access. Through standardized warm hand-offs, documented referral outcomes, service planning, & coordinated housing & supportive services, the CoC strengthens the connection from initial assessment to housing placement & ongoing stabilization, helping households achieve & maintain stable housing.

1C-2.	Supportive Services Investment.	
-------	---------------------------------	--

	Number
1. Enter your CoC's FY 2026 Annual Renewal Demand (ARD).	\$19,603,764
2. Of projects your CoC proposed to be funded in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition, enter the total amount of funding for the supportive services budget line items.	\$10,623,796
3. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is supportive services funding (from element 2 above).	54%
4. Enter the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services for the projects included in your CoC's FY 2026 ARD.	\$3,054,293

5. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is the supportive services funding in proposed projects (from element 2 above) plus the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services (from element 4 above).

70%

1C-3.

Participation Requirements for Supportive Services.

You must upload the Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

What percent of your housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and Joint projects) require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use disorder treatment) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h)?

51.23%

1C-4. Consulting with ESG Recipients.

Describe how your CoC has and will continue to consult with ESG recipients in the planning and allocation of ESG funds.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC consults with ESG recipients across its geographic area: the Cities of Brownsville, Corpus Christi, Laredo, & McAllen; Hidalgo & Williamson Counties; & the State of Texas. Consultation occurs through quarterly meetings with each city & county, email check-ins with the State, and technical assistance (TA) as needed. These interactions allow the CoC & recipients to discuss needs, funding priorities, provider capacity, & alignment of ESG investments with the homelessness response system.

To inform ESG planning & allocation, the CoC shares gap analyses w/ each city & county & assists jurisdictions in developing their Consolidated Plans. The analyses help recipients identify needs across emergency shelter, street outreach, prevention, RRH, & other system components. While the CoC does not make formal funding recommendations to local recipients, it provides data, planning support, & TA they can use to establish priorities & allocate ESG funds. The CoC also supports applicants during the State of Texas ESG competition through the TX Department of Housing & Community Affairs (TDHCA), providing technical assistance to strengthen applications & align activities with CoC needs. A TDHCA staff member serves on the CoC Board.

Consultation has increased discussion among ESG recipients about funding decisions & awards. Brownsville has expressed interest in a closer relationship with the Collaborative Applicant to support its allocation process. The CoC will develop this relationship while offering comparable support to all recipients.

ESG recipients remain engaged by participating in CoC-hosted trainings, commenting on proposed governance documents during public comment periods, & working regularly with the HMIS Data Team on data quality, reporting, & system needs. The CoC Board includes a seat for ESG recipient representation, providing a formal mechanism for ESG perspectives to inform governance & planning.

TX-607 will continue quarterly consultations, state-level communication & TA. Annually, it administers a training-needs survey which informs an ESG training calendar responsive to recipient & provider needs. It will continue sharing gap analyses, supporting Consolidated Plan development, coordinating with HMIS, communicating trainings, & assisting applicants during the State ESG competition. These activities promote coordinated decisions, reduce duplication, address geographic & service gaps, & align ESG resources w/ CoC priorities.

1C-4a. Coordination with Emergency Shelter Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with shelter providers to connect individuals and families with CoC assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with emergency shelter (ES) providers through Coordinated Entry (CE) to connect homeless persons to appropriate CoC housing assistance & supportive services. The CoC's 214-county area includes 23 ESG-funded & four privately funded shelters, which connect to one of 23 CE Regions.

Per the CoC's CE Written Standards (CEWS), each CE Region establishes Entry Points that may be physical/virtual/mobile. ES may serve as Entry Points by maintaining trained CE Assessors, completing CE enrollment & assessments, & participating in CE Planning Entities & case conferencing. Shelters that are not Entry Points connect residents to a designated CE Entry Point or Assessor.

Shelter staff attempt diversion when appropriate. When a household remains literally homeless, or is fleeing domestic violence, Assessors explain CE & privacy protections, complete enrollment & assessment, & enter information into HMIS within 24 business hours. Victim service providers follow the CoC's confidential, survivor-centered process. Based on assessment, participant preferences, eligibility, & CoC prioritization standards, households are considered for Transitional Housing, Rapid Re-Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing, or other appropriate assistance.

The CEWS require Assessors to use the regional Eligibility Matrix to explain available housing & services, confirm participant preferences, & document referrals in HMIS. Missing documentation does not delay referral; Assessors help households obtain needed documents while receiving projects verify final eligibility. This supports timely access to assistance while preserving participant choice.

The CEWS require warm hand-offs between shelters, CE, & receiving housing providers. Assessors contact the receiving provider, preferably with the household present, & provide authorized information. HMIS-participating providers acknowledge referrals within three business days, contact prioritized households, schedule intake, & document referral outcomes. Case conferencing helps shelter, housing, outreach, and other providers locate households, resolve barriers, clarify roles, & update housing priorities.

THN maintains CE & HMIS standards, trains Assessors, provides technical assistance, & meets quarterly with direct HUD ESG recipients to identify coordination barriers & support needs. Through these requirements & ongoing coordination, the CoC creates a consistent pathway from shelter to assessment, referrals, & housing.

1C-5. Data Sharing to Inform the Homelessness Response System.

Describe how your CoC has or will share PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data with state and local government as permitted by law in order to inform the homelessness response system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data to inform state & local governments about the scope, trends, service needs, & outcomes of homelessness across its 214-county geography. Because conditions and resources vary significantly among rural, suburban, and urban communities, sharing reliable local & CoC-wide data is critical to planning effective homelessness responses & targeting limited resources.

THN, as HMIS Lead, produces & shares aggregate & de-identified data with state & local government partners, policymakers, funders, Local Homeless Coalitions, Consolidated Planning Jurisdictions, & other stakeholders as permitted by law & the CoC's HMIS Privacy Policy. This includes PIT & HIC information describing homelessness & housing resources, HMIS-generated information regarding people served and service trends, and System Performance Measures assessing outcomes such as length of time homeless, returns to homelessness, exits to permanent housing, and other system performance. Data can be examined at CoC & local levels to identify service gaps, understand trends, evaluate system & project outcomes, support funding & planning decisions, & develop strategies responsive to community needs.

The CoC's Data Committee, CoC Board, & HMIS Lead provide governance and oversight. The Data Committee annually reviews & as necessary, revises the HMIS privacy, security, & data quality plans for Board approval and oversees HMIS data collection & production of the PIT, HIC, LSA, APRs, and System Performance Measures.

All sharing is governed by the TX BoS HMIS Privacy Policy, applicable federal, state, & local confidentiality requirements, & HMIS security requirements. Aggregate reporting is designed not to identify individuals. Client-level HMIS data is not publicly disclosed & is only shared when authorized or otherwise permitted by applicable privacy requirements; approved third-party data matching, research, or evaluation involving client-level data requires appropriate safeguards, including a Data Use and Security Agreement when applicable.

Through this privacy-protective approach, the TX BoS CoC provides government partners actionable data to identify unmet needs, allocate resources, evaluate outcomes, strengthen local responses, & improve the homelessness response system while safeguarding the confidentiality of people experiencing homelessness.

1C-5a. Using Other Data Sources Alongside HMIS to Improve Care.

Describe how your CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care (e.g., health care utilization and claims data, electronic health care record data; admission, discharge, and transfer data; and law enforcement and corrections system data).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses HMIS alongside other data sources/strategies to develop a more complete understanding of the needs and service utilization of people experiencing homelessness. A primary example is Texas Homeless Network's Texas Homeless Data Sharing Network (THDSN), a statewide data integration initiative designed to connect homelessness data across Texas and support cross-system collaboration.

THDSN connects data from participating Texas CoCs through a statewide data warehouse, allowing homelessness information to be examined across traditional CoC geographic boundaries. The network currently represents 237 of Texas's 254 counties. This is particularly important for the TX BoS CoC because people experiencing homelessness may move between communities and systems to access shelter, housing, healthcare, treatment, employment, and other services. Cross-community information improves continuity of care and helps communities better understand patterns of homelessness and service utilization.

THDSN also provides infrastructure for expanding data-sharing collaborations with other systems serving people experiencing homelessness, including healthcare, public health, and criminal justice systems. Linking or comparing these data sources with homelessness data can help identify individuals who frequently interact with multiple systems, reveal service gaps and patterns of utilization, and inform targeted interventions. This information can help communities coordinate housing and supportive services earlier, reduce fragmented care, and identify opportunities to improve outcomes for people with complex needs.

All cross-system data use is subject to applicable privacy, security, consent, and confidentiality requirements. The TX BoS HMIS Privacy Policy permits approved data matching, research, & evaluation while requiring appropriate safeguards, including Data Use and Security Agreements before specified client-level HMIS data disclosures. Results of contracted analysis are reported in aggregate form rather than publicly disclosing client-level information.

Together, HMIS and THDSN provide the TX BoS CoC with infrastructure to better understand how people interact with multiple systems and use those insights to strengthen care coordination, target interventions, identify unmet needs, and improve housing and service outcomes.

1C-6. Employment and Workforce Development Partnership.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with employment and workforce development programs and organizations such as the Local Workforce Development Board, State Workforce Agency, American Job Center (also known as One-Stop Career Centers), registered apprenticeship program, community and technical college, union training program, adult education provider, or State Vocational Rehabilitation agency.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The United Way of Denton County (UWDC), a CoC Program recipient operating in the CoC, operates the Denton County (DC) Workforce Success Leadership Team (LT), the DC Homelessness & Housing LT, and the DC Behavioral Health LT Team. Each team, as required by its bylaws, maintains dedicated seats for an appointee from the local Workforce Solutions. Additionally, the UWDC President & CEO is a Board Member of the Workforce Solutions for North Central Texas.

1C-7. Street Outreach—Cooperation with First Responders and Law Enforcement.

Describe in the field below how your CoC's street outreach projects (including co-response) cooperate with first responders and law enforcement to increase positive interactions in order to increase housing and service engagement and promote use of CoC services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Across the TX BoS CoC's 214 counties, street outreach projects cooperate with law enforcement, EMS, fire departments, and other first responders to identify and engage people experiencing unsheltered homelessness and connect them to housing, CE, healthcare, behavioral health, shelter, and other supportive services. Because the CoC includes rural, suburban, and urban communities with differing response systems and resources, these partnerships are structured locally while operating within CoC-wide expectations for client privacy and service-focused engagement.

A key strategy is collaboration between first responders and local homeless outreach teams. The CoC has established an HMIS access framework that allows law enforcement officers and first responders affiliated with homeless outreach teams to participate in Street Outreach and CE activities.

This structure helps shift interactions from crisis-only responses toward connections with the homeless response system. First responders frequently encounter people living unsheltered who may not otherwise engage with traditional service locations. Coordination with outreach teams creates opportunities to connect individuals to CE, make referrals, facilitate follow-up by homeless service providers, and link people with locally available housing and services.

By establishing clear pathways for cooperation among street outreach teams and first responders, the TX BoS CoC seeks to make encounters with people experiencing unsheltered homelessness opportunities for engagement rather than solely enforcement or emergency response. This approach supports positive interactions, connection to CE and outreach services, and continued engagement toward housing and other needed services.

The City of Denton operates a Crisis Intervention Response Team, which pairs police officers with licensed mental health clinicians to respond to crisis calls. This Team works closely with Street Outreach and other housing and supportive service programs in the community. In 2026, the CoC provided CoC General Members with free access to an 8-part Case Management Training Series, where one training session focused on effective outreach and engagement strategies, including coordination with law enforcement, EMS, and other first responders.

1C-8. Family or Support Network Reunification.

Describe how your CoC, or your recipients, assist with family or support-network reunification efforts for individuals experiencing homelessness or living in CoC Program-funded housing (e.g., case management, travel costs, arrangement of assistance in another geographic area).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC & its recipients support family & support-network reunification as a potential pathway to safe, stable housing for individuals & families experiencing homelessness or residing in CoC-funded housing. Providers use case management, problem-solving, housing navigation, referrals, & coordination with community partners to assist participants in pursuing safe reunification or reconnection when consistent with their goals, preferences, & safety. The CoC's Written Standards (WS) for Service Delivery, Coordinated Entry (CE) WS & Policies, & Policy Against Involuntary Family Separation establish a participant-centered framework that prioritizes household stability, family connections, safety, & participant choice. The Policy Against Involuntary Family Separation supports maintaining family unity & avoiding unnecessary separation while households seek housing & services.

Through individualized service planning, providers assess whether family members, friends, or other trusted supports may serve as resources for housing or ongoing stability. When a participant identifies reunification or reconnection as safe & appropriate, providers may assist with communication, problem-solving, referrals, housing navigation, & coordination with resources in another community. This may include identifying housing & services where a family or support network resides & coordinating with providers in that community to support a successful transition. Where available & allowable, recipients may address practical barriers to reunification. These practices may also occur through Street Outreach, CE, diversion, & problem-solving. When appropriate, staff explore safe alternatives to homelessness, including whether a family member, friend, or other support can provide temporary or permanent housing or other assistance. Participant choice, safety, & informed consent guide these discussions; reunification is not pursued when it would create an unsafe or inappropriate housing situation.

When reunification changes household composition, CoC providers receive technical assistance (TA) from THN regarding HMIS enrollment & client documentation. Providers update household info & recalculate the participant's rent contribution when required. Because the CoC covers 214 counties, resources & practices vary by community. The CoC supports local providers through TA & promotes participant-centered, housing-focused practices that leverage family & support networks.

1C-9	Partnering with Public Housing Agencies—Agreement to Enable Transition to HUD Assisted Housing.		
	Does your CoC have an agreement with at least one public housing agency to enable participants to transition from Transitional Housing, Rapid Re-Housing, and Permanent Supportive Housing to HUD assisted housing?	Yes	

1C-10. Protecting Public Safety—Cooperation with Law Enforcement.

You must upload the Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Protecting Public Safety attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Describe how your CoC cooperates and does not interfere with or impede local efforts to advance the objectives below, and assists first responders in providing services to homeless individuals.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC covers 214 rural & suburban counties & relies on local Housing Crisis Response Systems (HCRS) to coordinate with local governments, law enforcement, first responders, healthcare providers, behavioral health systems, & other public safety partners. The CoC does not interfere with local public safety efforts & provides technical assistance when requested. Local HCRS coordinate responses to encampments, public camping, public use of illicit drugs, & other public safety concerns. The CoC supports these efforts by connecting homeless persons to housing, treatment, recovery, healthcare, & supportive services through Coordinated Entry (CE), Street Outreach, PATH, other community-based programs. Outreach teams may coordinate with law enforcement & first responders to facilitate engagement, referrals, emergency assistance, & connections to housing & treatment.

The CoC requires all CoC Program applicants & recipients to execute the CoC Expectations Form at application & award. The form requires compliance with applicable local, state, & federal laws, including requirements related to public camping, public use of illicit drugs, involuntary commitments, & the Sex Offender Registry & Notification Act (SORNA). Recipients are responsible for implementing these requirements within their programs & communities.

When individuals are identified as using illicit drugs in public spaces or experiencing behavioral health crises, HCRS coordinate with appropriate public safety & service partners to address immediate safety concerns while connecting individuals to treatment, recovery, healthcare, case management, & housing based on individual circumstances. Where legally appropriate, law enforcement & first responders may facilitate connections to emergency or behavioral health services, including involuntary interventions when applicable under state law. The CoC maintains a partnership with Be Well Texas, who provides free referrals to addiction & recovery treatment services. The CoC supports coordination among Local Mental Health Authorities, treatment providers, recovery organizations, healthcare providers, & outreach teams. Per the CoC's HMIS Policies & Procedures, law enforcement officers & other first responders may access HMIS when affiliated with outreach teams in coordination with local governments & consistent with HMIS policy. The CoC supports local public safety efforts while assisting first responders in connecting persons to services & housing.

1C-10a. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Quickly Clear Tents and Encampments.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to quickly clear tents and encampments on public property and connect individuals who are camping in public with appropriate services and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC covers 214 counties & relies on local housing crisis response systems (HCRS) to track & address encampments in coordination w/ their local government, law enforcement, & other public safety resources, & consult with THN (Collaborative Applicant) as needed for support & technical assistance (TA). The State of Texas enforces a statewide ban on public camping (House Bill 1925) that prohibits cities & counties from adopting policies that discourage the enforcement of the camping ban. The CoC requires all applicants to agree to the CoC's Expectations Form (EF) at application & at award. The Recipients to follow all local, state, & federal laws when administering CoC funding, including public camping bans. Therefore, the CoC & its HCRS must abide by TX law that prohibits public camping. Local practices such as Street Outreach (SO), Coordinated Entry (CE), multidisciplinary case conferencing, & collaboration among local governments, law enforcement, & providers support efforts to identify persons in encampments & connect them w/ services. These practices engage individuals before enforcement actions occur & help connect them to shelter, housing, health & behavioral health services.

In 2026 62% of persons were unsheltered in the PIT. The CoC's plan is to continue leveraging CE & SO Programs, & partnerships among local governments, law enforcement, emergency responders, health systems to ensure persons in encampments are identified & connected to services quickly. This helps mitigate challenges associated w/ limited shelter capacity, geographic barriers, & public camping restrictions by emphasizing engagement, resource connection & housing-focused interventions when possible. The CoC's Governance Structure includes a Board of Directors that is representative of its geography & has dedicated seats for Criminal Justice, Local Government & an Elected Public Official. The CoC Board is the approving/authorizing body for CoC policies, & these representatives are leveraged & in the development & authorization of policy.

The 2025 CoC Gap Analysis found that 56 respondents reported regular coordination with law enforcement & 61 reported regular coordination with mental health providers. Feedback also identified transportation barriers, service availability, & housing resource shortages as ongoing challenges in many communities. These findings inform local planning & TA efforts to improve connections between persons in encampments & housing & services

1C-10b. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Tents and Encampments.

Describe the current status of tents and encampments in the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC covers 214 counties & is the 2nd largest CoCs by geographic area. The CoC is predominantly rural & suburban, with significant variation in population, resources, & local housing crisis response system (HCRS) capacity. Given the scale & diversity, the CoC does not maintain a centralized inventory of tents & encampments. Local housing crisis response systems identify & monitor encampments in coordination with local governments, law enforcement, public safety agencies, outreach providers, & other community partners. THN (Collaborative Applicant) provides technical assistance & support as needed. Based on 2026 Point-In-Time Count data where, the CoC estimates there are approximately 133 encampments in the CoC.

Many local systems use Geographic Information Systems (GIS), outreach records, Coordinated Entry outreach processes, and other local data to identify and monitor encampments and unsheltered homelessness. This approach allows communities to respond based on local conditions, available resources, and public safety considerations.

When individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified, outreach providers and local partners engage individuals, assess their needs, complete Coordinated Entry assessments when appropriate, and connect them to available housing and supportive services. Depending on individual needs and local availability, connections may include emergency shelter, temporary or transitional housing, permanent housing, behavioral health services, healthcare, crisis and emergency services, benefits assistance, and other community resources.

Local responses incorporate public safety considerations alongside engagement and connection to services. Outreach providers coordinate with public safety and other community partners to address immediate health and safety concerns while identifying opportunities to connect individuals with appropriate housing and services. The TX BoS CoC supports these efforts through technical assistance, system coordination, and resources that strengthen local housing crisis response.

1C-10c. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Decrease the Public Use of Illicit Drugs.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to decrease the public use of illicit drugs and quickly connect individuals who are using illicit drug in public with appropriate services and/or law enforcement and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has identified state laws & local practices that support & challenge efforts to reduce public use of illicit drugs & quickly connect individuals experiencing homelessness to services. Beneficial policies include Texas Health & Safety Code §481.115(g)-(i), which provides a defense to prosecution in specified overdose situations when emergency medical assistance is sought, & Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Art. 14.031, which permits officers, in certain circumstances, to release individuals to treatment or supervised sobering facilities rather than arrest for public intoxication. These provisions support diversion to treatment rather than reliance on enforcement alone.

Limited treatment capacity, transportation barriers, provider shortages, & geographic distance can delay access, especially in rural areas. The 2025 Gap Analysis Survey identified substance use treatment as a frequent service gap. Providers identified limited treatment availability, transportation, & crisis-response capacity as barriers.

The CoC will leverage beneficial policies through local Housing Crisis Response Systems (HCRS) that coordinate housing providers, behavioral health, healthcare, LHCs, recovery organizations, law enforcement, & first responders. Through Coordinated Entry (CE), Street Outreach, shelter, housing programs, & other interventions, communities will use diversion, referrals, warm handoffs, & service coordination to connect individuals using illicit drugs in public spaces with treatment, recovery, healthcare, housing, or public safety responses.

To mitigate service shortages, the CoC will provide technical assistance, strengthen referral pathways, support cross-system coordination, & identify local gaps through provider feedback & local analysis. The CoC will leverage Be Well Texas for addiction & recovery referrals through virtual & in-person services. Where treatment capacity is limited, communities will use available outreach, crisis, recovery, healthcare, & housing resources to maintain engagement while pursuing connections.

The CoC serves 214 counties, primarily rural. The 2025 Gap Analysis Survey identified substance use treatment as a significant service gap; 61 respondents reported consistent partnerships with mental or behavioral health providers, & 56 reported regular coordination with law enforcement. Providers also identified treatment access, crisis-response coordination, transportation, & recovery supports as continuing needs.

1C-10d. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Overdoses and Illicit Drug Use in Public Spaces.

Describe the current status of overdoses and illicit drug use in public spaces in the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC serves 214 counties across a large, predominantly rural & suburban geographic area. The prevalence of overdoses & illicit drug use in public spaces varies considerably among communities. Because the CoC does not maintain a systemwide dataset tracking public drug use incidents or overdoses, it relies on multiple sources of information, including state surveillance data, provider feedback, local Housing Crisis Response Systems (HCRS), outreach teams, healthcare & behavioral health partners, Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs), & law enforcement agencies to assess local conditions & emerging trends.

State data indicate that drug poisoning remains a significant public health concern. The Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) reports that drug poisoning deaths increased by 68% between 2019 & 2024. Although Texas experienced an 8.9% decrease in fatal drug poisonings from 2023 to 2024, DSHS continues to characterize the situation as a statewide drug poisoning epidemic. Fentanyl has become a major contributor to overdose mortality, accounting for 44.6% of fatal drug poisonings in 2022.

Within the CoC, the 2025 Gap Analysis Survey identified substance use treatment as one of the most significant service gaps reported by providers. Respondents cited limited treatment availability, transportation barriers, provider shortages, & inadequate crisis response capacity as persistent challenges, particularly in rural communities. These barriers can prolong the time individuals remain without access to treatment, recovery supports, & other critical services.

Local coordination is a key component of the CoC's response. The 2025 Gap Analysis Survey found that 61 respondents reported consistent partnerships with mental & behavioral health providers, while 56 reported regular coordination with law enforcement agencies. Providers also identified increased treatment access, stronger crisis response coordination, expanded transportation options, & enhanced recovery supports as ongoing community needs.

These findings demonstrate that illicit drug use & overdose remain ongoing concerns throughout the CoC, although conditions & service capacity vary by community. The CoC will continue leveraging local HCRS, Coordinated Entry (CE), outreach teams, healthcare providers, behavioral health & recovery partners, housing organizations, & public safety agencies to identify emerging needs, strengthen community responses, & connect individuals to appropriate services

1C-10e. Protecting Public Safety—Standards to Address Danger to Self or Others.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to utilize standards that address homeless individuals who are a danger to themselves or others (e.g., involuntary commitment) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC relies on mental health (MH) crisis systems, health providers, Local Mental Health Authorities (LMHAs), & law enforcement agencies to respond when an individual may be a danger to themselves or others. A key policy supporting these efforts is Texas Health & Safety Code Chapter 573, which authorizes emergency detention when a person with mental illness presents a substantial risk of serious harm to themselves or others & requires evaluation in an appropriate MH setting rather than jail except in limited circumstances. Local practices that strengthen implementation include collabo MH crises can be connected to crisis assessment, stabilization services, hospitalization when necessary, treatment, recovery supports, & housing. These practices promote public safety while reducing unnecessary criminal justice involvement. The CoC has identified barriers that limit timely intervention across its 214 county area. The 2025 Gap Analysis Survey identified MH care as one of most significant service gaps affecting homeless persons. Providers reported challenges related to workforce shortages, transportation, insufficient crisis-response capacity, long travel distances to treatment facilities, & limited service availability in many communities. These conditions can delay access to appropriate care for persons in a MH crisis, increasing risks to both the individual & the community. To leverage beneficial policies while overcoming restrictive conditions, the CoC will continue coordination among LMHAs, health systems, crisis-response providers, housing services, outreach teams, recovery providers, law enforcement, & Local Homeless Coalitions. The CoC will support referral pathways, case conferencing, & training on available crisis resources to improve identification of individuals needing urgent intervention. The CoC will use CE, outreach, & local housing systems to connect persons to treatment, recovery supports, healthcare, & housing quickly following a crisis. Quantitative & qualitative data support these efforts. The 2025 Gap Analysis found that 61 respondents reported consistent partnerships with MH providers & 56 reported regular coordination w/ law enforcement agencies. Providers identified increased MH service capacity, stronger crisis-response coordination, transportation, & expanded treatment access as critical community needs. These findings demonstrate existing collaboration & ongoing system barriers, guiding the CoC's effort to improve crisis response.

1C-10f. Protecting Public Safety—Share Information in Accordance with SORNA.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to comprehensively share information, including location information, in accordance with the Sex Offender Registry and Notification Act (SORNA) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Across the CoC's 214-county geography, housing and service providers operate within state and federal requirements related to sex offender registration and notification. One policy promoting public access to information is Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Chapter 62, which institutes the state's Sex Offender Registration Program and public registry administered by the Texas Department of Public Safety. These structures provide publicly available information that the CoC, communities and providers may use when navigating program requirements, eligibility determinations, and community safety considerations.

While the availability of registry information promotes informed decision-making, communities across the CoC face varying challenges related to housing availability, service capacity, and local resources. In some areas, especially rural communities, limited housing options and program restrictions can create additional barriers for individuals seeking housing and supportive services.

The CoC has not established a separate SORNA-specific initiative. Instead, the CoC relies on existing monitoring, technical assistance, competition processes, provider oversight, and coordination activities to help providers understand and comply with applicable HUD requirements and other relevant laws. The CoC's aim is to leverage existing state and local structures while continuing to incorporate applicable guidance into provider communications, training opportunities, and system planning efforts as needed.

Quantitative and qualitative information contribute to this work. The CoC serves 214 counties and routinely engages providers, Local Homeless Coalitions, and community stakeholders through communications, monitoring, technical assistance, and planning activities. Feedback from communities emphasizes the importance of clear eligibility guidance, provider education, and coordinated problem-solving when serving people experiencing housing barriers. These continual efforts help communities navigate applicable laws and requirements while supporting both housing and public safety.

1C-11. Outreach Strategy.

Describe your CoC's strategy to outreach to all individuals and families experiencing homelessness across your geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses a locally coordinated outreach strategy to reach individuals & families experiencing homelessness, including those not otherwise engaged with homeless services. The CoC relies on 33 Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs) & 23 Coordinated Entry (CE) Regions to coordinate outreach & connections to emergency & crisis services, supportive services, shelter, & temporary & permanent housing.

LHCs bring together local organizations, individuals, & community leaders to coordinate responses to homelessness and advance CoC goals. Texas Homeless Network (THN), the CoC Lead Agency, provides training and targeted technical assistance through a dedicated LHC Coordinator to strengthen local outreach capacity and support implementation of CoC requirements.

The CoC Written Standards of Service Delivery identify outreach as a core component of the local housing crisis response system. Street Outreach services include engagement, assessment, navigation, case management, and care coordination. Local outreach may involve law enforcement, first responders, CE assessors, and other housing and service providers who identify & engage people experiencing unsheltered homelessness & connect them to immediate assistance, mainstream services, & housing opportunities. Engagement is expected to occur where people experiencing homelessness are located, rather than being limited to homeless service facilities, helping reach individuals who may not otherwise seek services.

Local communities supplement ongoing outreach with Homeless Navigation Days, Veteran Stand Down events, & other community-led efforts. The CoC also maintains partnerships with McKinney-Vento Homeless Liaisons in school districts to help identify & connect children and families experiencing homelessness to appropriate resources.

The CoC routinely provides & tracks information & referrals tailored to an individual's or family's location & identified needs. Publicly available resources include information on LHCs & CE Regions & interactive maps identifying available services & community resources, including CoC-, ESG-, & SSVF-funded programs, victim service providers, Councils of Government, & emergency management contacts.

1C-12.	Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Written Agreements with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
--------	---	--

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC or HUD-funded projects in the CoC have written agreements with the listed providers of educational supports and services for children ages 0-5:

		Written Agreement
1.	Child Care (including Child Care and Development Fund)	Yes
2.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes
3.	Early Head Start	No
4.	Home Visiting Program (including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No

5.	Head Start	Yes
6.	Healthy Start	No
7.	Public Pre-K	Yes
8.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
9.	Pediatricians	Yes

1C-12a.	Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Formal Partnerships with Schools and Youth Education Providers.	
---------	---	--

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate the youth education providers your CoC has a formal partnership with:

1.	McKinney-Vento Liaison(s) (including State Education Agency (SEA) or Local Education Agency (LEA))	Yes
2.	School District(s)	Yes
3.	General Education Development (GED) Program(s)	Yes
4.	Community College(s)	Yes
5.	Technical College(s)	Yes
6.	Other Post-Secondary Education Provider(s)	Yes

1C-12b. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Informing Individuals and Families who Become Homeless about Eligibility for Educational Services.

Describe your CoC's written policies and procedures to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THN (Collaborative Applicant) works primarily on the CoC level, while Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs: regional planning groups within the CoC) & Coordinated Entry Planning Entities (CEPEs) work on the local level with persons experiencing homelessness, Local Education Agencies (LEA), school districts & youth education agencies. The CoC's Educational Assurances Policy explicitly states the CoC's commitment to assuring that the education needs of homeless youth & their families are met. The policy includes the McKinney-Vento (M-V) Homeless Education definition & outlines the responsibilities of the CoC, LHCs, service providers & M-V Liaisons to meet students' needs, including identifying students; informing them of their educational rights & assisting them to enroll in, attend & succeed in school; & strategies for doing so.

The CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery (WS) & Coordinated Entry Standards & Policies (CES) require providers to assess participant needs & connect participants with appropriate community resources. The WS requires all homeless assistance projects in the CoC to follow "Essential Elements," one being the M-V regulations regarding the education of homeless children/youth. The WS also state that projects should provide &/or connect participants with employment services &/or education programs, such as GED classes, vocational school, community college, etc. The WS identify lack of a high school degree/GED as a barrier to employment and encourage connections to educational opportunities.

CoC Program projects serving homeless children/youth have education-focused staff who follow CoC policies, LHC policies, LEA procedures & agency procedures to identify students, inform them & their families about educational rights, assist them to enroll in & attend school & support their educational success. LHCs, CEPEs, M-V Liaisons & service providers use MOUs & data-sharing agreements for referrals & access to M-V support.

CoC MOUs with LEAs outline how the CoC & LEAs share info on resources & funded projects, including planning meetings, cross trainings & sharing info about available resources. The MOUs also support data sharing, such as PIT & HIC data & info regarding homeless students in each district & region. The goal is to ensure students are aware of their educational rights & are connected to resources. THN maintains an MOU compliance tracking document & CoC staff attend regional M-V Liaison meetings to foster collaboration.

1C-12c. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Foster Care or Child Welfare Services.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with foster care or child welfare services to assist youth transitioning from public systems of care.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with child welfare agencies, foster care providers, youth-serving organizations, Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), education partners, workforce systems, & other community stakeholders to support youth transitioning from public systems of care. These efforts focus on preventing homelessness, increasing access to housing resources, & strengthening connections to services that support long-term stability.

The CoC maintains ongoing collaboration with the TX Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS), the State Youth Housing Specialist, & PHAs administering Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) & Family Unification Program (FUP) vouchers. CoC staff engage PHAs across the CoC's 214-county area to identify barriers to voucher utilization, improve referrals, & strengthen coordination among housing providers, child welfare agencies, & youth-serving organizations. These efforts help connect youth exiting foster care to housing resources before they become homeless. The CoC strengthens partnerships with systems serving transition-age youth & families. Recent partnership development efforts included coordination with the TX Head Start Collaboration Office, the TX Education for Homeless Children and Youth (TEHCY) Program, & statewide home-visiting networks, including Texas Parents as Teachers & the TX Prevention Network. These partnerships increase awareness of homelessness resources, improve referral pathways, & expand opportunities for youth and families to access supportive services before housing crises occur.

Local coordination occurs through Housing Crisis Response Systems (HCRS), Coordinated Entry (CE), Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs), community meetings, referral partnerships, & youth-serving programs. Through these collaborations, youth transitioning from foster care can be connected to housing assistance, case management, mental health services, educational supports, employment resources, & other critical services. CoC partnership-building efforts have also increased connections between homelessness providers & workforce, education, & family-support systems, helping communities identify service gaps & strengthen responses for youth. In 2026, the CoC established a Youth Action Board (YAB) and added a YAB representative to the CoC Board to ensure youth w/ lived experience inform decision-making & system improvement. CoC staff collaborate with youth-focused stakeholders to improve youth-serving practices, including CE processes.

1C-12d. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with RHY-funded providers including Street Outreach Programs, Basic Center Programs, Transitional Living Programs, and Maternity Group Homes where such providers exist.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC coordinates with multiple RHY-funded providers, that administer Street Outreach, Basic Center, and Transitional Living. A primary point of ongoing coordination is through the CoC's HMIS, which provides regular opportunities for THN, as the HMIS Lead, to communicate directly with RHY-funded providers.

THN works with RHY providers to ensure they understand and implement applicable HMIS data collection, data entry, data quality, privacy, and reporting requirements. RHY provider staff receive HMIS training and technical assistance and have access to ongoing support from the HMIS team. This regular communication helps providers maintain timely & accurate data while ensuring that RHY-specific program requirements are appropriately reflected in HMIS practices.

HMIS coordination also provides an important connection between RHY providers and the broader homeless response system. Through training, technical assistance, reporting support, and ongoing communication, THN shares best practices & help RHY providers understand how their programs intersect with CE, street outreach, housing programs, & other resources available to youth and young adults experiencing homelessness.

The CoC also uses its relationships with RHY providers to promote effective practices for serving youth experiencing homelessness, including appropriate referrals and connections to housing and supportive services. Because the CoC covers 214 counties with significant differences in available youth-specific resources, maintaining regular communication with RHY providers helps strengthen local coordination and ensures these specialized providers remain connected to the larger CoC system.

Through ongoing HMIS engagement, technical assistance, reporting support, and system coordination, the CoC maintains active relationships with RHY-funded providers & supports their ability to meet federal requirements while improving coordination and services for youth experiencing homelessness.

1C-13. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—TH or RRH Project Dedicated to Serving Families with Children.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Transitional Housing (TH) project in the CoC that primarily or exclusively serves families with children experiencing homelessness in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b).

(limit 500 characters)

GG Rapid Rehousing FY2024, operated by Grace Like Rain, is a Rapid Rehousing project that primarily serves families with children experiencing homelessness in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b).

1C-13a. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Supportive Services to Improve Income to Pay Rent.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 provides supportive services focused on improving incomes to pay rent, such as workforce development, job training, or registered apprenticeship programs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

GG Rapid Rehousing FY2024 helps families increase earned & unearned income, address employment barriers, & improve their ability to pay rent & maintain housing after assistance ends. At intake, participants complete a service needs assessment. Case managers (CM) use the results to develop an individualized service plan addressing employment, income, education, childcare, transportation, benefits, & housing stability. Each participant is expected to engage in at least 20 hours per week of services, activities, or employment, except participants over age 62 and individuals with disabilities, as permitted by the NOFO.

Two dedicated CM provide ongoing case management, monitor progress, help families resolve barriers, & coordinate services. An employment specialist provides employment-readiness & job-placement support, including employment assessments, individualized planning, job-search assistance, résumé development, interview preparation, and connections to employers and workforce partners. These services help participants prepare for, secure, and retain employment and pursue increased wages, work hours, & long-term earning potential.

The project addresses barriers that can prevent parents from participating in employment, training, or education. Childcare, transportation, & education supports are funded through CDBG Human Services and coordinated according to each family's needs. These resources support consistent participation in job searches, workforce services, education, training, employment, & other income-building activities.

Participants receive life-skills programming through Giving Grace's THCI-funded curriculum & financial literacy services through the Rise and Thrive program. Services support budgeting, money management, credit improvement, & planning for ongoing housing expenses. CMs help participants apply these skills to household budgets, understand rental obligations, and prepare to assume a greater share of rent as RRH assistance decreases.

At intake & annually, CMs screen every participant for mainstream benefits and resources, including Medicaid, SNAP, SSI/SSDI, and workforce services. Staff help eligible participants access benefits and referrals that can increase household resources and reduce essential expenses. Together, these coordinated services help families increase income, improve financial stability, pay rent, & sustain permanent housing. The CoC evaluates all CoC-funded projects on increased income quarterly.

1C-13b. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Leveraging Funding from Mainstream Family Service Systems.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 leverages funding from mainstream family service systems, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF).

(limit 2,500 characters)

GG Rapid Rehousing FY2024 leverages federal, state, local, & private resources to supplement CoC housing assistance for families with children. At intake & throughout participation, case managers (CM) screen families for mainstream benefits & assist with applications, documentation, referrals, & follow-up. This connects families to eligible resources while preserving CoC funds for housing & service costs.

CMs assist families in applying for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) & Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits. TANF can provide eligible families with cash assistance & employment supports, while SNAP helps meet food costs. These programs preserve household resources for rent, utilities, transportation, & essentials. Staff also help families access Medicaid, CHIP, & WIC, reducing healthcare & nutrition expenses for parents, pregnant participants, infants, & children.

Giving Grace provides SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, & Recovery (SOAR) assistance for eligible adults and children. Four SOAR-trained staff identify potentially eligible household members & assist with federal disability benefit applications. Staff help collect medical & functional documentation, coordinate with healthcare providers & benefit agencies, & follow applications through the determination process. Access to SSI or SSDI can provide ongoing income & healthcare coverage, strengthening a family's ability to maintain housing.

The project leverages TX Child Care Services subsidies to help eligible parents obtain affordable childcare. CMs assist with applications & provider referrals. While families await subsidized care, City of Denton CDBG Human Services funding may cover childcare & after-school care so parents can work, seek employment, attend school or training, & participate in services.

The TX Health Community Impact grant supports maternal & family health services & helps cover transportation, childcare, & meals for Life Skills classes and healthcare access. Denton ISD and its McKinney-Vento program provide school enrollment assistance, transportation, free meals, tutoring, special education, early childhood education, & related supports. Through benefit assistance, grants, and partnerships, the project combines CoC housing assistance with mainstream resources that increase income, reduce household expenses, remove employment barriers, & support long-term housing stability. The CoC evaluates all CoC-funded projects on increased income quarterly

1C-13c. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Combining Housing Assistance with Parental Support.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 combines housing assistance with childcare, parenting support, pregnancy-related and child healthcare, and education.

(limit 2,500 characters)

GG Rapid Rehousing FY2024 combines rental assistance & case management with services for parents and children. At intake, case managers assess family needs and incorporate childcare, parenting, healthcare, education, transportation, & benefit enrollment into the service plan. Case managers provide coordination & home visits to monitor progress, address barriers, connect families to partners, & support housing stability.

Parenting support includes a required Parenting Life Skills class, coaching from case managers and Grace Coaches, & home visits. Through an MOU with The Family Place, families can also access family counseling and support groups. These services help parents strengthen parenting skills, address family needs, and maintain a stable home.

Case managers assist pregnant participants & families with children in applying for Medicaid/CHIP and other benefits. They connect pregnant participants to WIC, prenatal & postpartum care, OB-GYN providers, & maternal health services supported through the Texas Health Community Impact grant. Children are connected to pediatric care, well-child visits, immunizations, and dental, vision, and behavioral healthcare. Health Services of North Texas provides primary care, pediatrics, and women's health. Grant-funded transportation helps families attend appointments.

Case managers help parents enroll school-age children and coordinate with the Denton ISD School Social Worker and McKinney-Vento liaison. Families receive help with immediate enrollment, school-of-origin decisions, bus transportation, free meals, tutoring, special education, and comparable services. School supplies, backpacks, uniforms, or technology may be obtained through district fairs & donations. Younger children are connected to Head Start, Early Head Start, or free public prekindergarten.

The project helps parents apply for subsidized childcare through Texas Child Care Services & connects families to providers. While families await subsidized care, CDBG Human Services funding may cover childcare & after-school care so parents can work, attend school, seek employment, or participate in required services. Childcare, transportation, and meals are also available during Life Skills classes through the Texas Health Community Impact grant. Coordinating these supports with RRH assistance reduces barriers to employment and services, promotes child well-being and school stability, & helps families sustain permanent housing.

1C-14. Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations—Partnership with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or Veteran Serving Organization.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the Department of Veterans Affairs or other Veteran Serving Organizations.

(limit 500 characters)

THN (Collaborative Applicant) maintains a strong, collaborative partnership with the Texas Veterans Commission, the state department for Veteran Affairs. Through this partnership, TVC provides referrals to homeless & housing resources in the CoC for Veterans experiencing or at-risk of homelessness. Referral sources include, but are not limited to, Coordinated Entry participating agencies, Local Homeless Coalitions, Emergency Shelters, and transitional and permanent housing programs.

nbsp;

1C-14a	Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations.	
	Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether the partnership(s) identified in 1C-14 does the following:	

1.	Refers veterans identified by the CoC to VA or other Veteran Serving Organizations for assistance?	Yes
2.	Coordinates the provision of emergency shelter, supportive services, and housing for veterans?	Yes
3.	Ensures access to a full spectrum of employment and career pathway opportunities?	Yes
4.	Shares data with the Department of Veterans Affairs as appropriate?	Yes
5.	Identifies service gaps for veterans?	Yes
6.	Fills service gaps for veterans?	Yes

1C-15. Collaboration with Organizations who Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has with victim service providers, state domestic violence coalitions, state sexual assault coalitions, anti-trafficking service providers or other organizations who help provide shelter, housing, and services to individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC frequently collaborates with Texas Council on Family Violence, Texas Association Against Sexual Assault, local victim service providers (VSP), & survivor-serving organizations, drawing from their expertise to inform CoC policies, Coordinated Entry practices, project evaluation criteria, VAWA implementation, & Emergency Transfer Plan updates. This collaboration also supports training, VSP engagement, and improvements to housing & service availability for survivors

1C-16. Collaboration with Justice System Re-entry Partners.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has to prevent homelessness among people transitioning from prisons, jails, or court-ordered programs.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC maintains a partnership with the Texas Juvenile Justice Department, the Hays County Mental Health Court, and the Hays County DWI and Drug Court for resource, referral, & information sharing. Additionally, in the 2026 the CoC conducted outreach to 29 Specialty Courts within the CoC & provided information about available services and programs to support the prevention of homelessness among people transitioning from jails, prisons, or court ordered programs.

1C-17. Collaboration with Partners to Address High Utilizers of Healthcare Systems.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to provide specialized supportive services for homeless individuals with a high level of medical needs.

(limit 500 characters)

The CoC partners with Denton County MHMR to connect people experiencing homelessness with high behavioral health needs to specialized mental health services, including assessment and medication management. The CoC also uses THDSN, its statewide HMIS data warehouse, & is developing a partnership with UnitedHealthcare to identify high utilizers across homelessness & healthcare systems and connect them to housing & supportive services.

1C-18. Collaboration with Partners to Address the Needs of Aging and Elderly Individuals Experiencing Homelessness.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to serve aging and elderly individuals experiencing homelessness, such as with a provider of residential care, assisted living, or medical respite services.

(limit 500 characters)

In 2026, the CoC conducted outreach to 25 Area Agencies on Aging, 29 Centers for Independent Living, and 9 Recovery Oriented Systems of Care, where outreach centered on connecting these agencies to the 33 Local Homeless Coalitions and 23 Coordinated Entry Regions. Specific partnerships include the Brazoria County Center of Independent Living which is documented through a formal Memorandum of Understanding with THN as the Collaborative Applicant to the CoC.

1C-19. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Project that Prioritizes Individuals Experiencing Chronic Homelessness.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) project that prioritizes individuals experiencing chronic homelessness.

(limit 500 characters)

Connections PSH FY24, operated by Denton County MHMR, is a Permanent Supportive Housing project that prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b)

1C-19a. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—On-site Behavioral Healthcare.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 provides on-site behavioral healthcare.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Connections PSH FY24, operated by Denton County MHMR, provides on-site behavioral healthcare to participants living in scattered-site permanent supportive housing. As the Local Mental Health Authority & Local Behavioral Health Authority, Denton County MHMR integrates housing services with its behavioral health system rather than relying solely on external referrals. Because participants live in scattered-site units, on-site services are delivered in participants' homes through staff visits & secure telehealth, based on need.

Participants can receive telehealth counseling, behavioral health screenings & assessments, & psychiatric services from their PSH-assisted units. Project & behavioral health staff can also conduct screenings, assessments, & services during home visits as needed. Home-based services reduce barriers related to transportation, mobility, health conditions, & behavioral health symptoms that may make clinic attendance difficult. Services are available as needed & coordinated according to each participant's needs, preferences, treatment recommendations, & housing stability goals.

Denton County MHMR directly provides mental health counseling, psychiatric care, substance use treatment, behavioral health screenings and assessments, & telehealth. When a participant needs in-person clinical treatment or services that cannot be provided in the home, staff connect the participant to the Denton County MHMR mental health center. Because the PSH project & clinical services operate within the same system, participants can move between home-based, telehealth, & clinic-based services with coordinated follow-up.

PSH staff incorporate behavioral health access into housing stability planning. Staff identify emerging needs, help participants schedule services, support telehealth access, coordinate home visits, address transportation or technology barriers, and facilitate connections to counseling, psychiatry, substance use treatment, & other needed services. With participant authorization & consistent with privacy requirements, housing & behavioral health staff coordinate follow-up to support continuity of care.

This approach brings behavioral healthcare to participants where they live while maintaining access to the LMHA/LBHA's clinical continuum. It supports treatment engagement, early identification of changing needs, behavioral health stabilization, and continued tenancy for individuals experiencing chronic homelessness.

1C-19b. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Need for Higher Level of Care.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant need for higher level of care (i.e., assisted living, residential treatment).

(limit 2,500 characters)

Connections PSH FY24, operated by Denton County MHMR, has an established process for assessing whether participants need a higher level of care. At project entry, case managers assess each participant's housing, behavioral health, physical health, functional, & service needs & incorporate them into the Housing Stability Plan. Needs are reassessed at plan updates & when concerns arise.

Case managers monitor for changes indicating that a participant may need more support than scattered-site PSH can provide, including cognitive or functional decline, difficulty completing activities of daily living, safety concerns, or a need for increased supervision. When a concern is identified, the case manager discusses it with the participant, gathers relevant information, & refers the participant for further assessment by a clinician, nurse, psychiatrist, or interdisciplinary team.

The provider reviews the participant's behavioral & physical health needs, cognitive & functional status, ability to complete daily activities, safety risks, & required level of supervision. The assessment determines whether the participant can remain safely housed with additional community-based services or needs a higher level of care. Options include assisted living, a nursing facility, residential behavioral health treatment, crisis stabilization, residential substance use treatment, or inpatient psychiatric care.

Participants are actively involved in assessment, decision-making, & transition planning. Staff discuss available options and consider the participant's preferences, assessed needs, safety, & treatment recommendations when identifying an appropriate setting. Protected information is shared only with the participant's authorization & in accordance with privacy requirements.

When a higher level of care is recommended, the case manager provides a warm handoff & coordinates the transition rather than only providing referral information. Staff contact the receiving provider directly, help complete applications and admission requirements, send relevant records with the participant's authorization, arrange transportation, & coordinate with the provider or interdisciplinary team to reduce delays and maintain continuity of care. This process identifies changing needs early, determines whether supports can safely maintain the participant in PSH, & arranges appropriate higher-level care when needed while preserving participant choice and housing stability.

1C-19c. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Readiness for Moving On.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant readiness for moving on to unsubsidized or other permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Connections PSH FY24, operated by Denton County MHMR, has an established process & policy for assessing whether participants are ready to move from PSH to unsubsidized or other permanent housing. The case manager completes the assessment during annual recertification & may reassess readiness at any time based on professional judgment, changed circumstances, or the participant's interest in another housing option.

The assessment is individualized & participant-led. The case manager reviews the participant's interest & choice, ability to pay rent & utilities, activities of daily living, psychological & physical health stability, natural & community supports, & ongoing need for intensive PSH services. Staff consider whether the participant can sustain another permanent housing option without increasing the risk of housing instability or a return to homelessness. Readiness does not require the absence of a disability or behavioral health symptoms; staff evaluates whether the participant can maintain housing with available income, benefits, services, and supports.

When a participant is interested and assessed as ready, the case manager discusses permanent housing options that fit the participant's preferences, needs, and finances. Options may include unsubsidized market-rate housing, a Housing Choice Voucher, public housing, project-based voucher housing, other affordable or subsidized permanent housing, family reunification, or shared housing. Staff help the participant compare options & identify an affordable, accessible, & sustainable destination.

The case manager develops a transition or discharge plan with the participant & provides housing search assistance; helps complete applications and join waiting lists; gathers documentation; communicates with landlords & housing providers; arranges transportation; & coordinates the transfer of benefits and services. Staff connect the participant to new providers when needed & coordinate continued access to Denton County MHMR or other community-based behavioral healthcare after PSH exit.

Moving On is voluntary. Participants are not pressured to leave PSH because they appear capable of greater independence, & planning centers participant choice. Staff confirm that housing, income, healthcare, behavioral health services, & natural or community supports are in place before the move. This process supports voluntary, well-planned transitions to permanent housing that participants can sustain.

1D. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question along with the required attachment as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
-------	---	--

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate how your CoC reviewed, rated, and ranked project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 50 percent of the total available points were based on objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications.	Yes
3.	At least 25 percent of the total available points were based on system performance measures to review, select and rate project applications.	Yes
4.	Considered returns to homelessness in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
5.	Considered employment income in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
6.	Considered supportive service participation requirements in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes

1D-2.	New Proposals.	
	Does your CoC invite new proposals from entities that have not previously received funding, if such eligible entities exist?	Yes

1D-2a.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application Before the CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC posted all parts of the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application, and 2. Project Priority Listings.	09/26/2026
--	---	------------

1D-2b.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on the Website.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/26/2026
--	---	------------

1D-2c.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names, 2. Project Scores, 3. Project Rank, 4. Project Status—Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated, 5. Amount Requested from HUD, and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1D-2d.	Projects Rejected/Reduced—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
--------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	If you selected 'Yes' for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps.	09/22/2026
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes

1D-2e.	Projects Accepted—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps.	09/22/2026
--	---	------------

1D-3.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
-------	--	--

	Describe:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process to reallocate from lower performing projects to create new high performing projects, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed,
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year,
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1-The CoC maintains formal Reallocation Policy (RP), Conditional Inclusion Policy (CIP), & Monitoring Strategy that are used to identify and take action on projects requiring additional review or consideration for reallocation. Per the CIP, renewal projects that do not score at least 70% of the score of the highest-scoring project of that component type may be subject to a Quality Review Plan (QRP). The recipient has two competition cycles to demonstrate improvement. If a project is subject to the CIP for two competition cycles, that project is subject to review by the CoC Board to determine whether the project should be reallocated in part or in whole. This review considers a variety of factors, including participation in the QRP, monitoring outcomes, performance trends, housing & income outcomes, capacity concerns, and whether there has been demonstrable improvement, stabilization, or continued decline. The RP also considers spending patterns; projects that spend less than 90% of awarded funds for two consecutive grant terms may be brought before the Board for possible reallocation. The CoC Board may also consider reallocation when strategically beneficial to better align resources with community need & CoC priorities. The CoC also offer recipients the opportunity to self-select for Voluntary Reallocation.

2-During the FY26 local competition process, the CoC identified projects for further review under its RP & CIP. Three projects were subject to Spending Analysis Review & one project was subject to Spending & Performance Analysis Review under the CIP. Following review, the CoC Board determined that involuntary reallocation was not warranted due to demonstrated improvement, extenuating circumstances, recipient capacity-building efforts, & community considerations. In addition, the CoC received & approved three voluntary reallocation requests from project recipients.

3-The CoC fully reallocated three projects during the FY26 competition process through voluntary reallocation requests approved by the CoC Board. Voluntary reallocation allowed the CoC to redirect existing CoC resources based on organizational and programmatic considerations while making funding available for new project proposals through the CoC's local competition process. The CoC views voluntary reallocation as an important tool for supporting strategic project transitions and responding to evolving community needs.

4-Not applicable.

1D-3a.	Reallocation Between FY 2021 and FY 2026.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2021 and FY 2026?	Yes
--	--	-----

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.(limit 75 characters)	CaseWorthy- Client Track
--	---	--------------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

2A-3.	PIT Count—Methodology Change.	
-------	-------------------------------	--

	Describe:
1.	any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2025 and 2026, if applicable, and
2.	how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC did not make a fundamental change to its PIT methodology but implemented strategies to improve coverage, participation, and data quality across 214-counties. The CoC continued year-round engagement with Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs), shelters, & community partners to maintain awareness of the PIT Count as an annual activity and strengthen local participation.

For the 2026 Count, the CoC expanded outreach by working with local PIT leads to maintain current contact information for shelters & conducting direct follow-up to support completion of PIT surveys. THN also conducted in-person PIT trainings in several communities for homeless service providers & participants outside the traditional homeless response system, strengthening local understanding of PIT requirements and implementation.

Unsheltered count coverage also improved. Volunteer recruitment & turnout increased, & clearer weather conditions supported stronger participation & broader coverage compared with 2025. Communities in the northern Panhandle expanded counting activities into areas that had received less coverage in previous years.

2. The CoC observed increases in both sheltered surveys & the number of unsheltered individuals identified in 2026. The CoC believes the slight increase in sheltered surveys was influenced by stronger shelter outreach, sustained engagement, training, broader coverage, & improved volunteer participation. For the unsheltered count, increased volunteer turnout allowed communities to reach more locations & individuals than in 2025. Expanded counting in the northern Panhandle also generated substantially more data from smaller-population counties than had previously been available.

Because the TX BoS CoC uses a non-random sample plus extrapolation methodology, collecting additional data in these smaller counties improved the information available to estimate unsheltered homelessness in areas where limited data had previously been available & affected the CoC-wide extrapolated result.

Accordingly, the increase in the 2026 PIT Count should be interpreted in the context of both the number of people identified and improvements in volunteer participation, geographic coverage, outreach, and data collection compared with 2025.

2A-4. Reduce Encampments—Actions to Reduce Encampments.

Describe in the field below the actions your CoC took to reduce the number of encampments or the number of people residing in encampments during your evaluation period.

(limit 2,500 characters)

During the evaluation period, the CoC supported local efforts to reduce encampments & the number of people residing in encampments through its 33 Local Homeless Coalitions (LHCs) & 23 Coordinated Entry (CE) Regions. Given the CoC's large, primarily rural & suburban geography, local Housing Crisis Response Systems (HCRS) led direct encampment response in coordination with local governments, outreach providers, law enforcement, public safety agencies, and other community partners.

During the evaluation period, local HCRS took the following actions: 1) Conducted Street Outreach and CE outreach to identify and engage people residing in encampments and other locations not connected to services; 2) Used local outreach records, GIS, and other available data to identify encampment locations, prioritize outreach, and coordinate community response; 3) Completed Coordinated Entry assessments and connected people residing in encampments to available shelter, temporary and permanent housing, supportive services, and mainstream resources; 4) Conducted targeted outreach events, including Homeless Navigation Days, Veteran Stand Down events, and other community-led efforts, to engage people who may not otherwise access homeless services; and, 5) Coordinated with local governments, law enforcement, public safety agencies, & other partners to address health & safety concerns and facilitate connections to appropriate housing and services.

During the same period, the CoC strengthened local capacity through technical assistance & training provided to LHCs & CE Regions. The CoC reinforced expectations for effective Street Outreach through its Written Standards for Service Delivery, which identify engagement, assessment, navigation, case management, & care coordination as core outreach activities and require engagement to occur where people experiencing homelessness are located.

These actions supported communities in identifying people residing in encampments, engaging them in services, and connecting them to available housing and resources. Because encampment response is locally implemented and encampments are not tracked through a centralized CoC-wide system, the CoC relies on local HCRS to document changes in encampment locations and participation and to inform ongoing response strategies.

2A-4a.	Reduce Encampments—Number of Encampments.	
--------	---	--

1. Enter the estimated number of encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	330
2. Enter the reduction in the number of encampments during your evaluation period.	16

2A-4b.	Reduce Encampments—Number of People in Encampments.	
--------	---	--

1. Enter the estimated number of people in encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	836
2. Enter the reduction in the number of people in encampments during your evaluation period.	36

&nbsp;nbsp;

2A-4c.	Reduce Encampments—Plans to Reduce Encampments.
--------	---

If there has been no reduction in encampments or persons in encampments in your CoC, describe the plans your CoC has to participate in efforts to reduce these numbers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

2A-5. Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to decrease the number of individuals and families becoming homeless for the first time.

(limit 2,500 characters)

FY2025 System Performance Measure 5.2 identified 9,288 people entering ES, TH, or PH who had no enrollment in those project types during the previous 24 months, out of 11,386 total entries. The TX BoS CoC recognizes that reducing first-time homelessness requires intervening early, before a housing crisis results in entry into the homeless response system.

The CoC plans to emphasize homelessness prevention, early identification, diversion, and stronger connections to mainstream resources across its 214-county geography. Through CE, Local Homeless Coalitions, homeless service providers, and community partners, the CoC works to identify households at imminent risk of homelessness and connect them to locally available prevention resources. Strategies include rental and utility assistance, eviction prevention, landlord engagement, housing problem-solving/diversion, mediation, and connections to income, employment, benefits, legal, healthcare, behavioral health, and other supports that can help households maintain or obtain housing without entering homelessness.

Because prevention resources vary substantially across the CoC's rural, suburban, and urban communities, the CoC will continue strengthening partnerships outside the traditional homeless response system. This includes collaboration with local governments, schools, healthcare and behavioral health systems, public housing authorities, community-based organizations, and other institutions likely to encounter households experiencing housing instability. Earlier referral and coordination can create opportunities to resolve housing crises before households require emergency shelter or other homeless services.

The CoC will also use HMIS, CE, SPM data, PIT data, and the Texas Homeless Data Sharing Network (THDSN) to better understand where people are entering homelessness, identify geographic and population trends, and inform prevention planning. Data will be used to identify gaps, target resources, and assess whether prevention strategies are reducing first-time homelessness.

THN will support communities through training, technical assistance, data analysis, and sharing effective practices. The CoC will monitor SPM 5.2 annually and use the results to refine strategies, strengthen partnerships, and focus resources on preventing housing crises from becoming episodes of homelessness.

2A-6. Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

FY2025 SPM Metric 1.2 shows an average length of time (LOT) homeless of 63.1 days and median of 24 days for persons in ES and TH based on HMIS entry, exit, and bed-night dates. When prior living situation and time in PH before housing move-in are included, the average is 185.5 days and median is 63 days. The TX BoS CoC will use these measures to target strategies that move individuals and families from homelessness to permanent housing more quickly.

The CoC's year-over-year increase must also be understood in context. In the prior reporting period, one emergency shelter near the Texas/Mexico border served 27,213 people, primarily individuals legally entering the country who generally stayed one night before continuing to their destination. That project wound down during FY2025 and served only 108 people. The loss of more than 27,000 predominantly one-night stays substantially changed both the CoC's SPM universe and LOT calculations. The CoC will continue monitoring Metric 1.2 to distinguish changes in system performance from significant changes in the population and projects represented in HMIS.

To reduce LOT homeless, the CoC will focus on shortening the time between identification, CE, referral, enrollment, and permanent housing placement. THN will work with Local Homeless Coalitions and providers across the CoC's 214 counties to strengthen CE implementation, improve referral coordination, identify process bottlenecks, and promote case management and housing navigation.

Strategies include landlord engagement and recruitment, identifying additional rental opportunities, assisting households with documentation and housing applications, connecting participants to mainstream benefits and income, and coordinating behavioral health, healthcare, transportation, and other services that can delay housing placement when unmet. The CoC will also encourage communities to use case conferencing and By-Name/priority lists where available to identify people experiencing extended homelessness and resolve barriers to housing.

THN will use HMIS and SPM data to identify geographic and project-level trends, monitor time from project enrollment to housing move-in, and target technical assistance to communities experiencing longer housing-placement times. Given the CoC's significant rural geography, this analysis will also help identify barriers such as limited affordable housing, provider capacity, transportation, and long distances to services.

2A-7.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement—Exits to Unsubsidized Housing.
-------	---

Based on HMIS data, what percent of program participants exited from TH/RRH/PSH collectively to unsubsidized housing?	57.60%
---	--------

Describe how you calculated the data for this response.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The official FY 2025 SPM for the TX BoS CoC submission in HDX for Metric 7b.1 shows 23.3% successful exits; this percentage includes Emergency Shelter (ES) exit destinations and does not include Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) exit destinations.

We ran the SPM Measure 7 report for TH, RRH, and PSH for FY 2025, 10/1/24 - 9/30/25. According to this TX BoS CoC HMIS data, 57.6% of program participants who exited TH, RRH, and PSH projects exited to unsubsidized permanent housing. The calculation included 1700 clients who exited from TH and RRH, and 55 clients who exited from PSH projects during the reporting period of FY 2025, 10/1/24 through 9/30/25. From these project types, there were a total of 1755 participant exits.

Within this universe of 1755 participant exits, 1011 participants exited to one of the following HMIS Data Element 3.12 (Exit Destination) responses: Staying or living with family, permanent tenure; Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure; Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy; or Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy. These four destination categories were combined and divided by the total number of TH, RRH, and PSH exits ($1011 \div 1755$), resulting in 57.6% exiting to unsubsidized housing.

2A-8.	Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Date.	
-------	---	--

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count.	01/22/2026
--	---	------------

2A-8a.	HIC and PIT Count Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC submit its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count data in HDX 2.0 by April 30, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes
--	--	-----

2A-8b.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
--------	--	--

You must upload your CoC's FY 2026 HDX Competition Report to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
--	--

	Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 16, 2026, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	---	-----

2A-8c.	System Performance Measures (SPM) Data—HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
--------	---	--

	Did your CoC submit its FY 2025 System Performance Measures data in HDX 2.0 by March 4, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes
--	--	-----

2A-9.	HMIS and Comparable Database Participation—Bed Coverage Rate.	
-------	---	--

Using the FY 2026 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	3,202	1,338	3,150	69.38%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	1,025	367	542	38.94%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	1,419	481	1,757	92.47%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,634	80	330	19.25%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	27	0	27	100.00%

2A-9a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates of 84.99 Percent or Lower for Any Project Type in Question 2A-9.	
--------	--	--

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is 84.99 percent or lower in question 2A-9, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type, and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The FY26 HDX Competition Report shows bed coverage below 85% for ES at 69.38%, TH at 38.94%, and PSH at 19.25%. Over the next 12 months, the TX BoS CoC, will conduct targeted outreach and technical assistance to nonparticipating ES, TH, and PSH providers, prioritizing organizations with the largest numbers of beds outside HMIS.

THN will work with Local Homeless Coalitions, PIT/HIC leads, Data Committee members, and other local partners to identify and engage nonparticipating providers. Outreach will include education on HMIS requirements and the benefits of participation, demonstrations and training on HMIS use, and opportunities for providers to discuss privacy, staffing, cost, technology, and other barriers to participation. THN will offer individualized onboarding and technical assistance and explore financial assistance for HMIS licenses and staff assistance with data entry when cost or administrative capacity prevents participation.

For PSH, the CoC will prioritize outreach to HUD-VASH and other PSH providers because PSH has the lowest coverage rate. THN will continue engagement with VA partners and pursue HUD technical assistance, as appropriate, to address barriers to HUD-VASH participation.

2. THN will use HIC and HMIS data to develop project-type-specific outreach lists identifying ES, TH, and PSH providers and the number of uncovered beds, allowing staff to prioritize providers whose participation would have the greatest effect on coverage. THN staff will coordinate with local partners to make direct contact with organizational leadership and provide follow-up education, demonstrations, onboarding, training, and technical assistance rather than relying solely on general recruitment.

For providers agreeing to participate, THN will support completion of the HMIS New Agency Application and participation agreements, user training, system setup, and ongoing data-quality support. Where appropriate, THN will work with providers to address license costs or data-entry capacity as barriers. Progress will be monitored throughout the year by comparing newly participating beds with HIC/HMIS inventories and adjusting outreach toward remaining high-impact providers.

This targeted approach will focus CoC resources on the ES, TH, and especially PSH providers whose participation can most significantly move each project type toward at least 85% coverage.

3A. Policy Initiatives

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	Supporting Activities within an Opportunity Zone.	
	You must upload the HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Will the proposed activities/projects occur within Opportunity Zone Census Tracts?	Yes
2.	Do you expect to use at least 50% of the proposed activities/projects in Opportunity Zones Census Tracts?	Yes

3A-2	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.
------	---

Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?

No

4A. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the 'Other' attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 1C-1. List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment	Yes	1C-1	09/26/2026
02. 1C-1f. List of CoC Program Projects and Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment	Yes	1c-1f	09/26/2026
03. 1C-3. Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements	Yes	1c-3	09/26/2026
04. 1C-10. Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Public Safety	Yes	1c-10	09/26/2026
05. 1D-1. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	1D-1	09/26/2026

06. 1D-2c. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	1D-2c	09/26/2026
07. 2A-8b. FY 2026 HDX Competition Report	Yes	2a-8b	09/26/2026
08. 3A-1. HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points	No	3A-1	09/26/2026
09. 3A-2a. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
10. 3A-DP. Your CoC's Policy or Statement to Advance Recovery	No	3A-DP	09/26/2026
11. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1C-1

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1c-1f

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1c-3

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1c-10

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-1

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-2c

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2a-8b

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-1

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-DP

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/26/2026
1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation	09/28/2026
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/28/2026
1D. Project Review/Ranking	09/28/2026
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/28/2026
3A. Policy Initiatives	09/28/2026
4A. Attachments Screen	09/26/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

TX-607

1C-1 List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use
Treatment

Agency Name	Project Name	Project type	Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment
Abilene Regional MHMR	Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	Yes
Abilene Regional MHMR	Permanent Supportive Housing Plus	PSH	Yes
Abilene Regional MHMR	Rapid Rehousing	RRH	Yes
Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH	PSH	Yes
The Gulf Coast Center	GCC Permanent Housing	PSH	Yes

TX-607

1C-1f List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use
Treatment

Agency	Project name	Number of Units
Safe Housing Outreach Ministry A Refuge For Battered Women and Children	SHOM DCO FY2026 DC TH Kaufman County	15
Friendship of Women, Inc.	Pathways to Safe Housing	10
The Gulf Coast Center	GCC Permanent Housing	32
Odessa Links	Project Hope FY26	15
Housing Authority of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	10
The Heights of Ellis County Family Resources, Inc	HIVE: Housing-Integrated Victim	15
The Salvation Army – Temple, Tx	The Salvation Army – Temple CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	12
The Salvation Army – Temple, Tx	The Salvation Army – CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	13
Giving Grace	FY26 Transition Grant for RRH	17
Giving Grace	FY26 Transition Grant for PSH	20
Abilene Regional MHMR Center DBA Betty Hardwick Center	Rapid Rehousing	16
Abilene Regional MHMR Center DBA Betty Hardwick Center	BHC Permanent Supportive Housing	15
Abilene Regional MHMR Center DBA Betty Hardwick Center	BHC Permanent Supportive Housing Plus	19
West Central Texas Regional Foundation	New Housing Vision	42
Panhandle Community Service	Panhandle Community Services Transitional Housing 2026	52
Foundation for the Homeless	Transitional Empowerment Program	9
Everybody's Place of Galveston County	The Parish Supportive Housing Community in La Marque, Texas	22
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority TH FY 2026	10
Blanco River Regional Recovery	Housing Stability Services	20
Concho Valley Community Action Agency	CVCAA Rock Rose Continuum of Care Program	23

TX-607

Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements



Rise Program Agreement Form (TH)

(Supportive Services Agreement)

Client Name	
Case Manager Name	
HUD Project Name	Transitional Housing Grant FY 27-28
Program Start Date	
Projected End Date	

1. Coaching and Case Management Agreement (Required)

As a participant in Giving Grace programs, I agree to actively engage with my Case Manager(s) and my assigned Grace Coach in a collaborative effort to achieve personal stability and long-term self-sufficiency. This includes:

- Setting and pursuing meaningful goals.
- Attending all required and recommended life skills classes.
- Participating in budgeting and financial planning.
- Maintaining open and honest communication throughout the process.

This Agreement explains the supportive services offered and the participant's obligations related to services while enrolled in the program. It is issued pursuant to program rules (including 24 CFR 578.75(h) regarding participant engagement in supportive services).

I understand that consistent attendance and full participation, especially in life skills classes, is essential for my success in the program. Failure to comply with these expectations may result in my exit from the program, with the opportunity to reapply after 30 days.

I acknowledge that Giving Grace is offering these services free of charge, motivated by generosity, compassion, and a desire to empower individuals to thrive.

2. Acknowledgment of Supportive Services (Required)

The project will provide or coordinate the following housing-focused services to help participants obtain and retain permanent housing and help participants apply for and maintain benefits and resources (e.g., SSI/SSDI, SNAP, Medicaid/Medicare, TANF, WIOA/Workforce), and will coordinate with FQHCs/BH providers, housing authorities/landlords, and other community partners to support housing stability.

Core Housing Services as directed by Case Manager will include:

- Housing Case Management
- Benefits Access (SSI/SSDI, SNAP, Medicaid/Medicare, TANF)
- Employment & Income Supports (WIOA/Workforce)
- Life Skills courses including Tenancy Skills / Budgeting / Landlord Mediation
- Transportation Supports (bus passes, accompaniment) as needed
- Behavioral Health

Services will be delivered with reasonable accommodations, language access, trauma-informed practices, and flexible scheduling to meet participants' needs.

The Housing Stabilization Form will be updated at least once per month during Case Management meetings.

3. Participant Engagement Requirement (24 CFR 578.75(h))

By signing this Agreement, the participant agrees to the following:

- ☐ To attend Housing Case Management at least one time per month.
- ☐ To participate in Life Skills Class as scheduled.
- ☐ To complete Benefits Screening/Applications (SSI/SSDI/SNAP/Medicaid) with staff assistance.
- ☐ To participate in Employment Navigation/Job Coaching (if job-seeking).

4. 20 Hours/Week of Individualized Services

- Giving Grace will ensure each TH participant is offered 20 hours per week of individualized supportive services consistent with the FY2026 NOFO. If the participant is employed, weekly service hours will be reduced proportionally to reflect work hours.

- Exclusions: Participants who are 62+ or who have a physical or developmental disability (not including SUD alone) are excluded from the 20-hour calculation.
- Documentation: Giving Grace will document weekly offerings and participation (or exemptions) in case notes/service logs.

5. Communication, Scheduling, & Attendance

- Giving Grace will provide a written Service Schedule (days/times/locations or virtual options).
- The participant agrees to keep scheduled appointments or notify staff of conflicts to reschedule.
- Missed contacts will trigger supportive follow-up to re-engage.

6. Monitoring, Progress, & Supportive Responses

Giving Grace Staff will record service contacts and progress in HMIS (or a comparable database for VSPs) consistent with CoC policies.

If engagement lapses, the project will use supportive, non-punitive steps (problem-solving, adjusting cadence/format, accommodations).

For Persistent Non-Engagement:

- Giving Grace will re-engagement outreach and barrier-solving to the best of our ability.
- Make accommodations to case conference to fit clients schedule within Monday – Friday business hours.
- Provide written notice with options to re-engage and appeal.
- As a last resort and when required by program rules/lease/occupancy agreement, the project may take administrative steps consistent with rights, protections, and due process of the client.

7. Participant Rights, Grievances, & Safety

- Rights & Non-Discrimination: Services are provided without discrimination, consistent with Fair Housing and applicable civil-rights laws.
- Grievances/Appeals: Participants may file a grievance about services or staff conduct. The project will provide a written process and respond within 10 business days.
- Safety: The participant and staff will follow safety practices (home-visit protocols, scheduling during daylight where appropriate, etc.).

8. ID Program Agreement (if applicable)

I agree

- Cooperate with the Case Manager(s) throughout the process of obtaining necessary personal documentation.
- Acknowledge that if I do not follow through within **3 months**, participation in the program may be discontinued.
- Authorize the Case Manager(s) of **Giving Grace** to assist me in obtaining the following documents as needed:
 - **A Texas State Identification Card or Texas State Driver's License.**
 - Birth Certificates and Social Security cards for all members of my household.

I understand that I am responsible for my documents once they are released to me.

9. Emergency Hotel Stay Agreement (if applicable)

I understand that hotel stays are temporary and extensions are based on progress toward stability and funding availability.

I agree to:

- Maintain a clean room and allow housekeeping access.
- Adhere to all hotel rules and Giving Grace guidelines, including no smoking, no alcohol or drug use, and no involvement in any criminal activity.
- Participate in daily check-ins with my Case Manager, Monday through Friday.
- Actively pursue employment, housing, and other stability goals.
- Inform my Case Manager of my room number upon check-in.
- Acknowledge that violations, disturbances, or failure to comply may lead to immediate removal, with no further hotel assistance provided.

10. Childcare Assistance Acknowledgment (if applicable)

I agree to:

- Provide a two-week notice if I no longer require childcare services.
- Be actively employed or engaged in approved activities to qualify for assistance.
- Inform my case manager promptly of any changes in employment or circumstances that affect my eligibility.
- Apply for CCS/CCMS and provide proof for the date that I applied. The website to apply – dfwjobs.com/childcare. After you apply, you will receive a notification stating, "Thank You! Your Form has been submitted." Save and email your Case Manager a copy of the documentation.
- Acknowledge that **funding is limited** and may not cover the entire waiting period for long-term childcare assistance.

11. Counseling Services Agreement (if applicable)

I agree to:

- Provide 24-hour notice for any cancellations.
- Acknowledge that missed appointments without prior notice may result in termination of services.
- Acknowledge that if I fail to cancel in advance or arrive late, I am responsible for a \$25 Late or No-Show Fee.
- Acknowledge that if I fail to pay this fee, my counseling services may be suspended until the balance is settled

12. Substance Abuse Treatment Commitment (if applicable)

I agree to:

- Acknowledge that participation in **Substance Abuse Treatment** is part of my service plan if the Case Manager determines it is required.
- Attend all scheduled treatment sessions, including individual and group counseling, as required.
- Adhere to all program guidelines, including **random drug screenings** if mandated.
- Acknowledge that if I am unable to attend a session, I will notify my counselor or case manager as soon as possible.
- Acknowledge that failure to comply with treatment requirements may impact my eligibility for other supportive services.

13. Photo Release Consent (Required)

Giving Grace _____ has _____ does not have (please initial) my permission to use my name and other personal information as well as any photograph to further support their mission of providing assistance to those experiencing or at risk of homelessness. I understand that images may be used in print, online, and social media. This consent may be revoked in writing at any time. No compensation will be provided for such use.

14. General Release of Information (Required)

I authorize Giving Grace to share relevant information with landlords, utility providers, employers, and service partners as needed to provide assistance. This consent can be revoked in writing at any time. I understand that Giving Grace is required by law to disclose information if:

- I am a danger to myself or others.
- there is suspicion of child abuse, neglect, or exploitation.
- there is a legal obligation to disclose information.

15. HMIS Release of Information (Required)

The attached Texas Balance of State Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Release of Information (ROI) is a required document for all clients. This form must be completed and signed as-is to allow secure information sharing with partner agencies.

16. Release of Liability (Required)

I understand that Giving Grace, its staff, volunteers, affiliates, and partner agencies are offering services in good faith to assist me and my household. I agree to release and hold harmless Giving Grace and all associated persons from any claims, damages, or liabilities arising from my participation in the program, including but not limited to assistance with housing, employment, transportation, identification services, counseling, childcare, or other supportive services. I understand that services are provided based on availability and that Giving Grace is not responsible for guaranteeing any particular outcome or service level.

17. No Waiver of Right

Nothing in this Agreement waives any rights afforded to the participant under applicable law, lease/occupancy agreements, or program rules.

Agreement and Signature

By signing this acknowledgment, I confirm that I have read, understood, and agree to comply with all policies related to my participation in the program administered by Giving Grace. I understand that I am expected to actively work toward the stability goals established in collaboration with my Case Manager. I acknowledge that failure to comply with these expectations may result in the loss of services.

Client Signature: _____

Date: _____

Case Manager Signature: _____

Date: _____

TX-607

Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advancing
Protecting Public Safety



CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM APPLICANT EXPECTATIONS

Texas Homeless Network (THN) serves as the Collaborative Applicant and Lead Agency for the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care (TX BoS CoC) and as such is charged by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to operate and administer CoC activities and to analyze CoC Program Applicant performance ([24 CFR Part 578](#)).

To further CoC goals and to clarify expectations, the TX BoS CoC has established CoC Program Recipient responsibilities in this document to be signed annually by an authorized representative of the CoC Program Recipient agency. New project Applicants are also required to complete this document, which commits the Applicant to uphold Recipient responsibilities should funds be awarded. This document must be signed by an authorized representative of the TX BoS CoC and returned to the agency, serving as a formalized understanding of the roles of the CoC.

CoC Program Recipients have a grant agreement with HUD. The CoC Program Recipient is responsible for adhering to all requirements and obligations outlined by HUD in addition to the expectations outlined by the CoC in this document. In the event that CoC Program Recipient responsibilities change, revisions will be made to this certification, and a new signature by an authorized representative of the CoC Program Recipient agency will be required.

EXPECTATIONS

Before applying for renewal or new CoC Program funding, all Project Applicants should read the following items:

- CoC Program Interim Rule ([24 CFR Part 578](#)), as it provides the requirements for implementation and administration of the CoC Program.
- [HUD CoC Program Competition Landing Page](#) (including most recent HUD NOFO)
- [TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies](#)
- [TX BoS CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery](#)
- [TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards \(Version 3.1\)](#)

New Project Applicants are strongly encouraged to complete the following trainings upon award notification from HUD. These trainings will provide an understanding of HUD expectations and project compliance:

- CoC Program Components/Eligible Costs
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/coc-program-components-and-eligible-costs/](https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/coc-program-components-and-eligible-costs/)
- CoC Program Administration
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/training-events/courses/coc-program-grant-administration/](https://www.hudexchange.info/training-events/courses/coc-program-grant-administration/)
- Financial Management Curriculum
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/trainings/financial-management-curriculum/](https://www.hudexchange.info/trainings/financial-management-curriculum/)

HMIS Participation and Coverage

CoC Program Recipients must collect information on persons served and services provided during the grant period and enter this information into the TX BoS CoC's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), ClientTrack.

Victim Service Providers (VSPs) are prohibited from participating in HMIS. VSPs must demonstrate that they have a database comparable to an HMIS and are able to provide aggregate data and HUD-mandated reports from that database upon request (Ex: Osnium). For more information about mandated exclusions from HMIS and whether your Agency is affected, please follow [this link](#) to the HUD Exchange. HUD has also provided a list of Validated comparable database systems at [this link](#).

CoC Program Recipients must also:

- Adhere to the [HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual](#) and User Agreements, including timeliness expectations
- [Designate and utilize an HMIS Agency Admin](#) as required by the Agency Agreement
- Attend Quarterly mandatory HMIS webinars, as scheduled
- Complete quarterly Data Quality Reports (UDQ) to submit by the deadline identified by HMIS Staff
- Make corrections to Data Quality Reports, or other reports, as requested by HMIS staff
- Commit to a process of data quality improvement by running and reviewing Annual Performance Reports (APRs) and Data Quality Reports on a monthly basis.

Consumer Involvement

All Project Recipients & Subrecipients are required to have an individual or individuals with lived experience on the Board of Directors or other equivalent policymaking entity.

Recipients must keep a letter on official letterhead documenting that at least one person currently experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless serves on the Board or other equivalent policy-making entity.

Project Recipients & Subrecipients are encouraged to involve individuals experiencing homelessness and project participants when designing the project and in ongoing project execution.

Project Parameters

Updated 6/11/26

All projects must follow the [TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies](#) and the [TX BoS CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery](#) for the project type. Recipients are expected to maintain Policies and Procedure manuals that detail project eligibility determination, service delivery, federal compliance, best principles and practices for serving persons experiencing homelessness, and project policies in line with the TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and the TX BoS CoC Written Standards.

Alignment with the CoC Program Competition Priorities

The CoC Program Competition Priorities represent components of a strategy to address unsheltered homelessness that are broadly applicable to a community's efforts to address unsheltered homelessness and meet the needs of people with severe service needs. These priorities reflect essential strategies and components of projects targeted to people experiencing homelessness. All projects must align with and work to advance one or more priorities identified in the [CoC Program Competition Priorities](#) document.

Coordinated Entry

Participation in a Coordinated Entry (CE) process is a requirement of [24 CFR Part 578](#) for all Recipients of CoC Program funds (see [TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards](#)). Communities with CoC Program Recipients must implement CE according to the TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards, and CoC Program Recipients must participate in the implementation of a local system.

In Communities where a local Coordinated Entry System does not exist, CoC Funded Agencies are looked to as leaders in the implementation. If a project is awarded in a region without a CE Process, it is expected that the awarded agency will work towards implementation prior to contract execution and/or the negotiated Operational Start Date.

Questions about participation in Coordinated Entry should be directed to the TX BoS CoC at CE@thn.org.

General Recipient Performance & Expectation

- Recipients must receive approval from the TX BoS CoC prior to requesting a grant extension from HUD.
- Recipients must receive approval from the TX BoS CoC prior to requesting a significant change from HUD, including proposals for: grant transfer, change in sub-recipients, change of project site, addition or elimination of eligible costs for a project, change in subpopulation, reduction in the number of units funded, and/or any budget changes greater than 10% of the budget line being reduced.
- Recipients are strongly encouraged to participate in a Quality Review Plan (QRP), Corrective Action Plan (CAP), or HUD Technical Assistance (TA)-led assistance if mandated by the TX BoS CoC and/or HUD. Recipients who are conditionally included for involuntary reallocation consideration may increase the likelihood of being fully reallocated if they choose not to participate in available TA opportunities. Please review the Conditional Inclusion Policy and Reallocation Policy in the [TX BoS CoC Competition Policies](#) for more information.
- Recipients are encouraged to provide Annual Performance Reports (APRs) to TX BoS

CoC staff for review at least two (2) weeks prior to HUD submission in order to submit an accurate report of project performance.

- Recipients are expected to participate in the Quarterly Performance Score Card Process.
- Per the TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies, Recipients must carbon copy (“cc”) TX BoS CoC staff on all communications with HUD regarding monitoring.
- Recipients must notify THN of receipt of a HUD monitoring notification or monitoring report within 48 hours.
- Project staff is encouraged to have a case conference with THN prior to any involuntary project participant terminations.
- Recipients must comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws.
- In accordance with 24 CFR 578.53, recipients must develop individualized Housing Stability plans for all program participants, including the provision of Supportive Services, across all CoC-funded projects.
 - Additionally, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), recipients may require supportive service agreements as part of program participation.

Project Oversight

- Recipients of CoC Program Funding must have an accounting system compliant with 2 CFR 200.
- It is required that all recipients of CoC Program Funding in the TX BoS CoC develop and maintain an Internal Control environment that is in compliance with 2 CFR 200.303.
- It is expected that where a recipient and sub-recipient relationship exists, there is a sub-recipient agreement in place which includes provisions for monitoring and oversight.
- Recipients of CoC Program Funding are required by the TX BoS CoC to develop, implement, and maintain an Internal Monitoring Policy covering awarded CoC Projects. Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to sub-recipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.

CoC Engagement

- Recipients must participate in all trainings designated as mandatory that are conducted or sponsored by TX BoS CoC by having at least one representative staff member with direct involvement in operations and/or oversight of the project participate either via webinar or in-person, as required.
- Recipients must participate in the local Point-in-Time Count (PIT) and Housing Inventory Counts (HIC) and submit data collected by the deadlines established by TX BoS CoC.

- Recipients are expected to attend a majority of CoC General Meetings.
- Recipients are expected to participate in CoC Committees and Workgroups.
- Recipients are expected to participate in their Local Homeless Coalition.
- Recipients are expected to work collaboratively with their community partners to end homelessness.
- Recipients are expected to create and maintain an effective homelessness response system, that achieves measurable progress toward making homelessness rare, brief, and where possible, one-time.

THN Expectation

- As the Lead applicant for the TX BoS CoC, THN must facilitate and manage the annual Continuum of Care Competition.
- THN must complete the annual CoC Application to be submitted with the Consolidated Application.
- THN must solicit input, create, and maintain CoC Governance Documents, Written Standards, and Policies & Procedures.
- THN must facilitate CoC General Meetings, Committees, the CoC Board, and trainings.
- THN must provide technical assistance and best practice recommendations to agencies operating within the TX BoS CoC Geographic Area.
- THN must provide guidance, recommendation, and training to the CoC Board, and THN must act in good faith to carry out decisions of the CoC Board.
- As the HMIS Lead Agency, THN must maintain and facilitate the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).
- THN provides training to new HMIS users and assists in maintaining high data quality standards of the HMIS data.
- THN reports HMIS data to HUD annually.
- THN manages and facilitates the annual Point in Time Count and Housing Inventory Count, and THN analyzes this data and provides it to HUD.

The expectations in this form are not intended to be interpreted as an exhaustive list of responsibilities for Recipients of CoC Program Funds, or for the Texas Homeless Network. It is understood that should any expectation in this document conflict with Federal, or State statute, the remaining expectations remain valid. My signature below acknowledges these expectations and, to the greatest extent possible, the commitment to comply with them.

AUTHORIZED AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Agency:	
Contact Person:	
Email Address:	
Contact Number:	

I have read, understand, and agree to the expectations set forth in this agreement. (Current Recipients acknowledge these expectations become effective upon the date signed below).

_____	_____
Signature	Date

_____	_____
Printed Name	Title

TX-607

Local Competition Scoring Tools

INDEX

- Scorecard Point Breakdowns, pages 2 - 3
- Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecards, pages 4 - 6
- Renewal DV Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecard, pages 7-9
- Renewal Rapid Rehousing Scorecard, pages 10 - 12
- Renewal DV Rapid Rehousing Scorecard, pages 13 - 15
- Renewal Joint Transitional/Rapid Rehousing Scorecard, pages 16 - 20
- CoC Bonus Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecard, pages 21 - 35
- CoC Bonus Supportive Services Only Scorecard, pages 36 - 49
- CoC Bonus Street Outreach Scorecard, pages 50 - 64
- CoC Bonus Transitional Housing Scorecard, pages 65 - 80
- DV Bonus Transitional Housing Scorecard, pages 81 - 97

Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 100
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 37
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 22

Renewal DV Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 100
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 34
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 12

Renewal Rapid Rehousing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 100
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 38
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 23

Renewal DV Rapid Rehousing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 100
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 33
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 17

Renewal Joint Transitional/Rapid Rehousing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 100
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 35
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 19

CoC Bonus Permanent Supportive Housing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 39
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 26
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 10
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 16

CoC Bonus Supportive Services Only Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 43
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 32
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 14
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 15

CoC Bonus Supportive Services Only – Street Outreach Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 44
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 32
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 14
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 14

CoC Bonus Transitional Housing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 45
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria: 27
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria: 12
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 14

DV Bonus Transitional Housing Scorecard

- Maximum points available: 100
- Maximum points for Objective Criteria:
- Maximum points available for System Performance Criteria:
- Maximum points available based on returns-to-homelessness performance, employment income performance, and supportive services participation requirements: 16

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Permanent Supportive Housing

Agency Name:		Project Name:				PSH					
		Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer		Project Type: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Population Type: All populations except Domestic Violence-only projects Note Recently completed grant term: grants that are 90 days past their end date but APRs are not approved by HUD yet.						
		Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a								
		Total number of adults	APR: Q5a								
		Total leavers	APR: Q5a								
		Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a								
		Total stayers	APR: Q5a								
		Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a								
		Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18								
		Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the 2025 Grant Inventory Worksheet OR eSNAPS application	FY2025 GIW: Column X [Total Units]								
1	Targeting Hard to Serve										
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes		
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	≥95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations"		0%	100-95%=4 points <95-90%=2 points <90= 0 points	0	4			
			APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"								
1.2	Percentage of adults coming from unsheltered locations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	≥40%	APR Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 2		0%	100-40% = 4 points <40-30% = 2 points <30% = 0 points	0	4			
			APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"								
1.3	Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 4 points <50-40% = 2 points <40% = 0 points	0	4			
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							0	12			
2	Access to Income-Stayers -PSH										
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage %	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes		
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-15% = 5 points <15-08% = 3.5 points <08% = 0 points	0	5			
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥50%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"								
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥60%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)		0%	100-60% = 6 points <60-45% = 3 points <45% = 0 points	0	6			
			APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)								
			APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)								
Section Subtotal							0	17			
3	Access to Income-Leavers -PSH										
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes		
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	≥20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-20% = 2 points <20-15% = 1 points <15% = 0 points	0	2			
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	≥40%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"								
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)								

3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	> <u>50%</u>	APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)		0.0%	100-50% = 2 points <50-40% = 1 points <40% = 0 points	0	2	
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)						
	Section Subtotal							0	6
4	Housing Stability								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	<u>≥95%</u>	APR: 23c, Last Row, Column 1 (Percentage-Total)			100-95% = 8 points < 95-90% = 6 points < 90-85% = 4 points < 85% = 0 points	0	8	
Housing Stability Total Score							0	8	
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness less than 6 months. *If reference field is "NaN," then this question is zeroed out and does not count towards final score.	<u><5%</u>	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Column "Percentage of Returns in less than 6 mo." *If "NaN", enter NaN as answer and this question will not be scored			0-5% = 6 points >5-10% = 3 points >10% = 0 points	0	6	
Returns to Homelessness							0	6	
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	<u>< 30 Day Average</u>	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total			Avg ≤30 Days = 5 points Avg 31-60 Days = 2.5 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	5	
6.2	What is the Project’s Average Daily household utilization rate?	<u>>95%</u>	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total		0.0%	100-95% = 6 points <95-90% = 3 points <90% = 0 points	0	6	
6.3	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	<u>SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner</u>	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List			Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 5 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = 2.5 pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	5	
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	16	
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 5% of total project awards?	<u><5% unspent funds</u>	Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total funds awarded from the most recently completed grant term Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0%	0-5% = 8 points >5-10% = 4 points >10% = 0 points	0	8	
7.2	Has the agency followed the HUD minimum draw requirement for the agency's most recently completed grant?	<u>Yes</u>	Verify in Sage Pace of Draws Report			Yes = 6 points No = 0 point	0	6	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							0	14	
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	<u>0%</u>	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number			0% = 4 points >0-2% = 3 points >2-5% = 2 points >5% = 0 points	0	4	

8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		1 = 3 points 4 = 2 points = 0 points 0 = 4 points	2 5+	0	4	
	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		1 = 3 points 4 = 2 points = 0 points 0 = 4 points	2 5+	0	4	
	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		1 = 3 points 4 = 2 points = 0 points 0 = 4 points	2 5+	0	4	
	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry at Project start	No entries later than 3 days	APR Q06e; second column		No entries later than 3 days = 0.5 points No entries later than 10 days = 0.25 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points		0	0.5	
	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry at Project Exit	No entries later than 3 days	APR Q06e; third column		No entries later than 3 days = 0.5 points No entries later than 10 days = 0.25 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points		0	0.5	
	HMIS Data Quality Total Score							0	17
9	Coordinated Entry Participation								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
9.1	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	4	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	4		
	Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score					0	4		
10	Bonus Points								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score		Notes	
10.1	Is the project going to implement a service or substance use treatment requirement?	Yes	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal, or Transition Project Questions		Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
10.2	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	Yes	Sage		Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
	Bonus Points Total Score					0			
Total Score							0	100	
Cumulative Score out of 100%							0.00%		

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Permanent Supportive Housing- DV

Agency Name:			Project Name:			PSH-DV				
						Project Type: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Population Type: All populations except Domestic Violence-only projects Note Recently completed grant term: grants that are 90 days past their end date but APRs are not approved by HUD yet.				
		Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer							
	Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a								
	Total number of adults	APR: Q5a								
	Total leavers	APR: Q5a								
	Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a								
	Total stayers	APR: Q5a								
	Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a								
	Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18								
	Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the 2025 Grant Inventory Worksheet OR eSNAPS application	FY2025 GIW: Column X [Total Units]								
1	Targeting Hard to Serve									
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations* *Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation	>65%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations"		0%	100-65% = 7 points 64%-50% = 3.5 points <50% = 0 points	0	7		
			APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"							
1.2	Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 5 points 49-30% = 2.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	5		
	Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	12		
2	Access to Income-Stayers -PSH								SPM 4.1-4.3	
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment	>13%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure" If Q5a "Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More" is 0, then manually give 7.5 points			100-13% = 6 points <13-08% = 3 points <08% = 0 points	0	6		
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment	>40%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure" If Q5a "Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More" is 0, then manually give 7.5 points			100-40% = 6 points <40-30% = 3 points <30% = 0 points	0	6		
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment	>45%	APR Q19a1: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased) If Q5a "Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More" is 0, then manually give 7.5 points APR: Q19a1: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained) If Q5a "Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More" is 0, then manually give 7.5 points APR Q19a1: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults) If Q5a "Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More" is 0, then manually give 7.5 points		0.0%	100-45% = 6 points <45-35% = 3 points <35% = 0 points	0	6		
							0	18		
3	Access to Income-Leavers -PSH								SPM 4.4-4.6	
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	>15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-15% = 2 points <15-10 = 1 points <10% = 0 points	0	2		
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	>30%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-30% = 2 points <30-20% = 1 points <20% = 0 points	0	2		
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)							

3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	<u>>40%</u>	APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)		0.0%	100-40% = 3 points <40-30% = 1.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	3	
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)						
		Section Subtotal							
4	Housing Stability								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	<u>≥85%</u>	APR: 23c, Last Row, Column 1 (Percentage-Total)			100-95% = 9 points < 95-90% = 6 points < 90-85% = 3 points < 85% = 0 points	0	9	
Housing Stability Total Score							0	9	
5	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	<u>< 30 Day Average</u>	APR: Q22c: Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total			Avg ≤30 Days = 9 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4.5 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	9	
5.2	What is the Project's Average Daily household utilization rate?	<u>>95%</u>	APR: Q08b "January" Total		0.0%	100-95% = 6 points <95-90% = 3 points <90% = 0 points	0	6	
APR: Q08b "April" Total									
APR: Q08b "July" Total									
APR: Q08b "October" Total									
5.7	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	<u>SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner</u>	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List			Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 5 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = 2.5 pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	5	
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	20	
6	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 5% of total project awards?	<u><5% unspent funds</u>	Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total funds awarded from the most recently completed grant term		0%	0-5% = 8 points >5-10% = 4 points >10% = 0 points	0	8	
			Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term						
6.2	Has the agency followed the HUD minimum draw requirement for the agency's most recently completed grant?	<u>Yes</u>	Verify in Sage Pace of Draws Report			Yes = 6 points No = 0 point	0	6	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							0	14	
7	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	<u>0%</u>	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number			0% = 4 points >0-2% = 3 points >2-5% = 2 points >5% = 0 points	0	4	
7.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"			0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
7.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"			0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	

74	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
						0	16	
8	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	All participants enrolled have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment prior to Project Enrollment; OR, if DV-only project, does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry DV Workaround?	<u>Yes</u>	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 2 Points No = 0 points	0	2	
	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	<u>2</u>	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	2	
	Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score					0	4	
9	Bonus Points							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score		Notes
9.1	Is the project going to implement a service or substance use treatment requirement?	<u>Yes</u>	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal, or Transition Project Questions	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
9.2	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	<u>Yes</u>	Sage	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
	Bonus Points Total Score					0		
	Total Score					0	100	
	Cumulative Score out of 100%					0.00%		

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Rapid Rehousing

Agency Name:		Project Name:			RRH				
---------------------	--	----------------------	--	--	------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer	Project Type: Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Population Type: All populations except Domestic Violence-only projects Note Recently completed grant term: grants that are 90 days past their end date but APRs are not approved by HUD yet.			
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a					
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a					
Total leavers	APR: Q5a					
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a					
Total stayers	APR: Q5a					
Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a					
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18					
Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the 2025 Grant Inventory Worksheet OR eSNAPS application	FY2025 GIW: Column X [Total Units]					

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1 Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	≥95%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations" APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"		0%	100-95%=4 points <95-90%=2 points <90= 0 points	0	4	
1.2 Percentage of Participants coming from unsheltered locations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	≥40%	APR Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" Column 1 Row 2 APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"		0%	100-40% = 4 points <40-30% = 2 points <30% = 0 points	0	4	
1.3 Percent of adults with no income at entry	≥50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 4 points <50-40% = 2 points <40% = 0 points	0	4	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	12	

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH								
SPM 4.1-4.3								
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
2.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-17% = 4 points <17-11% = 2 points <11% = 0 points	0	4	
2.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥50%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-25% = 4 points <25-15% = 2 points <15% = 0 points	0	4	
2.3 Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	≥60%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased) APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained) APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)		0.0%	100-30% = 4 points <30-20% = 2 points <20% = 0 points	0	4	
Section Subtotal						0	12	

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH								
SPM 4.4-4.6								
Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
3.1 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	≥20%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-20% = 4 points <20-15% = 2 points <15% = 0 points	0	4	
3.2 Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	≥25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-25% = 4 points <25-15% = 2 points <15% = 0 points	0	4	
		APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)						

3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at project exit	≥25%	APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)		0.0%	100-25% = 4 points <25-15% = 2 points <15% = 0 points	0	4	
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)						
Section Subtotal							0	12	
4	Housing Stability								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	APR: 23c, Last Row, Column 1 (Percentage-Total)		100-95% = 8 points <95-90% = 6 points <90-85% = 4 points <85% = 0 points	0	8		
							0	8	
5	Returns to Homelessness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
5.1	Of participants who exited to permanent housing, the percentage of participants who returned to homelessness within less than 6 months. <i>*If reference field is "NaN," then this question is zeroed out and does not count towards final score.</i>	<5%	System Performance Measure 2a-2b, Column "Percentage of Returns from 13 to 24 Months (366-730 days)" <i>*If "NaN", enter NaN as answer and this question will not be scored</i>		0-5% = 6 points >5-10% = 3 points >10% = 0 points	0	6		
Returns to Homelessness							0	6	
6	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	
6.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	< 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 5 points Avg 31-60 Days = 2.5 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	5		
6.2	What is the Project's Average Daily household utilization rate?	≥95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total	0.0%	100-95% = 6 points <95-90% = 3 points <90% = 0 points	0	6		
6.3	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List		Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 4 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = 2pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	4		
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	15	
7	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 5% of total project awards?	<5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total funds awarded from the most recently completed grant term Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0%	0-5% = 8 points >5-10% = 4 points >10% = 0 points	0	8	
7.2	Has the agency followed the HUD minimum draw requirement for the agency's most recently completed grant?	Yes	Verify in Sage Pace of Draws Report			Yes = 6 points No = 0 point	0	6	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							0	14	
8	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes	

8.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and Q06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 4 points >0-2% = 3 points >2-5% = 2 points >5% = 0 points	0	4	
8.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
8.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
8.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
8.5	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry at Project start	No entries later than 3 days	APR Q06e; second column		No entries later than 3 days = 0.5 points No entries later than 10 days = 0.25 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	0	0.5	
8.6	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry at Project Exit	No entries later than 3 days	APR Q06e; third column		No entries later than 3 days = 0.5 points No entries later than 10 days = 0.25 point Any entries made after 10 days = 0 points	0	0.5	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	17	
9	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
9.1	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	4	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	4	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	4	
10	Bonus Points							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score		Notes
10.1	Is the project going to implement a service or substance use treatment requirement?	Yes	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal, or Transition Project Questions		Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
10.2	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	Yes	Sage		Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
Bonus Points Total Score						0		
Total Score						0	100	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100%	

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Rapid Rehousing-DV

Agency Name:

Project Name:

RRH-DV

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a	
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a	
Total leavers	APR: Q5a	
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a	
Total stayers	APR: Q5a	
Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a	
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18	
Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the 2025 Grant Inventory Worksheet	FY2025 GIW: Column X [Total Units]	

Project Type: Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
Population Type: Domestic Violence (DV)-Only

Note
Recently completed grant term: grants that are 90 days past their end date but APRs are not approved by HUD yet.

1

Targeting Hard to Serve

Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations"	0	#DIV/0!	100-65% = 8 points 64%-50% = 4 points >50% = 0 points	0	8	
	>65%	APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"	0					
1.2	Percent of adults with no income at entry	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"	0	0%	100-50% = 6 points 49-30% = 3 points <30% = 0 points	0	6	
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score						0	14	

2

Access to Income-Stayers -RRH

SPM 4.1-4.3

Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	8.0%		100-15% = 4 points <15-08% = 2 points <08% = 0 points	0	4	
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	0.0%		100-20% = 4 points <20-10% = 2 points <10% = 0 points	0	4	
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who increased or maintained their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	APR Q19a1: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)	0	0.0%	100-25% = 4 points <25-15% = 2 points <15% = 0 points	0	4	
		APR: Q19a1: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)	0					
		APR Q19a1: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)	0					
Section Subtotal						0	12	

3

Access to Income-Leavers -RRH

SPM 4.4-4.6

Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	0.0%		100-15% = 4 points <15-10% = 2 points <10% = 0 points	0	4	
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"	0.0%		100-20% = 4 points <20-10% = 2 points <10% = 0 points	0	4	
3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)	0	0.0%	100-20% = 4 points <20-12% = 2 points <12% = 0 points	0	4	
		APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)	0					

			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)	0				
Section Subtotal						0	12	
4	Housing Stability							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	RRH: Percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥85%	APR: 23c, Last Row, Column 1 (Percentage-Total)		100-90% = 9 points < 90-80% = 6 points < 80-70% = 3 points < 70% = 0 points	0	9	
Housing Stability Total Score						0	9	
5	Meeting Community Need							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	< 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total		Avg ≤30 Days = 9 points Avg 31-60 Days = 4.5 points Avg >60 Days = 0 points	0	9	
5.2	What is the Project's Average Daily household utilization rate?	>95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total APR: Q08b "April" Total APR: Q08b "July" Total APR: Q08b "October" Total	0.0%	100-95% = 6 points <95-90% = 3 points <90% = 0 points	0	6	
5.3	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List		Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 4 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = 2pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	4	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	19	
6	Cost Effectiveness							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
6.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 5% of total project awards?	<5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total funds awarded from the most recently completed grant term Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term		0%	0-5% = 8 points >5-10% = 4 points >10% = 0 points	0	8
6.2	Has the agency followed the HUD minimum draw requirement for the agency's most recently completed grant?	Yes	Verify in Sage Pace of Draws Report			Yes = 6 points No = 0 point	0	6
Cost Effectiveness Total Score						0	14	
7	HMIS Data Quality							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 4 points >0-2% = 3points >2-5% = 2 points >5% = 0 points	0	4	
7.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
7.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
7.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 4 points 1 = 3 points 2-4 = 2 points 5+ = 0 points	0	4	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	16	
8	Coordinated Entry Participation							

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
8.1	All participants enrolled have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment prior to Project Enrollment; OR, if DV-only project, does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry DV Workaround?	Yes	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 2 Points No = 0 points	0	2	
8.2	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	2	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	2	
	Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score					0	4	
9	Bonus Points							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score		Notes
9.1	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	Yes	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal, or Transition Project Questions	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
9.2	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	Yes	Sage	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
	Bonus Points Total Score					0		
						0	100	
Total Score								
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%		

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Joint TH-DV/Non-DV

Agency Name:		Project Name:			Joint - TH				
---------------------	--	----------------------	--	--	-------------------	--	--	--	--

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer					Project Type: Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing (Joint TH/RRH) Population Type: All (DV and non-DV) Notes: Reviewers must complete both the Joint TH and Joint RRH tabs for scoring to be considered complete. The total score is generated on the RRH tab. The APRs for each component type must be run separately. Note Recently completed grant term: grants that are 90 days past their end date but APRs are not approved by HUD yet.		
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a								
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a								
Total leavers	APR: Q5a								
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a								
Total stayers	APR: Q5a								
Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a								
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18								
Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the FY2024 eSnaps Project Application (TH Component Only)	FY2024 eSnaps Application: Screen 4B, Total TH Units								

1 Targeting Hard to Serve

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations*	>65%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations"		0%	100-65% = 4 points 64%-50% = 2 points >50% = 0 points	0	4	
	*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation		APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"						
1.2	Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 3 points 49-30% = 1.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	3	
<i>Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score</i>							0	7	

2 Access to Income-Leavers -TH

		SPM 4.4-4.6							
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	>15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column '9' Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-15% = 3 points <15-10% = 1.5 points <10% = 0 points	0	3	
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	>25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column '9' Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-25% = 3 points <25-15% = 1.5 points <15% = 0 points	0	3	
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	>25%	APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)		0.0%	100-25% = 3 points <25-15% = 1.5 points <15% = 0 points	0	3	
			APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)						
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)						
<i>Section Subtotal</i>							0	9	

3 Housing Stability

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
3.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥90%	APR 23c "Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation"		100-90% = 5 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 3 points < 70% = 0 points	0	5	
			APR 23c "Total persons exiting to Positive Housing Destinations"					
<i>Housing Stability Total Score</i>						0	5	

4 Meeting Community Need

	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	What is the Project's Average Daily household utilization rate?	>95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total		100-95% = 3 points <95-90% = 1.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	3	
			APR: Q08b "April" Total					
			APR: Q08b "July" Total					
			APR: Q08b "October" Total					

4.2	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	<u>SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner</u>	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List		Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 2 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = 1 pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	2	
Meeting Community Need Total Score						0	5	
5	HMIS Data Quality							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	<u>0%</u>	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number		0% = 2 points >0-2% = 1.5 points >2-5% = 1 points >5% = 0 points	0	2	
5.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"		0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	
5.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	
5.4	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	8	
6	Coordinated Entry Participation							
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	All participants enrolled have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment prior to Project Enrollment; OR, if DV-only project, does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry DV Workaround?	<u>Yes</u>	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 2 Points No = 0 points	0	2	
6.2	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	<u>2</u>	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	2	
Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score						0	4	
Transitional Housing Score Subtotal*						0	38	
*Reviewer must complete RRH tab to generate Cumulative Total Project Score								

FY26 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Renewal Project Scorecard- Joint RRH-DV/Non-DV

Agency Name:	Project Name:	Joint - RRH
---------------------	----------------------	--------------------

	Where to Reference on APR	Your Answer	
Total number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a		Project Type: Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing (Joint TH/RRH) Population Type: All (DV and non-DV) Notes: Reviewers must complete both the Joint TH and Joint RRH tabs for scoring to be considered complete; the total score is generated on the RRH tab. The APRs for each component type must be run separately.
Total number of adults	APR: Q5a		
Total leavers	APR: Q5a		
Total number of adult leavers	APR: Q5a		
Total stayers	APR: Q5a		
Total number of adult stayers	APR: Q5a		
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an Annual Assessment	APR: Q18		
Number of Households/Units to be served at a Point in Time from the FY2024 eSnaps Project Application in SMA (RRH Component Only)	FY2024 eSnaps Application: Screen 48, Total RRH Units		

1 Targeting Hard to Serve								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
1.1	Percent of adults with entries from homeless situations* <i>*Participants who enrolled directly from another housing subsidy are excluded from this calculation</i>	>65%	APR: Q15, "Total", minus "Subtotal" from "Permanent or Other Situations"		0%	100-65% = 4 points 64%-50% = 2 points >50% = 0 points	0	4
			APR: Q15 Column 1 Row 24 "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy"					
1.2	Percent of adults with no income at entry	>50%	APR Q16 "No Income" Column 1 Row 1 "Income at Start"		0%	100-50% = 3 points 49-30% = 1.5 points <30% = 0 points	0	3
Targeting Hard to Serve Total Score							0	7

2 Access to Income-Stayers -RRH								
		SPM 4.1-4.3						
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
2.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	>15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		0.0%	100-15% = 3 points <15-08% = 1.5 points <08% = 0 points	0	3
			APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"					
2.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	>15%	APR: Q19a1, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-15% = 2 points <15-08% = 1 points <08% = 0 points	0	2
2.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>increased or maintained</u> their total income (from all sources) at Annual Assessment <i>*If Q5a Number of Adult Stayers = Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment, this metric will not be scored and base points will be removed from the Total Overall Score.</i>	>25%	APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)		0.0%	100-25% = 3 points <25-15% = 1.5 points <15% = 0 points	0	3
			APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)					
			APR: Q19a1, Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)					
Section Subtotal							0	8

3 Access to Income-Leavers -RRH								
		SPM 4.4-4.6						
	Scored Category	Criteria Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percent (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points
3.1	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased earned income at exit	>15%	APR: Q19a2, Row 1, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"		0.0%	100-15% = 3 points <15-10% = 1.5 points <10% = 0 points	0	3
			APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"					
3.2	Percent participants age 18 or older with increased non-employment income at exit	>25%	APR: Q19a2, Row 3, Column "9" Percent of Persons who accomplished this measure"			100-25% = 2 points <25-15% = 1 points <15% = 0 points	0	2
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 8 (Total Increased)					

3.3	Percent participants age 18 or older who <u>maintained or increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit	>25%	APR: Q19a2: Row 5, Column 3 (Total Maintained)		0.0%	100-25% = 3 points <25-15% = 1.5 points <15% = 0 points	0	3	
			APR Q19a2: Row 5, Column 7 (Total Adults)						
		Section Subtotal							0
4	Housing Stability								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Scoring Instructions	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
4.1	Of participants who exited during the reporting period, percentage of participants who exited to Permanent Housing Destinations	≥90%	APR 23c 'Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation'		0%	100-90% = 5 points < 90-80% = 4 points < 80-70% = 2.5 points < 70% = 0 points	0	5	
			APR 23c 'Total persons exiting to Positive Housing Destinations'						
Housing Stability Total Score							0	5	
5	Meeting Community Need								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
5.1	Of participants enrolled during the reporting period, is the average participant housed in less than 30 days?	< 30 Day Average	APR: Q22c Row 10, "Average length of time to housing" Total			Avg ≤30 Days = 10 points Avg 31-60 Days = 5 points Avg >60 Days= 0 points	0	10	
5.2	What is the Project's Average Daily household utilization rate?	>95%	APR: Q08b "January" Total		0.0%	100-95% = 3 points <95-90% = 1.5 points <90% = 0 points	0	3	
			APR: Q08b "April" Total						
			APR: Q08b "July" Total						
			APR: Q08b "October" Total						
6.7	Does the Agency utilize SOAR through either internal resources or community partnerships that project participants can access as needed or a benefits specialist on staff?	SOAR Certified Staff or Community Partner	Review Tx BoS CoC SOAR Trained List			Agency had SOAR trained staff or community partner = 1 pts Agency has access to a benefits specialist only = .5 pts No access to SOAR Certified staff member or benefits specialist = 0pts	0	1	
Meeting Community Need Total Score							0	14	
6	Cost Effectiveness								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Percentage (%)	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
6.1	Does the total amount of unspent program funds from the most recently completed grant term total greater than 5% of total project awards?	<5% unspent funds	Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total funds awarded from the most recently completed grant term		0%	0-5% = 4 points 5-10% = 2 points <10% = 0 points	0	4	
			Verify in Sage APR & BLI Report: Total amount of funds unspent during the last grant term						
	Has the agency followed the HUD minimum draw requirement for the agency's most recently completed grant?	Yes	Verify in Sage Pace of Draws Report			Yes = 4 points No = 0 point	0	4	
Cost Effectiveness Total Score							0	8	
7	HMIS Data Quality								
	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer		Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
7.1	Any Universal Data Elements with error rate larger than 5% (Except for SSN)	0%	APR: Q06a, and 06b. The Data element with the largest error rate should be used for this metric. Ignore Social Security Number			0% = 2 points >0-2% = 1.5 points >2-5% = 1 points >5% = 0 points	0	2	
7.2	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Entry?	0	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Start" "Error Count"			0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	

7.3	Any missing Financial Assessment at Annual Assessments?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Annual Assessment" "Error Count"		0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	
	Any missing Financial Assessment at Project Exit?	<u>0</u>	APR: Q06c "Income and Sources at Exit" "Error Count"		0 = 2 points 1 = 1.5 points 2-4 = 1 points 5+ = 0 points	0	2	
HMIS Data Quality Total Score						0	8	
8	Coordinated Entry Participation							
8.1	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
	All participants enrolled have a Coordinated Entry Enrollment prior to Project Enrollment; OR, if DV-only project, does the Applicant have access to the Coordinated Entry DV Workaround?	<u>Yes</u>	Coordinated Entry Regional P&Ps		Yes = 2 Points No = 0 points	0	2	
	Is the Agency following the TX BoS CoC CE Written Standards?	<u>2</u>	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal Project Questions		1pt for each action identified	0	2	
	Coordinated Entry Participation Total Score					0	4	
9	Bonus Points							
9.1	Scored Category	Goal	Where to Reference	Your Answer	Point Criteria	Score		Notes
	Is the project going to implement a service or substance use treatment requirement?	<u>Yes</u>	SurveyMonkey Apply, Renewal, or Transition Project Questions	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
9.2	Was the most recent Annual Performance Report (APR) submission submitted on time?	<u>Yes</u>	Sage	No	Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
Bonus Points Total Score						0		
Total RRH Score						0	62	
Total TH Score						0	38	
Cumulative Score out of 100%						0.00%	100	

CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - PSH

Project Details

1. Please list all counties you will serve with this funding. Counties not included on this list are not eligible to be served through the TX BoS CoC's CoC Program Competition. (1 point)

2 or more counties served = 1 point

1 county served = 0 points

- ☐ 2 or more counties served = 1 point
- ☐ 1 county served = 0 points

4. Are you, or any of your CoC Program subrecipients, a Faith-Based Organization? (1 point)

Yes = 1 point

No = 0 points

- ☐ Yes = 1 point
- ☐ No = 0 points

Project Details total score:

$\{\{SUM(PD1.score, PD2.score)\}\}/2$

Agency Capacity

1. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing Federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application. Please provide examples that illustrate: (3 points)

- (A) Working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs,
- (B) developing and implementing relevant program and services
- (C) Identifying and securing match funds from a variety of sources, and
- (D) Managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

3 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience administering Federal funds, with specific examples demonstrating successful performance.
- Provides detailed examples addressing all four areas (A–D), showing:
 - A: Strong experience working with the identified target population(s) and addressing their housing and service needs.
 - B: Demonstrated capacity to develop and implement relevant programs and services effectively.
 - C: A track record of identifying and securing match from multiple funding sources.
 - D: Established and reliable organizational operations, including robust financial and grants management systems.
- Demonstrates organizational readiness, capacity, and past performance consistent with successful CoC Program implementation.
- Provides sufficient detail and clarity to support confidence in the organization's ability to carry out the proposed project.

1.5 points if:

- Addresses experience with Federal funds and proposed activities, but lacks completeness, clarity, or specificity; OR
- Provides examples for some but not all four areas (A–D); OR
- Describes experience generally but does not clearly demonstrate past performance or organizational capacity; OR
- Adequately addresses experience but provides limited detail regarding financial systems or match funding practices.
- Overall, the response demonstrates partial capacity but is not fully developed or detailed.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience with Federal funds or proposed project activities; OR
 - Provides only vague or generic information that does not demonstrate organizational capacity; OR
 - Does not address most or all of the required areas (A–D); OR
 - Does not provide any meaningful examples of past performance.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☐ 0 points

2. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds (2 points)

2 points if:

Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience leveraging funding from all or most sources: Federal, State, local, and private sector.

- Provides specific examples demonstrating:
 - A track record of successfully securing and layering multiple funding streams.
 - Effective integration of these funds to support housing, services, and/or operations.
 - Strategic approaches to maximizing resources (e.g., partnerships, fundraising strategies, blended/collaborative funding models).
- Demonstrates strong organizational capacity and established systems for managing diverse funding sources.

1 point if:

- Addresses experience leveraging external funding, but provides limited detail or partial coverage of required funding types; OR
- Describes experience generally but lacks specific examples; OR
- Demonstrates capacity with some funding sources but not all levels (Federal, State, local, private); OR
- Indicates some experience but does not clearly show how leveraged funds supported program goals.
- Overall, the response shows some ability to leverage diverse resources but is not fully developed or comprehensive.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience leveraging multiple funding sources; OR

- Provides only vague or generic statements that do not demonstrate actual leveraged funding experience; OR
- Does not address most of the required funding categories; OR
- Does not provide any meaningful evidence of the organization's or subrecipient(s)' ability to secure or manage leveraged funds.
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

3. Provide a description of the program management and financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant. Your response must include the accounting system used, your organization's system of checks and balances, and overall structure of how your organization will provide fiscal oversight to this grant. (2 points)

2 points if:

- Clearly identifies the financial accounting system used (e.g., specific software/platform) and demonstrates that it is appropriate for Federal grant management.
- Describes a well-defined system of checks and balances, such as separation of duties, approval workflows, internal controls, and reconciliation processes.
- Explains the organizational structure for fiscal oversight, including roles/responsibilities of staff or departments involved (e.g., finance department, grants management, executive oversight).
- Demonstrates strong program management practices, including monitoring, reporting, compliance tracking, and communication between program and finance staff.
- Provides sufficient detail to show the organization has reliable and compliant systems in place to administer the grant effectively.

1 point if:

- Identifies the accounting system but provides limited or general information about how it supports grant administration; OR
- Describes some checks and balances, but the description is incomplete or lacking clarity; OR
- Provides basic information about fiscal oversight structure, but does not clearly show strong internal controls or defined roles; OR
- Adequately describes either program management or financial oversight, but not both.
- Overall, the response demonstrates some capacity, but lacks the completeness or specificity needed for full points.

0 points if:

- Does not describe the accounting system, checks and balances, or oversight structure; OR
 - Provides statements so vague or generic that they do not demonstrate an actual management or financial system; OR
 - Omits key required components (e.g., fails to identify accounting system or internal controls); OR
 - Does not demonstrate the organization has the systems needed to manage a Federal grant.
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

4. Select all project and funding types your organization has experience with in the past 5 years. Please indicate the type of project and the corresponding funding source. Applicants may enter up to 5 awards. If more than 5, please select the most relevant 5 to the proposed project. Leave blank if no relevant experience. (6 points)

Points awarded per the number of prior projects operated, as follows:

- 0-2 projects = 0 pts
 - 3 projects = 2 pts
 - 4 projects = 4 pts
 - 5 projects = 6 pts
- ☐ 0 points
 - ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 4 points
 - ☐ 6 points

Agency Capacity total score:

$\{\{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score)\}\}/13$

Permanent Supportive Housing: Component Specific Questions

1. What type of housing model will your program provide (leasing, rental assistance, scattered site, site based, etc.)?

Describe your program housing strategy (from intake to move-in) and how the program housing type will fit the needs of program participants. (1 point)

1 point if:

- Applicant clearly explains what type of housing model they will adopt and clearly explains plan to meet community housing needs.

0 points if:

- Generic statements or applicant does not have a clear plan to fulfill housing needs in their community and does not have a chosen type of housing model.
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

2. Describe how the Project will provide supportive services and assistance that help participants obtain and maintain permanent housing in a manner that is responsive to their individual needs. (1 point)

In your response, briefly describe:

A) The types of supportive services that will be provided and how they will support housing stability

B) How participant needs will be assessed at intake and on an ongoing basis

C) How the Project will incorporate best practices (ex., participant-centered, trauma-informed, strengths-based approaches)

D) How the Project will use CoC-funded services, community partnerships, and other resources (ex., mainstream benefits) to meet participant needs

E) Any conditional service participation requirements for participants to maintain assistance (if applicable)

1 point if:

Response describes all of the following:

- Identifies specific supportive services (ex., case management, transportation, behavioral health, employment support) and explains how they support participants in obtaining and maintaining housing
- Explains how participant needs are assessed at intake and on an ongoing basis
- Describes how services are responsive to individual participant needs (not one-size-fits-all)
- Describes how the Project incorporates best practices such as participant-centered, trauma-informed, and/or strengths-based approaches
- Explains how the Project will use CoC-funded services, community partnerships, and other resources (including mainstream benefits) to meet participant needs

0 points if:

- Response is vague, general, or does not describe specific supportive services or how they support housing instability, or
 - Does not explain how participant needs are assessed at intake and over time, or
 - Does not demonstrate how services are tailored to participant needs, or
 - Does not describe use of best practices (ex., trauma-informed, participant-centered approaches), or
 - Does not explain how community partnerships, CoC resources, or other supports will be used.
-
- ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

3. Permanent Supportive Housing projects must serve only individuals with disabilities or families in which one adult or child has a disability, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i). Additionally, the TX BoS CoC requires PSH

programs to serve only individuals and households that meet the definition of "chronically homeless" under 24 CFR 578.3. Will the project serve only individuals and households that meet both of these criteria? (1 point)

1 point if:

- Applicant chooses "Yes"

0 points if:

- Applicant chooses "No"
- ☐ Yes = 1 point
- ☐ No = 0 points

Please explain how the average cost per household served for this project is reasonable and necessary, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404. In your answer, describe: (1 point)

A) How you calculated the average cost per household (including total annual project cost and projected households served);

B) How this cost compares to similar projects or local/community benchmarks; and

C) Any steps you take to ensure costs are economical while still achieving the project's housing and service outcomes.

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three elements:

- Specific mainstream programs named the project will connect participants to (e.g., Medicare/Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce Board, Vocational Rehab, HUD-VASH if veterans, etc.).

- Other funding/in-kind supports identified for operations/services (e.g., city/county funds, United Way, hospital/managed-care contracts, philanthropy, food bank, furniture bank, legal aid, transportation passes), ideally with brief partner names or MOUs.
- Tracking/documentation method stated (e.g., HMIS fields/referrals, comparable database for Domestic Violence, case-note templates, benefit verification uploads, monthly dashboards).

0 points if:

- Lists only vague “public/private resources” with no specific programs, or
 - Mentions programs but no other funding/in-kind supports, or
 - Omits how tracking/documentation will occur (no HMIS/comparable system/process).
- ☐ 1 point
• ☐ 0 points

5. Please describe how this project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private funding sources. In your answer, identify: (1 point)

A) The specific mainstream health, social, and employment programs you will connect participants to (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, TANF, SNAP, WIOA/employment services);

B) Any other public or private funding or in-kind resources that will support project operations or services; and

C) How you will track and document participants’ access to these resources (e.g., in HMIS or another data system).

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three elements:

- Specific mainstream programs named the project will connect participants to, (e.g., Medicare/Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce Board, Vocational Rehab, HUD-VASH if veterans, etc.).
- Other funding/in-kind supports identified for operations/services, (e.g., city/county funds, United Way, hospital/managed-care contracts, philanthropy, food bank, furniture bank, legal aid, transportation passes), ideally with brief partner names or MOUs.

- Tracking/documentation method stated (e.g., HMIS fields/referrals, comparable database for Domestic Violence, case-note templates, benefit verification uploads, monthly dashboards).

0 points if:

- Lists only vague “public/private resources” with no specific programs, or
- Mentions programs but no other funding/in-kind supports, or
- Omits how tracking/documentation will occur (no HMIS/comparable system/process).
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

6. Please explain how your project will assist participants in increasing earned income. (2 points)

2 points if:

- The applicant clearly describes specific project strategies, services, or activities that will help participants increase earned income.

0 points if:

- The applicant does not describe how the project will help participants increase earned income, or the response is vague, incomplete, or unrelated.
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

7. Using past or current performance outcomes, please indicate the percentage of persons who will exit the project with increased employment income as a result of their participation. (4 points)

4 pts if:

- 50% or more

2pts if:

- 25%- <50%

0pts if:

- <25%
- ☐ 50% or more = 4 points
- ☐ 25% - <50% = 2 points
- ☐ <25 % = 0 points

8. Using past or current performance outcomes, respond to the following: (4 points)

Of the number that will exit to Permanent Housing annually, please provide the number of persons that return to homelessness in the following timeframes:

A) Less than 6 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

B) 6-12 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

C) 13 to 24 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

4 points if:

0 responses are >10%

2 points if:

1 response is >10%

0 points if:

2 or more responses are >10%

- ☐ 4 points
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

Permanent Supportive Housing Component Specific Questions
total score:

{{SUM(PSH1.score,PSH2.score,PSH3.score,PSH4.score,PSH5.score,PSH6.score,PSH7.score,PSH8.score)}/15

Match Commitments and Monitoring History

3. In the last 5 years, has your agency had any funds recaptured (meaning reclaimed by the funder due to slow spending, monitoring findings, disallowed costs, or any other reason that required returning funds rather than using them as intended)?

If yes, please list the funding source, the grant start and end dates, the total grant award, and the amount recaptured. If more than five recaptures occurred, list only the five largest. Do not include any Pandemic Relief Funds. If none, please enter NA. (6 points)

6 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is between 0-3% of the total award

3 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is greater than 3% but less than 10%

0 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is more 10% of the total award

The reviewer must calculate the Amount Recaptured as a percentage for each Funding Source where funds were recaptured in order to score this question.

To determine the percentage that was unspent, the reviewer should use the following formula:

$(\text{Amount recaptured} / \text{total award}) \times 100 = \% \text{ unspent}$

Example: \$10,000 recaptured divided by a total award of \$200,000 = 0.05. Then, 0.05 multiplied by 100 = 5%

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 0 points

Match Commitments and Monitoring History total score:

$\{\{\text{SUM}(\text{MCMH1.score})\}\}/6$

Leveraged Partnerships

2. Leveraged Partnerships Upload: Please upload one or more preliminary commitment letters on partner letterhead, signed and dated by an authorized representative.

Letters must describe resources or commitments that are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match. Resources counted toward match will not be eligible for local leverage/partnership points.

For full points, each letter must include:

- A) The partner organization name;
- B) The proposed project name (used consistently across application materials);
- C) A brief description of the services, resources, coordination, access, or implementation support the partner intends to provide;

D) How the partnership will support project participants or project implementation;

E) The dates the benefit will be available (aligned with project dates in e-snaps); and

F) A statement attesting that the described resources or commitments are in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required 25% CoC Program Match.

3 points if:

- Applicant uploads one or more preliminary commitment letters that clearly demonstrate:
 - Specific, project-relevant partnerships that materially support project implementation or participant access to housing, services, treatment, or other community-based supports, and
 - The documentation clearly reflects that the described commitments are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match.

1.5 points if:

- Applicant uploads at least one preliminary commitment letter showing: a relevant partnership or resource commitment, but the documentation is less specific regarding the partner's role, the nature of the support, the anticipated dates of the benefit, or how the partnership supports project participants or project implementation.

0 points if:

- No qualifying preliminary commitment letter is uploaded; or the uploaded documentation is too vague to verify the partner's intended role; or the uploaded documentation reflects resources being counted toward the required 25% CoC Program Match; or the required attestation that the commitment is in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required Match is missing.
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 1.5 points
- ☐ 0 points

Leveraged Partnerships Total Score

{{SUM(LP1.score)}}/3

Total Score:

0.0/39

CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - SSO

Project Details

1. Please list all counties you will serve with this funding. Counties not included on this list are not eligible to be served through the TX BoS CoC's CoC Program Competition. (1 point)

2 or more counties served = 1 point

1 county served = 0 points

- ☒ 2 or more counties served = 1 point
- ☐ 1 county served = 0 points

4. Are you, or any of your CoC Program subrecipients, a Faith-Based Organization? (1 point)

Yes = 1 point

No = 0 points

- ☒ Yes= 1 point
- ☐ No= 0 points

Project details total score:

$\{\{SUM(PD1.score,PD2.score)\}\}/2$

Agency Capacity

1. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing Federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application. Please provide examples that illustrate: (3 points)

(A) Working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs,

(B) Developing and implementing relevant program and services,

(C) Identifying and securing match funds from a variety of sources, and

(D) Managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

3 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience administering Federal funds, with specific examples demonstrating successful performance.
- Provides detailed examples addressing all four areas (A–D), showing:
 - A: Strong experience working with the identified target population(s) and addressing their housing and service needs.
 - B: Demonstrated capacity to develop and implement relevant programs and services effectively.
 - C: A track record of identifying and securing match from multiple funding sources.
 - D: Established and reliable organizational operations, including robust financial and grants management systems.
- Demonstrates organizational readiness, capacity, and past performance consistent with successful CoC Program implementation.
- Provides sufficient detail and clarity to support confidence in the organization's ability to carry out the proposed project.

1.5 points if:

- Addresses experience with Federal funds and proposed activities, but lacks completeness, clarity, or specificity; OR
- Provides examples for some but not all four areas (A–D); OR
- Describes experience generally but does not clearly demonstrate past performance or organizational capacity; OR
- Adequately addresses experience but provides limited detail regarding financial systems or match funding practices.
- Overall, the response demonstrates partial capacity but is not fully developed or detailed.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience with Federal funds or proposed project activities; OR
 - Provides only vague or generic information that does not demonstrate organizational capacity; OR
 - Does not address most or all of the required areas (A–D); OR
 - Does not provide any meaningful examples of past performance.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☒ 0 points

2. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds (2 points)

2 points if:

Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience leveraging funding from all or most sources: Federal, State, local, and private sector.

- Provides specific examples demonstrating:
 - A track record of successfully securing and layering multiple funding streams.
 - Effective integration of these funds to support housing, services, and/or operations.
 - Strategic approaches to maximizing resources (e.g., partnerships, fundraising strategies, blended/collaborative funding models).
- Demonstrates strong organizational capacity and established systems for managing diverse funding sources.

1 point if:

- Addresses experience leveraging external funding, but provides limited detail or partial coverage of required funding types; OR
- Describes experience generally but lacks specific examples; OR
- Demonstrates capacity with some funding sources but not all levels (Federal, State, local, private); OR
- Indicates some experience but does not clearly show how leveraged funds supported program goals.
- Overall, the response shows some ability to leverage diverse resources but is not fully developed or comprehensive.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience leveraging multiple funding sources; OR

- Provides only vague or generic statements that do not demonstrate actual leveraged funding experience; OR
- Does not address most of the required funding categories; OR
- Does not provide any meaningful evidence of the organization's or subrecipient(s)' ability to secure or manage leveraged funds.
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☒ 0 points

3. Provide a description of the program management and financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant. Your response must include the accounting system used, your organization's system of checks and balances, and overall structure of how your organization will provide fiscal oversight to this grant. (2 points)

2 points if:

- Clearly identifies the financial accounting system used (e.g., specific software/platform) and demonstrates that it is appropriate for Federal grant management.
- Describes a well-defined system of checks and balances, such as separation of duties, approval workflows, internal controls, and reconciliation processes.
- Explains the organizational structure for fiscal oversight, including roles/responsibilities of staff or departments involved (e.g., finance department, grants management, executive oversight).
- Demonstrates strong program management practices, including monitoring, reporting, compliance tracking, and communication between program and finance staff.
- Provides sufficient detail to show the organization has reliable and compliant systems in place to administer the grant effectively.

1 point if:

- Identifies the accounting system but provides limited or general information about how it supports grant administration; OR
- Describes some checks and balances, but the description is incomplete or lacking clarity; OR
- Provides basic information about fiscal oversight structure, but does not clearly show strong internal controls or defined roles; OR
- Adequately describes either program management or financial oversight, but not both.
- Overall, the response demonstrates some capacity, but lacks the completeness or specificity needed for full points.

0 points if:

- Does not describe the accounting system, checks and balances, or oversight structure; OR
- Provides statements so vague or generic that they do not demonstrate an actual management or financial system; OR
- Omits key required components (e.g., fails to identify accounting system or internal controls); OR
- Does not demonstrate the organization has the systems needed to manage a Federal grant.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☒ 0 points

4. Select all project and funding types your organization has experience with in the past 5 years. Please indicate the type of project and the corresponding funding source. Applicants may enter up to 5 awards. If more than 5, please select the most relevant 5 to the proposed project. Leave blank if no relevant experience. (6 points)

Points awarded per the number of prior projects operated, as follows:

- 0-2 projects = 0 pts
 - 3 projects = 2 pts
 - 4 projects = 4 pts
 - 5 projects = 6 pts
-
- ☐ 0 points
 - ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 4 points
 - ☒ 6 points

Agency Capacity total score:

{{SUM(AC1.score,AC2.score,AC3.score,AC4.score)}}/13

SSO: Component Specific Questions

1. Please describe why this SSO supportive services project is necessary to help people exit homelessness, address barriers to

housing, and increase self-sufficiency. In your answer, describe:
(1 point)

A) The specific gaps or needs in your community that this project addresses;

B) How the services you will provide directly support exits from homelessness and increases in income, stability, or other self-sufficiency measures; and

C) How you will conduct an ongoing assessment of the service needs of program participants and how frequently (including how you gather input, review data, and adjust services based on the results).

1 point if:

Response clearly includes all three:

- CoC gaps/needs named that this project fills (e.g., lack of employment navigation, benefits enrollment capacity, transportation, language access, linkage from Coordinated Entry to services).
- Direct link to exits/self-sufficiency — explains how the specific services provided (what + brief frequency) drive exits from homelessness and increases in income/stability (e.g., employer MOUs/Workforce Innovations Opportunity Act co-enrollment, SSI/SSDI via SOAR, budgeting/tenancy skills, warm handoffs to Permanent Housing).
- Ongoing needs assessment process & frequency — states how often (e.g., at intake + 30/90 days, quarterly), how input is gathered (surveys, focus groups, case reviews), what data are reviewed (HMIS outcomes, Coordinated Entry referrals, data quality), and how services are adjusted based on results.

0 points if:

- Missing any of the three elements; or
 - Only generic claims (“services help people become self-sufficient”) with no CoC gap, no service→outcome link, or no assessment cadence/process.
-
- ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

2. Please describe your strategy for providing supportive services to eligible participants in this SSO project, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services. In your answer, explain: (2 points)

A) How you will identify and engage participants who have been unsheltered or disconnected from services;

B) The types of supportive services you will provide or coordinate; and

C) How your approach reduces barriers and increases participation for people who are reluctant, distrustful, or historically under-engaged in supportive services.

2 points if:

Covers all three with clear specifics:

- Identify & engage unsheltered/disconnected folks (e.g., Coordinated Entry/by-name list referrals, field outreach routes & hours, co-response with first responders, peer navigators, encampment protocols, safety planning).
- Service types + who provides them (in-house vs partners/MOUs) and basic frequency (e.g., weekly case management, same-day benefits screening, rapid behavioral health/medication assisted treatment linkage).
- Barrier reduction & participation: field enrollments, flexible hours, minimal paperwork, language/Americans with Disabilities Act access, accompaniment to appointments, incentives (bus passes/phones), trauma-informed/harm-reduction—explicitly aimed at reluctant/under-engaged people.

1 point if:

- Addresses some but not all elements, or uses generic language; or
- Good engagement plan but vague services/frequency; or
- Clear services but little on barrier-reduction for hard-to-engage; or
- Mentions tactics without indicating who provides services.

0 points if:

Generic statements with no specific engagement tactics, no clear service list, and/or no barrier-reduction plan for reluctant/under-engaged participants.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

3. Please describe how this SSO project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources. In your answer, identify: (1 point)

A) The specific mainstream health, social, and employment programs you will help participants access (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, WIOA/employment services);

B) Any additional public or private funding or in-kind resources (e.g., behavioral health providers, FQHCs, workforce partners, charitable or faith-based supports) that will complement the SSO services; and

C) How project staff will assist participants to apply for, enroll in, and maintain these benefits and resources, and how this will be documented (e.g., in HMIS or another data system).

1 point if:

Includes all three clearly:

- Specific mainstream programs named the project will help participants access (e.g., Medicaid/Medicare, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce, Vocational Rehab, Veterans Administration/Supportive Services for Veteran Families if relevant).
- Other funding/in-kind supports identified that complement SSO (e.g., behavioral-health provider/federally qualified health center MOUs, workforce partners, charitable/faith-based supports, city/county funds, transport passes, furniture/food banks)—ideally with partner names.

- Assistance & documentation process described (how staff apply/enroll/maintain benefits—field enrollments, warm handoffs, document collection—and how this is tracked in HMIS or a comparable system with case notes/scanned verifications/dashboards).

0 points if:

- Only vague mentions of “public/private resources” with no specific programs; or
 - No complementary funding/in-kind supports identified; or
 - No explanation of how staff enroll/maintain benefits and how it’s documented (no HMIS/comparable process).
- ☐ 1 point
☐ 0 points

4. Please describe how the services provided by this SSO project are cost-effective, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404. In your answer, describe: (1 point)

A) How you determine that service costs are reasonable in relation to the number, needs, and outcomes of participants served;

B) How your costs compare to similar projects or local benchmarks, if known; and

C) Any strategies you use to control or reduce costs while still delivering high-quality services that help participants exit homelessness and increase self-sufficiency.

1 point if:

- Response clearly includes all three:
- Reasonableness test: States how costs are judged relative to number served, acuity/needs, and outcomes (e.g., cost per participant, cost per successful exit/linkage, caseload ratios, outcome targets).
- Benchmark comparison: Briefly compares costs to similar local projects or benchmarks (prior years, peer agencies, CoC averages) and explains any difference.
- Cost-control strategies with quality intact: Names specific practices that reduce/control costs without degrading services (e.g., shared/mobile staffing, collaborative funding,

competitive procurement, route/scheduling efficiency, tele/field enrollment, targeted incentives) while still achieving exits/self-sufficiency gains.

0 points if:

- Missing any of the three: no reasonableness method, no benchmark comparison, or no cost-control strategies; or
 - Only generic claims (“our services are cost-effective”) with no numbers/process.
- ☐ 1 point
• ☐ 0 points

5. Will the project require Supportive Service Participation requirements in order to maintain project enrollment consistent with 24 CFR 578.75(h)? (6 points)

Yes = 6 points

No = 0 points

- ☐ Yes = 6 points
- ☐ No = 0 points

6. Using past or current performance outcomes, please indicate the percentage of persons who will exit the project with increased employment income as a result of their participation. (4 points)

4 pts if:

50% or more

2pts if:

25%- <50%

0pts if:

<25%

- ☐ 50% or more = 4 points
- ☐ 25% - <50% = 2 points
- ☐ <25 % = 0 points

7. Using past or current performance outcomes, respond to the following: (4 points)

Of the number that will exit to Permanent Housing annually, please provide the number of persons that return to homelessness in the following timeframes:

A) Less than 6 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

B) 6-12 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

C) 13 to 24 months

- 0-5%
- >5-10%
- >10%

4 points if:

0 responses are >10%

2 points if:

1 response is >10%

0 points if:

2 or more responses are >10%

- ☐ 4 points
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

SSO Component Specific Questions Total Score

$\{\{SUM(SSO1.score,SSO2.score,SSO3.score,SSO4.score,SSO5.score,SSO6.score,SSO7.score)\}$
 $\}/19$

Match Commitments and Monitoring History

3. In the last 5 years, has your agency had any funds recaptured (meaning reclaimed by the funder due to slow spending, monitoring findings, disallowed costs, or any other reason that required returning funds rather than using them as intended)?

If yes, please list the funding source, the grant start and end dates, the total grant award, and the amount recaptured. If more than five recaptures occurred, list only the five largest. Do not include any Pandemic Relief Funds. If none, please enter NA. (6 points)

6 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is between 0-3% of the total award

3 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is greater than 3% but less than 10%

0 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is more than 10% of the total award

The reviewer must calculate the Amount Recaptured as a percentage for each Funding Source where funds were recaptured in order to score this question.

To determine the percentage that was unspent, the reviewer should use the following formula:

$(\text{Amount recaptured} / \text{total award}) \times 100 = \% \text{ unspent}$

Example: \$10,000 recaptured divided by a total award of \$200,000 = 0.05. Then, 0.05 multiplied by 100 = 5%.

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 0 points

Match Commitments and Monitoring History Total Score:

$\{\{SUM(MCMH1.score)\}\}/6$

Leveraged Partnerships

2. Leveraged Partnerships Upload: Please upload one or more preliminary commitment letters on partner letterhead, signed and dated by an authorized representative.

Letters must describe resources or commitments that are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match. Resources counted toward match will not be eligible for local leverage/partnership points.

For full points, each letter must include:

- A) The partner organization name;
- B) The proposed project name (used consistently across application materials);
- C) A brief description of the services, resources, coordination, access, or implementation support the partner intends to provide;
- D) How the partnership will support project participants or project implementation;

E) The dates the benefit will be available (aligned with project dates in e-snaps); and

F) A statement attesting that the described resources or commitments are in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required 25% CoC Program Match.

3 points if:

- Applicant uploads one or more preliminary commitment letters that clearly demonstrate:
 - Specific, project-relevant partnerships that materially support project implementation or participant access to housing, services, treatment, or other community-based supports, and
 - The documentation clearly reflects that the described commitments are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match.

1.5 points if:

- Applicant uploads at least one preliminary commitment letter showing: a relevant partnership or resource commitment, but the documentation is less specific regarding the partner's role, the nature of the support, the anticipated dates of the benefit, or how the partnership supports project participants or project implementation.

0 points if:

- No qualifying preliminary commitment letter is uploaded; or the uploaded documentation is too vague to verify the partner's intended role; or the uploaded documentation reflects resources being counted toward the required 25% CoC Program Match; or the required attestation that the commitment is in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required Match is missing.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☐ 0 points

Leveraged Partnerships Total Score

{{SUM(LP1.score)}}/3

Total Score:

8.0/43

CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - Street Outreach

Project Details

1. Please list all counties you will serve with this funding. Counties not included on this list are not eligible to be served through the TX BoS CoC's CoC Program Competition. (1 point)

2 or more counties served = 1 point

1 county served = 0 points

- ☐ 2 or more counties served = 1 point
- ☐ 1 county served = 0 points

4. Are you, or any of your CoC Program subrecipients, a Faith-Based Organization? (1 point)

Yes = 1 point

No = 0 points

- ☐ Yes = 1 point
- ☐ No = 0 points

{{SUM(PD1.score,PD2.score)}}/2

Agency Capacity

1. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing Federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application. Please provide examples that illustrate: (3 points)

(A) Working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs,

(B) developing and implementing relevant program and services

(C) Identifying and securing match funds from a variety of sources, and

(D) Managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

3 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience administering Federal funds, with specific examples demonstrating successful performance.
- Provides detailed examples addressing all four areas (A–D), showing:
 - A: Strong experience working with the identified target population(s) and addressing their housing and service needs.
 - B: Demonstrated capacity to develop and implement relevant programs and services effectively.
 - C: A track record of identifying and securing match from multiple funding sources.
 - D: Established and reliable organizational operations, including robust financial and grants management systems.
- Demonstrates organizational readiness, capacity, and past performance consistent with successful CoC Program implementation.
- Provides sufficient detail and clarity to support confidence in the organization's ability to carry out the proposed project.

1.5 points if:

- Addresses experience with Federal funds and proposed activities, but lacks completeness, clarity, or specificity; OR
- Provides examples for some but not all four areas (A–D); OR
- Describes experience generally but does not clearly demonstrate past performance or organizational capacity; OR
- Adequately addresses experience but provides limited detail regarding financial systems or match funding practices.
- Overall, the response demonstrates partial capacity but is not fully developed or detailed.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience with Federal funds or proposed project activities; OR
- Provides only vague or generic information that does not demonstrate organizational capacity; OR
- Does not address most or all of the required areas (A–D); OR
- Does not provide any meaningful examples of past performance.

- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 1.5 points
- ☐ 0 points

2. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds (2 points)

2 points if:

Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience leveraging funding from all or most sources: Federal, State, local, and private sector.

- Provides specific examples demonstrating:
 - A track record of successfully securing and layering multiple funding streams.
 - Effective integration of these funds to support housing, services, and/or operations.
 - Strategic approaches to maximizing resources (e.g., partnerships, fundraising strategies, blended/collaborative funding models).
- Demonstrates strong organizational capacity and established systems for managing diverse funding sources.

1 point if:

- Addresses experience leveraging external funding, but provides limited detail or partial coverage of required funding types; OR
- Describes experience generally but lacks specific examples; OR
- Demonstrates capacity with some funding sources but not all levels (Federal, State, local, private); OR
- Indicates some experience but does not clearly show how leveraged funds supported program goals.
- Overall, the response shows some ability to leverage diverse resources but is not fully developed or comprehensive.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience leveraging multiple funding sources; OR
 - Provides only vague or generic statements that do not demonstrate actual leveraged funding experience; OR
 - Does not address most of the required funding categories; OR
 - Does not provide any meaningful evidence of the organization's or subrecipient(s)' ability to secure or manage leveraged funds.
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 1 point

- ○ 0 points

3. Provide a description of the program management and financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant. Your response must include the accounting system used, your organization's system of checks and balances, and overall structure of how your organization will provide fiscal oversight to this grant. (2 points)

2 points if:

- Clearly identifies the financial accounting system used (e.g., specific software/platform) and demonstrates that it is appropriate for Federal grant management.
- Describes a well-defined system of checks and balances, such as separation of duties, approval workflows, internal controls, and reconciliation processes.
- Explains the organizational structure for fiscal oversight, including roles/responsibilities of staff or departments involved (e.g., finance department, grants management, executive oversight).
- Demonstrates strong program management practices, including monitoring, reporting, compliance tracking, and communication between program and finance staff.
- Provides sufficient detail to show the organization has reliable and compliant systems in place to administer the grant effectively.

1 point if:

- Identifies the accounting system but provides limited or general information about how it supports grant administration; OR
- Describes some checks and balances, but the description is incomplete or lacking clarity; OR
- Provides basic information about fiscal oversight structure, but does not clearly show strong internal controls or defined roles; OR
- Adequately describes either program management or financial oversight, but not both.
- Overall, the response demonstrates some capacity, but lacks the completeness or specificity needed for full points.

0 points if:

- Does not describe the accounting system, checks and balances, or oversight structure; OR
- Provides statements so vague or generic that they do not demonstrate an actual management or financial system; OR
- Omits key required components (e.g., fails to identify accounting system or internal controls); OR
- Does not demonstrate the organization has the systems needed to manage a Federal grant.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

4. Select all project and funding types your organization has experience with in the past 5 years. Please indicate the type of project and the corresponding funding source. Applicants may enter up to 5 awards. If more than 5, please select the most relevant 5 to the proposed project. Leave blank if no relevant experience. (6 points)

Points awarded per the number of prior projects operated, as follows:

- 0-2 projects = 0 pts
 - 3 projects = 2 pts
 - 4 projects = 4 pts
 - 5 projects = 6 pts
-
- ☐ 0 points
 - ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 4 points
 - ☐ 6 points

Agency Capacity total score:

$\{\{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score)\}\}/13$

Street Outreach: Component Specific Questions

1. Describe how this SSO–Street Outreach project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources. In your answer, identify: (1 pt)

A) The specific mainstream health, social, and employment programs you will connect people to (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, TANF, SNAP, WIOA/employment services);

B) Any additional public or private funding or in-kind resources that will support outreach and services (e.g., clinic partnerships, behavioral health providers, faith/community-based supports); and

C) How your outreach staff will help participants access and enroll in these resources, and how this will be documented (e.g., in HMIS or another data system).

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three:

- Specific mainstream programs named
 - Examples: Medicaid/Medicare, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce Board, Vocational Rehab, VA/SSVF (if veterans).
- Other funding/in-kind supports identified
 - Examples: behavioral-health clinic/managed care organizations MOUs, Federally Qualified Healthcare Center/mobile clinic, county/city funds, United Way/philanthropy, faith/community orgs, food/furniture banks, transportation passes—with partner names if possible.
- Access, enrollment, and documentation process stated
- How outreach helps enroll (field enrollments, warm handoffs, appointment transport), and how it's documented (e.g., HMIS service/referral fields, comparable database for Domestic Violence, scanned verifications/case notes, monthly benefits dashboard).

0 points if:

- Only vague mentions of “public/private resources” with no specific programs; or
 - No additional funding/in-kind supports identified; or
 - No explanation of how staff enroll people or how it's documented (no HMIS/comparable process).
-
- ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

2. Describe your strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants in this SSO—Street Outreach project, including those with histories of unsheltered

homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services. In your answer, explain: (2 points)

A) How you will engage and build trust with people who are unsheltered and service-resistant;

B) The types of supportive services you will offer or connect participants to; and

C) How your approach is tailored to reduce barriers and increase participation among people who have not typically used homeless or mainstream service systems.

2 points if:

The response clearly covers all three items with specifics:

- Engagement & trust with unsheltered/service-resistant people
- Concrete tactics (e.g., consistent route coverage & hours, harm-reduction, trauma-informed & peer outreach, warm beverages/gear, safety planning, encampment protocols, language access).
- Supportive services offered or linked.
- Clear list that outreach provides/connects to: Coordinated Entry/housing navigation, ID/docs, benefits (SSI/SSDI, SNAP, Medicaid), health/behavioral health/Medication-Assisted Treatment, basic needs, employment/Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act, transportation, legal aid, aftercare/warm handoffs.
- Barrier reduction & increased participation.
- Tailored, low-barrier approach: field-based enrollments, flexible hours, accompaniment to appointments, minimal paperwork, mobile clinics, translation/Americans with Disabilities Act accommodations, incentives (bus passes, phones), clear plan for people who avoid mainstream systems.

0 points if:

- Addresses some but not all of the three areas, or uses mostly generic language, Example:
 - Good engagement tactics but vague service list; or
 - Solid service list but no clear barrier-reduction plan; or
 - Mentions “building trust” without how, or lists services without unsheltered-specific strategies.
- Mostly generic statements (“we do outreach and offer services”) with no specifics, or
- Omits one or more of: engagement approach, service list, or barrier-reduction methods.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

3. Describe your organization's history of partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation and connect them to emergency shelter, treatment programs, family reunification, transitional housing, or independent living. In your answer, provide specific examples of: (1 pt)

A) How you have coordinated outreach or responses with law enforcement and other first responders in encampments or other unsheltered locations;

B) How these partnerships have led to successful placements into shelter, treatment, family reunification, or housing; and

C) How your organization cooperates with, assists, and does not interfere with or impede law enforcement in enforcing local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws while still working to connect people to services and housing

1 point if:

Response includes specific examples for all three items:

- Coordination in encampments/unsheltered areas (e.g., co-response schedules, joint ride-alongs, dispatch protocols, warm handoffs).
- Results of the partnership (counts/percentages or concrete cases of placements into shelter, treatment, family reunification, TH, or housing).
- Cooperation without impeding enforcement (e.g., written Standard Operating Procedures/MOU stating outreach cooperates/assists with lawful enforcement of camping/drug-use laws while conducting engagement and referrals; describes how staff maintain safety and continue service connections during/after enforcement actions).

0 points if:

- Missing any of the three elements, or

- Only generic statements with no concrete examples of coordination or outcomes, or
- No clear statement on cooperating/assisting enforcement (or language suggesting interference).
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

4. Please describe your organization's experience providing outreach services consistent with 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) (e.g., engagement, case management, crisis intervention, referral and follow-up for people living in places not meant for human habitation). If your agency does not have experience operating SO services, please clearly describe your plan to provide SO. In your answer, identify: (1 point)

A) The outreach projects or activities you have operated (including timeframes and target populations);

B) How these outreach services align with the CoC Program outreach activity description; and

C) Any available outcome data or examples demonstrating your effectiveness at helping people exit unsheltered locations to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs.

D) If you have not yet met these benchmarks, describe the concrete strategies and partnerships you will use to reach them for this project.

1 point if:

Response demonstrates either demonstrated performance or a strong, credible implementation plan, including:

- Projects/activities + timeframes + target populations (e.g., “Encampment Outreach 2022–present; veterans, chronically homeless”).
- Explicit alignment with 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) (engagement, case management, crisis intervention, referral, follow-up for people in places not meant for habitation).
- One of the following pathways:
 - Performance pathway (experienced providers): Includes outcomes/examples showing exits from unsheltered to shelter, treatment, TH, or Permanent Housing (percent or counts, with timeframe); OR
 - Capacity-building pathway (providers with little or no experience): Provides specific, detailed strategies and formal or planned partnerships (e.g., MOUs, coordinated outreach teams, health providers, street medicine, law enforcement, or CoC partners) that demonstrate a credible ability to achieve these outcomes, including how progress will be tracked.

0 points if:

- Missing alignment with 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13); or
 - Lacks specificity (no defined populations, activities, geography, or timeframe); or
 - No outcomes/examples and no concrete strategies/partnerships; or
 - Relies only on general intentions without an actionable plan.
- ☐ 1 point
☐ 0 points

5. Please describe how the supportive services provided by this SSO–Street Outreach project are cost-effective, consistent with 24 CFR 200.404. In your answer, describe: (1 point)

A) How you determine that service costs are reasonable in relation to the number and needs of people served;

B) How your costs compare to similar outreach or service projects, if known; and

C) Any steps you take to control costs while still achieving strong housing and service outcomes for participants.

1 point if:

Response clearly includes all three:

- Reasonableness test.
- States how they judge costs vs. number/needs served (e.g., cost per contact/engagement, cost per successful placement, caseload ratios, acuity mix).
- Comparison to similar projects.
- Brief benchmark to local or similar outreach programs (prior years, peer counties, CoC averages) and explains any difference.
- Cost controls with outcomes protected.
- Concrete steps (e.g., shared staffing/mobile teams, collaborative funding, competitive procurement, mileage/route planning, telehealth, targeted incentives) and a note that housing/service outcomes remain strong (e.g., placements, linkages).

0 point if:

- Missing any of: reasonableness method, benchmark comparison, or cost-control steps; or
 - Only generic claims with no numbers/process.
- ☐ 1 point
☐ 0 points

6. Will the project require supportive service participation requirements to maintain project enrollment? (6pts)

6 points if:

- Yes

0 points if:

- No
- ☐ Yes = 6 points
- ☐ No = 0 points

7. Using past or current performance outcomes, please indicate the percentage of persons who will exit the project with increased employment income as a result of their participation. (4 pts)

50% or more

25%- <50%

<25%

4 points if:

- 50% or more

2 points if:

- 25%- <50%

0 points if:

- <25%
- ☐ 4 pts
- ☐ 2 pts
- ☐ 0 pts

8. Using past or current performance outcomes, respond to the following: (4 pts)

Of the number that will exit to Permanent Housing annually, please provide the number of persons that return to homelessness in the following timeframes:

8A) Less than 6 months

8B) 6-12 months

8C) 13 to 24 months

4 points if:

- No responses selected are >10%

2 points if:

- No more than 1 response selected is >10%

0 points if:

- Any response selected is >10%
- ☐ 4 points
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

Street Outreach Component Specific Questions total score:

{{SUM(SO1.score,SO2.score,SO3.score,SO4.score,SO5.score,SO6.score,SO7.score,SO8.score)}}

}}/20

Match Commitments and Monitoring History

3. In the last 5 years, has your agency had any funds recaptured (meaning reclaimed by the funder due to slow spending, monitoring findings, disallowed costs, or any other reason that required returning funds rather than using them as intended)?

If yes, please list the funding source, the grant start and end dates, the total grant award, and the amount recaptured. If more than five recaptures occurred, list only the five largest. Do not include any Pandemic Relief Funds. If none, please enter NA. (6 points)

6 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is between 0-3% of the total award

3 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is greater than 3% but less than 10%

0 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is more than 10% of the total award

The reviewer must calculate the Amount Recaptured as a percentage for each Funding Source where funds were recaptured in order to score this question.

To determine the percentage that was unspent, the reviewer should use the following formula:

$(\text{Amount recaptured} / \text{total award}) \times 100 = \% \text{ unspent}$

Example: \$10,000 recaptured divided by a total award of \$200,000 = 0.05. Then, 0.05 multiplied by 100 = 5%.

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 0 points

Match Commitments and Monitoring History total score:

$\{\{\text{SUM}(\text{MCMH1.score})\}\}/6$

Leveraged Partnerships

2. Leveraged Partnerships Upload: Please upload one or more preliminary commitment letters on partner letterhead, signed and dated by an authorized representative.

Letters must describe resources or commitments that are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match. Resources counted toward match will not be eligible for local leverage/partnership points.

For full points, each letter must include:

- A) The partner organization name;
- B) The proposed project name (used consistently across application materials);
- C) A brief description of the services, resources, coordination, access, or implementation support the partner intends to provide;
- D) How the partnership will support project participants or project implementation;

E) The dates the benefit will be available (aligned with project dates in e-snaps); and

F) A statement attesting that the described resources or commitments are in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required 25% CoC Program Match.

3 points if:

- Applicant uploads one or more preliminary commitment letters that clearly demonstrate:
 - Specific, project-relevant partnerships that materially support project implementation or participant access to housing, services, treatment, or other community-based supports, and
 - The documentation clearly reflects that the described commitments are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match.

1.5 points if:

- Applicant uploads at least one preliminary commitment letter showing: a relevant partnership or resource commitment, but the documentation is less specific regarding the partner's role, the nature of the support, the anticipated dates of the benefit, or how the partnership supports project participants or project implementation.

0 points if:

- No qualifying preliminary commitment letter is uploaded; or the uploaded documentation is too vague to verify the partner's intended role; or the uploaded documentation reflects resources being counted toward the required 25% CoC Program Match; or the required attestation that the commitment is in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required Match is missing.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☐ 0 points

Leveraged Partnerships total score:

{{SUM(LP1.score)}}/3

Total Score:

0.0/44

CoC Bonus - IRT Assessment - TH

Project Details

1. Please list all counties you will serve with this funding. Counties not included on this list are not eligible to be served through the TX BoS CoC's CoC Program Competition. (1 point)

2 or more counties served = 1 point

1 county served = 0 points

- ☐ 2 or more counties served = 1 point
- ☐ 1 county served = 0 points

4. Are you, or any of your CoC Program subrecipients, a Faith-Based Organization? (1 point)

Yes = 1 point

No = 0 points

- ☐ Yes = 1 point
- ☐ No = 0 points

Project Details total score:

$\{\{SUM(PD1.score, PD2.score)\}\}/2$

Agency Capacity

1. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing Federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application. Please provide examples that illustrate: (3 points)

- (A) Working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs,
- (B) developing and implementing relevant program and services
- (C) Identifying and securing match funds from a variety of sources, and
- (D) Managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

3 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience administering Federal funds, with specific examples demonstrating successful performance.
- Provides detailed examples addressing all four areas (A–D), showing:
 - A: Strong experience working with the identified target population(s) and addressing their housing and service needs.
 - B: Demonstrated capacity to develop and implement relevant programs and services effectively.
 - C: A track record of identifying and securing match from multiple funding sources.
 - D: Established and reliable organizational operations, including robust financial and grants management systems.
- Demonstrates organizational readiness, capacity, and past performance consistent with successful CoC Program implementation.
- Provides sufficient detail and clarity to support confidence in the organization's ability to carry out the proposed project.

1.5 points if:

- Addresses experience with Federal funds and proposed activities, but lacks completeness, clarity, or specificity; OR
- Provides examples for some but not all four areas (A–D); OR
- Describes experience generally but does not clearly demonstrate past performance or organizational capacity; OR
- Adequately addresses experience but provides limited detail regarding financial systems or match funding practices.
- Overall, the response demonstrates partial capacity but is not fully developed or detailed.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience with Federal funds or proposed project activities; OR
 - Provides only vague or generic information that does not demonstrate organizational capacity; OR
 - Does not address most or all of the required areas (A–D); OR
 - Does not provide any meaningful examples of past performance.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☐ 0 points

2. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds (2 points)

2 points if:

Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience leveraging funding from all or most sources: Federal, State, local, and private sector.

- Provides specific examples demonstrating:
 - A track record of successfully securing and layering multiple funding streams.
 - Effective integration of these funds to support housing, services, and/or operations.
 - Strategic approaches to maximizing resources (e.g., partnerships, fundraising strategies, blended/collaborative funding models).
- Demonstrates strong organizational capacity and established systems for managing diverse funding sources.

1 point if:

- Addresses experience leveraging external funding, but provides limited detail or partial coverage of required funding types; OR
- Describes experience generally but lacks specific examples; OR
- Demonstrates capacity with some funding sources but not all levels (Federal, State, local, private); OR
- Indicates some experience but does not clearly show how leveraged funds supported program goals.
- Overall, the response shows some ability to leverage diverse resources but is not fully developed or comprehensive.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience leveraging multiple funding sources; OR

- Provides only vague or generic statements that do not demonstrate actual leveraged funding experience; OR
 - Does not address most of the required funding categories; OR
 - Does not provide any meaningful evidence of the organization's or subrecipient(s)' ability to secure or manage leveraged funds.
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

3. Provide a description of the program management and financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant. Your response must include the accounting system used, your organization's system of checks and balances, and overall structure of how your organization will provide fiscal oversight to this grant. (2 points)

2 points if:

- Clearly identifies the financial accounting system used (e.g., specific software/platform) and demonstrates that it is appropriate for Federal grant management.
- Describes a well-defined system of checks and balances, such as separation of duties, approval workflows, internal controls, and reconciliation processes.
- Explains the organizational structure for fiscal oversight, including roles/responsibilities of staff or departments involved (e.g., finance department, grants management, executive oversight).
- Demonstrates strong program management practices, including monitoring, reporting, compliance tracking, and communication between program and finance staff.
- Provides sufficient detail to show the organization has reliable and compliant systems in place to administer the grant effectively.

1 point if:

- Identifies the accounting system but provides limited or general information about how it supports grant administration; OR
- Describes some checks and balances, but the description is incomplete or lacking clarity; OR
- Provides basic information about fiscal oversight structure, but does not clearly show strong internal controls or defined roles; OR
- Adequately describes either program management or financial oversight, but not both.
- Overall, the response demonstrates some capacity, but lacks the completeness or specificity needed for full points.

0 points if:

- Does not describe the accounting system, checks and balances, or oversight structure; OR
 - Provides statements so vague or generic that they do not demonstrate an actual management or financial system; OR
 - Omits key required components (e.g., fails to identify accounting system or internal controls); OR
 - Does not demonstrate the organization has the systems needed to manage a Federal grant.
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

4. Select all project and funding types your organization has experience with in the past 5 years. Please indicate the type of project and the corresponding funding source. Applicants may enter up to 5 awards. If more than 5, please select the most relevant 5 to the proposed project. Leave blank if no relevant experience. (6 points)

Points awarded per the number of prior projects operated, as follows:

- 0-2 projects = 0 pts
 - 3 projects = 2 pts
 - 4 projects = 4 pts
 - 5 projects = 6 pts
- ☐ 0 points
 - ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 4 points
 - ☐ 6 points

Agency Capacity total score:

{{SUM(AC1.score,AC2.score,AC3.score,AC4.score)}}/13

Transitional Housing: Component Specific Questions

1. Please describe how this Transitional Housing project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services necessary to assist program

participants to obtain and maintain permanent housing. (2 points)

In your answer, clearly identify:

A) Which supportive services (behavioral healthcare, job training, case management, etc.) will be provided directly by your organization and which will be provided through formal partnerships (e.g., MOUs, contracts);

B) How often and in what ways participants will access these services (on-site, off-site, virtual); and

C) How these services support participants in moving from transitional housing to permanent housing and promote long-term housing stability after exit (housing-focused services).

2 points if:

- Who does what: Lists key services (housing search/landlord work, case management, mental health/substance use disorder care, benefits/SSI–SSDI, employment, tenancy skills, move-in help, aftercare) and says which are in-house vs. via named partners (MOUs/contracts).
- Access details: Says how often services happen (e.g., weekly, monthly, at intake + 30 days) and where/how (on-site, off-site, virtual).
- Housing focus: Explains the step-by-step path from TH → Permanent Housing (landlord pipeline/Public Housing Authority, deposits/utility help) and has aftercare (e.g., 90–180 days of check-ins).

0 points if:

- Generic statements (“we provide support as needed”), no service list, no partner roles, or
 - No frequency or access info, or
 - No link to moving into Permanent Housing or staying housed after exit.
-
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 0 points

2. Please describe your organization's prior experience operating Transitional Housing or other housing projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months. If your agency does not have experience operating Transitional Housing, please provide your plan to address these benchmarks. (1 point)

In your answer, identify:

A) The types of projects operated (e.g., TH, RRH, PSH, other housing-focused programs);

B) The time period you operated these projects; and

C) Any available outcome data showing that participants exited homelessness to permanent or stable housing within 24 months (include percentages or numbers, if available)

D) If you have not yet met these benchmarks, describe the concrete strategies, partnerships, and plan you will use to reach them for this project.

1 point if:

Response clearly includes either of the following:

- For providers with prior experience:
 - Project types listed (e.g., TH, RRH, PSH, other housing-focused programs), and
 - Time period stated (years operated or start–end dates), and
 - Outcome data provided showing exits to permanent or stable housing within 24 months (percent or count), tied to those projects.

OR

- For providers with no prior experience:
 - Clearly states that the organization does not have prior experience meeting the benchmarks, and

- Describes concrete strategies, partnerships, and a realistic plan to achieve successful exits to permanent or stable housing within 24 months for the proposed project.

0 points if:

For experienced applicants:

- Missing one or more of the three: project types, time period, outcome data; or
- Provides only general statements of success (“strong results”) with no numbers/percentages; or
- Data not tied to exits within 24 months (unclear timeframe).

For applicants without experience:

- Does not provide a clear or actionable plan to achieve housing outcomes, or
- Provides vague or general strategies without identifying concrete approaches or partnerships, or
- Does not address how the project will meet the 24-month housing exit benchmark.
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

3. Please describe your organization’s past or current performance—and/or your specific plan—to ensure that participants in this project achieve both of the following outcomes within 24 months: (1) at least 50% exit to permanent housing, and (2) at least 50% exit with employment income, as documented in HMIS or another data system you use. In your answer, identify: (4 points)

A) Any existing projects where you have already met or exceeded these benchmarks (include timeframes and outcome data, if available); and

B) If you have not yet met these benchmarks, the concrete strategies, partnerships, and tracking methods you will use to reach them for this project

4 points if:

Applicant does both of the following:

A) Addresses both benchmarks clearly

- Speaks to both outcomes: $\geq 50\%$ exit to permanent housing and $\geq 50\%$ exit with employment income within 24 months.

B) Provides EITHER past performance OR a concrete plan

- Past performance path: Names project(s) and timeframe(s) where both benchmarks were met/exceeded, with numbers or % and cites HMIS/other system as the source.
- Example: “RRH, 2023–2024: 58% exited to Permanent Housing; 54% exited with employment (HMIS Annual Performance Report).”

OR

- Plan path (if benchmarks not yet met): Lists specific strategies (e.g., dedicated job developer, employer MOUs/contracts, rapid Permanent Housing search/landlord pipeline), named partners (workforce board, Public Housing Authority, clinics), and tracking methods (HMIS fields/reports, monthly dashboards) tied to the 24-month timeline.

0 points if:

- Fails to address both benchmarks, or
 - Only generic statements (no data, no named strategies/partners, no HMIS/tracking), or
 - Past results or plans are not tied to a 24-month window.
-
- ☐ 4 points
 - ☐ 0 points

4. Please describe how this project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private funding sources. In your answer, identify: (1 point)

A) The specific mainstream health, social, and employment programs you will connect participants to (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, TANF, SNAP, WIOA/employment services);

B) Any other public or private funding or in-kind resources that will support project operations or services; and

C) How you will track and document participants' access to these resources (e.g., in HMIS or another data system).

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three elements:

- Specific mainstream programs named the project will connect participants to, (e.g., Medicare/Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce Board, Vocational Rehab, HUD-VASH if veterans, etc.).
- Other funding/in-kind supports identified for operations/services, (e.g., city/county funds, United Way, hospital/managed-care contracts, philanthropy, food bank, furniture bank, legal aid, transportation passes), ideally with brief partner names or MOUs.
- Tracking/documentation method stated (e.g., HMIS fields/referrals, comparable database for Domestic Violence, case-note templates, benefit verification uploads, monthly dashboards).

0 points if:

- Lists only vague "public/private resources" with no specific programs, or
 - Mentions programs but no other funding/in-kind supports, or
 - Omits how tracking/documentation will occur (no HMIS/comparable system/process).
-
- ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

5. Please describe the proposed Transitional Housing project's approach to assessing participant service needs, delivering individualized supportive services, and supporting participant engagement in services, activities, and/or employment during program participation. In your answer, describe: (2 points)

A) How the project will assess the service needs of program participants;

B) How the project will provide individualized services, activities, and/or employment opportunities during participants' time in Transitional Housing so that participants are engaged for

up to 20 hours per week, as applicable under the FY26 CoC Program NOFO;

C) How the project will identify and make exceptions, where applicable, for participants over age 62, participants with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3, and participants with a developmental disability as defined in 24 CFR 578.3; and

D) How the project will create individualized service plans for each participant.

2 points if:

Response clearly describes all the following:

- Explains how participant service needs will be assessed at intake and on an ongoing basis
- Describes how individualized supportive services, activities, and/or employment opportunities will be provided to participants
- Clearly explains how participants will be engaged in services, activities, and/or employment for up to 20 hours per week (as applicable)
- Identifies specific types of services or activities (ex., case management, employment services, behavioral health) and distinguishes what is required versus optional, if applicable
- Explains how participation expectations are communicated to participants (ex., orientation, service agreements, ongoing case management)
- Describes how the project will identify and implement appropriate exceptions for participants over age 62, participants with handicaps, or participants with developmental disabilities
- Describes how individualized service plans will be developed and used to support participant goals, including housing stability, health/wellness, and/or employment

1 point if:

Response includes some but not all of the following:

- Describes needs assessment or services, but lacks detail on how they are implemented or individualized
- References engagement in services or activities but does not clearly explain how the 20-hour expectation will be achieved
- Lists services or activities but does not clearly distinguish required participation or how expectations are communicated

- Mentions exceptions for certain populations but does not clearly explain how they will be identified or applied
- Mentions service plans but does not explain how they are developed or used

0 points if:

- Does not clearly describe how participant needs are assessed, or
- Does not describe how services or activities are structured to support engagement, or
- Does not explain how participants will meet the 20-hour engagement expectation (where applicable), or
- Does not address exceptions for participants over age 62 or participants with disabilities, or
- Does not describe individualized service planning, or
- Response is vague, general, or does not demonstrate a clear approach to delivering and managing supportive services.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

5a. Supportive Service Requirement Upload: If the project plans to require supportive service participation from program participants, attach a sample supportive service agreement (such as a contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent) that clearly shows the participant's obligation to engage in supportive services as a condition of program participation consistent with 24 CFR 578.75(h). (6 points)

6 points if:

An upload is provided that: 1) clearly states which Supportive Services are required to maintain participation, and 2) Requires the participant to acknowledge and agree to the participation terms (i.e. there is a field for the client and agency to sign and date the form)

0 points if:

An upload is provided that: 1) does not state Supportive Services are required to maintain participation, and/or 2) does not require the participant to acknowledge and agree to the participation terms (i.e., there is no field for the client and agency to sign and date the form)

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 0 points

6. Please explain how the average cost per household served for this project is reasonable and necessary, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404. In your answer, describe: (1 point)

A) How you calculated the average cost per household (including total annual project cost and projected households served);

B) How this cost compares to similar projects or local/community benchmarks; and

C) Any steps you take to ensure costs are economical while still achieving the project's housing and service outcomes.

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three items:

- How average cost/household was calculated.
- States total annual project cost and projected households served, and shows the math (e.g., "\$600,000 / 50 household = \$12,000 per household").
- Comparison to similar projects/benchmarks.
- Compares the figure to local/community benchmarks or similar projects (e.g., prior TH projects, county/CoC averages) and briefly explains any variance.
- Economy measures while maintaining outcomes.
- Lists concrete steps to keep costs economical without hurting housing/service results (e.g., shared staffing, braided funding for services, competitive procurement, reasonable administrative costs, leveraging in-kind, landlord incentives only as needed).

0 points if:

- Missing any of the three: no clear math, no benchmark comparison, or no cost-control steps; OR
- Only generic claims ("costs are reasonable") with no numbers or specifics.
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

Transitional Housing Component Specific Questions total score:

{{SUM(TH1.score,TH2.score,TH3.score,TH4.score,TH5.score,TH6.score,TH7.score)}}/17

Match Commitments and Monitoring History

3. In the last 5 years, has your agency had any funds recaptured (meaning reclaimed by the funder due to slow spending, monitoring findings, disallowed costs, or any other reason that required returning funds rather than using them as intended)?

If yes, please list the funding source, the grant start and end dates, the total grant award, and the amount recaptured. If more than five recaptures occurred, list only the five largest. Do not include any Pandemic Relief Funds. If none, please enter NA. (6 points)

6 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is between 0-3% of the total award

3 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is greater than 3% but less than 10%

0 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is more 10% of the total award

The reviewer must calculate the Amount Recaptured as a percentage for each Funding Source where funds were recaptured in order to score this question.

To determine the percentage that was unspent, the reviewer should use the following formula:

$(\text{Amount recaptured} / \text{total award}) \times 100 = \% \text{ unspent}$

Example: \$10,000 recaptured divided by a total award of \$200,000 = 0.05. Then, 0.05 multiplied by 100 = 5%

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 0 points

Match Commitments and Monitoring History total score:

{{SUM(MCMH1.score)}}/6

Leveraged Partnerships

2. Leveraged Partnerships Upload: Please upload one or more preliminary commitment letters on partner letterhead, signed and dated by an authorized representative.

Letters must describe resources or commitments that are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match. Resources counted toward match will not be eligible for local leverage/partnership points.

For full points, each letter must include:

- A) The partner organization name;
- B) The proposed project name (used consistently across application materials);
- C) A brief description of the services, resources, coordination, access, or implementation support the partner intends to provide;
- D) How the partnership will support project participants or project implementation;
- E) The dates the benefit will be available (aligned with project dates in e-snaps); and
- F) A statement attesting that the described resources or commitments are in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required 25% CoC Program Match.

3 points if:

- Applicant uploads one or more preliminary commitment letters that clearly demonstrate:
 - Specific, project-relevant partnerships that materially support project implementation or participant access to housing, services, treatment, or other community-based supports, and
 - The documentation clearly reflects that the described commitments are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match.

1.5 points if:

- Applicant uploads at least one preliminary commitment letter showing: a relevant partnership or resource commitment, but the documentation is less specific regarding the partner's role, the nature of the support, the anticipated dates of the benefit, or how the partnership supports project participants or project implementation.

0 points if:

- No qualifying preliminary commitment letter is uploaded; or the uploaded documentation is too vague to verify the partner's intended role; or the uploaded documentation reflects resources being counted toward the required 25% CoC Program Match; or the required attestation that the commitment is in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required Match is missing.
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 1.5 points
- ☐ 0 points

Leveraged Partnerships Total Score

{{SUM(LP1.score)}}/3

Total Score:

0.0/41

DV Bonus - IRT Assessment - DV-TH

Project Details

1. Please list all counties you will serve with this funding. Counties not included on this list are not eligible to be served through the TX BoS CoC's CoC Program Competition. (1 point)

2 or more counties served = 1 point

1 county served = 0 points

- ☐ 2 or more counties served = 1 point
- ☐ 1 county served = 0 points

4. Are you, or any of your CoC Program subrecipients, a Faith-Based Organization? (1 point)

- ☐ Yes = 1 point
- ☐ No = 0 points

Project Details Total Score

{{SUM(PD1.score,PD4.score)}}/2

Agency Capacity

1. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing Federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application. Please provide examples that illustrate: (3 points)

(A) Working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs,

(B) Developing and implementing relevant program and services

(C) Identifying and securing match funds from a variety of sources, and

(D) Managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

3 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience administering Federal funds, with specific examples demonstrating successful performance.
- Provides detailed examples addressing all four areas (A–D), showing:
 - A: Strong experience working with the identified target population(s) and addressing their housing and service needs.
 - B: Demonstrated capacity to develop and implement relevant programs and services effectively.
 - C: A track record of identifying and securing match from multiple funding sources.
 - D: Established and reliable organizational operations, including robust financial and grants management systems.
- Demonstrates organizational readiness, capacity, and past performance consistent with successful CoC Program implementation.
- Provides sufficient detail and clarity to support confidence in the organization's ability to carry out the proposed project.

1.5 points if:

- Addresses experience with Federal funds and proposed activities, but lacks completeness, clarity, or specificity; OR
- Provides examples for some but not all four areas (A–D); OR
- Describes experience generally but does not clearly demonstrate past performance or organizational capacity; OR
- Adequately addresses experience but provides limited detail regarding financial systems or match funding practices.
- Overall, the response demonstrates partial capacity but is not fully developed or detailed.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience with Federal funds or proposed project activities; OR
- Provides only vague or generic information that does not demonstrate organizational capacity; OR

- Does not address most or all of the required areas (A–D); OR
- Does not provide any meaningful examples of past performance.
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 1.5 points
- ☐ 0 points

2. Describe your organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local and private sector funds

2 points if:

- Clearly describes the organization's and any subrecipients' experience leveraging funding from all or most sources: Federal, State, local, and private sector.
- Provides specific examples demonstrating:
 - A track record of successfully securing and layering multiple funding streams.
 - Effective integration of these funds to support housing, services, and/or operations.
 - Strategic approaches to maximizing resources (e.g., partnerships, fundraising strategies, blended/collaborative funding models).
- Demonstrates strong organizational capacity and established systems for managing diverse funding sources.

1 point if:

- Addresses experience leveraging external funding, but provides limited detail or partial coverage of required funding types; OR
- Describes experience generally but lacks specific examples; OR
- Demonstrates capacity with some funding sources but not all levels (Federal, State, local, private); OR
- Indicates some experience but does not clearly show how leveraged funds supported program goals.
- Overall, the response shows some ability to leverage diverse resources but is not fully developed or comprehensive.

0 points if:

- Does not describe relevant experience leveraging multiple funding sources; OR
- Provides only vague or generic statements that do not demonstrate actual leveraged funding experience; OR
- Does not address most of the required funding categories; OR
- Does not provide any meaningful evidence of the organization's or subrecipient(s)' ability to secure or manage leveraged funds.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

3. Provide a description of the program management and financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant. Your response must include the accounting system used, your organization's system of checks and balances, and overall structure of how your organization will provide fiscal oversight to this grant. (2 points)

2 points if:

- Clearly identifies the financial accounting system used (e.g., specific software/platform) and demonstrates that it is appropriate for Federal grant management.
- Describes a well-defined system of checks and balances, such as separation of duties, approval workflows, internal controls, and reconciliation processes.
- Explains the organizational structure for fiscal oversight, including roles/responsibilities of staff or departments involved (e.g., finance department, grants management, executive oversight).
- Demonstrates strong program management practices, including monitoring, reporting, compliance tracking, and communication between program and finance staff.
- Provides sufficient detail to show the organization has reliable and compliant systems in place to administer the grant effectively.

1 point if:

- Identifies the accounting system but provides limited or general information about how it supports grant administration; OR
- Describes some checks and balances, but the description is incomplete or lacking clarity; OR
- Provides basic information about fiscal oversight structure, but does not clearly show strong internal controls or defined roles; OR
- Adequately describes either program management or financial oversight, but not both.
- Overall, the response demonstrates some capacity, but lacks the completeness or specificity needed for full points.

0 points if:

- Does not describe the accounting system, checks and balances, or oversight structure; OR
- Provides statements so vague or generic that they do not demonstrate an actual management or financial system; OR
- Omits key required components (e.g., fails to identify accounting system or internal controls); OR

- Does not demonstrate the organization has the systems needed to manage a Federal grant.
- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

4. Select all project and funding types your organization has experience with in the past 5 years. Please indicate the type of project and the corresponding funding source. Applicants may enter up to 5 awards. If more than 5, please select the most relevant 5 to the proposed project. Leave blank if no relevant experience. (6 points)

Points awarded per the number of prior projects operated, as follows:

0-2 projects = 0 points

3 projects = 2 points

4 projects = 4 points

5 projects = 6 points

- ☐ 0-2 projects = 0 points
- ☐ 3 projects = 2 points
- ☐ 4 projects = 4 points
- ☐ 5 projects = 6 points

Agency Capacity total score:

$\{\{SUM(AC1.score, AC2.score, AC3.score, AC4.score)\}\}/13$

Match Commitments & Monitoring History

3. In the last 5 years, has your agency had any funds recaptured (meaning reclaimed by the funder due to slow spending, monitoring findings, disallowed costs, or any other reason that required returning funds rather than using them as intended)?

If yes, please list the funding source, the grant start and end dates, the total grant award, and the amount recaptured. If more than five recaptures occurred, list only the five largest. Do not include any Pandemic Relief Funds. If none, please enter NA. (6 points)

6 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is between 0-3% of the total award

3 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is greater than 3% but less than 10% of the total award

0 points if:

The amount recaptured for any award is more than 10% of the total award

The reviewer must calculate the Amount Recaptured as a percentage for each Funding Source where funds were recaptured in order to score this question. To determine the percentage that was unspent, the reviewer should use the following formula:

$(\text{Amount recaptured} / \text{total award}) \times 100 = \% \text{ unspent}$

Example: \$10,000 recaptured divided by a total award of \$200,000 = 0.05. Then, 0.05 multiplied by 100 = 5%

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 3 points
- ☐ 0 points

Match Commitments & Monitoring History

{{SUM(MCMH.score)}}/6

Transitional Housing (TH): Component Specific Questions

1. Please describe how this Transitional Housing project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain permanent housing. In your answer, clearly identify:

A) Which supportive services (behavioral healthcare, job training, case management, etc.) will be provided directly by your organization and which will be provided through formal partnerships (e.g., MOUs, contracts);

B) How often and in what ways participants will access these services (on-site, off-site, virtual); and

C) How these services support participants in moving from transitional housing to permanent housing and promote long-term housing stability after exit.

(2 points)

2 points if:

- Who does what: Lists key services (housing search/landlord work, case management, mental health/substance use disorder care, benefits/SSI–SSDI, employment, tenancy skills, move-in help, aftercare) and says which are in-house vs. via named partners (MOUs/contracts).
- Access details: Says how often services happen (e.g., weekly, monthly, at intake + 30 days) and where/how (on-site, off-site, virtual).
- Housing focus: Explains the step-by-step path from TH → PH (landlord pipeline/Public Housing Authority, deposits/utility help) and has aftercare (e.g., 90–180 days of check-ins).

0 points if:

- Generic statements (“we provide support as needed”), no service list, no partner roles,
- No frequency or access info, and/or
- No link to moving into Permanent Housing or staying housed after exit.

- ☐ 2 points
- ☐ 0 points

2. Please describe your organization's prior experience operating Transitional Housing or other housing projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months. If your agency does not have experience operating Transitional Housing, please provide your plan to address these benchmarks. In your answer, identify:

A) The types of projects operated (e.g., TH, RRH, PSH, other housing-focused programs);

B) The time period you operated these projects; and

C) Any available outcome data showing that participants exited homelessness to permanent or stable housing within 24 months (include percentages or numbers, if available)

D) If you have not yet met these benchmarks, the concrete strategies, partnerships, and plan you will use to reach them for this project.

1 point if:

Response clearly includes either of the following:

- For providers with prior experience:
 - Project types listed (e.g., TH, RRH, PSH, other housing-focused programs), and
 - Time period stated (years operated or start–end dates), and
 - Outcome data provided showing exits to permanent or stable housing within 24 months (percent or count), tied to those projects.

OR

- For providers with no prior experience:
 - Clearly states that the organization does not have prior experience meeting the benchmarks, and

- Describes concrete strategies, partnerships, and a realistic plan to achieve successful exits to permanent or stable housing within 24 months for the proposed project.

0 points if:

For experienced applicants:

- Missing one or more of the three: project types, time period, outcome data; or
- Provides only general statements of success (“strong results”) with no numbers/percentages; or
- Data not tied to exits within 24 months (unclear timeframe).

For applicants without experience:

- Does not provide a clear or actionable plan to achieve housing outcomes, or
- Provides vague or general strategies without identifying concrete approaches or partnerships, or
- Does not address how the project will meet the 24-month housing exit benchmark.
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

3. Please describe your organization’s past or current performance—and/or your specific plan—to ensure that participants in this project achieve both of the following outcomes within 24 months: (1) at least 50% exit to permanent housing, and (2) at least 50% exit with employment income, as documented in HMIS or another data system you use. In your answer, identify (6 points):

A) Any existing projects where you have already met or exceeded these benchmarks (include timeframes and outcome data, if available); and

B) If you have not yet met these benchmarks, the concrete strategies, partnerships, and tracking methods you will use to reach them for this project.

4 points if:

Applicant does both of the following:

- A) Addresses both benchmarks clearly
 - Speaks to both outcomes: $\geq 50\%$ exit to permanent housing and $\geq 50\%$ exit with employment income within 24 months.
- B) Provides EITHER past performance OR a concrete plan
 - Past performance path: Names project(s) and timeframe(s) where both benchmarks were met/exceeded, with numbers or % and cites HMIS/other system as the source.
 - Example: “RRH, 2023–2024: 58% exited to permanent housing; 54% exited with employment (HMIS Annual Performance Report).”

OR

- Plan path (if benchmarks not yet met): Lists specific strategies (e.g., dedicated job developer, employer MOUs/contracts, rapid permanent housing search/landlord pipeline), named partners (workforce board, Public Housing Authority, clinics), and tracking methods (HMIS fields/reports, monthly dashboards) tied to the 24-month timeline.

0 points if:

- Fails to address both benchmarks, or
 - Only generic statements (no data, no named strategies/partners, no HMIS/tracking), or
 - Past results or plans are not tied to a 24-month window.
-
- ☐ 4 points
 - ☐ 0 points

4. Please describe how this project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private funding sources. In your answer, identify (1 point):

A) The specific mainstream health, social, and employment programs you will connect participants to (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, TANF, SNAP, WIOA/employment services);

B) Any other public or private funding or in-kind resources that will support project operations or services; and

C) How you will track and document participants’ access to these resources (e.g., in HMIS or another data system).

1 point if:

The response clearly includes all three elements:

- Specific mainstream programs named the project will connect participants to, (e.g., Medicare/Medicaid, SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAP, Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act/Workforce Board, Vocational Rehab, HUD-VASH if veterans, etc.).
- Other funding/in-kind supports identified for operations/services, (e.g., city/county funds, United Way, hospital/managed-care contracts, philanthropy, food bank, furniture bank, legal aid, transportation passes), ideally with brief partner names or MOUs.
- Tracking/documentation method stated (e.g., HMIS fields/referrals, comparable database for Domestic Violence, case-note templates, benefit verification uploads, monthly dashboards).

0 points if:

- Lists only vague “public/private resources” with no specific programs, or
 - Mentions programs but no other funding/in-kind supports, or
 - Omits how tracking/documentation will occur (no HMIS/comparable system/process).
-
- ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

5. Please describe the proposed Transitional Housing project's approach to assessing participant service needs, delivering individualized supportive services, and supporting participant engagement in services, activities, and/or employment during program participation. In your answer, describe: (2 points)

A) How the project will assess the service needs of program participants;

B) How the project will provide individualized services, activities, and/or employment opportunities during participants' time in Transitional Housing so that participants are engaged for up to 20 hours per week, as applicable under the FY26 CoC Program NOFO;

C) How the project will identify and make exceptions, where applicable, for participants over age 62, participants with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3, and participants with a developmental disability as defined in 24 CFR 578.3; and

D) How the project will create individualized service plans for each participant.

2 points if:

Response clearly describes all the following:

- Explains how participant service needs will be assessed at intake and on an ongoing basis
- Describes how individualized supportive services, activities, and/or employment opportunities will be provided to participants
- Clearly explains how participants will be engaged in services, activities, and/or employment for up to 20 hours per week (as applicable)
- Identifies specific types of services or activities (ex., case management, employment services, behavioral health) and distinguishes what is required versus optional, if applicable
- Explains how participation expectations are communicated to participants (ex., orientation, service agreements, ongoing case management)
- Describes how the project will identify and implement appropriate exceptions for participants over age 62, participants with handicaps, or participants with developmental disabilities
- Describes how individualized service plans will be developed and used to support participant goals, including housing stability, health/wellness, and/or employment

1 point if:

Response includes some but not all of the following:

- Describes needs assessment or services, but lacks detail on how they are implemented or individualized
- References engagement in services or activities but does not clearly explain how the 20-hour expectation will be achieved
- Lists services or activities but does not clearly distinguish required participation or how expectations are communicated
- Mentions exceptions for certain populations but does not clearly explain how they will be identified or applied
- Mentions service plans but does not explain how they are developed or used

0 points if:

- Does not clearly describe how participant needs are assessed, or
 - Does not describe how services or activities are structured to support engagement, or
 - Does not explain how participants will meet the 20-hour engagement expectation (where applicable), or
 - Does not address exceptions for participants over age 62 or participants with disabilities, or
 - Does not describe individualized service planning, or
 - Response is vague, general, or does not demonstrate a clear approach to delivering and managing supportive services.
- ☐ 2 points
 - ☐ 1 point
 - ☐ 0 points

5a. Supportive Service Requirement Upload: If the project plans to require supportive service participation from program participants, attach a sample supportive service agreement (such as a contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent) that clearly shows the participant's obligation to engage in supportive services as a condition of program participation consistent with 24 CFR 578.75(h). (6 points)

6 points if:

An upload is provided that: 1) clearly states which Supportive Services are required to maintain participation, and 2) Requires the participant to acknowledge and agree to the participation terms (i.e. there is a field for the client and agency to sign and date the form).

0 points if:

An upload is provided but 1) Does not state Supportive Services are required to maintain participation, and/or 2) Does not require the participant to acknowledge and agree to the participation terms (i.e., there is no field for the client and agency to sign and date the form).

- ☐ 6 points
- ☐ 0 points

6. Please explain how the average cost per household served for this project is reasonable and necessary, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404. In your answer, describe (1 point):

A) How you calculated the average cost per household (including total annual project cost and projected households served);

B) How this cost compares to similar projects or local/community benchmarks; and

C) Any steps you take to ensure costs are economical while still achieving the project's housing and service outcomes.

1 point if:

- The response clearly includes all three items:
- How average cost/household was calculated.
- States total annual project cost and projected households served, and shows the math (e.g., “\$600,000 / 50 households = \$12,000 per household”).
- Comparison to similar projects/benchmarks.
- Compares the figure to local/community benchmarks or similar projects (e.g., prior TH projects, county/CoC averages) and briefly explains any variance.
- Economy measures while maintaining outcomes.
- Lists concrete steps to keep costs economical without hurting housing/service results (e.g., shared staffing, collaborative funding for services, competitive procurement, reasonable administrative costs, leveraging in-kind, landlord incentives only as needed).

0 points if:

- Missing any of the three: no clear math, no benchmark comparison, or no cost-control steps; or
- Only generic claims (“costs are reasonable”) with no numbers or specifics.
- ☐ 1 point
- ☐ 0 points

7. Was your agency awarded a project by HUD under the DV Bonus in the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care FY24 CoC Program Competition? (4 points)

This does not include applicants who applied but were awarded, or applicants awarded under another CoC.

4 points if:

Yes

0 points if:

No

- ☐ Yes = 4 points
- ☐ No = 0 points

Transitional Housing: Component Specific Questions Total Score:

{{SUM(TH1.score,TH2.score,TH3.score,TH4.score,TH5.score,TH6.score,TH7.score,TH8.score)}}

}}/21

Leveraged Partnerships

2. Leveraged Partnerships Upload: Please upload one or more preliminary commitment letters on partner letterhead, signed and dated by an authorized representative.

Letters must describe resources or commitments that are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match. Resources counted toward match will not be eligible for local leverage/partnership points.

For full points, each letter must include:

A) The partner organization name;

B) The proposed project name (used consistently across application materials);

C) A brief description of the services, resources, coordination, access, or implementation support the partner intends to provide;

D) How the partnership will support project participants or project implementation;

E) The dates the benefit will be available (aligned with project dates in e-snaps); and

F) A statement attesting that the described resources or commitments are in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required 25% CoC Program Match.

3 points if:

- Applicant uploads one or more preliminary commitment letters that clearly demonstrate:
 - Specific, project-relevant partnerships that materially support project implementation or participant access to housing, services, treatment, or other community-based supports, and
 - The documentation clearly reflects that the described commitments are in addition to the required 25% CoC Program Match.

1.5 points if:

- Applicant uploads at least one preliminary commitment letter showing: a relevant partnership or resource commitment, but the documentation is less specific regarding the partner's role, the nature of the support, the anticipated dates of the benefit, or how the partnership supports project participants or project implementation.

0 points if:

- No qualifying preliminary commitment letter is uploaded; or the uploaded documentation is too vague to verify the partner's intended role; or the uploaded documentation reflects resources being counted toward the required 25% CoC Program Match; or the required attestation that the commitment is in addition to, and not being counted toward, the required Match is missing.
- ☐ 3 points
 - ☐ 1.5 points
 - ☐ 0 points

Leveraged Partnerships Score:

$\{\{ \text{SUM}(\text{LP1.score}) \} \} / 3$

Total Score:

0.0/45

TX-607

Local Competition Selection Results

FY2026 Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	2026 Project Name	Score	Rank	Status	Funding Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC HMIS Project FY2026 Renewal		1	Accepted	\$736,652.00	\$0.00
Homeless Network of Texas (dba Texas Homeless Network)	TX BoS CoC SSO-CE FY26		2	Accepted	\$311,518.00	\$0.00
Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Next Step Combined	93.00%	3	Accepted	\$1,015,307.00	\$0.00
The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-Temple CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Program	83.75%	4	Accepted	\$208,333.00	\$0.00
Abilene Regional MHMR Center dba Betty Hardwick Center	BHC Permanent Supportive Housing	79.95%	5	Accepted	\$299,194.00	\$0.00
Abilene Regional MHMR Center dba Betty Hardwick Center	Permanent Supportive Housing Plus		6	Accepted	\$505,551.00	\$0.00
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Transforming Lives FY2026	67.25%	7	Accepted	\$195,832.00	\$0.00
The Gulf Coast Center	GCC Permanent Housing	67.00%	8	Accepted	\$703,768.00	\$0.00
Denton County MHMR Center	Connections PSH	62.23%	9	Accepted	\$1,450,140.00	\$0.00
Families In Crisis, Inc.	FY2026_HUD_DV1	91.00%	10	Accepted	\$1,193,976.00	\$0.00
Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Pathways	88.59%	11	Accepted	\$328,928.00	\$0.00
West Central Texas Regional Foundation	New Housing Vision	88.30%	12	Accepted	\$915,279.00	\$0.00
Resource and Crisis Center of Galveston County, Inc.	RCCGC DV-RRH Project 2026	84.00%	13	Accepted	\$254,699.00	\$0.00
Abilene Regional MHMR Center dba Betty Hardwick Center	Rapid Rehousing	83.50%	14	Accepted	\$347,459.00	\$0.00
Housing Authority of San Angelo	COSA Rapid Rehousing	83.50%	15	Accepted	\$227,091.00	\$0.00
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority RRH FY 2026	82.10%	16	Accepted	\$268,059.00	\$0.00
Families In Crisis, Inc.	FY2026_HUD_DV2	79.00%	17	Accepted	\$1,398,682.00	\$0.00
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Project Bridge Rapid Rehousing FY2026	77.00%	18	Accepted	\$377,373.00	\$0.00
The Salvation Army - Temple, TX	The Salvation Army-Temple CoC Rapid Rehousing Program	74.50%	19	Accepted	\$235,885.00	\$0.00
Denton County Friends of the Family, Inc.	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for Domestic Violence Survivors	66.48%	20	Accepted	\$433,333.00	\$0.00
Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc.	Rural Rapid ReHousing for Domestic Violence Survivors in Atascosa County	59.38%	21	Accepted	\$202,810.00	\$0.00
Panhandle Community Services	Panhandle Community Services Transitional Housing 2026	68.60%	22	Accepted	\$1,692,162.00	\$1,692,162.00
Odessa Links, Inc.	Project Hope FY26	65.91%	23	Accepted	\$385,788.00	\$385,788.00
City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home	57.25%	24	Accepted	\$329,638.00	\$329,638.00
Giving Grace	FY26 Transition Grant for RRH	55.32%	25	Accepted	\$481,545.00	\$481,545.00
Friendship of Women, Inc.	Pathways to Safe Housing	52.50%	26	Accepted	\$312,999.00	\$312,999.00
Christian Community Action	Building Foundations Transitional Housing Program	46.50%	27	Accepted	\$559,975.00	\$559,975.00
United Way of Denton County	FY2026 CoC SSO Standalone		28	Accepted	\$137,851.00	\$137,851.00
Giving Grace	FY26 Transition Grant for PSH		29	Accepted	\$565,513.00	\$565,513.00
The Heights Ellis County Family Resources, Inc.	HIVE: Housing-Integrated Victim Empowerment		30	Accepted	\$738,399.00	\$738,399.00
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese Galveston-Houston	FY26 Transitional Housing Galveston		31	Accepted	\$1,000,822.00	\$1,000,822.00
SAMARITAN WOMEN AT THE WELL	GRACE TRANSITIONAL HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES		32	Accepted	\$539,128.00	\$539,128.00
Salvation Army, The	TSA Grayson, Fannin, and Cooke Counties HUD CoC Project		33	Accepted	\$331,705.00	\$331,705.00
Blanco River Regional Recovery Team	Housing Stability Services	97.561%	34	Accepted	\$635,496.00	\$635,496.00
NB Housing Partners	NBHP First Footing Supportive Services	93.023%	35	Accepted	\$600,300.00	\$0.00
Family Endeavors, Inc. dba Endeavors	SSO-TXBOS-Endeavors	91.860%	36	Accepted	\$749,500.00	\$0.00
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	Laredo Housing Authority TH FY 2026	90.244%	37	Accepted	\$275,000.00	\$275,000.00
Denton Affordable Housing Corporation	McAdams Haven	89.744%	38	Accepted	\$552,000.00	\$0.00
Everybody's Place of Galveston County	The Parish Supportive Housing Community in La Marque, Texas	89.024%	39	Accepted	\$246,299.00	\$0.00
Foundation for the Homeless	Transitional Empowerment Program	88.415%	40	Accepted	\$587,723.00	\$0.00
Our Daily Bread	Street Outreach	81.818%	41	Accepted	\$474,811.00	\$0.00
The Gulf Coast Center	GCC Community Navigation Project	80.814%	42	Accepted	\$215,568.00	\$0.00
West Central Texas Regional Foundation	Rural Connections Street Outreach Program	80.682%	43	Accepted	\$419,713.00	\$0.00
Concho Valley Community Action Agency	CVCAA Rock Rose Continuum of Care Program	78.659%	44	Accepted	\$749,320.00	\$0.00
United Way of Denton County	FY2026 CoC SSO Partner	75.581%	45	Accepted	\$412,640.00	\$7,874.00
Safe Housing Outreach Ministry A Refuge For Battered Women And Children	SHOM CDO FY2026 DV TH Kaufman County	75.000%	46	Accepted	\$573,100.00	\$0.00
Comal County Family Violence Shelter Inc.	CCCC Transitional Living Housing Program	74.444%	47	Accepted	\$726,000.00	\$0.00
Family Services of Southeast Texas, Inc.	FSST Shelter and Outreach Services	74.419%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$0.00	\$0.00
City of Denton	Denton Street Outreach	72.727%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$0.00	\$0.00

OurCalling, Inc.	OurCommunity	66.667%	Not Ranked	Rejected	\$0.00	\$0.00
Safer Path Family Violence Shelter, Inc.	Transitional Housing for Rural Survivors of Domestic Violence in Atascosa County		Not Ranked	Rejected	\$0.00	\$0.00
Panhandle Community Services	PCS Rapid Re-Housing		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$1,692,162.00)
Odessa Links, Inc.	Project Hope FY2024		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$385,788.00)
City of Texarkana	Texarkana Homeless Coalition: Doorways Home		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$329,638.00)
Giving Grace	GG Rapid Rehousing FY2024		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$481,545.00)
Friendship of Women, Inc.	Pathways to Safe Housing		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$312,999.00)
Christian Community Action	Rapid Rehousing and Rescue Services FY24		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$559,975.00)
United Way of Denton County	FY2024 CoC SSO-CE		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$137,851.00)
Giving Grace	GG Replacement PSH FY2024		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$565,513.00)
THE HEIGHTS ELLIS COUNTY FAMILY RESOURCES INC	HIVE: Housing-Integrated Victim Empowerment		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$738,399.00)
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese Galveston-Houston	RRH Galveston FY 2024		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$1,000,822.00)
SAMARITAN WOMEN AT THE WELL	GRACE TRANSITIONAL AND RAPID RE-HOUSING		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$539,128.00)
Salvation Army a Georgia Corporation, The	TSA Grayson, Fannin, and Cooke Counties Rapid Rehousing CoC Project		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$331,705.00)
Sabine Valley Regional MHMR Center dba Community Healthcore	Fredonia Homeless and Disabled Women and Children Rapid Rehousing		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$233,407.00)
Good Neighbor Settlement House, Inc	Restoring Futures through Rapid Rehousing		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$429,640.00)
Neighborhood Development Corp	Homeless to Homes Program		Not Ranked	Fully Reallocated	\$0.00	(\$255,323.00)
Homeless Network of Texas dba Texas Homeless Network	TX-607 CoC Planning Project FY2026		Not Ranked	Accepted	\$1,500,000.00	\$1,500,000.00

TX-607

2026 HDX Competition Report

2026 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into the HDX for your use as part of the 2026 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may differ depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, <https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/> and choose "HDX" as the topic.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - Summary

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX
2025 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).	November 2025 to January 2026
2025 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).**	February 2026 to March 2026
2026 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026
2026 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026

1) FY = Fiscal Year
2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.
3) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY25 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY24 (10/1/2023 - 9/30/2024) were also accepted during the data collection period, but the previous year's submission is voluntary.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC
FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

LSA Usability Status 2025

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC*	PSH CO
Fully Usable	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	N/A	☒
Partially Usable								N/A	
Not Usable								N/A	

Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
*Usability was not determined for PSH-AC due to built-in conflicts between HOMES and HMIS. As

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	9,901	57.1	22.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	10,209	63.1	24.0

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client’s Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client’s entry date, effectively extending the client’s entry date backward in time. This “adjusted entry date” is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client’s actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	10,979	181.1	61.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	11,273	185.5	63.0

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
Metric	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	234	9	3.9%	6	2.6%	10	4.3%	25	10.7%
Exit was from ES	3,293	96	2.9%	112	3.4%	110	3.3%	318	9.7%
Exit was from TH	103	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	4	3.9%	6	5.8%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	1,299	38	2.9%	29	2.2%	47	3.6%	114	8.8%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	4,929	144	2.9%	148	3.0%	171	3.5%	463	9.4%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	10,226
Emergency Shelter Total	9,911
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	475

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	167
Number of adults with increased earned income	23
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13.8%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	167
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	57
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	34.1%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	167
Number of adults with increased total income	78
Percentage of adults who increased total income	46.7%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	261
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	56
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	21.5%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	261
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	48
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	18.4%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	261
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	92
Percentage of adults who increased total income	35.3%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	9,916
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,908
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	8,008

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	11,386
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	2,098
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	9,288

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD’s Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	2,611
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	522
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	291
% Successful exits	31.1%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	10,375
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	2,417
% Successful exits	23.3%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	252
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	242
% Successful exits/retention	96.0%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	10,555	487	309	2,950	2,205
Total Leavers (HMIS)	9,521	388	65	1,487	1,879
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	3,836	66	3	102	883
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	40.3%	17.0%	4.6%	6.9%	47.0%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - SPM Notes

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	The TX BoS CoC's Measure 1 Average and Median LOT homeless increased significantly since last year. This large difference is due to one ES, near the Texas/Mexico border, that had over 27,000 enrollments in the previous SPM reporting period. This ES served clients that were entering into the country legally and needed a temporary place to stay before they moved on to their
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	The TX BoS CoC's Measure 3.2 universe decreased significantly since last year. This large difference is due to one ES, near the Texas/Mexico border, that had over 27,000 enrollments in the previous SPM reporting period. This ES served clients that were entering into the country legally and needed a temporary place to stay before they moved on to their permanent situation, so the
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted*** HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	4,540	1,812	3,202	0	3,202	56.6%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	1,392	175	1,025	0	1,025	17.1%
RRH	1,900	1,276	1,419	0	1,419	89.9%
PSH	1,714	250	1,634	0	1,634	15.3%
OPH	1,754	27	1,754	1,727	27	100.0%
Total	11,300	3,540	9,034	1,727	7,307	48.4%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State

For HIC conducted in January/February

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster ^{**}	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	4,540	1,338	1,338	0	1,338	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	1,392	367	367	0	367	100.00%
RRH	1,900	481	481	0	481	100.00%
PSH	1,714	80	80	0	80	100.00%
OPH	1,754	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	11,300	2,266	2,266	0	2,266	100.00%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State

For HIC conducted in January/

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	4,540	3,150	4,540	69.38%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	1,392	542	1,392	38.94%
RRH	1,900	1,757	1,900	92.47%
PSH	1,714	330	1,714	19.25%
OPH	1,754	27	27	100.00%
Total	11,300	5,806	9,573	60.65%

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher

2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

4) ***Adjusted bed counts exclude non-HMIS participating OPH EHV Beds and Beds Affected by Natural Disaster

5) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.

6) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

7) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

8) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2025 HDX Competition Report

2026 Competition Report - PIT Summary

TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2026

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/22/2026	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	2,990	3,069	2,859
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	723	814	889
Total Sheltered Count	3,713	3,883	3,748
Total Unsheltered Count	6,368	5,351	6,101
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	10,081	9,234	9,849

- 1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was sheltered only.
- 2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

Total People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Total Sheltered Count	263	294	292
Total Unsheltered Count	1,488	1,254	1,430
Total	1,751	1,548	1,722

Public Reporting Burden Statement: This collection of information is estimated to average 0.20 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of the requested information. Comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden can be sent to: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Chief Data Officer, R, 451 7th St SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410-5000. Do not send completed forms to this address. This Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number. This Agency is authorized to collect this information under Section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989. The information you provide will enable this Agency to carry out its responsibilities under this Act and ensure greater accountability and integrity in the provision of certain types of assistance administered by this Agency. The information collected via this form is required to obtain the benefit sought in the grant program. Failure to provide any required information may delay the processing of your application and may result in sanctions and penalties including the administrative and civil money penalties specified under 24 CFR §4.38. This information will not be held confidential and may be made available to the public in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552). The information collected via this form is not retrieved by a personal identifier, therefore it does not meet the threshold for a Privacy Act Statement.

Type or clearly print the following information.

****Warning:** *Anyone who knowingly submits a false claim or makes a false statement is subject to criminal and/or civil penalties, including confinement for up to 5 years, fines, and civil and administrative penalties (18 U.S.C §§ 287, 1001, 1010, 1012, 1014; 31 U.S.C. § 3729, 3802; 24 CFR § 28.10(b)(1)(iii)).*

Applicant Organization:

Assistance Listing number and Federal Program name to which the Applicant is applying:

Include the funding opportunity number and name exactly as it appears in the applicable funding opportunity announcement (example: 14.896, Family Self-Sufficiency Program).

Opportunity Zone Census Tract(s), which the proposed activities/projects will benefit:

Include below the full 11-digit census tract number (example: 06067001101). Designated Opportunity Zone Census Tracts can be found at: <https://opportunityzones.hud.gov/resources>. Select the "CDFI Fund Opportunity Zones Resources" link and then select the "List of designated Qualified Opportunity Zones."

The application meets which of the following criteria (please select one):

- ☐ The proposed activities/projects will occur solely within the Opportunity Zone Census Tract(s) listed above.
- ☐ The proposed activities/projects will occur within the Opportunity Zone Census Tract(s) listed above and other communities.
- ☐ The proposed activities/projects will occur outside Opportunity Zone Census Tracts, but substantial and direct benefits will accrue within the Opportunity Zone Census Tracts listed above.

Note: Projects which substantially and directly benefit Opportunity Zone Census Tracts, but which do not consist of activities delivered within Opportunity Zone Census Tracts may be considered for competitive preference. If applicable, the respective Federal Agency will clearly define "substantially and directly" in the relevant funding announcement.

Estimated Funding Allocations

Estimate a percentage of the total dollar amount of federal funding awarded that would result in a direct benefit within the Opportunity Zone Census Tracts listed above:

- ☐ 76% - 100%
- ☐ 51% - 75%
- ☐ 26% - 50%
- ☐ 11% - 25%
- ☐ 1% - 10%

Provide a narrative explaining and/or reference the section in the application that explains how the project will support public and private investment in urban and economically distressed areas, specifically qualified Opportunity Zones (300-word limit):

Example: "The Main Street project described in this application will stimulate economic opportunity and mobility, encourage entrepreneurship, expand quality educational opportunities, and promote workforce development for those families residing within the XYZ Opportunity Zone."

Check the following boxes that accurately reflect the nature or purpose of the proposed project:

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ Access to Capital | ☐ Workforce Development |
| ☐ Asset Building | ☐ Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) or other rent restricted housing |
| ☐ Business Assistance | ☐ Market rate housing |
| ☐ Community Capacity Building | ☐ Industrial development |
| ☐ Economic Development | ☐ Commercial or retail development |
| ☐ Education | ☐ Other business development |
| ☐ Healthy Food Access | ☐ "Above ground" infrastructure — streets, sidewalks, lighting |
| ☐ Health | ☐ "Below ground" infrastructure — water, sewer, gas, electric |
| ☐ Housing | ☐ Schools or other educational facilities |
| ☐ Human Services and Family Support | ☐ Hospitals or other health care facilities |
| ☐ Community Infrastructure | |
| ☐ Public Safety | |

Certification of Authorized Representative for the Applicant:

****Under penalty of perjury, I certify on behalf of the Applicant that**

- (1) all information provided on this form is true, complete, and accurate, and
(2) the Applicant will notify the Agency immediately upon learning of any change in the information provided on this form, and
(3) I am authorized to speak for the Applicant regarding all information provided on this form.

Prefix: _____ First Name: _____ Middle Initial: _____ Last Name: _____ Suffix: _____

Title: _____ Organization: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____



CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM APPLICANT EXPECTATIONS

Texas Homeless Network (THN) serves as the Collaborative Applicant and Lead Agency for the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care (TX BoS CoC) and as such is charged by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to operate and administer CoC activities and to analyze CoC Program Applicant performance ([24 CFR Part 578](#)).

To further CoC goals and to clarify expectations, the TX BoS CoC has established CoC Program Recipient responsibilities in this document to be signed annually by an authorized representative of the CoC Program Recipient agency. New project Applicants are also required to complete this document, which commits the Applicant to uphold Recipient responsibilities should funds be awarded. This document must be signed by an authorized representative of the TX BoS CoC and returned to the agency, serving as a formalized understanding of the roles of the CoC.

CoC Program Recipients have a grant agreement with HUD. The CoC Program Recipient is responsible for adhering to all requirements and obligations outlined by HUD in addition to the expectations outlined by the CoC in this document. In the event that CoC Program Recipient responsibilities change, revisions will be made to this certification, and a new signature by an authorized representative of the CoC Program Recipient agency will be required.

EXPECTATIONS

Before applying for renewal or new CoC Program funding, all Project Applicants should read the following items:

- CoC Program Interim Rule ([24 CFR Part 578](#)), as it provides the requirements for implementation and administration of the CoC Program.
- [HUD CoC Program Competition Landing Page](#) (including most recent HUD NOFO)
- [TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies](#)
- [TX BoS CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery](#)
- [TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards \(Version 3.1\)](#)

New Project Applicants are strongly encouraged to complete the following trainings upon award notification from HUD. These trainings will provide an understanding of HUD expectations and project compliance:

- CoC Program Components/Eligible Costs
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/coc-program-components-and-eligible-costs/](https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/coc-program-components-and-eligible-costs/)
- CoC Program Administration
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/training-events/courses/coc-program-grant-administration/](https://www.hudexchange.info/training-events/courses/coc-program-grant-administration/)
- Financial Management Curriculum
[https:// www.hudexchange.info/trainings/financial-management-curriculum/](https://www.hudexchange.info/trainings/financial-management-curriculum/)

HMIS Participation and Coverage

CoC Program Recipients must collect information on persons served and services provided during the grant period and enter this information into the TX BoS CoC's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), ClientTrack.

Victim Service Providers (VSPs) are prohibited from participating in HMIS. VSPs must demonstrate that they have a database comparable to an HMIS and are able to provide aggregate data and HUD-mandated reports from that database upon request (Ex: Osnium). For more information about mandated exclusions from HMIS and whether your Agency is affected, please follow [this link](#) to the HUD Exchange. HUD has also provided a list of Validated comparable database systems at [this link](#).

CoC Program Recipients must also:

- Adhere to the [HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual](#) and User Agreements, including timeliness expectations
- [Designate and utilize an HMIS Agency Admin](#) as required by the Agency Agreement
- Attend Quarterly mandatory HMIS webinars, as scheduled
- Complete quarterly Data Quality Reports (UDQ) to submit by the deadline identified by HMIS Staff
- Make corrections to Data Quality Reports, or other reports, as requested by HMIS staff
- Commit to a process of data quality improvement by running and reviewing Annual Performance Reports (APRs) and Data Quality Reports on a monthly basis.

Consumer Involvement

All Project Recipients & Subrecipients are required to have an individual or individuals with lived experience on the Board of Directors or other equivalent policymaking entity.

Recipients must keep a letter on official letterhead documenting that at least one person currently experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless serves on the Board or other equivalent policy-making entity.

Project Recipients & Subrecipients are encouraged to involve individuals experiencing homelessness and project participants when designing the project and in ongoing project execution.

Project Parameters

Updated 6/11/26

All projects must follow the [TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies](#) and the [TX BoS CoC Written Standards for Service Delivery](#) for the project type. Recipients are expected to maintain Policies and Procedure manuals that detail project eligibility determination, service delivery, federal compliance, best principles and practices for serving persons experiencing homelessness, and project policies in line with the TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and the TX BoS CoC Written Standards.

Alignment with the CoC Program Competition Priorities

The CoC Program Competition Priorities represent components of a strategy to address unsheltered homelessness that are broadly applicable to a community's efforts to address unsheltered homelessness and meet the needs of people with severe service needs. These priorities reflect essential strategies and components of projects targeted to people experiencing homelessness. All projects must align with and work to advance one or more priorities identified in the [CoC Program Competition Priorities](#) document.

Coordinated Entry

Participation in a Coordinated Entry (CE) process is a requirement of [24 CFR Part 578](#) for all Recipients of CoC Program funds (see [TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards](#)). Communities with CoC Program Recipients must implement CE according to the TX BoS CoC Coordinated Entry Written Standards, and CoC Program Recipients must participate in the implementation of a local system.

In Communities where a local Coordinated Entry System does not exist, CoC Funded Agencies are looked to as leaders in the implementation. If a project is awarded in a region without a CE Process, it is expected that the awarded agency will work towards implementation prior to contract execution and/or the negotiated Operational Start Date.

Questions about participation in Coordinated Entry should be directed to the TX BoS CoC at CE@thn.org.

General Recipient Performance & Expectation

- Recipients must receive approval from the TX BoS CoC prior to requesting a grant extension from HUD.
- Recipients must receive approval from the TX BoS CoC prior to requesting a significant change from HUD, including proposals for: grant transfer, change in sub-recipients, change of project site, addition or elimination of eligible costs for a project, change in subpopulation, reduction in the number of units funded, and/or any budget changes greater than 10% of the budget line being reduced.
- Recipients are strongly encouraged to participate in a Quality Review Plan (QRP), Corrective Action Plan (CAP), or HUD Technical Assistance (TA)-led assistance if mandated by the TX BoS CoC and/or HUD. Recipients who are conditionally included for involuntary reallocation consideration may increase the likelihood of being fully reallocated if they choose not to participate in available TA opportunities. Please review the Conditional Inclusion Policy and Reallocation Policy in the [TX BoS CoC Competition Policies](#) for more information.
- Recipients are encouraged to provide Annual Performance Reports (APRs) to TX BoS

CoC staff for review at least two (2) weeks prior to HUD submission in order to submit an accurate report of project performance.

- Recipients are expected to participate in the Quarterly Performance Score Card Process.
- Per the TX BoS CoC Governance Charter and Policies, Recipients must carbon copy (“cc”) TX BoS CoC staff on all communications with HUD regarding monitoring.
- Recipients must notify THN of receipt of a HUD monitoring notification or monitoring report within 48 hours.
- Project staff is encouraged to have a case conference with THN prior to any involuntary project participant terminations.
- Recipients must comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws.
- In accordance with 24 CFR 578.53, recipients must develop individualized Housing Stability plans for all program participants, including the provision of Supportive Services, across all CoC-funded projects.
 - Additionally, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), recipients may require supportive service agreements as part of program participation.

Project Oversight

- Recipients of CoC Program Funding must have an accounting system compliant with 2 CFR 200.
- It is required that all recipients of CoC Program Funding in the TX BoS CoC develop and maintain an Internal Control environment that is in compliance with 2 CFR 200.303.
- It is expected that where a recipient and sub-recipient relationship exists, there is a sub-recipient agreement in place which includes provisions for monitoring and oversight.
- Recipients of CoC Program Funding are required by the TX BoS CoC to develop, implement, and maintain an Internal Monitoring Policy covering awarded CoC Projects. Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to sub-recipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.

CoC Engagement

- Recipients must participate in all trainings designated as mandatory that are conducted or sponsored by TX BoS CoC by having at least one representative staff member with direct involvement in operations and/or oversight of the project participate either via webinar or in-person, as required.
- Recipients must participate in the local Point-in-Time Count (PIT) and Housing Inventory Counts (HIC) and submit data collected by the deadlines established by TX BoS CoC.

- Recipients are expected to attend a majority of CoC General Meetings.
- Recipients are expected to participate in CoC Committees and Workgroups.
- Recipients are expected to participate in their Local Homeless Coalition.
- Recipients are expected to work collaboratively with their community partners to end homelessness.
- Recipients are expected to create and maintain an effective homelessness response system, that achieves measurable progress toward making homelessness rare, brief, and where possible, one-time.

THN Expectation

- As the Lead applicant for the TX BoS CoC, THN must facilitate and manage the annual Continuum of Care Competition.
- THN must complete the annual CoC Application to be submitted with the Consolidated Application.
- THN must solicit input, create, and maintain CoC Governance Documents, Written Standards, and Policies & Procedures.
- THN must facilitate CoC General Meetings, Committees, the CoC Board, and trainings.
- THN must provide technical assistance and best practice recommendations to agencies operating within the TX BoS CoC Geographic Area.
- THN must provide guidance, recommendation, and training to the CoC Board, and THN must act in good faith to carry out decisions of the CoC Board.
- As the HMIS Lead Agency, THN must maintain and facilitate the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).
- THN provides training to new HMIS users and assists in maintaining high data quality standards of the HMIS data.
- THN reports HMIS data to HUD annually.
- THN manages and facilitates the annual Point in Time Count and Housing Inventory Count, and THN analyzes this data and provides it to HUD.

The expectations in this form are not intended to be interpreted as an exhaustive list of responsibilities for Recipients of CoC Program Funds, or for the Texas Homeless Network. It is understood that should any expectation in this document conflict with Federal, or State statute, the remaining expectations remain valid. My signature below acknowledges these expectations and, to the greatest extent possible, the commitment to comply with them.

AUTHORIZED AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Agency:	
Contact Person:	
Email Address:	
Contact Number:	

I have read, understand, and agree to the expectations set forth in this agreement. (Current Recipients acknowledge these expectations become effective upon the date signed below).

_____	_____
Signature	Date

_____	_____
Printed Name	Title